



The New York State District Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2010 – 11

District **HERRICKS UNION FREE SCHOOL
DISTRICT**

District ID **28-04-09-03-0000**

Superintendent **JOHN BIERWIRTH**

Telephone **(516) 305-8901**

Grades **PK-12, UE, US**

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents' effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

Use this report to:

- 1 Get District Profile information.**
This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.
- 2 Review District Accountability Status.**
This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies the district's accountability status.
- 3 View School Accountability Status.**
This section lists all schools in your district by 2011–12 accountability status.
- 4 Review an Overview of District Performance.**
This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services
New York State Education Department
Room 863 EBA
Albany, NY 12234
Email: dataquest@mail.nysed.gov

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11
Pre-K	55	55	55
Kindergarten	222	219	239
Grade 1	242	244	245
Grade 2	254	255	263
Grade 3	330	263	255
Grade 4	278	338	271
Grade 5	313	295	342
Grade 6	314	327	301
Ungraded Elementary	2	3	1
Grade 7	315	331	341
Grade 8	338	318	339
Grade 9	369	327	310
Grade 10	386	370	332
Grade 11	352	388	373
Grade 12	344	353	392
Ungraded Secondary	10	13	15
Total K-12	4069	4044	4019

Average Class Size

	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11
Common Branch	22	22	22
Grade 8			
English	23	22	23
Mathematics	19	22	19
Science	24	24	26
Social Studies	22	23	22
Grade 10			
English	20	21	18
Mathematics	18	23	5
Science	24	20	21
Social Studies	22	21	23

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1-6.

District HERRICKS UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 28-04-09-03-0000

Demographic Factors

	2008-09		2009-10		2010-11	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	89	2%	129	3%	123	3%
Reduced-Price Lunch	62	2%	86	2%	84	2%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	197	5%	171	4%	182	5%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	9	0%	8	0%	6	0%
Black or African American	19	0%	19	0%	23	1%
Hispanic or Latino	199	5%	208	5%	215	5%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1993	49%	2025	50%	2084	52%
White	1848	45%	1779	44%	1681	42%
Multiracial	1	0%	5	0%	10	0%

* Available only at the school level.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2007-08		2008-09		2009-10	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		97%		96%		97%
Student Suspensions	50	1%	58	1%	63	2%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. *Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient* counts are used to determine *Similar Schools* groupings within a *Need/Resource Capacity* category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. *Student Suspension* rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

District HERRICKS UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 28-04-09-03-0000

Teacher Qualifications

	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11
Total Number of Teachers	384	382	382
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	0%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	0%	0%	0%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	6%	5%	3%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	49%	53%	54%
Total Number of Core Classes	961	1023	955
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers in This District	0%	0%	0%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified in High-Poverty Schools Statewide	8%	6%	5%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified in Low-Poverty Schools Statewide	1%	1%	0%
Total Number of Classes	1382	1412	1373
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	0%	1%	0%

Teacher Turnover Rate

	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	19%	24%	17%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	11%	11%	8%

Staff Counts

	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11
Total Other Professional Staff	21	52	51
Total Paraprofessionals*	190	176	179
Assistant Principals	3	3	3
Principals	5	5	5

* Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. A teacher who taught one class outside of the certification area(s) is counted as Highly Qualified provided that 1) the teacher had been determined by the school or district through the HOUSSE process or other state-accepted methods to have demonstrated acceptable subject knowledge and teaching skills and 2) the class in question was not the sole assignment reported. Credit for incidental teaching does not extend beyond a single assignment. Independent of Highly Qualified Teacher status, any assignment for which a teacher did not hold a valid certificate still registers as teaching out of certification. High-poverty and low-poverty schools are those schools in the upper and lower quartiles, respectively, for percentage of students eligible for a free or reduced-price lunch.

Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2010–11, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: <http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irs/accountability/>.



1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2010–11 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2007 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group, if it has 30 or more students, must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the group must meet both the participation criterion and the performance criterion in science.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2006 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2010 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (80%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2006 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2010 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (80%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

12th Graders

The count of 12th graders enrolled during the 2010–11 school year used to determine the Percentage Tested for the Participation part of the AYP determination for secondary-level ELA and mathematics. These are the first numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the secondary-level ELA and mathematics pages.

2007 Cohort

The count of students in the 2007 accountability cohort used to determine the Performance Index for the Test Performance part of the AYP determination for secondary-level ELA and mathematics. These are the second numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the secondary-level ELA and mathematics pages.

Accountability Cohort for English and Mathematics

The accountability cohort is used to determine if a school or district met the performance criterion in secondary-level ELA and mathematics. The 2007 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2007–08 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2007–08 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2010 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2011, are not included in the 2007 school accountability cohort. The 2007 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p) (14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuous Enrollment

The count of continuously enrolled tested students used to determine the Performance Index for the Test Performance part of the AYP determination for elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science. These are the second numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science pages.

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make AYP. The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.p12.nysed.gov/irs.

Graduation Rate

The Graduation Rate on the Graduation Rate page is the percentage of the 2006 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2010.

Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

The Graduation-Rate Total Cohort, shown on the Graduation Rate page, is used to determine if a school or district made AYP in graduation rate. For the 2010–11 school year, this cohort is the 2006 graduation-rate total cohort. The 2006 total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2006–07 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2006–07 school year, and who were enrolled in the school/district for five months or longer or who were enrolled in the school/district for less than five months but were previously enrolled in the same school/district for five months or longer between the date they first entered Grade 9 and the date they last ended enrollment. A more detailed definition of graduation-rate cohort can be found in the *SIRS Manual* at www.p12.nysed.gov/irs.

For districts and schools with fewer than 30 graduation-rate total cohort members in the All Students group in 2010–11, data for 2009–10 and 2010–11 for accountability groups were combined to determine counts and graduation rates. Groups with fewer than 30 students in the graduation-rate total cohort are not required to meet the graduation-rate criterion.

Limited English Proficient

For all accountability measures, if the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

Non-Accountability Groups

Female, Male, and Migrant groups are not part of the AYP determination for any measure.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability (continued)

Participation

Accountability groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period (for elementary/middle-level ELA, math, and science) or fewer than 40 12th graders (for secondary-level ELA and mathematics) are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the Percentage Tested for an accountability group fell below 95 percent for ELA and math or 80 percent for science in 2010–11, the participation enrollment (“Total” or “12th Graders”) shown in the tables is the sum of 2009–10 and 2010–11 participation enrollments and the “Percentage Tested” shown is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

Performance Index (PI)

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[\frac{\text{Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{the Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students}} \right]$$

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[\frac{\text{Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{the Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Cohort Members}} \right]$$

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at www.p12.nysed.gov/irs.

Progress Targets

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making AYP or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year’s performance.

Science: The current year’s Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the previous year’s Performance Index (PI). Example: The 2010–11 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2009–10 PI.

Graduation Rate: The Graduation-rate Progress Target is calculated by determining a 20% gap reduction between the rate of the previous year’s graduation-rate cohort and the state standard. Example: The 2010–11 Graduation-Rate Progress Target = $[(80 - \text{percentage of the 2005 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009}) \times 0.20] + \text{percentage of the 2005 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009}$.

Progress Targets are provided for groups whose PI (for science) or graduation rate (for graduation rate) is below the State Standard.

Safe Harbor Targets

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate AYP for accountability groups that do not achieve their EAMOs in English or mathematics. The 2010–11 safe harbor targets are calculated using the following equation:
2009–10 PI + (200 – the 2009–10 PI) × 0.10

Safe Harbor Targets are provided for groups whose PI is less than the EAMO.

Safe Harbor Qualification (*)

On the science page, if the group met both the participation and the performance criteria for science, the Safe Harbor Qualification column will show “Qualified.” If the group did not meet one or more criteria, the column will show “Did not qualify.” A “*” symbol after the 2010–11 Safe Harbor Target on the elementary/middle- or secondary-level ELA or mathematics page indicates that the student group did not make AYP in science (elementary/middle level) or graduation rate (secondary level) and; therefore, the group did not qualify for Safe Harbor in ELA or mathematics.

State Standard

The criterion value that represents minimally satisfactory performance (for science) or a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma (for graduation rate). In 2010–11, the State Science Standard is a Performance Index of 100; the State Graduation-Rate Standard is 80%. The Commissioner may raise the State Standard at his discretion in future years.

Students with Disabilities

For all measures, if the count of students with disabilities is equal to or greater than 30, former students with disabilities are also included in the performance calculations.

Test Performance

For districts and schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students (for elementary/middle-level ELA, math, and science) or fewer than 30 students in the 2007 cohort (for secondary-level ELA and mathematics) in the All Students group in 2010–11, data for 2009–10 and 2010–11 for accountability groups were combined to determine counts and Performance Indices. For districts and schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students/2007 cohort members in the All Students group in 2010–11, student groups with fewer than 30 members are not required to meet the performance criterion. This is indicated by a “—” in the Test Performance column in the table.

Total

The count of students enrolled during the test administration period used to determine the Percentage Tested for the Participation part of the AYP determination for elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science. These are the first numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science pages. For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons in accordance with federal NCLB guidance are not included in the count.

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: <http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irs/accountability/>.

Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

▲ District in Good Standing

■ A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 2)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 3)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 4)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

New York State Status

(Applies to New York State districts)

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

Pending – A district's status is "Pending" if the district requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2011–12)

Good Standing

ELA	Good Standing	Science	Good Standing
Math	Good Standing	Graduation Rate	Good Standing

Title I Part A Funding

Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding

2009–10	2010–11	2011–12
YES	YES	YES

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

Student Groups	Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Level		
	English			English		
	Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
All Students						
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hispanic or Latino			—	—	—	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander			—			—
White			—			—
Multiracial	—	—	—	—	—	—
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities			—			—
Limited English Proficient			—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged			—	—	—	—
Student groups making AYP in each subject	7 of 7	7 of 7	1 of 1	4 of 4	4 of 4	1 of 1

AYP Status


- Made AYP
- Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- Did not make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Accountability Status Levels

- | Federal | State |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| Good Standing | Good Standing |
| Improvement (Year 1) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) |
| Improvement (Year 2) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) |
| Improvement (Year 3) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) |
| Improvement (Year 4) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) |
| Improvement (Year 5 & Above) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 & Above) |
| Pending – Requires Special Evaluation | |























Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2011–12)

Accountability Measures 7 of 7 Student groups making AYP in English language arts
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2012-13. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment)	AYP	Participation		Test Performance		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2010–11 2011–12
Accountability Groups							
All Students (1887:1854)			100%		178	119	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (5:5)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (9:9)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hispanic or Latino (105:100)			99%		168	112	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (999:977)			100%		185	118	
White (769:763)			99%		170	118	
Multiracial (0:0)							
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities (250:267)			98%		119	115	
Limited English Proficient (80:147)			99%		158	113	
Economically Disadvantaged (71:71)			100%		173	111	
Final AYP Determination	 7 of 7						
Non-Accountability Groups							
Female (907:889)			100%		183	118	
Male (980:965)			99%		173	118	
Migrant (0:0)							


Symbols

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30 Continuous Enrollment
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

NOTE: See *Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability* for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.























Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2011–12)

Accountability Measures 7 of 7 Student groups making AYP in mathematics
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2012-13. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment)	AYP	Participation		Test Performance		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2010–11 2011–12
Accountability Groups							
All Students (1887:1863)			100%		185	134	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (5:5)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (9:9)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hispanic or Latino (105:101)			100%		166	127	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (999:981)			100%		193	134	
White (769:767)			100%		177	133	
Multiracial (0:0)							
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities (250:271)			100%		132	130	
Limited English Proficient (80:151)			99%		177	129	
Economically Disadvantaged (71:71)			100%		180	126	
Final AYP Determination	 7 of 7						
Non-Accountability Groups							
Female (907:892)			100%		187	133	
Male (980:971)			100%		183	133	
Migrant (0:0)							

Symbols

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30 Continuous Enrollment
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

NOTE: See *Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability* for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.












Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2011–12)

Accountability Measures 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in science
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2012-13. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment)	AYP		Participation		Test Performance		Performance Objectives		
	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress Target 2010–11	2011–12
Accountability Groups									
All Students (621:608)		Qualified		99%		194	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (4:4)		—	—	—	—	—	—		—
Hispanic or Latino (37:35)		Qualified	—	—		180	100		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (334:328)		Qualified		99%		196	100		
White (246:241)		Qualified		98%		193	100		
Multiracial (0:0)									
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (73:78)		Qualified		97%		167	100		
Limited English Proficient (23:20)		—	—	—	—	—	—		—
Economically Disadvantaged (21:21)		—	—	—	—	—	—		—
Final AYP Determination		1 of 1							
Non-Accountability Groups									
Female (321:313)				99%		194	100		
Male (300:295)				99%		194	100		
Migrant (0:0)									


Symbols

-  Made AYP
-  Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30 Continuous Enrollment

NOTE: See *Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability* for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.














Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2011–12)

Accountability Measures 4 of 4 Student groups making AYP in English language arts
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2012-13. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English language arts accountability measures?

Student Group (12th Graders: 2007 Cohort)	AYP	Participation		Test Performance		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2010–11 2011–12
Accountability Groups							
All Students (398:388)			100%		197	177	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:1)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (3:2)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hispanic or Latino (19:16)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (203:200)			100%		198	175	
White (173:169)			99%		197	175	
Multiracial (0:0)							
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities (40:41)			95%		173	168	
Limited English Proficient (4:3)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged (14:14)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Final AYP Determination	 4 of 4						
Non-Accountability Groups							
Female (199:197)			100%		198	175	
Male (199:191)			99%		196	175	
Migrant (0:0)							


Symbols

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 12th Graders/Fewer Than 30 Cohort
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

NOTE: See *Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability* for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.














Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2011–12)

Accountability Measures 4 of 4 Student groups making AYP in mathematics
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2012-13. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group (12th Graders: 2007 Cohort)	AYP	Participation		Test Performance		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2010–11 2011–12
Accountability Groups							
All Students (398:388)			100%		197	174	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:1)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (3:2)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hispanic or Latino (19:16)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (203:200)			100%		199	172	
White (173:169)			99%		194	172	
Multiracial (0:0)							
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities (40:41)			98%		171	165	
Limited English Proficient (4:3)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged (14:14)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Final AYP Determination	 4 of 4						
Non-Accountability Groups							
Female (199:197)			100%		198	172	
Male (199:191)			99%		195	172	
Migrant (0:0)							

Symbols

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 12th Graders/Fewer Than 30 Cohort
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

NOTE: See *Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability* for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.







Graduation Rate

Accountability Status for This Indicator (2011–12)  Good Standing

Accountability Measures 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in graduation rate
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2012-13. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

Student Group (2006 Graduation-Rate Total Cohort)	Graduation			Objectives	
	AYP	Met Criterion	Graduation Rate	State Standard	Progress Target 2010–11
Accountability Groups					
All Students (359)			93%	80%	
Ethnicity					
American Indian or Alaska Native (1)		—	—	—	
Black or African American (3)		—	—	—	
Hispanic or Latino (16)		—	—	—	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (160)			94%	80%	
White (179)			94%	80%	
Multiracial (0)					
Other Groups					
Students with Disabilities (52)			77%	80%	80%
Limited English Proficient (3)		—	—	—	
Economically Disadvantaged (9)		—	—	—	
Final AYP Determination	 1 of 1				
Non-Accountability Groups					
Female (171)			94%	80%	
Male (188)			93%	80%	
Migrant (0)					

Symbols

-  Made AYP
-  Did not make AYP
- Fewer than 30 Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

NOTE: See *Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability* for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

Aspirational Goal

The Board of Regents has set an aspirational goal that 95% of students in each public school and school district will graduate within five years of first entry into grade 9. The graduation rate for the 2006 total cohort through June 2011 (after 5 years) for this district is **94%** and, therefore, this district **did not** meet this goal. The aspirational goal does not impact accountability.

2011–12 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2011–12 accountability status.

In Good Standing

5 schools identified 100% of total

CENTER STREET SCHOOL
DENTON AVENUE SCHOOL
HERRICKS HIGH SCHOOL
HERRICKS MIDDLE SCHOOL
SEARINGTOWN SCHOOL

4 Overview of District Performance

District **HERRICKS UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **28-04-09-03-0000**

Summary of 2010–11 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

English Language Arts	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			Total Tested
	0%	50%	100%	
Grade 3	75%			258
Grade 4	81%			273
Grade 5	83%			344
Grade 6	86%			298
Grade 7	76%			341
Grade 8	80%			334

Mathematics	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			Total Tested
	0%	50%	100%	
Grade 3	88%			260
Grade 4	88%			274
Grade 5	87%			345
Grade 6	86%			303
Grade 7	89%			341
Grade 8	83%			337

Science	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			Total Tested
	0%	50%	100%	
Grade 4	97%			275
Grade 8	81%			158

Secondary Level	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			2007 Total Cohort
	0%	50%	100%	
English	96%			391
Mathematics	96%			391

About the Performance Level Descriptors

English Language Arts

Level 1: Below Standard

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level.

Level 2: Meets Basic Standard

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level.

Level 3: Meets Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level.

Level 4: Exceeds Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level.

Mathematics

Level 1: Below Standard

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

Level 2: Meets Basic Standard

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

Level 3: Meets Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

Level 4: Exceeds Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at www.p12.nysed.gov/irs.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

This District's N/RC Category:

Low Need Districts


This is a school district with low student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

4 Overview of District Performance

District **HERRICKS UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **28-04-09-03-0000**

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	258	100%	75%	6%	264	97%	75%	28%
Female	107	99%	79%	10%	124	98%	77%	35%
Male	151	100%	72%	3%	140	96%	74%	22%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-				
Black or African American					2	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	14	-	-	-	13	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	148	99%	78%	7%	138	98%	76%	33%
White	94	100%	72%	3%	111	96%	72%	23%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	16	100%	69%	13%	15	100%	87%	27%
General-Education Students	229	100%	80%	7%	237	99%	78%	31%
Students with Disabilities	29	100%	34%	0%	27	85%	44%	7%
English Proficient	233	100%	79%	7%	245	98%	77%	30%
Limited English Proficient	25	96%	44%	0%	19	89%	47%	11%
Economically Disadvantaged	8	100%	75%	0%	11	100%	73%	18%
Not Disadvantaged	250	100%	75%	6%	253	97%	75%	29%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	258	100%	75%	6%	264	97%	75%	28%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

Other Assessments

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total					Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 3	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A


† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

4 Overview of District Performance

District **HERRICKS UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **28-04-09-03-0000**

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	260	100%	88%	25%	267	98%	84%	48%
Female	108	100%	88%	21%	125	98%	83%	50%
Male	152	99%	88%	28%	142	98%	84%	47%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-				
Black or African American					2	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	14	-	-	-	13	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	150	99%	95%	29%	139	99%	89%	54%
White	94	100%	80%	22%	113	96%	77%	42%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	16	100%	75%	6%	15	100%	80%	40%
General-Education Students	230	100%	94%	28%	238	100%	88%	52%
Students with Disabilities	30	97%	43%	7%	29	83%	45%	21%
English Proficient	234	100%	90%	28%	247	98%	83%	51%
Limited English Proficient	26	100%	73%	4%	20	100%	85%	20%
Economically Disadvantaged	8	100%	88%	25%	11	100%	91%	36%
Not Disadvantaged	252	100%	88%	25%	256	98%	83%	49%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	260	100%	88%	25%	267	98%	84%	48%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

Other Assessments


	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	2	-	-	-

4 Overview of District Performance

District **HERRICKS UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **28-04-09-03-0000**

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	273	99%	81%	4%	340	98%	87%	18%
Female	127	98%	86%	6%	174	99%	90%	21%
Male	146	99%	76%	3%	166	98%	85%	16%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	15	-	-	-	18	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	146	99%	89%	8%	179	99%	91%	21%
White	110	97%	71%	1%	141	98%	84%	14%
Multiracial					1	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	17	100%	71%	0%	20	90%	80%	20%
General-Education Students	240	100%	87%	5%	291	100%	95%	21%
Students with Disabilities	33	91%	33%	0%	49	88%	45%	2%
English Proficient	258	98%	81%	5%	323	98%	89%	19%
Limited English Proficient	15	100%	67%	0%	17	94%	59%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	7	100%	57%	14%	17	100%	94%	6%
Not Disadvantaged	266	98%	81%	4%	323	98%	87%	19%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	273	99%	81%	4%	340	98%	87%	18%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

Other Assessments

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total					Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 4	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A


† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

4 Overview of District Performance

District **HERRICKS UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **28-04-09-03-0000**

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	274	100%	88%	50%	342	98%	86%	43%
Female	128	99%	88%	48%	175	99%	86%	43%
Male	146	100%	89%	52%	167	98%	86%	43%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	15	-	-	-	18	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	147	100%	97%	64%	180	99%	89%	52%
White	110	99%	79%	35%	142	97%	83%	35%
Multiracial					1	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	17	100%	71%	29%	20	95%	80%	25%
General-Education Students	241	100%	93%	56%	293	100%	91%	50%
Students with Disabilities	33	97%	52%	6%	49	88%	53%	4%
English Proficient	258	100%	89%	52%	325	98%	88%	45%
Limited English Proficient	16	100%	75%	25%	17	94%	47%	12%
Economically Disadvantaged	7	100%	86%	71%	17	100%	76%	35%
Not Disadvantaged	267	100%	88%	49%	325	98%	86%	44%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	274	100%	88%	50%	342	98%	86%	43%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

Other Assessments


	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	4	-	-	-

4 Overview of District Performance

District **HERRICKS UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **28-04-09-03-0000**

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science



Results by Student Group

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	275	100%	97%	81%	339	100%	99%	81%
Female	128	99%	97%	78%	174	100%	99%	80%
Male	147	100%	98%	83%	165	99%	98%	82%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	15	-	-	-	17	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	148	100%	99%	86%	179	100%	99%	84%
White	110	100%	97%	74%	141	99%	98%	77%
Multiracial					1	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	17	94%	88%	82%	19	100%	95%	89%
General-Education Students	242	100%	98%	83%	291	100%	100%	87%
Students with Disabilities	33	100%	91%	61%	48	98%	90%	50%
English Proficient	258	100%	98%	84%	323	100%	98%	82%
Limited English Proficient	17	94%	82%	29%	16	100%	100%	63%
Economically Disadvantaged	7	100%	100%	86%	17	100%	100%	88%
Not Disadvantaged	268	100%	97%	81%	322	100%	98%	81%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	275	100%	97%	81%	339	100%	99%	81%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments


	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	4	-	-	-

4 Overview of District Performance

District **HERRICKS UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **28-04-09-03-0000**

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	344	97%	83%	10%	296	98%	85%	28%
Female	173	98%	88%	13%	139	99%	91%	36%
Male	171	96%	78%	8%	157	97%	80%	22%
American Indian or Alaska Native					2	-	-	-
Black or African American	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	21	-	-	-	14	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	179	98%	87%	9%	148	100%	91%	39%
White	143	97%	80%	13%	131	95%	80%	19%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	22	91%	77%	9%	17	100%	76%	12%
General-Education Students	294	100%	91%	12%	257	100%	91%	33%
Students with Disabilities	50	80%	38%	0%	39	85%	44%	0%
English Proficient	329	98%	85%	11%	292	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	15	87%	47%	0%	4	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	13	100%	77%	15%	13	100%	92%	23%
Not Disadvantaged	331	97%	83%	10%	283	98%	85%	29%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	344	97%	83%	10%	296	98%	85%	28%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

Other Assessments

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total					Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 5	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	N/A	N/A	N/A


† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

4 Overview of District Performance

District **HERRICKS UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **28-04-09-03-0000**

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	345	98%	87%	43%	297	98%	92%	52%
Female	173	99%	88%	41%	139	99%	94%	53%
Male	172	97%	87%	45%	158	98%	90%	50%
American Indian or Alaska Native					2	-	-	-
Black or African American	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	21	-	-	-	14	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	180	97%	90%	52%	148	99%	96%	67%
White	143	99%	85%	34%	132	97%	87%	36%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	22	95%	77%	27%	17	100%	94%	35%
General-Education Students	295	100%	93%	49%	259	100%	97%	58%
Students with Disabilities	50	84%	54%	6%	38	87%	58%	11%
English Proficient	329	98%	88%	44%	291	98%	92%	52%
Limited English Proficient	16	88%	63%	13%	6	100%	100%	33%
Economically Disadvantaged	13	100%	77%	54%	13	100%	100%	69%
Not Disadvantaged	332	98%	88%	42%	284	98%	92%	51%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	345	98%	87%	43%	297	98%	92%	52%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

Other Assessments


	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	2	-	-	-

4 Overview of District Performance

District **HERRICKS UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **28-04-09-03-0000**

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	298	97%	86%	8%	326	96%	87%	29%
Female	141	98%	87%	10%	153	97%	86%	34%
Male	157	97%	84%	6%	173	94%	87%	25%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Black or African American	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	14	-	-	-	13	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	152	99%	92%	11%	168	99%	93%	37%
White	130	95%	78%	5%	141	94%	81%	20%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	16	100%	88%	6%	17	82%	71%	29%
General-Education Students	266	100%	92%	9%	279	99%	94%	34%
Students with Disabilities	32	78%	34%	0%	47	74%	43%	2%
English Proficient	293	98%	87%	8%	320	97%	88%	30%
Limited English Proficient	5	80%	20%	0%	6	50%	33%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	14	100%	86%	7%	16	94%	75%	19%
Not Disadvantaged	284	97%	86%	8%	310	96%	87%	30%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	298	97%	86%	8%	326	96%	87%	29%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

Other Assessments

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	4	N/A	N/A	N/A	3	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total					Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 6	4	N/A	N/A	N/A	3	N/A	N/A	N/A


† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

4 Overview of District Performance

District **HERRICKS UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **28-04-09-03-0000**

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	303	98%	86%	53%	331	96%	82%	49%
Female	143	99%	90%	52%	157	97%	86%	49%
Male	160	98%	83%	54%	174	95%	79%	49%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Black or African American	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	15	-	-	-	13	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	154	99%	95%	69%	172	99%	92%	62%
White	132	96%	77%	38%	142	92%	73%	35%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	17	100%	71%	29%	17	94%	71%	35%
General-Education Students	270	100%	93%	59%	283	100%	91%	57%
Students with Disabilities	33	82%	27%	9%	48	73%	31%	2%
English Proficient	294	98%	87%	54%	322	96%	84%	50%
Limited English Proficient	9	100%	44%	33%	9	89%	22%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	14	100%	93%	64%	16	100%	81%	31%
Not Disadvantaged	289	98%	86%	53%	315	96%	83%	50%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	303	98%	86%	53%	331	96%	82%	49%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

Other Assessments


	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	4	-	-	-

4 Overview of District Performance

District **HERRICKS UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **28-04-09-03-0000**

This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	341	97%	76%	4%	326	98%	82%	27%
Female	158	99%	78%	3%	182	99%	86%	30%
Male	183	95%	74%	5%	144	96%	77%	22%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-				
Black or African American	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	16	-	-	-	17	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	174	99%	86%	7%	173	99%	88%	34%
White	147	95%	67%	2%	134	96%	78%	21%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	20	95%	60%	0%	19	95%	63%	0%
General-Education Students	293	100%	86%	5%	292	100%	88%	29%
Students with Disabilities	48	79%	17%	0%	34	76%	29%	3%
English Proficient	335	97%	77%	4%	320	98%	83%	27%
Limited English Proficient	6	83%	0%	0%	6	83%	17%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	15	100%	67%	0%	18	94%	50%	17%
Not Disadvantaged	326	97%	76%	5%	308	98%	84%	27%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	341	97%	76%	4%	326	98%	82%	27%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

Other Assessments

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	6	5	3	1
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total					Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	N/A


† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

4 Overview of District Performance

District **HERRICKS UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **28-04-09-03-0000**

This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	341	96%	89%	64%	327	99%	91%	65%
Female	158	97%	92%	67%	181	99%	91%	65%
Male	183	95%	86%	61%	146	99%	92%	64%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-				
Black or African American	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	16	-	-	-	17	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	174	99%	97%	78%	173	100%	97%	79%
White	147	93%	80%	51%	135	99%	87%	50%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	20	90%	80%	35%	19	84%	68%	32%
General-Education Students	292	100%	97%	73%	291	100%	97%	70%
Students with Disabilities	49	73%	41%	6%	36	89%	44%	17%
English Proficient	335	96%	90%	64%	320	99%	92%	66%
Limited English Proficient	6	83%	33%	17%	7	86%	71%	14%
Economically Disadvantaged	15	100%	87%	53%	18	100%	78%	33%
Not Disadvantaged	326	96%	89%	64%	309	99%	92%	66%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	341	96%	89%	64%	327	99%	91%	65%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

Other Assessments


	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	6	3	2	0

4 Overview of District Performance

District **HERRICKS UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **28-04-09-03-0000**

This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	334	99%	80%	7%	316	98%	86%	18%
Female	187	99%	85%	7%	143	100%	92%	22%
Male	147	97%	73%	7%	173	97%	80%	16%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	19	-	-	-	10	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	181	99%	87%	9%	157	99%	89%	22%
White	132	97%	73%	5%	147	99%	83%	15%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	21	100%	57%	0%	12	92%	75%	8%
General-Education Students	303	100%	86%	8%	274	100%	92%	21%
Students with Disabilities	31	84%	23%	0%	42	88%	43%	2%
English Proficient	329	99%	81%	7%	312	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	5	80%	0%	0%	4	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	14	100%	71%	0%	13	100%	92%	8%
Not Disadvantaged	320	98%	80%	8%	303	98%	85%	19%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	334	99%	80%	7%	316	98%	86%	18%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

Other Assessments

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	6	6	4	1	2	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total					Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	N/A


† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

4 Overview of District Performance

District **HERRICKS UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **28-04-09-03-0000**

This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	337	98%	83%	44%	317	97%	83%	49%
Female	188	99%	85%	40%	144	99%	85%	56%
Male	149	97%	81%	49%	173	97%	81%	43%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	20	-	-	-	10	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	181	100%	92%	61%	158	98%	93%	63%
White	134	98%	76%	26%	147	97%	76%	37%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	22	86%	55%	14%	12	92%	33%	8%
General-Education Students	305	100%	90%	49%	275	100%	91%	55%
Students with Disabilities	32	81%	19%	3%	42	81%	33%	12%
English Proficient	332	98%	84%	45%	312	97%	83%	49%
Limited English Proficient	5	80%	20%	0%	5	100%	100%	40%
Economically Disadvantaged	14	93%	64%	29%	13	100%	92%	54%
Not Disadvantaged	323	98%	84%	45%	304	97%	83%	49%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	337	98%	83%	44%	317	97%	83%	49%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

Other Assessments

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	6	5	4	1	2	-	-	-

4 Overview of District Performance

District **HERRICKS UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **28-04-09-03-0000**

This District's Results in Grade 8 Science

This District


Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4

NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4



Number of Tested Students:

2010-11: 331 (2-4), 302 (3-4), 167 (4)
 2009-10: 314 (2-4), 292 (3-4), 168 (4)

Results by Student Group

2010-11 School Year

Total Tested Percentage scoring at level(s):
 2-4 3-4 4

2009-10 School Year

Total Tested Percentage scoring at level(s):
 2-4 3-4 4

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Total Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	158	99%	81%	16%	176	98%	86%	28%
Female	92	100%	83%	15%	76	99%	87%	21%
Male	66	98%	79%	18%	100	98%	85%	33%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American					2	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	16	100%	63%	6%	8	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	66	100%	85%	18%	72	100%	88%	32%
White	76	99%	82%	17%	94	97%	85%	27%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					10	100%	80%	10%
General-Education Students	130	100%	91%	19%	138	100%	94%	33%
Students with Disabilities	28	96%	36%	4%	38	92%	55%	11%
English Proficient	153	99%	84%	17%	172	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	5	100%	0%	0%	4	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	11	100%	82%	9%	9	100%	89%	44%
Not Disadvantaged	147	99%	81%	17%	167	98%	86%	27%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	158	99%	81%	16%	176	98%	86%	28%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

2010-11 School Year

Total Tested Number scoring at level(s):
 2-4 3-4 4

2009-10 School Year

Total Tested Number scoring at level(s):
 2-4 3-4 4


	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Total Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	6	5	3	3	2	-	-	-
Regents Science	174	174	174	141	141	141	141	119

4 Overview of District Performance

District **HERRICKS UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **28-04-09-03-0000**

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction



Results by Student Group

	2007 Cohort			2006 Cohort**				
	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	391	97%	96%	78%	358	95%	95%	65%
Female	199	97%	96%	83%	171	96%	95%	74%
Male	192	97%	96%	73%	187	94%	94%	58%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	2	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	16	-	-	-	16	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	203	97%	96%	83%	160	94%	94%	69%
White	169	97%	97%	74%	178	96%	96%	66%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	19	100%	95%	63%	20	90%	90%	35%
General-Education Students	352	99%	99%	84%	316	96%	96%	72%
Students with Disabilities	39	77%	69%	26%	42	86%	83%	12%
English Proficient	388	-	-	-	355	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	3	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	14	100%	100%	71%	9	89%	89%	33%
Not Disadvantaged	377	97%	96%	79%	349	95%	95%	66%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	391	97%	96%	78%	358	95%	95%	65%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.


** 2006 cohort data are those reported in the 2009-10 *Accountability and Overview Report*.

4 Overview of District Performance

District **HERRICKS UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **28-04-09-03-0000**

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction



Results by Student Group

	2007 Cohort			2006 Cohort**				
	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	391	97%	96%	68%	358	95%	93%	56%
Female	199	98%	97%	72%	171	96%	94%	60%
Male	192	96%	95%	64%	187	95%	93%	51%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	2	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	16	-	-	-	16	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	203	98%	97%	82%	160	96%	95%	64%
White	169	97%	95%	56%	178	95%	93%	51%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	19	95%	95%	32%	20	90%	75%	30%
General-Education Students	352	99%	99%	74%	316	97%	96%	61%
Students with Disabilities	39	77%	69%	13%	42	83%	71%	12%
English Proficient	388	-	-	-	355	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	3	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	14	93%	93%	64%	9	89%	78%	33%
Not Disadvantaged	377	97%	96%	68%	349	95%	93%	56%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	391	97%	96%	68%	358	95%	93%	56%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2006 cohort data are those reported in the 2009-10 *Accountability and Overview Report*.