

The New York State District Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2010 - 11

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC **DISTRICT # 3** District ID 31-03-00-01-0000 Superintendent ROSER SALAVERT Telephone (212) 678-5857 Grades PK-12, UE, US

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents' effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

Use this report to:

Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

Review District 2 Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies the district's accountability status.

View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2011-12 accountability status.



Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: dataquest@mail.nysed.gov

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2008–09	2009–10	2010-11
Pre-K	438	445	448
Kindergarten	1293	1536	1506
Grade 1	1382	1423	1577
Grade 2	1380	1432	1409
Grade 3	1320	1428	1442
Grade 4	1319	1457	1418
Grade 5	1238	1394	1407
Grade 6	1201	1326	1363
Ungraded Elementary	740	80	91
Grade 7	1214	1311	1292
Grade 8	1256	1372	1338
Grade 9	2641	2691	2528
Grade 10	2701	2726	2682
Grade 11	2114	2114	2014
Grade 12	2054	2089	1926
Ungraded Secondary	797	32	94
Total K–12	22650	22411	22087

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

	2008–09	2009-10	2010-11
Common Branch	24	23	24
Grade 8			
English	26	26	28
Mathematics	26	27	27
Science	27	27	29
Social Studies	27	29	29
Grade 10			
English	27	28	28
Mathematics	24	26	25
Science	27	27	27
Social Studies	28	28	27

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

Demographic Factors

	200	8–09	200	9–10	201	0-11
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	10608	47%	10828	48%	10327	47%
Reduced-Price Lunch	1707	8%	1582	7%	1286	6%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	2310	10%	2059	9%	1766	8%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	93	0%	85	0%	86	0%
Black or African American	7319	32%	6884	31%	6496	29%
Hispanic or Latino	8413	37%	8158	36%	7848	36%
Asian or Native	1534	7%	1582	7%	1591	7%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	5291	23%	5702	25%	6066	27%
Multiracial	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

* Available only at the school level.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2007-08		2008-09		2009-10	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		0%		0%		0%
Student Suspensions	1750	8%	1568	7%	1529	7%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

Teacher Qualifications

	2008–09	2009-10	2010-11
Total Number of Teachers	1686	1582	1516
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	3%	2%	1%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	10%	9%	9%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	16%	10%	6%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	38%	40%	43%
Total Number of Core Classes	4247	3949	3762
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers in This District	10%	8%	7%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified in High-Poverty Schools Statewide	8%	6%	5%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified in Low-Poverty Schools Statewide	1%	1%	0%
Total Number of Classes	5187	4834	4675
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	11%	9%	8%

Teacher Turnover Rate

	2007-08	2008–09	2009-10
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	24%	25%	25%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	17%	20%	19%

Staff Counts

	2008–09	2009–10	2010-11
Total Other Professional Staff	0	0	0
Total Paraprofessionals*	0	0	0
Assistant Principals	0	0	0
Principals	0	0	0

* Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. To be Highly Qualified, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. A teacher who taught one class outside of the certification area(s) is counted as Highly Qualified provided that 1) the teacher had been determined by the school or district through the HOUSSE process or other state-accepted methods to have demonstrated acceptable subject knowledge and teaching skills and 2) the class in question was not the sole assignment reported. Credit for incidental teaching does not extend beyond a single assignment. Independent of Highly Qualified Teacher status, any assignment for which a teacher did not hold a valid certificate still registers as teaching out of certification. High-poverty and low-poverty schools are those schools in the upper and lower quartiles, respectively, for percentage of students eligible for a free or reduced-price lunch.

Teacher Turnover Rate Information


Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.


Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2010–11, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).





1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2010–11 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2007 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group, if it has 30 or more students, must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the group must meet both the participation criterion and the performance criterion in science.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2006 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2010 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (80%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2006 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2010 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (80%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

District ID 31-03-00-01-0000

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

12th Graders

The count of 12th graders enrolled during the 2010–11 school year used to determine the Percentage Tested for the Participation part of the AYP determination for secondarylevel ELA and mathematics. These are the first numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the secondary-level ELA and mathematics pages.

2007 Cohort

The count of students in the 2007 accountability cohort used to determine the Performance Index for the Test Performance part of the AYP determination for secondary-level ELA and mathematics. These are the second numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the secondary-level ELA and mathematics pages.

Accountability Cohort for English and Mathematics

The accountability cohort is used to determine if a school or district met the performance criterion in secondary-level ELA and mathematics. The 2007 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2007–08 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2007–08 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2010 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2011, are not included in the 2007 school accountability cohort. The 2007 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p) (14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuous Enrollment

The count of continuously enrolled tested students used to determine the Performance Index for the Test Performance part of the AYP determination for elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science. These are the second numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the elementary/ middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science pages.

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make AYP. The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at **www.p12.nysed.gov/irs.**

Graduation Rate

The Graduation Rate on the Graduation Rate page is the percentage of the 2006 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2010.

Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

The Graduation-Rate Total Cohort, shown on the Graduation Rate page, is used to determine if a school or district made AYP in graduation rate. For the 2010–11 school year, this cohort is the 2006 graduation-rate total cohort. The 2006 total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2006–07 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2006–07 school year, and who were enrolled in the school/ district for five months or longer or who were enrolled in the school/district for less than five months but were previously enrolled in the same school/district for five months or longer between the date they first entered Grade 9 and the date they last ended enrollment. A more detailed definition of graduation-rate cohort can be found in the *SIRS Manual* at **www.p12.nysed.gov/irs**.

For districts and schools with fewer than 30 graduation-rate total cohort members in the All Students group in 2010–11, data for 2009–10 and 2010–11 for accountability groups were combined to determine counts and graduation rates. Groups with fewer than 30 students in the graduation-rate total cohort are not required to meet the graduation-rate criterion.

Limited English Proficient

For all accountability measures, if the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

Non-Accountability Groups

Female, Male, and Migrant groups are not part of the AYP determination for any measure.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability (continued)

Participation

Accountability groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period (for elementary/middlelevel ELA, math, and science) or fewer than 40 12th graders (for secondary-level ELA and mathematics) are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the Percentage Tested for an accountability group fell below 95 percent for ELA and math or 80 percent for science in 2010–11, the participation enrollment ("Total" or "12th Graders") shown in the tables is the sum of 2009–10 and 2010–11 participation enrollments and the "Percentage Tested" shown is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

Performance Index (PI)

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.p12.nysed.gov/irs.**

Progress Targets

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making AYP or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Science: The current year's Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the previous year's Performance Index (PI). Example: The 2010–11 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2009–10 PI.

Graduation Rate: The Graduation-rate Progress Target is calculated by determining a 20% gap reduction between the rate of the previous year's graduation-rate cohort and the state standard. Example: The 2010–11 Graduation-Rate Progress Target = [(80 – percentage of the 2005 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009) × 0.20] + percentage of the 2005 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009.

Progress Targets are provided for groups whose PI (for science) or graduation rate (for graduation rate) is below the State Standard.

Safe Harbor Targets

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate AYP for accountability groups that do not achieve their EAMOs in English or mathematics. The 2010–11 safe harbor targets are calculated using the following equation: $2009-10 \text{ PI} + (200 - \text{the } 2009-10 \text{ PI}) \times 0.10$

Safe Harbor Targets are provided for groups whose PI is less than the EAMO.

Safe Harbor Qualification (*)

On the science page, if the group met both the participation and the performance criteria for science, the Safe Harbor Qualification column will show "Qualified." If the group did not meet one or more criteria, the column will show "Did not qualify." A "‡" symbol after the 2010–11 Safe Harbor Target on the elementary/middle- or secondary-level ELA or mathematics page indicates that the student group did not make AYP in science (elementary/middle level) or graduation rate (secondary level) and; therefore, the group did not qualify for Safe Harbor in ELA or mathematics.

State Standard

The criterion value that represents minimally satisfactory performance (for science) or a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma (for graduation rate). In 2010–11, the State Science Standard is a Performance Index of 100; the State Graduation-Rate Standard is 80%. The Commissioner may raise the State Standard at his discretion in future years.

Students with Disabilities

For all measures, if the count of students with disabilities is equal to or greater than 30, former students with disabilities are also included in the performance calculations.

Test Performance

For districts and schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students (for elementary/middle-level ELA, math, and science) or fewer than 30 students in the 2007 cohort (for secondary-level ELA and mathematics) in the All Students group in 2010–11, data for 2009–10 and 2010–11 for accountability groups were combined to determine counts and Performance Indices. For districts and schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students/2007 cohort members in the All Students group in 2010–11, student groups with fewer than 30 members are not required to meet the performance criterion. This is indicated by a "—" in the Test Performance column in the table.

Total

The count of students enrolled during the test administration period used to determine the Percentage Tested for the Participation part of the AYP determination for elementary/ middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science. These are the first numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science pages. For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons in accordance with federal NCLB guidance are not included in the count.

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irs/accountability/.

Federal Title I Status (Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)	New York State Status (Applies to New York State districts)
 District in Good Standing A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not bee or a District Requiring Academic Progress. 	n identified as a District in Need of Improvement
District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.
▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

Pending – A district's status is "Pending" if the district requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

2 District Accountability


District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT # 3

Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2011–12)	🔺 Improvement (Year 10)						
	ELA	▲ Improvement (Year 10)	Science	▲ Good Standing			
	Math	▲ Improvement (Year 9)	Graduation Rate	A Improvement (Year 1)			
Title I Part A Funding	Years the District Receiv		e I Part A Funding				
	2009-	10 2010	-11	2011-12			
	YES	YES		YES			

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/	Middle Level		Secondary Lo	y Level			
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate		
All Students	 	v	v	×	X	×		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native	_	_		_	-			
Black or African American	 ✓ 	~	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	✓SH	×	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Hispanic or Latino	✓	 	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	X	×	•••••••••••••••••		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	 	~		v	×			
White	~	~		~	×	••••		
Multiracial	 ✓ 	✓	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	–	-	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities	X	X		✓ SH	X			
Limited English Proficient	✓SH	✓	••••	X	X	••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	 	~		X	X	••••		
Student groups making AYP in each subject	X 8 of 9	X 8 of 9	🖌 1 of 1	X 4 of 8	X 0 of 8	X 0 of 1		



Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2011–12)	^	Improvement (Year 10)
Accountability Measures	8 of 9	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		To be removed from improvement status in English language arts, this district must make AYP in this measure at the elementary/middle or secondary level for two consecutive years. If this district fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2011-12, the district will be In Need of Improvement (Year 11) in 2012-13. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or secondary level in 2011-12, the district will remain In Need of Improvement (Year 10) in 2012-13. [210]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participati	on	Test Perfor	mance	Performance Objectives		i
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment)	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2010-11	2011–12
Accountability Groups								
All Students (8127:7717)	 	v	98%	V	150	121		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (33:28)	-	-	-	_	-	-		-
Black or African American (2536:2404)	~	v	99%	 	126	120		
Hispanic or Latino (2720:2554)	~	~	98%	✓	132	120		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (492:465)	~	 	98%	~	186	117		
White (2274:2199)	✓	 	98%	 ✓ 	188	120	••••••••••••••••	
Multiracial (72:67)	<	 	99%	 ✓ 	190	110		
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (2743:1288)	x	×	93%	X	103	119	108	113
Limited English Proficient (674:857)	√ SH	✓	95%	✔sн	110	118	109	119
Economically Disadvantaged (5401:5121)	~	✓	99%	 	135	120		
Final AYP Determination	X 8 of 9	9						
Non-Accountability Groups								
Female (4041:3860)			99%		156	120		
Male (4086:3857)	•••••••	••••••	98%	•••••••••••••••••	144	120		••••
Migrant (0:0)	•••••••••••••	••••••	••••	•••••		•••••	•••••••••••••	••••

used on this page.

NOTE: See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels

Symbols

- Made AYP
- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30
 Continuous Enrollment
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

District ID 31-03-00-01-0000

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2011–12)	^	Improvement (Year 9)
Accountability Measures	8 of 9	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		To be removed from improvement status in mathematics, this district must make AYP in this measure at the elementary/middle or secondary level for two consecutive years. If this district fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2011-12, the district will be In Need of Improvement (Year 10) in 2012-13. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or secondary level in 2011-12, the district will remain In Need of Improvement (Year 9) in 2012-13. [210]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation		Test Performance		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment)	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2010-11	2011–12
Accountability Groups								
All Students (8133:7758)	 	v	99%	V	161	136		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (33:29)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (2539:2414)	~	v	99%	v	140	135		
Hispanic or Latino (2720:2573)	~	~	99%	~	151	135		•••••••••••••••••••••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (493:472)	~	 	99%	 	191	132		
White (2275:2203)	✓	 	98%	 ✓ 	191	135	••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••
Multiracial (73:67)	✓	 	100%	 ✓ 	185	125		••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (2747:1293)	x	X	94%	X	122	134	124	130
Limited English Proficient (675:901)	~	v	99%	v	135	133		
Economically Disadvantaged (5403:5154)	~	✓	99%	✓	151	135		
Final AYP Determination	X 8 of 9	9						
Non-Accountability Groups								
Female (4044:3880)			99%		163	135		
Male (4089:3878)	•••••••	••••••	98%	••••••	160	135		••••
Migrant (0:0)	••••••••	••••••••••••••••	••••	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	•••••••••••••	••••

used on this page.

NOTE: See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels

Symbols

- Made AYP
- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30
 Continuous Enrollment
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

District ID 31-03-00-01-0000

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status for This Subject (2011–12)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in science
	 Image: A start of the start of	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2012-13. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	АҮР		Participatio	on	Test Perfor	Test Performance		Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment)	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress 2010–11	s Target 2011–12	
Accountability Groups										
All Students (2728:2498)	~	Qualified	~	96%	v	169	100			
Ethnicity										
American Indian or Alaska Native (11:9)		-	_	-	-	-	-		-	
Black or African American (903:816)		Qualified	~	95%	~	150	100			
Hispanic or Latino (910:823)	•••••	Qualified	✓	95%	 	165	100			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (153:143)		Qualified	~	95%	~	191	100			
White (728:688)		Qualified	~	96%	~	191	100			
Multiracial (23:19)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-	
Other Groups										
Students with Disabilities (472:406)		Qualified	~	89%	~	145	100			
Limited English Proficient (232:273)		Qualified	~	97%	~	147	100			
Economically Disadvantaged (1870:1704)		Qualified	~	96%	~	161	100			
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 o	f 1								
Non-Accountability Groups										
Female (1338:1219)				95%		169	100			
Male (1390:1279)				96%		169	100			
Migrant (0:0)										

used on this page.

NOTE: See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels

Symbols

Made AYP

X Did not make AYP

 Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30 Continuous Enrollment

Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2011–12)	^	Improvement (Year 10)
Accountability Measures	4 of 8	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		To be removed from improvement status in English language arts, this district must make AYP in this measure at the elementary/middle or secondary level for two consecutive years. If this district fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2011-12, the district will be In Need of Improvement (Year 11) in 2012-13. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or secondary level in 2011-12, the district will remain In Need of Improvement (Year 10) in 2012-13. [210]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation		Test Performance		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target	
(12th Graders: 2007 Cohort)	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2010-11	2011–12
Accountability Groups								
All Students (2463:2191)	X	~	99%	X	176	181	176‡	178
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (11:9)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (729:649)	√ SH	v	100%	√ SH	172	179	172	175
Hispanic or Latino (1040:826)	X	<	99%	X	167	179	162‡	170
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (230:253)	~	 	99%	~	178	176		
White (450:452)	<	 	100%	 ✓ 	197	178	••••	•••••
Multiracial (3:2)	- -	-	-	–	-	-	••• •••	-
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (203:243)	✓ SH	~	99%	✓ SH	127	176	125	134
Limited English Proficient (251:212)	×	 	98%	X	125	175	127‡	133
Economically Disadvantaged (1659:1397)	×	 Image: A start of the start of	99%	X	167	180	165‡	170
Final AYP Determination	🗙 4 of 8	3						
Non-Accountability Groups								
			100%		182	180		
Male (1041:913)	••••••••	••••••	99%		167	179	••••••••••	
Migrant (0:0)	••••••••	•••••••••••••••		•••••		••••	•••••••••	•••••

Symbols

Made AYP

- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 12th Graders/Fewer Than 30 Cohort
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

NOTE: See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2011–12)	^	Improvement (Year 9)
Accountability Measures	0 of 8	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		To be removed from improvement status in mathematics, this district must make AYP in this measure at the elementary/middle or secondary level for two consecutive years. If this district fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2011-12, the district will be In Need of Improvement (Year 10) in 2012-13. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or secondary level in 2011-12, the district will remain In Need of Improvement (Year 9) in 2012-13. [210]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participati	on	Test Perfor	mance	Performan	ce Objectives	i
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target
(12th Graders: 2007 Cohort)	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2010-11	2011–12
Accountability Groups								
AllStudents (4869:2191)	X	X	92%	X	161	178	164‡	165
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (11:9)	_	-	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (729:649)	×	 	95%	X	164	176	166	168
Hispanic or Latino (1040:826)	X	~	95%	X	161	176	161‡	165
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (432:253)	×	X	93%	~	173	173		
White (938:452)	X	X	78%	X	152	175	160	157
Multiracial (3:2)	- -	_	-	–	-	-	••• ••••	-
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (403:243)	x	x	93%	✓ SH	119	173	115	127
Limited English Proficient (251:212)	×	 	98%	X	146	172	155‡	151
Economically Disadvantaged (1659:1397)	×	✓	96%	X	164	177	165‡	168
Final AYP Determination	🗙 0 of 8	3						
Non-Accountability Groups								
Female (2865:1278)			92%		164	177		
Male (2004:913)	•••••••	••••••	91%		158	176		
Migrant (0:0)	••••••••	•••••••••••				•••••		

Symbols

Made AYP

- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 12th Graders/Fewer Than 30 Cohort
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

NOTE: See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

Graduation Rate

Accountability Status for Thi Indicator (2011–12)	s ٨	Improvement (Year 1)
Accountability Measures	0 of 1	Student groups making AYP in graduation rate
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		To be removed from improvement status in graduation rate, this district must make AYP in this measure for two consecutive years. If this district fails to make AYP in 2011-12, the district will be In Need of Improvement (Year 2) in 2012-13. If this district makes AYP in 2011-12, the district will remain In Need of Improvement (Year 1) in 2012-13. [211]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Graduati	on		Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Graduation	State	Progress Target	
(2006 Graduation-Rate Total Cohort)	AYP	Criterion	Rate	Standard	2010–11	
Accountability Groups						
All Students (2529)	X	X	69%	80%	70%	
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native (12)		_	_	-		
Black or African American (743)		✓	65%	80%	65%	
Hispanic or Latino (1083)		X	56%	80%	60%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (195)		✓	94%	80%		
White (484)		✓	96%	80%		
Multiracial (12)		-	-	-		
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities (329)		✓	37%	80%	35%	
Limited English Proficient (307)		X	45%	80%	55%	
Economically Disadvantaged (1572)		X	59%	80%	62%	
Final AYP Determination	X 0 of :	1				
Non-Accountability Groups						
Female (1480)			77%	80%		
Male (1049)			59%	80%		
Migrant (0)			•••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••		

Symbols

- Made AYP
- X Did not make AYP

NOTE: See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

Fewer than 30 Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

Aspirational Goal

The Board of Regents has set an aspirational goal that 95% of students in each public school and school district will graduate within five years of first entry into grade 9. The graduation rate for the 2006 total cohort through June 2011 (after 5 years) for this district is **73%** and, therefore, this district **did not** meet this goal. The aspirational goal does not impact accountability.

District ID 31-03-00-01-0000

2011–12 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2011–12 accountability status.

In (Good Standing
31	schools identified 66% of total
BE	ACON HIGH SCHOOL
	MMUNITY ACTION SCHOOL MS 258
FIC	RELLO H LAGUARDIA HIGH SCHOOL OF MUSIC
FR	ANK MCCOURT HIGH SCHOOL
HIG	H SCHOOL FOR ARTS, IMAGINATION AND INQUIRY
HIG	H SCHOOL FOR LAW, ADVOCACY AND COMMUNITY JUSTICE
INN	IOVATION DIPLOMA PLUS
MA	NHATTAN/HUNTER SCIENCE HIGH SCHOOL
MO	TT HALL II
MS	243 CENTER SCHOOL
MS	245 THE COMPUTER SCHOOL
MS	247 DUAL LANGUAGE MIDDLE SCHOOL
MS	250 WEST SIDE COLLABORATIVE MIDDLE SCHOOL
PS	163 ALFRED E SMITH
PS	166 THE RICHARD ROGERS SCHOOL OF THE ARTS & SCIENCE
	180 HUGO NEWMAN
	185 JOHN M LANGSTON
	191 AMSTERDAM
	199 JESSIE ISADOR STRAUS
	208 ALAIN L LOCKE
	242 GWENDOLYN POWELL BROWN COMPUTER SCHOOL
	333 MANHATTAN SCHOOL FOR CHILDREN 452
	452 84 LILIAN WEBER
	87 WILLIAM SHERMAN
	9 SARAH ANDERSON
	CIAL MUSIC SCHOOL
	ANDERSON SCHOOL
	E GLOBAL LEARNING COLLABORATIVE
	BAN ASSEMBLY SCHOOL FOR GREEN CAREERS
	ST PREP ACADEMY
Im	provement (year 1) Basic
2 s	chools identified 4% of total
ED	NARD A REYNOLDS WEST SIDE HIGH SCHOOL
	165 ROBERT E SIMON
Im	provement (year 1) Focused
1 s	chool identified 2% of total
PS	75 EMILY DICKINSON
Im	provement (year 1) Comprehensive
8 s	chools identified 17% of total
FRE	EDERICK DOUGLAS ACADEMY II SECONDARY SCHOOL
	H SCHOOL OF ARTS AND TECHNOLOGY
	NHATTAN THEATRE LAB HIGH SCHOOL
	256 ACADEMIC AND ATHLETIC EXCELLENCE
DC	149 SOJOURNER TRUTH

District ID 31-03-00-01-0000

2011–12 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District (Continued)

Improvement (year 1) Comprehensive (continued)
PS 76 A PHILLIP RANDOLPH	
URBAN ASSEMBLY SCHOOL FOR MEDIA ST	UDIES
WADLEIGH SECONDARY SCHOOL FOR THE	PERFORMING ARTS
Corrective Action (year 2) Focused	
2 schools identified 4% of total	
PS 145 THE BLOOMINGDALE SCHOOL	
PS 241 FAMILY ACADEMY	
Restructuring (advanced) Focused	
1 school identified 2% of total	
JHS 54 BOOKER T WASHINGTON	
Restructuring (advanced) Comprehensiv	ve
2 schools identified 4% of total	
JHS 44 WILLIAM J O'SHEA	
LOUIS D BRANDEIS HIGH SCHOOL	

Summary of 2010–11 **District Performance**

4

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	-	of students that above Level 3	Total Tested
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 3	61%		1383
Grade 4	60%		1360
Grade 5	58%		1350
Grade 6	60%		1280
Grade 7	53%		1205
Grade 8	47%		1239
Mathematics			
Grade 3	64%		1392
Grade 4	69%		1384
Grade 5	66%		1372
Grade 6	69%		1287
Grade 7	66%		1214
Grade 8	62%		1254
Science			
Grade 4	85%		1354
Grade 8	48%		849
	5	of students that above Level 3	2007 Total Cohort
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%
English	76%		2590

69%

District ID 31-03-00-01-0000

About the Performance **Level Descriptors**

English Language Arts

Level 1: Below Standard

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level.

Level 2: Meets Basic Standard

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level.

Level 3: Meets Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level.

Level 4: Exceeds Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level.

Mathematics

Level 1: Below Standard

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

Level 2: Meets Basic Standard

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

Level 3: Meets Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

Level 4: Exceeds Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor* and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools at www.p12.nysed.gov/irs.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

This District's N/RC Category:

NYC Public Schools

2590

This is New York City, a uniquely large and complex district with high student needs relative to district resource capacity.

Mathematics

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pub	olic	
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		Percentage sc		
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2011 Mean Score: 668	*Range:	644-780	663-780	694-780			
2010 Mean Score: 673	100%	^{91%} 85%	61% 54%		87% 86%	56% 55%	
2010-11 2009-10				22% 9%			17% 5%
Number of Tested Students:		1256 1164	848 749	125 302			

Resultsby	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Studentoroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
All Students	1383	91 %	61%	9 %	1377	85%	54%	22%	
Female	694	92%	69%	11%	655	86%	59%	23%	
Male	689	89%	54%	7%	722	83%	50%	21%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	7	86%	71%	14%	6	100%	67%	33%	
Black or African American	393	85%	42%	2%	444	78%	39%	9%	
Hispanic or Latino	423	87%	44%	4%	443	79%	40%	9%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	r 96	98%	91%	18%	67	96%	79%	48%	
White	455	98%	87%	18%	409	95%	83%	45%	
Multiracial	9	100%	89%	33%	8	88%	38%	25%	
Small Group Totals	• ••••••			••••••		••••	••••••	••••••	
General-Education Students	1167	95%	66%	10%	1186	89%	59%	24%	
Students with Disabilities	216	68%	34%	5%	191	58%	24%	7%	
English Proficient	1244	92%	66%	10%	1219	87%	59%	25%	
Limited English Proficient	139	78%	22%	0%	158	65%	17%	1%	
Economically Disadvantaged	787	86%	46%	5%	892	79%	41%	12%	
Not Disadvantaged	596	97%	82%	14%	485	95%	78%	40%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	1383	91%	61%	9%	1377	85%	54%	22%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11 9	School Year			2009–10 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	20	20	19	17	19	19	19	16
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	5	N/A	N/A	N/A	13	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 3	5	N/A	N/A	N/A	14	N/A	N/A	N/A

⁺ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. April 20, 2012

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Pub	lic			
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage sco	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2011 Mean Score: 691	*Range:	662-770	684-770	707-770					
2010 Mean Score: 692	100%	93% 88%	64% 56%		91% 91%	60% 59%			
2010-11 2009-10				22% 25%			13% 24%		
Number of Tested Students:		1296 1237	892 785	300 347					

Results by	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
All Students	1392	93%	64%	22%	1401	88%	56%	25%	
Female	696	94%	66%	21%	665	89%	56%	23%	
Male	696	93%	62%	22%	736	88%	56%	27%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	8	88%	63%	25%	6	100%	67%	17%	
Black or African American	394	88%	42%	8%	446	83%	39%	11%	
Hispanic or Latino	429	92%	50%	7%	453	86%	44%	14%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	r 97	96%	92%	60%	72	94%	85%	50%	
White	455	98%	90%	39%	416	96%	82%	47%	
Multiracial	9	100%	89%	44%	8	88%	63%	38%	
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						•••••	••••••	
General-Education Students	1177	95%	68%	24%	1208	91%	60%	27%	
Students with Disabilities	215	81%	44%	10%	193	71%	31%	9%	
English Proficient	1244	94%	68%	24%	1224	90%	60%	27%	
Limited English Proficient	148	82%	34%	2%	177	76%	32%	8%	
Economically Disadvantaged	796	90%	50%	11%	910	84%	45%	17%	
Not Disadvantaged	596	98%	83%	35%	491	96%	77%	39%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	1392	93%	64%	22%	1401	88%	56%	25%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other Assessments	2010-11 \$	School Year		2009–10 School Year				
	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	20	20	19	15	19	19	16	11

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pub	lic	
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		Percentage sc		
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2011 Mean Score: 677	*Range:	637-775	671-775	722-775			
2010 Mean Score: 674	100%	93% 91%	60% _{54%}		92% 92%	57% 57%	
2010-11 2009-10				5% 9%			2% 6%
Number of Tested Students:		1266 1268	810 758	73 132			

Results by	2010-11 \$	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
All Students	1360	93%	60%	5%	1392	91%	54%	9 %	
Female	651	96%	65%	5%	688	93%	56%	10%	
Male	709	91%	54%	5%	704	90%	53%	9%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	6	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	
Black or African American	417	90%	41%	1%	436	85%	37%	3%	
Hispanic or Latino	443	90%	46%	0%	484	89%	37%	3%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	73	100%	85%	18%	81	100%	91%	27%	
White	417	98%	88%	13%	379	98%	87%	22%	
Multiracial	4	-	–	-	9	-	–	-	
Small Group Totals	10	100%	80%	10%	12	100%	75%	8%	
General-Education Students	1160	97%	66%	6%	1167	96%	60%	11%	
Students with Disabilities	200	72%	24%	1%	225	68%	24%	0%	
English Proficient	1236	94%	63%	6%	1248	92%	58%	10%	
Limited English Proficient	124	88%	24%	0%	144	81%	20%	1%	
Economically Disadvantaged	855	91%	46%	2%	887	87%	39%	5%	
Not Disadvantaged	505	97%	82%	11%	505	98%	82%	17%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	1360	93%	60%	5%	1392	91%	54%	9%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11 S	chool Year			2009–10 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):
AJJEJJIIEIUJ	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	27	27	27	21	20	18	16	13
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	6	N/A	N/A	N/A	5	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 4	6	N/A	N/A	N/A	5	N/A	N/A	N/A

⁺ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. April 20, 2012

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Publ	ic	
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):	
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2011 Mean Score: 695	*Range:	636-800	676-800	707-800			
2010 Mean Score: 692	100%	96% 94%	^{69%} 63%		94% 95%	67% 64%	
2010-11 2009-10				37% _{33%}			27% 26%
Number of Tested Students:		1327 1314	958 886	511 469			

Results by	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
All Students	1384	96%	69%	37%	1404	94%	63%	33%	
Female	665	96%	71%	35%	692	93%	62%	31%	
Male	719	96%	68%	38%	712	94%	64%	36%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	6	-	_	-	3	-	-	-	
Black or African American	422	94%	52%	14%	438	91%	45%	15%	
Hispanic or Latino	460	94%	59%	21%	492	91%	51%	18%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	- 74	100%	88%	64%	81	100%	99%	74%	
White	418	99%	94%	72%	380	98%	92%	66%	
Multiracial	4	-	-	–	10	-	–	-	
Small Group Totals	10	100%	90%	60%	13	100%	85%	46%	
General-Education Students	1177	98%	75%	41%	1176	97%	68%	38%	
Students with Disabilities	207	86%	36%	13%	228	77%	36%	10%	
English Proficient	1243	97%	73%	40%	1253	94%	66%	36%	
Limited English Proficient	141	87%	40%	9%	151	86%	38%	8%	
Economically Disadvantaged	875	94%	58%	23%	897	91%	49%	20%	
Not Disadvantaged	509	98%	88%	61%	507	97%	87%	58%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	1384	96%	69%	37%	1404	94%	63%	33%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other Assessments	2010-11	School Year		2009–10 School Year				
	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	27	26	25	17	20	19	17	12

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science

		This District			NY State Pub	lic	
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		Percentage sco	·	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2011 Mean Score: 79	Range:	45-100	65-100	85-100			
2010 Mean Score: 79 2010–11 2009–10	100%	97% 96%	85% 82%	43% 47%	98% 97%	88% 88%	52% 55%
Number of Tested Students:		1320 1331	1149 1133	581 642			

Results by	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	1354	97%	85%	43%	1380	96%	82%	47%
Female	650	97%	84%	43%	678	97%	82%	45%
Male	704	98%	86%	43%	702	96%	82%	48%
American Indian or Alaska Native	6	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Black or African American	412	96%	75%	23%	424	95%	73%	26%
Hispanic or Latino	450	96%	80%	27%	488	95%	75%	28%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	72	100%	96%	69%	81	100%	99%	86%
White	410	100%	98%	76%	374	99%	98%	84%
Multiracial	4	-	-	–	10	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	10	100%	100%	50%	13	100%	100%	69%
General-Education Students	1150	98%	87%	47%	1163	98%	85%	50%
Students with Disabilities	204	94%	73%	19%	217	90%	65%	25%
English Proficient	1216	98%	87%	47%	1231	97%	85%	50%
Limited English Proficient	138	91%	67%	9%	149	89%	61%	14%
Economically Disadvantaged	856	97%	79%	28%	877	95%	75%	30%
Not Disadvantaged	498	98%	94%	68%	503	100%	95%	75%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	1354	97%	85%	43%	1380	96%	82%	47%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments	2010-11 S	chool Year		2009–10 School Year				
	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	26	25	24	23	20	20	20	16
(NYSAA): Glade 4 Equivalent								

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pub	olic	
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		Percentage sc	oring at level(s):	
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2011 Mean Score: 671	*Range:	648-795	668-795	700-795			
2010 Mean Score: 678	100%	90% 89%	58% 56%		89% 88%	54% 52%	
2010-11 2009-10				19% 8%			4% 13%
Number of Tested Students:		1219 1174	777 740	108 253			

Results by	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	1350	90%	58%	8%	1326	89%	56%	19%
Female	671	92%	58%	8%	675	90%	59%	21%
Male	679	89%	57%	8%	651	87%	53%	17%
American Indian or Alaska Native	5	100%	60%	0%	4	-	-	-
Black or African American	405	85%	37%	3%	424	80%	38%	6%
Hispanic or Latino	463	87%	43%	2%	451	87%	42%	8%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	88	98%	89%	19%	80	99%	83%	48%
White	381	97%	89%	18%	360	99%	89%	43%
Multiracial	8	100%	100%	0%	7	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	••••••			•••••	11	91%	64%	18%
General-Education Students	1140	96%	64%	9%	1131	93%	61%	21%
Students with Disabilities	210	62%	25%	0%	195	62%	26%	5%
English Proficient	1253	93%	62%	9%	1219	90%	59%	21%
Limited English Proficient	97	61%	6%	1%	107	70%	18%	1%
Economically Disadvantaged	820	86%	41%	5%	847	84%	42%	11%
Not Disadvantaged	530	96%	83%	13%	479	96%	80%	34%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	1350	90%	58%	8%	1326	89%	56%	19%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11 S	ichool Year			2009–10 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	18	16	15	12	15	14	14	11
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	13	N/A	N/A	N/A	10	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 5	14	N/A	N/A	N/A	10	N/A	N/A	N/A

⁺ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. April 20, 2012

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Pub	lic	
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		Percentage sco	oring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3–4	4
2011 Mean Score: 690	*Range:	640-780	676-780	707-780			
2010 Mean Score: 688	100%	94% 94%	66% 64%		94% 94%	66% 65%	
2010-11 2009-10				31% 30%			23% 24%
Number of Tested Students:		1295 1271	903 857	427 401			

Results by	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	1372	94%	66%	31%	1346	94%	64%	30%
Female	680	94%	65%	28%	684	96%	64%	31%
Male	692	94%	67%	34%	662	93%	64%	28%
American Indian or Alaska Native	5	100%	60%	20%	4	-	_	-
Black or African American	408	93%	50%	12%	428	90%	44%	13%
Hispanic or Latino	473	92%	53%	15%	461	94%	55%	16%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islande	r 93	98%	94%	68%	83	99%	90%	63%
White	385	98%	91%	63%	363	99%	92%	60%
Multiracial	8	88%	75%	63%	7	-	–	-
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			••••••	11	100%	73%	9%
General-Education Students	1160	97%	72%	36%	1145	97%	69%	34%
Students with Disabilities	212	78%	34%	7%	201	79%	32%	7%
English Proficient	1254	96%	70%	34%	1227	95%	66%	32%
Limited English Proficient	118	75%	22%	5%	119	86%	40%	8%
Economically Disadvantaged	838	92%	53%	17%	860	92%	53%	20%
Not Disadvantaged	534	98%	85%	53%	486	98%	83%	47%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	1372	94%	66%	31%	1346	94%	64%	30%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other Assessments	2010-11	School Year		2009–10 School Year				
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	18	17	15	11	15	15	13	10

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pub	lic	
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage sco		
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3–4	4
2011 Mean Score: 666	*Range:	644-785	662-785	694-785			
2010 Mean Score: 665	100%	91% 87%	60%		88% 89%	56% 54%	
2010-11 2009-10				7% 8%			4% 7%
Number of Tested Students:		1159 1081	770 616	91 105			

Resultsby	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	1280	91%	60%	7%	1245	87%	49 %	8%
Female	644	93%	64%	9%	650	89%	51%	9%
Male	636	89%	56%	5%	595	84%	48%	8%
American Indian or Alaska Native	5	100%	60%	0%	4	-	-	-
Black or African American	405	84%	40%	1%	408	80%	28%	2%
Hispanic or Latino	429	88%	44%	2%	428	82%	35%	1%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	- 79	99%	91%	18%	71	94%	87%	28%
White	346	100%	95%	18%	327	99%	87%	21%
Multiracial	16	94%	75%	6%	7	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••	•••••	11	100%	73%	18%
General-Education Students	1100	95%	66%	8%	1080	91%	55%	10%
Students with Disabilities	180	62%	25%	1%	165	60%	15%	0%
English Proficient	1203	92%	63%	8%	1159	89%	53%	9%
Limited English Proficient	77	70%	16%	0%	86	57%	7%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	922	88%	50%	4%	941	84%	40%	5%
Not Disadvantaged	358	97%	87%	14%	304	95%	78%	20%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	1280	91%	60%	7%	1245	87%	49%	8%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11 S	chool Year		,	2009–10 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	16	15	13	10	24	22	18	17	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	5	N/A	N/A	N/A	3	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Total				Total				
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 6	5	N/A	N/A	N/A	3	N/A	N/A	N/A	

⁺ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. April 20, 2012

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Publ	ic	
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):	
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3–4	4
2011 Mean Score: 692	*Range:	640-780	674-780	700-780			
2010 Mean Score: 683	100%	94% 91%	69% 60%		92% 92%	63% 61%	
2010-11 2009-10				38% 31%	ы.		26% 27%
Number of Tested Students:		1208 1143	887 761	488 395			

Resultsby	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	1287	94%	69%	38%	1259	91%	60%	31%
Female	644	95%	72%	39%	656	91%	61%	30%
Male	643	93%	66%	37%	603	90%	60%	32%
American Indian or Alaska Native	5	100%	80%	40%	4	-	-	-
Black or African American	408	88%	50%	13%	409	85%	40%	13%
Hispanic or Latino	431	94%	61%	19%	436	89%	52%	18%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	81	100%	98%	84%	70	97%	91%	67%
White	345	99%	94%	79%	332	99%	90%	64%
Multiracial	17	88%	65%	53%	8	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	•••••				12	100%	75%	42%
General-Education Students	1108	96%	75%	43%	1088	94%	65%	35%
Students with Disabilities	179	80%	31%	9%	171	70%	30%	7%
English Proficient	1201	94%	71%	40%	1170	92%	63%	34%
Limited English Proficient	86	86%	43%	7%	89	80%	30%	3%
Economically Disadvantaged	927	92%	62%	26%	951	89%	53%	23%
Not Disadvantaged	360	98%	87%	68%	308	95%	83%	57%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	1287	94%	69%	38%	1259	91%	60%	31%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other Assessments	2010-11	School Year		2009–10 School Year				
	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	16	16	16	11	24	24	19	17

This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pub	lic	
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		Percentage sco	oring at level(s):	
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2011 Mean Score: 667	*Range:	642-790	665-790	698-790			
2010 Mean Score: 675	100%	94% 92%	53% 55%		91% 90%	48% 50%	
2010-11 2009-10				18% 6%			4% 11%
Number of Tested Students:		1129 1128	636 677	78 222			

Resultsby	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	1205	94%	53%	6%	1224	92%	55%	18%
Female	639	95%	54%	7%	635	94%	58%	20%
Male	566	92%	51%	5%	589	90%	52%	16%
American Indian or Alaska Native	4	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Black or African American	382	90%	30%	1%	444	88%	39%	5%
Hispanic or Latino	418	92%	38%	1%	406	90%	40%	6%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	67	99%	81%	15%	78	100%	88%	45%
White	318	99%	92%	19%	286	100%	93%	48%
Multiracial	16	-	–	–	7	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	20	100%	85%	10%	10	100%	70%	20%
General-Education Students	1037	96%	58%	8%	1045	96%	61%	21%
Students with Disabilities	168	79%	20%	0%	179	69%	20%	1%
English Proficient	1136	95%	56%	7%	1150	94%	58%	19%
Limited English Proficient	69	72%	7%	0%	74	66%	9%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	896	93%	43%	5%	926	90%	47%	12%
Not Disadvantaged	309	96%	80%	12%	298	98%	80%	38%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	1205	94%	53%	6%	1224	92%	55%	18%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11 \$	School Year			2009–10 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
ASSESSMENTS	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Total Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	19	19	19	16	17	17	14	13
New York State English as a Second Languag Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	e 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	9	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested or the ELA NYSTP: Grade 7	ı 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	10	N/A	N/A	N/A

⁺ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. April 20, 2012

This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Pub	lic	
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage sco	oring at level(s):	
		2–4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2011 Mean Score: 685	*Range:	639-800	670-800	694-800			
2010 Mean Score: 682	100%	93% 93%	66% _{63%}		92% 92%	65% 62%	
2010-11 2009-10				38% 35%			30% 29%
Number of Tested Students:		1123 1155	805 778	462 430			

Results by	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
All Students	1214	93%	66%	38%	1238	93%	63%	35%	
Female	645	93%	64%	35%	639	95%	64%	35%	
Male	569	92%	68%	42%	599	92%	62%	35%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	4	-	-	-	3	-	_	-	
Black or African American	383	88%	45%	15%	444	89%	48%	17%	
Hispanic or Latino	425	90%	58%	22%	415	93%	55%	20%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	68	99%	93%	75%	82	96%	90%	76%	
White	318	100%	96%	78%	287	99%	90%	71%	
Multiracial	16	-	–	–	7	-	-	-	
Small Group Totals	20	100%	85%	75%	10	100%	70%	50%	
General-Education Students	1046	95%	72%	43%	1055	96%	69%	40%	
Students with Disabilities	168	77%	32%	10%	183	76%	26%	7%	
English Proficient	1136	94%	69%	40%	1155	95%	65%	37%	
Limited English Proficient	78	72%	29%	4%	83	73%	33%	5%	
Economically Disadvantaged	906	91%	60%	27%	938	92%	58%	26%	
Not Disadvantaged	308	96%	86%	69%	300	98%	78%	63%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	1214	93%	66%	38%	1238	93%	63%	35%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010–11 data only. Ranges for the 2009–10 data are available in the 2009–10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other Assessments	2010-11 9	School Year			2009–10 School Year				
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	19	19	19	13	17	15	15	9	

This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pub	olic	
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		Percentage sc	oring at level(s):	
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2011 Mean Score: 656	*Range:	628-790	658-790	699-790			
2010 Mean Score: 658	100%	93% 90%	47% 48%		92% 91%	47% 51%	
2010-11 2009-10				2% 8%			2% 8%
Number of Tested Students:		1157 1149	578 610	21 102			

Results by	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
All Students	1239	93%	47%	2 %	1277	90%	48 %	8%	
Female	629	96%	51%	2%	629	92%	52%	11%	
Male	610	91%	42%	1%	648	88%	44%	5%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	
Black or African American	441	91%	28%	0%	438	86%	29%	2%	
Hispanic or Latino	413	91%	33%	0%	465	88%	37%	2%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	75	97%	83%	7%	93	96%	80%	22%	
White	291	99%	83%	4%	275	98%	84%	23%	
Multiracial	16	-	-	–	3	-	–		
Small Group Totals	19	100%	89%	5%	6	83%	50%	0%	
General-Education Students	1059	98%	53%	2%	1078	94%	55%	9%	
Students with Disabilities	180	68%	7%	1%	199	68%	11%	1%	
English Proficient	1166	95%	49%	2%	1183	93%	51%	9%	
Limited English Proficient	73	63%	4%	0%	94	52%	5%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	937	92%	39%	1%	968	88%	39%	5%	
Not Disadvantaged	302	98%	69%	3%	309	96%	74%	17%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	1239	93%	47%	2%	1277	90%	48%	8%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other Assessments	2010-11 S	ichool Year			2009–10 School Year				
	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number sco	oring at lev	el(s):	
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	23	23	23	19	20	20	19	18	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	11	N/A	N/A	N/A	15	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Total				Total				
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 8	11	N/A	N/A	N/A	15	N/A	N/A	N/A	

⁺ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. April 20, 2012

This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Pub	lic		
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2011 Mean Score: 680	*Range:	639-775	674-775	704-775				
2010 Mean Score: 676	100%	91% 89%	62% 54%		91% 91%	60% _{55%}		
2010-11 2009-10				23% 19%			18% 18%	
Number of Tested Students:		1145 1152	777 693	293 245				

Results by	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student or oup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
All Students	1254	91%	62%	23%	1290	89%	54%	19%	
Female	635	94%	65%	23%	639	92%	56%	21%	
Male	619	89%	59%	23%	651	87%	52%	17%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	
Black or African American	444	87%	47%	7%	437	82%	35%	8%	
Hispanic or Latino	421	89%	54%	11%	475	88%	46%	12%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islande	r 76	99%	95%	66%	95	98%	85%	51%	
White	291	99%	87%	54%	276	99%	86%	38%	
Multiracial	19	-	-	–	4	-	-	-	
Small Group Totals	22	86%	77%	36%	7	100%	43%	14%	
General-Education Students	1073	96%	69%	27%	1092	93%	59%	22%	
Students with Disabilities	181	66%	21%	4%	198	66%	24%	3%	
English Proficient	1167	93%	64%	25%	1182	91%	56%	21%	
Limited English Proficient	87	66%	29%	1%	108	70%	26%	2%	
Economically Disadvantaged	950	90%	57%	17%	979	88%	48%	15%	
Not Disadvantaged	304	96%	77%	43%	311	94%	73%	32%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	1254	91%	62%	23%	1290	89%	54%	19%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other Assessments	2010-11 \$	School Year		2009–10 School Year				
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	23	23	23	17	20	20	20	14

This District's Results in Grade 8 Science

	This District			NY State Pub	olic			
	Percentage sco	oring at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):				
	2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
100 ⁴ 2010-11 2009-10	92% 88%	59% 58%	18% 19%	94% 94%	72% 74%	28% 33%		
Number of Tested Students:	1102 1085	712 719	212 233					

Results by	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
All Students	849	89%	48%	6%	929	86%	50%	12%	
Female	421	91%	47%	6%	461	86%	47%	11%	
Male	428	88%	49%	6%	468	86%	53%	12%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	-	_	-	2	-	-	-	
Black or African American	376	85%	39%	3%	373	80%	36%	6%	
Hispanic or Latino	356	92%	50%	4%	415	87%	51%	7%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	22	91%	68%	23%	36	-	-	-	
White	86	98%	73%	26%	102	99%	86%	41%	
Multiracial	6	-	-	–	1	-	-	-	
Small Group Totals	9	78%	44%	0%	39	95%	74%	31%	
General-Education Students	689	93%	53%	7%	763	89%	55%	14%	
Students with Disabilities	160	76%	26%	3%	166	72%	24%	2%	
English Proficient	768	91%	51%	7%	826	88%	53%	13%	
Limited English Proficient	81	72%	14%	0%	103	66%	23%	1%	
Economically Disadvantaged	695	89%	45%	5%	745	84%	46%	8%	
Not Disadvantaged	154	93%	62%	13%	184	91%	66%	25%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	849	89%	48%	6%	929	86%	50%	12%	


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2010–11 S	chool Year			2009–10 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	23	17	16	15	20	19	19	19	
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	23	±1	10	тэ 	20	19	19	19	
Regents Science	355	343	306	159	310	288	256	125	

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2007 Cohor	t		2006 Cohort**				
	Number	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	2590	80%	76%	31%	2535	80%	76%	28 %
Female	1463	86%	82%	38%	1480	86%	84%	36%
Male	1127	73%	68%	22%	1055	71%	66%	17%
American Indian or Alaska Native	12	-	_	-	12	75%	58%	0%
Black or African American	798	77%	72%	19%	746	77%	73%	17%
Hispanic or Latino	1044	75%	69%	19%	1083	71%	66%	14%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	267	87%	85%	49%	195	98%	98%	52%
White	465	95%	95%	72%	487	96%	95%	68%
Multiracial	4	-	-	-	12	92%	92%	50%
Small Group Totals	16	69%	69%	31%			•••••	•••••
General-Education Students	2281	85%	81%	35%	2215	86%	83%	32%
Students with Disabilities	309	49%	39%	6%	320	40%	32%	3%
English Proficient	2339	83%	80%	35%	2260	84%	81%	31%
Limited English Proficient	251	52%	36%	1%	275	46%	39%	1%
Economically Disadvantaged	1691	77%	72%	19%	1575	76%	71%	15%
Not Disadvantaged	899	86%	85%	55%	960	87%	85%	50%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	2590	80%	76%	31%	2535	80%	76%	28%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2006 cohort data are those reported in the 2009–10 Accountability and Overview Report.

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction

	T	his District			NY State Public Percentage scoring at level(s):				
	P	ercentage sco	oring at level(s):					
	2-	-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
 2007 Cohort 2006 Cohort 	100%	77% 77%	69% 69%	21% 23%	86% 84%	81% 79%	25% ^{30%}		

Results by	2007 Cohor	t		2006 Cohort**				
	Number	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Number	Percentage	e scoring at	t level(s):
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	2590	77%	69%	21%	2535	77%	69%	23%
Female	1463	80%	73%	25%	1480	80%	74%	29%
Male	1127	73%	64%	15%	1055	71%	63%	13%
American Indian or Alaska Native	12	-	_	-	12	75%	50%	17%
Black or African American	798	76%	67%	10%	746	78%	68%	11%
Hispanic or Latino	1044	76%	66%	9%	1083	74%	65%	10%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	267	88%	81%	46%	195	92%	90%	71%
White	465	74%	73%	51%	487	76%	75%	50%
Multiracial	4	-	-	–	12	25%	25%	8%
Small Group Totals	16	63%	50%	19%	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			•••••
General-Education Students	2281	81%	74%	23%	2215	82%	75%	26%
Students with Disabilities	309	47%	30%	1%	320	39%	27%	2%
English Proficient	2339	78%	71%	23%	2260	78%	72%	25%
Limited English Proficient	251	67%	49%	0%	275	65%	51%	1%
Economically Disadvantaged	1691	80%	69%	12%	1575	79%	68%	13%
Not Disadvantaged	899	72%	68%	36%	960	73%	71%	39%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	2590	77%	69%	21%	2535	77%	69%	23%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2006 cohort data are those reported in the 2009–10 Accountability and Overview Report.