

# **The New York State District Report Card**

**Accountability** and Overview Report 2010 - 11

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC **DISTRICT #27** District ID 34-27-00-01-0000 Superintendent MICHELLE LLOYD-BEY Telephone (718) 642-5861 Grades PK-12, UE, US

# This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents' effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

### Use this report to:

**Get District Profile information**.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

#### **Review District** 2 Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies the district's accountability status.

#### **View School Accountability** Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2011-12 accountability status.



#### **Review an Overview** of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science.

### For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: dataquest@mail.nysed.gov

#### District ID 34-27-00-01-0000

### **District Profile**

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

### Enrollment

	2008–09	2009–10	2010-11
Pre-K	962	1013	1042
Kindergarten	3200	3628	3704
Grade 1	3471	3784	3669
Grade 2	3367	3713	3750
Grade 3	3279	3618	3679
Grade 4	3203	3529	3663
Grade 5	3212	3496	3549
Grade 6	3139	3606	3606
Ungraded Elementary	1955	142	124
Grade 7	3412	3604	3819
Grade 8	3460	3780	3701
Grade 9	3717	4013	3597
Grade 10	3355	3499	3421
Grade 11	2296	2410	2737
Grade 12	1647	1791	1818
Ungraded Secondary	1597	77	100
Total K–12	44310	44690	44937

### Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

### **Average Class Size**

	2008–09	2009-10	2010-11
Common Branch	-	-	25
Grade 8			
English	27	27	28
Mathematics	28	29	28
Science	29	29	28
Social Studies	28	28	28
Grade 10			
English	30	29	30
Mathematics	31	30	31
Science	31	30	31
Social Studies	30	30	30

# Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

### **Demographic Factors**

	2008–09		200	9-10	2010-1	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	31257	71%	30481	68%	30788	69%
Reduced-Price Lunch	5197	12%	4832	11%	4101	9%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	4430	10%	4443	10%	4472	10%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	250	1%	223	0%	295	1%
Black or African American	13773	31%	13437	30%	13115	29%
Hispanic or Latino	15612	35%	15992	36%	16217	36%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	10231	23%	10599	24%	10753	24%
White	4444	10%	4439	10%	4557	10%
Multiracial	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

\* Available only at the school level.

### **Attendance and Suspensions**

	2007-08		2008-09		2009-10	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		0%		0%		0%
Student Suspensions	2054	5%	2494	6%	2352	5%

District ID 34-27-00-01-0000

### Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category.

### Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

### **Teacher Qualifications**

	2008–09	2009-10	2010-11
Total Number of Teachers	3129	3036	2980
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	1%	1%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	6%	4%	4%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	12%	7%	5%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	41%	44%	46%
Total Number of Core Classes	6156	6069	6112
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers in This District	7%	5%	5%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified in High-Poverty Schools Statewide	8%	6%	5%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified in Low-Poverty Schools Statewide	1%	1%	0%
Total Number of Classes	7666	7436	7645
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	8%	6%	5%

### **Teacher Turnover Rate**

	2007-08	2008–09	2009–10
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	15%	19%	14%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	13%	13%	12%

### **Staff Counts**

	2008–09	2009–10	2010-11
Total Other Professional Staff	0	0	0
Total Paraprofessionals*	0	0	0
Assistant Principals	0	0	0
Principals	0	0	0

\* Not available at the school level.

### Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. To be Highly Qualified, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. A teacher who taught one class outside of the certification area(s) is counted as Highly Qualified provided that 1) the teacher had been determined by the school or district through the HOUSSE process or other state-accepted methods to have demonstrated acceptable subject knowledge and teaching skills and 2) the class in question was not the sole assignment reported. Credit for incidental teaching does not extend beyond a single assignment. Independent of Highly Qualified Teacher status, any assignment for which a teacher did not hold a valid certificate still registers as teaching out of certification. High-poverty and low-poverty schools are those schools in the upper and lower quartiles, respectively, for percentage of students eligible for a free or reduced-price lunch.

### Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

### Staff Counts Information


Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

District ID 34-27-00-01-0000

### Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2010–11, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).





#### 1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

#### **A** Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2010–11 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

#### **B** Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2007 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

#### 2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

#### **3** Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

**Elementary/Middle-Level Science:** To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

#### **A** Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

#### **B** Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group, if it has 30 or more students, must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the group must meet both the participation criterion and the performance criterion in science.

**Secondary-Level Graduation Rate:** For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2006 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2010 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (80%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2006 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2010 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (80%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

### **Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability**

#### 12<sup>th</sup> Graders

The count of 12<sup>th</sup> graders enrolled during the 2010–11 school year used to determine the Percentage Tested for the Participation part of the AYP determination for secondarylevel ELA and mathematics. These are the first numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the secondary-level ELA and mathematics pages.

#### 2007 Cohort

The count of students in the 2007 accountability cohort used to determine the Performance Index for the Test Performance part of the AYP determination for secondary-level ELA and mathematics. These are the second numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the secondary-level ELA and mathematics pages.

#### Accountability Cohort for English and Mathematics

The accountability cohort is used to determine if a school or district met the performance criterion in secondary-level ELA and mathematics. The 2007 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2007–08 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2007–08 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2010 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2011, are not included in the 2007 school accountability cohort. The 2007 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

#### Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

#### Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p) (14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

#### **Continuous Enrollment**

The count of continuously enrolled tested students used to determine the Performance Index for the Test Performance part of the AYP determination for elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science. These are the second numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the elementary/ middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science pages.

#### **Continuously Enrolled Students**

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

### Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make AYP. The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at **www.p12.nysed.gov/irs.** 

#### **Graduation Rate**

The Graduation Rate on the Graduation Rate page is the percentage of the 2006 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2010.

#### **Graduation-Rate Total Cohort**

The Graduation-Rate Total Cohort, shown on the Graduation Rate page, is used to determine if a school or district made AYP in graduation rate. For the 2010–11 school year, this cohort is the 2006 graduation-rate total cohort. The 2006 total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2006–07 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2006–07 school year, and who were enrolled in the school/ district for five months or longer or who were enrolled in the school/district for less than five months but were previously enrolled in the same school/district for five months or longer between the date they first entered Grade 9 and the date they last ended enrollment. A more detailed definition of graduation-rate cohort can be found in the *SIRS Manual* at **www.p12.nysed.gov/irs**.

For districts and schools with fewer than 30 graduation-rate total cohort members in the All Students group in 2010–11, data for 2009–10 and 2010–11 for accountability groups were combined to determine counts and graduation rates. Groups with fewer than 30 students in the graduation-rate total cohort are not required to meet the graduation-rate criterion.

#### **Limited English Proficient**

For all accountability measures, if the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

#### **Non-Accountability Groups**

Female, Male, and Migrant groups are not part of the AYP determination for any measure.

### Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability (continued)

#### Participation

Accountability groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period (for elementary/middlelevel ELA, math, and science) or fewer than 40 12<sup>th</sup> graders (for secondary-level ELA and mathematics) are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the Percentage Tested for an accountability group fell below 95 percent for ELA and math or 80 percent for science in 2010–11, the participation enrollment ("Total" or "12<sup>th</sup> Graders") shown in the tables is the sum of 2009–10 and 2010–11 participation enrollments and the "Percentage Tested" shown is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

#### **Performance Index (PI)**

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.p12.nysed.gov/irs.** 

#### **Progress Targets**

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making AYP or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

*Science:* The current year's Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the previous year's Performance Index (PI). Example: The 2010–11 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2009–10 PI.

Graduation Rate: The Graduation-rate Progress Target is calculated by determining a 20% gap reduction between the rate of the previous year's graduation-rate cohort and the state standard. Example: The 2010–11 Graduation-Rate Progress Target = [(80 – percentage of the 2005 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009) × 0.20] + percentage of the 2005 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009.

Progress Targets are provided for groups whose PI (for science) or graduation rate (for graduation rate) is below the State Standard.

#### **Safe Harbor Targets**

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate AYP for accountability groups that do not achieve their EAMOs in English or mathematics. The 2010–11 safe harbor targets are calculated using the following equation:  $2009-10 \text{ PI} + (200 - \text{the } 2009-10 \text{ PI}) \times 0.10$ 

Safe Harbor Targets are provided for groups whose PI is less than the EAMO.

#### Safe Harbor Qualification (\*)

On the science page, if the group met both the participation and the performance criteria for science, the Safe Harbor Qualification column will show "Qualified." If the group did not meet one or more criteria, the column will show "Did not qualify." A "‡" symbol after the 2010–11 Safe Harbor Target on the elementary/middle- or secondary-level ELA or mathematics page indicates that the student group did not make AYP in science (elementary/middle level) or graduation rate (secondary level) and; therefore, the group did not qualify for Safe Harbor in ELA or mathematics.

#### **State Standard**

The criterion value that represents minimally satisfactory performance (for science) or a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma (for graduation rate). In 2010–11, the State Science Standard is a Performance Index of 100; the State Graduation-Rate Standard is 80%. The Commissioner may raise the State Standard at his discretion in future years.

#### **Students with Disabilities**

For all measures, if the count of students with disabilities is equal to or greater than 30, former students with disabilities are also included in the performance calculations.

#### **Test Performance**

For districts and schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students (for elementary/middle-level ELA, math, and science) or fewer than 30 students in the 2007 cohort (for secondary-level ELA and mathematics) in the All Students group in 2010–11, data for 2009–10 and 2010–11 for accountability groups were combined to determine counts and Performance Indices. For districts and schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students/2007 cohort members in the All Students group in 2010–11, student groups with fewer than 30 members are not required to meet the performance criterion. This is indicated by a "—" in the Test Performance column in the table.

#### Total

The count of students enrolled during the test administration period used to determine the Percentage Tested for the Participation part of the AYP determination for elementary/ middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science. These are the first numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science pages. For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons in accordance with federal NCLB guidance are not included in the count.

District ID 34-27-00-01-0000

### **Understanding Your District Accountability Status**

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irs/accountability/.

<b>Federal Title I Status</b> (Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)	<b>New York State Status</b> (Applies to New York State districts)
<ul> <li>District in Good Standing</li> <li>A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not bee or a District Requiring Academic Progress.</li> </ul>	en identified as a District in Need of Improvement
District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	<b>District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)</b> A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.
▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

Pending – A district's status is "Pending" if the district requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

# 2 District Accountability


District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #27

### Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2011–12)	∧ Improvement (Year 2)						
	ELA	∧ Improvement (Year 1)	Science	▲ Good Standing			
	Math	▲ Good Standing	Graduation Rate	A Improvement (Year 2)			
Title I Part A Funding	Years	the District Received Ti					
	2009-	10 201	.0-11	2011-12			
	YES	YES		YES			

#### On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/I	Middle Level		Secondary Lo	evel	
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
All Students	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Image: A start of the start of</li></ul>	×	<b>✓</b> SH	<b>v</b>
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native	~	<b>V</b>		-	-	
Black or African American	✓	X	••••	✓SH	✓SH	••••
Hispanic or Latino	✓	✓	••••	X	✓SH	••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	✓	~		×	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	
White	~	<b>V</b>	••••	X	<b>V</b>	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Multiracial	_	–	••••	–	–	
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities	X	X		X	X	
Limited English Proficient	X	<ul> <li></li> </ul>		X	✓SH	••••
Economically Disadvantaged	~	<b>~</b>	••••	X	<b>✓</b> SH	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Student groups making AYP in each subject	<b>X</b> 7 of 9	<b>X</b> 7 of 9	🖌 1 of 1	<b>X</b> 1 of 8	X 7 of 8	✔ 1 of 1



### Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2011–12)	^	Improvement (Year 1)
Accountability Measures	7 of 9	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		To be removed from improvement status in English language arts, this district must make AYP in this measure at the elementary/middle or secondary level for two consecutive years. If this district fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2011-12, the district will be In Need of Improvement (Year 2) in 2012-13. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or secondary level in 2011-12, the district will remain In Need of Improvement (Year 1) in 2012-13. [206]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participati	on	Test Performance Performance Ob		ce Objectives	Objectives	
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment)	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2010-11	2011–12
Accountability Groups								
All Students (22855:21512)	<b>V</b>	V	99%	V	140	121		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (204:159)	~	•	99%	~	126	114		
Black or African American (6455:6015)	~	<b>v</b>	99%	~	122	121		
Hispanic or Latino (8072:7585)	✓	~	99%	✓	136	121		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (5643:5342)	~	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	100%	~	155	121		
White (2455:2388)	✓	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	99%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	162	120	•••••••••••••••	••••
Multiracial (26:23)	-	-	-	–	-	-	•••••••••••••••	-
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (4126:3908)	x	~	98%	X	93	120	100	104
Limited English Proficient (1994:2403)	X	✓	98%	X	106	120	115	115
Economically Disadvantaged (19957:18736)	✓	✓	99%	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	137	121		
Final AYP Determination	🗙 7 of 9	9						
Non-Accountability Groups								
Female (11047:10418)			99%		148	121		
Male (11808:11094)	•••••••	••••••	99%	••••••	132	121	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Migrant (0:0)	••••••••••••••	••••••	••••	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	••••••••••	••••

used on this page.

**NOTE:** See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels

#### Symbols

- Made AYP
- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30
   Continuous Enrollment
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

### **Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2011–12)	^	Good Standing
<b>Accountability Measures</b>	7 of 9	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		A district that fails to make AYP in mathematics at the elementary/middle and secondary levels for two consecutive years is placed in improvement status. If this district fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2011-12, the district will be District In Need of Improvement (Year 1) in 2012-13. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or secondary level in 2011-12, the district will be in good standing in 2012-13. [202]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP Participation		on	<b>Test Perfor</b>	mance	Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment)	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2010-11	2011–12
Accountability Groups								
All Students (22872:21638)	~	<b>v</b>	99%	V	156	136		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (204:161)	~	•	100%	<b>v</b>	143	129		
Black or African American (6450:6008)	×	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	99%	X	134	136	136	141
Hispanic or Latino (8088:7653)	✓	<b>~</b>	99%	~	154	136		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (5648:5396)	~	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	100%	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	176	136		
White (2456:2396)	✓	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	100%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	175	135	•••••••••••••••••	••••
Multiracial (26:24)	–	–	-	-	-	-		-
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (4127:3903)	x	~	98%	X	117	135	119	125
Limited English Proficient (2003:2553)	✓	~	99%	<b>v</b>	136	135		
Economically Disadvantaged (19972:18867)	✓	<b>v</b>	100%	✓	154	136		
Final AYP Determination	X 7 of 9	9						
Non-Accountability Groups								
Female (11052:10483)			100%		158	136		
Male (11820:11155)	••••••••	•••••	99%	•••••••	154	136	•••••••••••••••	••••
Migrant (0:0)	•••••••••••••	••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	•••••••••••••	••••

used on this page.

NOTE: See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels

#### Symbols

- Made AYP
- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30
   Continuous Enrollment
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

### **Elementary/Middle-Level Science**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2011–12)	^	Good Standing
<b>Accountability Measures</b>	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in science
	<b>v</b>	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2012-13. [201]

## How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	АҮР		Participati	on	Test Performance		Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment)	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progres 2010–11	s Target 2011–12
Accountability Groups	10								
All Students (7677:7106)	~	Qualified	~	97%	<b>v</b>	169	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (82:60)		Qualified	~	100%	~	167	100		
Black or African American (2171:1943)		Qualified	~	95%	~	157	100		
Hispanic or Latino (2761:2563)	••••••	Qualified	✓	97%	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	168	100		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1863:1775)		Qualified	~	99%	~	178	100		
White (790:755)		Qualified	~	98%	<	185	100		
Multiracial (10:10)	•••••	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (1393:1245)		Qualified	~	93%	~	146	100		
Limited English Proficient (659:805)		Qualified	~	96%	~	145	100		
Economically Disadvantaged (6649:6137)		Qualified	~	97%	~	168	100		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 o	f 1							
Non-Accountability Groups									
Female (3735:3470)				98%		169	100		
Male (3942:3636)				97%		169	100		
Migrant (0:0)									

used on this page.

NOTE: See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels

#### Symbols

Made AYP

X Did not make AYP

 Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30 Continuous Enrollment

### Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2011–12)	^	Improvement (Year 1)
Accountability Measures	1 of 8	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		To be removed from improvement status in English language arts, this district must make AYP in this measure at the elementary/middle or secondary level for two consecutive years. If this district fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2011-12, the district will be In Need of Improvement (Year 2) in 2012-13. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or secondary level in 2011-12, the district will remain In Need of Improvement (Year 1) in 2012-13. [206]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation		Test Performance		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target	
(12th Graders: 2007 Cohort)	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2010-11	2011–12
Accountability Groups								
All Students (2489:2605)	X	<b>V</b>	100%	X	167	181	169	170
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (19:20)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (765:886)	<b>✓</b> SH	<b>v</b>	99%	<b>√</b> SH	164	179	163	168
Hispanic or Latino (896:938)	X	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	100%	X	164	179	166	168
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (643:581)	×	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	100%	X	177	178	178	179
White (165:179)	X	<	98%	X	165	175	173‡	169
Multiracial (1:1)	- -	_		-	-	-	•••••••••	-
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (215:382)	x	<b>v</b>	97%	x	109	177	122‡	118
Limited English Proficient (297:341)	×	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	100%	X	134	177	151	141
Economically Disadvantaged (1886:1977)	×	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	100%	X	169	181	172	172
Final AYP Determination	X 1 of 8	3						
Non-Accountability Groups								
Female (1293:1249)			100%		176	180		
Male (1196:1356)			99%		158	180	•••••••••••••••••	
Migrant (0:0)								

#### Symbols

#### Made AYP

- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 12<sup>th</sup> Graders/Fewer Than 30 Cohort
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

**NOTE:** See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

### **Secondary-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2011–12)	^	Good Standing
<b>Accountability Measures</b>	7 of 8	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		A district that fails to make AYP in mathematics at the elementary/middle and secondary levels for two consecutive years is placed in improvement status. If this district fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2011-12, the district will be District In Need of Improvement (Year 1) in 2012-13. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or secondary level in 2011-12, the district will be in good standing in 2012-13. [202]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP Participation		n Test Perfo		mance	Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target	
(12th Graders: 2007 Cohort)	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2010-11	2011–12
Accountability Groups								
All Students (2489:2605)	SH	<b>v</b>	100%	<b>✓</b> SH	171	178	167	174
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (19:20)	_	-	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (765:886)	✔ѕн	<b>~</b>	99%	✔ѕн	161	176	160	165
Hispanic or Latino (896:938)	<b>✓</b> SH	~	100%	✓SH	171	176	165	174
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (643:581)	~	<b>v</b>	100%	~	183	175		
White (165:179)	<	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	98%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	178	172	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••
Multiracial (1:1)	–	–	-	–	-	-	••••••••••••••••	–
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (215:382)	x	~	99%	x	114	174	117‡	123
Limited English Proficient (297:341)	✔ѕн	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	100%	✔SH	164	174	163	168
Economically Disadvantaged (1886:1977)	<b>√</b> SH	•	100%	✔SH	172	178	169	175
Final AYP Determination	🗙 7 of 8	3						
Non-Accountability Groups								
Female (1293:1249)			100%		175	177		
Male (1196:1356)	••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••	100%		167	177	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Migrant (0:0)	••••••••••						• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••

#### Symbols

#### Made AYP

- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 12<sup>th</sup> Graders/Fewer Than 30 Cohort
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

**NOTE:** See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

### **Graduation Rate**

Accountability Status for This Indicator (2011–12)	^	Improvement (Year 2)
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in graduation rate
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		To be removed from improvement status in graduation rate, this district must make AYP in this measure for two consecutive years. If this district fails to make AYP in 2011-12, the district will be In Need of Improvement (Year 3) in 2012-13. If this district makes AYP in 2011-12, the district will be in good standing in 2012-13. [222]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Graduatio	on		Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Graduation	State	Progress Target	
(2006 Graduation-Rate Total Cohort)	AYP	Criterion	Rate	Standard	2010–11	
Accountability Groups						
All Students (3002)	~	~	59%	80%	55%	
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native (13)		-	_	-		
Black or African American (1029)	••••••	✓	57%	80%	54%	
Hispanic or Latino (1065)	••••••	✓	58%	80%	53%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (689)	••••••	✓	69%	80%	63%	
White (178)	••••••	X	51%	80%	57%	
Multiracial (28)	••••••	-	_	-		
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities (495)		X	28%	80%	34%	
Limited English Proficient (396)	••••••	✓	55%	80%	46%	
Economically Disadvantaged (2224)	••••••	✓	64%	80%	58%	
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 of 1	_				
Non-Accountability Groups						
Female (1359)			64%	80%		
Male (1643)			56%	80%		
Migrant (0)	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••••		

#### Symbols

- Made AYP
- X Did not make AYP

**NOTE:** See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

Fewer than 30 Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

#### **Aspirational Goal**

The Board of Regents has set an aspirational goal that 95% of students in each public school and school district will graduate within five years of first entry into grade 9. The graduation rate for the 2006 total cohort through June 2011 (after 5 years) for this district is **62%** and, therefore, this district **did not** meet this goal. The aspirational goal does not impact accountability.

District ID 34-27-00-01-0000

### 2011–12 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2011–12 accountability status.

In Goo	od Standing
38 sch	ools identified 64% of total
	Y OF MEDICAL TECHNOLOGY - A COLLEGE BOARD SCHOOL
	NEL VIEW SCHOOL FOR RESEARCH
	RICK DOUGLAS ACADEMY VI HIGH SCHOOL
	E MAPLE ACADEMY
	SCH-CONSTRUCTION, TRADES, ENGINEERING & ARCHITECTURE
KAPPA	
	ORK CITY ACADEMY FOR DISCOVERY
PS 106	
PS 108	3 CAPT VINCENT G FOWLER
PS 124	4 OSMOND A CHURCH
	5 HOWARD BEACH
PS 155	
PS 201	7 ROCKWOOD PARK
PS 223	3 LYNDON B JOHNSON
PS 232	2 LINDENWOOD
PS 254	4
PS 273	3
PS 45	CLARENCE WITHERSPOON
PS 47	CHRIS GALAS
PS 51	
PS 56	HARRY EICHLER
PS 60	WOODHAVEN
PS 62	CHESTER PARK
PS 63	OLD SOUTH
PS 64	JOSEPH P ADDABBO
PS 65	THE RAYMOND YORK ELEMENTARY SCHOOL
PS 66	JACQUELINE KENNEDY-ONASSIS
PS 90	HORACE MANN
PS 96	
PS 97	FOREST PARK
PS/MS	114 BELLE HARBOR
QUEEN	IS HIGH SCHOOL FOR INFORMATION, RESEARCH AND TECHNOLOGY
ROBEF	RT H GODDARD HIGH SCHOOL FOR COMM ARTS 7 & TECHNOLOGY
ROCK	WAY PARK HIGH SCHOOL FOR ENVIRONMENTAL SUSTAINABILITY
SCHOL	ARS' ACADEMY
VILLAG	GE ACADEMY
WATER	RSIDE CHILDREN'S STUDIO SCHOOL
WATER	RSIDE SCHOOL FOR LEADERSHIP
Impro	vement (year 1) Basic
4 scho	ols identified 7% of total
PS 100	) GLEN MORRIS
	4 THE BAYS WATER
PS 123	
	3 DR RICHARD R GREEN
	vement (year 1) Focused
• • • • • • • • •	
5 2010	ols identified 8% of total

District ID 34-27-00-01-0000

.....

# 2011–12 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District (Continued)

### Improvement (year 1) Focused (continued)

JHS 202 ROBERT H GODDARD JHS 210 ELIZABETH BLACKWELL MS 137 AMERICA'S SCHOOL OF HEROES PS 105 THE BAY SCHOOL PS 43

#### Improvement (year 1) Comprehensive

3 schools identified 5% of total PS 197 THE OCEAN SCHOOL PS 215 LUCRETIA MOTT

#### PS 253

#### Restructuring (advanced) Comprehensive

9 schools identified 15% of total AUGUST MARTIN HIGH SCHOOL BEACH CHANNEL HIGH SCHOOL FAR ROCKAWAY HIGH SCHOOL JHS 226 VIRGIL I GRISSON JOHN ADAMS HIGH SCHOOL MS 53 BRIAN PICCOLO PS 225 SEASIDE PS 42 R VERNAM RICHMOND HILL HIGH SCHOOL

### Summary of 2010–11 District Performance

4

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	-	of students that above Level 3	Total Tested
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 3	53%		3681
Grade 4	55%		3700
Grade 5	56%		3556
Grade 6	48%		3615
Grade 7	40%		3811
Grade 8	37%		3726
Mathematics			
Grade 3	56%		3726
Grade 4	69%		3734
	69%		3600
Grade 6	62%		3673
Grade 7	58%		3860
Grade 8	55%		3760
Science			
Grade 4	87%		3716
Grade 8	58%		3578
	•	of students that above Level 3	2007 Total Cohort
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%
English	70%		3214

71%

District ID 34-27-00-01-0000

#### About the Performance Level Descriptors

#### **English Language Arts**

#### Level 1: Below Standard

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level.

#### Level 2: Meets Basic Standard

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level.

#### Level 3: Meets Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level.

#### Level 4: Exceeds Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level.

#### **Mathematics**

#### Level 1: Below Standard

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

#### Level 2: Meets Basic Standard

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

#### Level 3: Meets Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

#### Level 4: Exceeds Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

# How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.p12.nysed.gov/irs**.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

#### This District's N/RC Category:

#### NYC Public Schools

3214

This is New York City, a uniquely large and complex district with high student needs relative to district resource capacity.

Mathematics

### This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pub	lic			
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):	ng at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2011 Mean Score: 662	*Range:	644-780	663-780	694-780					
2010 Mean Score: 665	100%	87% 86%	53% 51%		87% 86%	56% 55%			
2009-10				3%			17% 5%		
Number of Tested Students:		3211 3128	1961 1859	111 482					

<b>Results by</b>	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	3681	87%	53%	3%	3629	86%	51%	13%
Female	1805	90%	59%	4%	1756	89%	56%	16%
Male	1876	85%	48%	2%	1873	83%	47%	11%
American Indian or Alaska Native	21	62%	38%	0%	23	87%	61%	13%
Black or African American	1074	80%	38%	2%	1062	77%	38%	9%
Hispanic or Latino	1246	87%	51%	2%	1258	88%	52%	13%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	- 851	93%	66%	4%	844	93%	61%	16%
White	484	94%	71%	7%	402	91%	65%	21%
Multiracial	5	80%	60%	0%	40	93%	63%	15%
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						•••••	
General-Education Students	3064	92%	59%	4%	3040	92%	57%	15%
Students with Disabilities	617	63%	27%	0%	589	57%	20%	3%
English Proficient	3322	89%	56%	3%	3262	87%	53%	14%
Limited English Proficient	359	74%	31%	0%	367	75%	33%	5%
Economically Disadvantaged	3243	86%	51%	3%	3307	86%	50%	12%
Not Disadvantaged	438	95%	72%	7%	322	91%	66%	24%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	3681	87%	53%	3%	3629	86%	51%	13%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11 S	chool Year			2009–10 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Total Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	67	55	54	50	70	69	69	60
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT) <sup>†</sup> : Grade 3	32	N/A	N/A	N/A	49	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 3	32	N/A	N/A	N/A	50	N/A	N/A	N/A

<sup>+</sup> These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. April 20, 2012

### This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

		<b>This District</b>			NY State Pub	olic			
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):	ng at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2011 Mean Score: 685	*Range:	662-770	684-770	707-770					
2010 Mean Score: 692	100%	91% 90%	56% 58%		91% 91%	60% 59%			
2009-10				23% 11%			24% 13%		
Number of Tested Students:		3383 3326	2096 2144	412 842					

Results by	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	level(s):	
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
All Students	3726	91%	56%	11%	3678	90%	58%	23%	
Female	1828	91%	55%	11%	1780	91%	58%	23%	
Male	1898	90%	58%	11%	1898	90%	58%	23%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	23	83%	39%	4%	23	78%	61%	39%	
Black or African American	1074	83%	37%	5%	1069	81%	40%	12%	
Hispanic or Latino	1267	92%	56%	8%	1277	93%	60%	23%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	r 872	96%	71%	19%	865	96%	73%	33%	
White	485	96%	74%	18%	403	95%	69%	29%	
Multiracial	5	80%	60%	0%	41	95%	56%	24%	
Small Group Totals						••••	•••••	••••••	
General-Education Students	3110	93%	60%	12%	3089	94%	63%	26%	
Students with Disabilities	616	79%	37%	5%	589	74%	32%	9%	
English Proficient	3328	92%	58%	12%	3262	91%	60%	24%	
Limited English Proficient	398	84%	40%	4%	416	84%	45%	15%	
Economically Disadvantaged	3286	90%	54%	10%	3353	90%	57%	22%	
Not Disadvantaged	440	95%	75%	20%	325	94%	69%	31%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	3726	91%	56%	11%	3678	90%	58%	23%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other Assessments	2010-11 \$	chool Year		2009–10 School Year				
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	67	67	64	56	70	70	66	56

### This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pub	olic		
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):	ng at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2011 Mean Score: 671	*Range:	637-775	671-775	722-775				
2010 Mean Score: 668	100%	93% 91%	55% 49%		92% 92%	57% 57%		
2010-11 2009-10				2% 4%			2% 6%	
Number of Tested Students:		3440 3229	2052 1748	83 155				

Results by	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
Studentoroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	3700	93%	55%	<b>2</b> %	3564	91%	<b>49</b> %	4%	
Female	1820	95%	61%	3%	1715	92%	52%	5%	
Male	1880	91%	50%	1%	1849	90%	46%	4%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	31	-	-	-	15	67%	40%	0%	
Black or African American	1074	89%	43%	1%	1010	85%	36%	2%	
Hispanic or Latino	1314	93%	54%	1%	1257	90%	44%	3%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islande	r 869	96%	66%	4%	858	96%	62%	8%	
White	408	97%	69%	5%	406	96%	70%	8%	
Multiracial	4	-	-	-	18	83%	56%	0%	
Small Group Totals	35	83%	60%	6%					
General-Education Students	3073	97%	62%	3%	2958	96%	56%	5%	
Students with Disabilities	627	74%	24%	0%	606	66%	16%	0%	
English Proficient	3370	94%	59%	2%	3227	92%	52%	5%	
Limited English Proficient	330	81%	22%	0%	337	80%	19%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	3306	93%	53%	2%	3238	90%	48%	4%	
Not Disadvantaged	394	95%	75%	5%	326	95%	64%	9%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	3700	93%	55%	2%	3564	91%	49%	4%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11 S	chool Year		-	2009–10 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number sc	oring at lev	el(s):
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	67	66	66	62	65	65	63	59
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	31	N/A	N/A	N/A	46	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 4	30	N/A	N/A	N/A	46	N/A	N/A	N/A

<sup>+</sup> These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. April 20, 2012

### **This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics**

		This District			NY State Publi	c	
		Percentage scori	ing at level(s):		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):	
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2011 Mean Score: 690	*Range:	636-800	676-800	707-800			
2010 Mean Score: 688	100%	96% 95%	69% <sub>63%</sub>		94% 95%	67% 64%	
<ul><li>■ 2010-11</li><li>■ 2009-10</li></ul>				28% 27%			27% 26%
Number of Tested Students:		3567 3418	2558 2260	1054 992			

Results by	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
All Students	3734	96%	69%	28%	3609	95%	63%	27%	
Female	1839	96%	69%	28%	1739	95%	62%	26%	
Male	1895	95%	68%	28%	1870	94%	64%	28%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	31	-	-	-	15	87%	53%	20%	
Black or African American	1072	93%	51%	13%	1011	91%	48%	16%	
Hispanic or Latino	1337	96%	70%	28%	1284	94%	59%	23%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	r 877	98%	81%	40%	874	98%	78%	42%	
White	413	97%	83%	43%	407	98%	77%	40%	
Multiracial	4	-	-	–	18	94%	72%	17%	
Small Group Totals	35	91%	57%	31%		••••	•••••		
General-Education Students	3106	98%	74%	32%	3005	97%	69%	32%	
Students with Disabilities	628	84%	42%	10%	604	81%	31%	7%	
English Proficient	3369	96%	71%	30%	3225	95%	66%	30%	
Limited English Proficient	365	87%	49%	11%	384	89%	38%	8%	
Economically Disadvantaged	3338	95%	67%	26%	3283	94%	61%	27%	
Not Disadvantaged	396	97%	84%	45%	326	98%	74%	34%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	3734	96%	69%	28%	3609	95%	63%	27%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other Assessments	2010-11	School Year		2009–10 School Year				
	Total	Number sco	Total	Number scoring at level(s):				
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	67	66	66	52	65	65	61	45

### This District's Results in Grade 4 Science

		<b>This District</b>			NY State Pub	lic	
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):	·
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2011 Mean Score: 80	Range:	45-100	65-100	85-100			
2010 Mean Score: 80 ■ 2010-11 ■ 2009-10	100%	98% 97%	87% 86%	45% 47%	98% 97%	88% 88%	52% 55%
Number of Tested Students:		3635 3484	3241 3089	1681 1701			

Results by	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	
Studentoroup	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
All Students	3716	98%	87%	45%	3597	97%	86%	47%	
Female	1831	98%	88%	46%	1728	96%	86%	45%	
Male	1885	97%	86%	45%	1869	97%	85%	49%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	30	-	-	-	15	100%	67%	27%	
Black or African American	1065	97%	81%	30%	1002	95%	78%	33%	
Hispanic or Latino	1332	98%	88%	46%	1287	97%	84%	44%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	r 877	98%	91%	54%	872	99%	93%	58%	
White	408	99%	93%	64%	403	99%	96%	72%	
Multiracial	4	-	-	–	18	94%	94%	50%	
Small Group Totals	34	97%	91%	44%			•••••		
General-Education Students	3093	99%	90%	49%	2996	98%	90%	52%	
Students with Disabilities	623	94%	73%	26%	601	92%	67%	22%	
English Proficient	3353	98%	89%	48%	3213	98%	88%	51%	
Limited English Proficient	363	92%	68%	17%	384	91%	66%	18%	
Economically Disadvantaged	3322	98%	87%	42%	3274	97%	85%	45%	
Not Disadvantaged	394	98%	92%	71%	323	98%	93%	67%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	3716	98%	87%	45%	3597	97%	86%	47%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2010-11 S	chool Year			2009–10 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	67	62	62	58	65	64	64	62	

### This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

		<b>This District</b>			NY State Pub	lic	
		Percentage scor	ring at level(s):		Percentage sco	oring at level(s):	
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2011 Mean Score: 669	*Range:	648-795	668-795	700-795			
2010 Mean Score: 672 2010–11 2009–10	100%	90% 88%	56% 50%	5% 13%	89% 88%	54% 52%	4% 13%
Number of Tested Students:		3218 3127	1977 1783	195 475			_

Results by	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	3556	90%	56%	5%	3573	88%	50%	13%
Female	1712	92%	59%	7%	1760	89%	55%	16%
Male	1844	89%	52%	4%	1813	86%	45%	10%
American Indian or Alaska Native	22	59%	23%	5%	14	100%	64%	14%
Black or African American	1016	83%	41%	4%	979	78%	31%	5%
Hispanic or Latino	1259	91%	52%	5%	1271	89%	50%	12%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	r 870	96%	70%	7%	902	93%	63%	23%
White	384	96%	74%	7%	393	95%	67%	17%
Multiracial	5	100%	80%	20%	14	100%	57%	14%
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••	•••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	••••••
General-Education Students	2978	96%	62%	6%	2994	92%	56%	15%
Students with Disabilities	578	64%	20%	1%	579	64%	20%	3%
English Proficient	3272	92%	59%	6%	3323	89%	52%	14%
Limited English Proficient	284	74%	18%	0%	250	66%	19%	2%
Economically Disadvantaged	3175	90%	54%	5%	3251	87%	48%	12%
Not Disadvantaged	381	94%	73%	8%	322	91%	68%	22%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	3556	90%	56%	5%	3573	88%	50%	13%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11 S	ichool Year			2009–10 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	61	60	59	43	72	71	70	52	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	35	N/A	N/A	N/A	54	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Total				Total				
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 5	36	N/A	N/A	N/A	54	N/A	N/A	N/A	

<sup>+</sup> These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. April 20, 2012

### **This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics**

		This District			NY State Pub	ic	
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):	·
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2011 Mean Score: 688	*Range:	640-780	676-780	707-780			
2010 Mean Score: 686	100%	95% 94%	<sup>69%</sup> 64%		94% 94%	66% 65%	
2010-11 2009-10				25% 27%		н.	23% 24%
Number of Tested Students:		3415 3422	2469 2334	908 979			

<b>Results by</b>	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Studentoroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	3600	95%	<b>69</b> %	25%	3629	94%	64%	27%
Female	1732	95%	69%	24%	1788	95%	65%	28%
Male	1868	94%	69%	26%	1841	94%	64%	26%
American Indian or Alaska Native	22	77%	41%	18%	15	93%	60%	40%
Black or African American	1014	91%	53%	13%	978	88%	42%	13%
Hispanic or Latino	1291	95%	66%	21%	1301	95%	64%	25%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	r 880	98%	85%	39%	921	98%	82%	42%
White	388	98%	82%	40%	400	98%	80%	32%
Multiracial	5	100%	100%	40%	14	93%	71%	36%
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			•••••			•••••	
General-Education Students	3023	97%	75%	29%	3050	97%	70%	30%
Students with Disabilities	577	81%	35%	7%	579	82%	36%	9%
English Proficient	3273	96%	72%	27%	3325	95%	67%	29%
Limited English Proficient	327	87%	39%	9%	304	84%	35%	9%
Economically Disadvantaged	3217	95%	67%	24%	3306	94%	63%	26%
Not Disadvantaged	383	97%	81%	36%	323	95%	75%	37%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	3600	95%	69%	25%	3629	94%	64%	27%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other Assessments	2010-11 \$	ichool Year		2009–10 School Year				
	Total	Number sco	Total	Number scoring at level(s):				
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	61	59	59	50	72	68	67	44

### This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pub	olic	
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		Percentage sco	oring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2011 Mean Score: 660	*Range:	644-785	662-785	694-785			
2010 Mean Score: 661	100%	87% 86%	48% 47%		88% 89%	56% 54%	
2010-11 2009-10				2% 5%	н.		4% 7%
Number of Tested Students:		3160 3136	1718 1695	86 183			

<b>Results by</b>	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
All Students	3615	87%	<b>48</b> %	2%	3635	86%	47%	5%	
Female	1777	90%	53%	3%	1739	89%	52%	7%	
Male	1838	85%	43%	2%	1896	84%	41%	3%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	29	-	-	-	16	94%	50%	13%	
Black or African American	1009	84%	35%	1%	1045	81%	34%	3%	
Hispanic or Latino	1278	85%	44%	1%	1280	84%	42%	3%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	920	92%	60%	4%	921	92%	59%	7%	
White	378	95%	65%	5%	351	95%	66%	12%	
Multiracial	1	-	-	-	22	86%	45%	5%	
Small Group Totals	30	87%	50%	0%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	••••••		
General-Education Students	3046	93%	54%	3%	2992	93%	54%	6%	
Students with Disabilities	569	60%	11%	0%	643	57%	11%	0%	
English Proficient	3379	90%	51%	3%	3420	89%	49%	5%	
Limited English Proficient	236	51%	3%	0%	215	50%	7%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	3150	87%	45%	2%	3203	85%	44%	4%	
Not Disadvantaged	465	93%	67%	5%	432	94%	64%	13%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	3615	87%	48%	2%	3635	86%	47%	5%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2009–10 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
AJJEJJIIEIUJ	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	76	71	71	64	67	66	63	50	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	49	N/A	N/A	N/A	48	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Total				Total				
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 6	52	N/A	N/A	N/A	47	N/A	N/A	N/A	

<sup>+</sup> These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. April 20, 2012

### This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Pub	lic	
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		Percentage sco	oring at level(s):	·
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2011 Mean Score: 682	*Range:	640-780	674-780	700-780			
2010 Mean Score: 680	100%	92% 91%	62% 59%		92% 92%	63% 61%	
2010-11 2009-10				27% 28%			26% 27%
Number of Tested Students:		3387 3356	2287 2156	974 1021			

Results by	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	3673	92%	62%	27%	3685	91%	59%	28%
Female	1803	93%	66%	28%	1765	92%	60%	30%
Male	1870	91%	59%	25%	1920	90%	57%	26%
American Indian or Alaska Native	30	-	-	-	16	94%	50%	38%
Black or African American	1013	89%	48%	14%	1044	86%	44%	16%
Hispanic or Latino	1306	91%	58%	21%	1301	90%	54%	23%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islande	r 942	96%	77%	43%	948	96%	73%	41%
White	381	97%	78%	38%	353	97%	79%	44%
Multiracial	1	-	-	–	23	96%	48%	4%
Small Group Totals	31	97%	61%	35%			•••••	••••••
General-Education Students	3107	95%	69%	31%	3045	95%	66%	33%
Students with Disabilities	566	77%	28%	4%	640	73%	23%	4%
English Proficient	3383	94%	65%	28%	3420	93%	61%	30%
Limited English Proficient	290	76%	29%	4%	265	72%	22%	4%
Economically Disadvantaged	3202	92%	61%	25%	3250	91%	57%	26%
Not Disadvantaged	471	96%	74%	37%	435	95%	71%	43%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	3673	92%	62%	27%	3685	91%	59%	28%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11 9	School Year			2009–10 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	76	75	69	61	68	67	64	47	

### This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

		<b>This District</b>			NY State Pub	olic	
		Percentage scor	ring at level(s):		Percentage sc	oring at level(s):	
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2011 Mean Score: 661	*Range:	642-790	665-790	698-790			
2010 Mean Score: 664	100%	89% 88%			91% 90%		
2010-11 2009-10			40% 41%	2% 8%		48% 50%	4% 11%
Number of Tested Students:		3398 3241	1508 1504	89 280			

Results by	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	3811	89%	40%	2%	3667	88%	41%	8%	
Female	1812	92%	47%	3%	1774	92%	46%	9%	
Male	1999	86%	33%	2%	1893	85%	36%	6%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	45	-	-	-	20	70%	30%	5%	
Black or African American	1046	84%	27%	1%	1016	83%	27%	4%	
Hispanic or Latino	1325	90%	35%	2%	1350	88%	37%	6%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	r 1036	92%	50%	3%	898	93%	54%	11%	
White	355	95%	63%	8%	353	93%	61%	17%	
Multiracial	4	-	-	-	30	97%	70%	17%	
Small Group Totals	49	78%	35%	2%			••••••	•••••	
General-Education Students	3152	94%	46%	3%	3048	93%	47%	9%	
Students with Disabilities	659	67%	10%	0%	619	64%	10%	0%	
English Proficient	3577	91%	42%	2%	3450	90%	43%	8%	
Limited English Proficient	234	55%	3%	0%	217	57%	4%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	3310	88%	37%	2%	3216	88%	39%	7%	
Not Disadvantaged	501	94%	54%	7%	451	93%	56%	16%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	3811	89%	40%	2%	3667	88%	41%	8%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11 S	chool Year			2009–10 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	66	66	63	60	57	57	55	48
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	40	N/A	N/A	N/A	51	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 7	40	N/A	N/A	N/A	50	N/A	N/A	N/A

<sup>+</sup> These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. April 20, 2012

### This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Pub	lic	
		Percentage scori	ing at level(s):		Percentage sco	oring at level(s):	
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2011 Mean Score: 675	*Range:	639-800	670-800	694-800			
2010 Mean Score: 674	100%	91% 90%	58% 56%		92% 92%	65% 62%	
2010-11 2009-10				26% 26%			30% 29%
Number of Tested Students:		3531 3353	2224 2082	991 984			

<b>Results by</b>	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
All Students	3860	<b>91</b> %	58%	26%	3720	90%	56%	26%	
Female	1842	93%	60%	27%	1798	91%	59%	28%	
Male	2018	90%	56%	25%	1922	90%	54%	25%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	45	78%	42%	16%	20	70%	50%	25%	
Black or African American	1048	85%	42%	15%	1019	84%	38%	12%	
Hispanic or Latino	1352	93%	55%	18%	1372	90%	53%	22%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	r 1055	95%	71%	39%	923	95%	72%	41%	
White	355	95%	77%	48%	356	95%	74%	45%	
Multiracial	5	100%	60%	60%	30	97%	77%	53%	
Small Group Totals						••••	•••••	••••••	
General-Education Students	3198	95%	65%	30%	3101	94%	63%	31%	
Students with Disabilities	662	75%	23%	4%	619	68%	19%	4%	
English Proficient	3584	93%	60%	27%	3449	92%	59%	28%	
Limited English Proficient	276	76%	24%	5%	271	69%	20%	4%	
Economically Disadvantaged	3359	91%	56%	24%	3261	90%	54%	25%	
Not Disadvantaged	501	93%	70%	39%	459	94%	69%	39%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	3860	91%	58%	26%	3720	90%	56%	26%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010–11 data only. Ranges for the 2009–10 data are available in the 2009–10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other Assessments	2010-11 S	ichool Year		2009–10 School Year					
	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	66	64	63	40	56	54	54	33	

### This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		<b>This District</b>			NY State Pub	lic	
		Percentage scor	ring at level(s):		Percentage sco	oring at level(s):	
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2011 Mean Score: 651	*Range:	628-790	658-790	699-790			
2010 Mean Score: 655	100%	90% 89%	37% 44%		92% 91%	47% 51%	
2010-11 2009-10			37%	1% 5%			2% 8%
Number of Tested Students:		3372 3392	1374 1683	35 208			

Results by	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	3726	90%	37%	1%	3805	89%	44%	5%	
Female	1812	93%	44%	1%	1903	91%	52%	7%	
Male	1914	88%	30%	0%	1902	87%	37%	4%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	46	78%	22%	4%	17	100%	71%	12%	
Black or African American	1034	86%	24%	0%	1096	85%	30%	3%	
Hispanic or Latino	1355	91%	36%	1%	1293	87%	38%	3%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	937	93%	48%	1%	1018	94%	57%	9%	
White	348	95%	54%	3%	367	96%	71%	11%	
Multiracial	6	100%	33%	0%	14	86%	36%	7%	
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •								
General-Education Students	3116	94%	43%	1%	3198	93%	51%	6%	
Students with Disabilities	610	71%	7%	0%	607	67%	10%	0%	
English Proficient	3485	93%	39%	1%	3560	91%	47%	6%	
Limited English Proficient	241	56%	2%	0%	245	55%	4%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	3140	90%	35%	1%	3327	88%	42%	5%	
Not Disadvantaged	586	94%	49%	2%	478	94%	59%	10%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	3726	90%	37%	1%	3805	89%	44%	5%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11 S	chool Year			2009–10 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number sco	oring at lev	el(s):
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	60	60	58	52	64	64	59	55
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	31	N/A	N/A	N/A	44	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 8	40	N/A	N/A	N/A	45	N/A	N/A	N/A

<sup>+</sup> These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. April 20, 2012

### **This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics**

		This District			NY State Public				
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):	·	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2011 Mean Score: 676	*Range:	639-775	674-775	704-775					
2010 Mean Score: 677	100%	91% 90%	<sup>55%</sup> 50%		91% 91%	60% <sub>55%</sub>			
2009-10				18% 20%			18% 18%		
Number of Tested Students:		3419 3449	2079 1927	689 785					

Resultsby	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	3760	91%	55%	18%	3838	90%	50%	20%
Female	1836	92%	57%	18%	1918	91%	54%	23%
Male	1924	90%	54%	19%	1920	88%	47%	18%
American Indian or Alaska Native	47	81%	40%	23%	17	100%	59%	18%
Black or African American	1033	85%	35%	6%	1093	84%	31%	8%
Hispanic or Latino	1371	91%	53%	15%	1309	89%	42%	14%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	953	96%	75%	33%	1036	96%	72%	37%
White	350	96%	73%	30%	368	94%	75%	36%
Multiracial	6	100%	83%	50%	15	93%	47%	0%
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••		••••••		••••	••••••	••••••
General-Education Students	3152	95%	62%	21%	3232	94%	57%	24%
Students with Disabilities	608	72%	20%	3%	606	68%	15%	2%
English Proficient	3479	92%	57%	19%	3552	91%	53%	22%
imited English Proficient	281	77%	28%	4%	286	75%	18%	3%
Economically Disadvantaged	3176	90%	54%	18%	3357	89%	48%	20%
Not Disadvantaged	584	95%	63%	22%	481	93%	62%	26%
Yigrant								
Not Migrant	3760	91%	55%	18%	3838	90%	50%	20%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010–11 data only. Ranges for the 2009–10 data are available in the 2009–10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11 S	chool Year			2009–10 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	60	58	55	41	64	62	61	37

### This District's Results in Grade 8 Science

	This Distri	ict		NY State I	Public		
	Percentage	scoring at level(s):		Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s)		
	2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
10 2010-11 2009-10	92%	58%	13%	94%	72%	28%	
Number of Tested Students:	3312 -	2091 -	462 -				

Resultsby	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	3578	<b>92</b> %	58%	13%	3740	91%	62%	19%
Female	1757	93%	57%	11%	1871	91%	63%	19%
Male	1821	91%	59%	15%	1869	91%	61%	18%
American Indian or Alaska Native	48	81%	44%	10%	16	100%	69%	25%
Black or African American	947	88%	43%	6%	1056	86%	45%	8%
Hispanic or Latino	1295	92%	57%	11%	1267	90%	57%	14%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	937	94%	69%	18%	1021	95%	76%	27%
White	345	97%	77%	27%	365	98%	85%	41%
Multiracial	6	100%	100%	17%	15	93%	60%	13%
Small Group Totals	••••••						•••••	•••••
General-Education Students	3032	94%	64%	15%	3159	94%	68%	21%
Students with Disabilities	546	79%	29%	3%	581	76%	29%	3%
English Proficient	3318	94%	61%	14%	3462	93%	65%	20%
Limited English Proficient	260	68%	18%	0%	278	72%	22%	1%
Economically Disadvantaged	3005	91%	57%	11%	3270	91%	60%	17%
Not Disadvantaged	573	95%	67%	21%	470	94%	76%	32%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	3578	92%	58%	13%	3740	91%	62%	19%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2010-11 S	chool Year			2009–10 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	60	58	56	52	64	59	57	45
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent				J2	04	79	J1	45
Regents Science	33	20	8	1	4	-	-	-

### This District's Total Cohort\* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction

	This District			NY State Pub	lic	
	Percentage sco	oring at level(s	5):	Percentage s	(s):	
	2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3–4	4
100% 2007 Cohort 2006 Cohort	75% 70%	70% 67%	17% 11%	83% 82%	80% 79%	35% 32%

Results by	2007 <b>Coho</b> i	t			2006 <b>Coho</b> i			
	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	3214	75%	70%	17%	3008	70%	67%	11%
Female	1520	81%	77%	22%	1362	75%	72%	17%
Male	1694	69%	64%	13%	1646	66%	63%	7%
American Indian or Alaska Native	26	54%	54%	38%	13	69%	62%	15%
Black or African American	1116	72%	67%	11%	1036	65%	61%	8%
Hispanic or Latino	1168	74%	69%	16%	1065	69%	67%	11%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	690	82%	79%	23%	688	81%	78%	18%
White	208	75%	73%	30%	178	61%	60%	11%
Multiracial	6	17%	17%	0%	28	61%	57%	21%
Small Group Totals				•••••				•••••
General-Education Students	2730	82%	78%	20%	2529	78%	75%	13%
Students with Disabilities	484	35%	29%	2%	479	29%	25%	2%
English Proficient	2829	77%	73%	18%	2669	72%	69%	12%
Limited English Proficient	385	57%	49%	6%	339	57%	53%	5%
Economically Disadvantaged	2354	79%	74%	18%	2230	74%	71%	13%
Not Disadvantaged	860	63%	59%	14%	778	58%	56%	8%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	3214	75%	70%	17%	3008	70%	67%	11%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

\*\* 2006 cohort data are those reported in the 2009–10 Accountability and Overview Report.

### This District's Total Cohort\* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction

	<b>This District</b>			NY State Pub	lic			
	Percentage sc	oring at level	(s):	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
	2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
10 2007 Cohort 2006 Cohort	80% 74%	<sup>71%</sup> 639	6% 5%	86% 84%	81% 79%	25% <sup>30%</sup>		

Results by	2007 <b>Coho</b> i	t			2006 Cohort**			
	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	3214	80%	71%	6%	3008	74%	63%	5%
Female	1520	84%	75%	7%	1362	78%	66%	5%
Male	1694	76%	67%	5%	1646	71%	61%	4%
American Indian or Alaska Native	26	65%	54%	8%	13	62%	46%	0%
Black or African American	1116	74%	64%	3%	1036	70%	56%	3%
Hispanic or Latino	1168	81%	71%	5%	1065	73%	64%	3%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	690	88%	81%	11%	688	85%	77%	10%
White	208	78%	76%	13%	178	63%	55%	2%
Multiracial	6	50%	33%	0%	28	68%	61%	4%
Small Group Totals				••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	•••••
General-Education Students	2730	88%	79%	7%	2529	82%	72%	5%
Students with Disabilities	484	34%	24%	0%	479	32%	19%	1%
English Proficient	2829	80%	72%	6%	2669	74%	64%	5%
Limited English Proficient	385	75%	65%	3%	339	70%	59%	1%
Economically Disadvantaged	2354	83%	74%	6%	2230	77%	67%	5%
Not Disadvantaged	860	70%	63%	5%	778	65%	54%	4%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	3214	80%	71%	6%	3008	74%	63%	5%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

\*\* 2006 cohort data are those reported in the 2009–10 Accountability and Overview Report.