



The New York State District Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2010 – 11

District **NORTH TONAWANDA CITY SCHOOL
DISTRICT**

District ID **40-09-00-01-0000**

Superintendent **GREGORY WOYTILA**

Telephone **(716) 807-3500**

Grades **PK-12, UE, US**

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents' effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

Use this report to:

- 1 Get District Profile information.**
This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.
- 2 Review District Accountability Status.**
This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies the district's accountability status.
- 3 View School Accountability Status.**
This section lists all schools in your district by 2011–12 accountability status.
- 4 Review an Overview of District Performance.**
This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services
New York State Education Department
Room 863 EBA
Albany, NY 12234
Email: dataquest@mail.nysed.gov

District NORTH TONAWANDA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 40-09-00-01-0000

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11
Pre-K	96	100	110
Kindergarten	271	254	260
Grade 1	288	275	251
Grade 2	282	275	272
Grade 3	287	280	288
Grade 4	311	284	281
Grade 5	278	318	281
Grade 6	282	272	320
Ungraded Elementary	3	5	5
Grade 7	319	278	278
Grade 8	307	317	280
Grade 9	367	325	328
Grade 10	344	352	316
Grade 11	384	319	344
Grade 12	380	373	310
Ungraded Secondary	13	14	10
Total K-12	4116	3941	3824

Average Class Size

	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11
Common Branch	21	21	20
Grade 8			
English	22	22	20
Mathematics	22	24	20
Science	23	24	21
Social Studies	23	24	22
Grade 10			
English	19	21	26
Mathematics	19	24	24
Science	13	29	24
Social Studies	20	21	23

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. Common Branch refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1-6.

District NORTH TONAWANDA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 40-09-00-01-0000

Demographic Factors

	2008-09		2009-10		2010-11	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	819	20%	841	21%	1000	26%
Reduced-Price Lunch	350	9%	369	9%	353	9%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	28	1%	37	1%	31	1%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	92	2%	94	2%	67	2%
Black or African American	84	2%	85	2%	82	2%
Hispanic or Latino	66	2%	70	2%	57	1%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	23	1%	27	1%	24	1%
White	3846	93%	3664	93%	3582	94%
Multiracial	5	0%	1	0%	12	0%

* Available only at the school level.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2007-08		2008-09		2009-10	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		94%		95%		94%
Student Suspensions	345	8%	212	5%	204	5%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. *Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient* counts are used to determine *Similar Schools* groupings within a *Need/Resource Capacity* category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. *Student Suspension* rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

District NORTH TONAWANDA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 40-09-00-01-0000

Teacher Qualifications

	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11
Total Number of Teachers	333	329	327
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	0%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	0%	0%	0%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	5%	5%	6%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	19%	19%	19%
Total Number of Core Classes	903	893	819
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers in This District	1%	0%	0%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified in High-Poverty Schools Statewide	8%	6%	5%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified in Low-Poverty Schools Statewide	1%	1%	0%
Total Number of Classes	1152	1161	1130
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	1%	0%	0%

Teacher Turnover Rate

	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	22%	23%	7%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	8%	12%	10%

Staff Counts

	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11
Total Other Professional Staff	28	37	37
Total Paraprofessionals*	97	94	90
Assistant Principals	3	3	4
Principals	8	7	7

* Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. A teacher who taught one class outside of the certification area(s) is counted as Highly Qualified provided that 1) the teacher had been determined by the school or district through the HOUSSE process or other state-accepted methods to have demonstrated acceptable subject knowledge and teaching skills and 2) the class in question was not the sole assignment reported. Credit for incidental teaching does not extend beyond a single assignment. Independent of Highly Qualified Teacher status, any assignment for which a teacher did not hold a valid certificate still registers as teaching out of certification. High-poverty and low-poverty schools are those schools in the upper and lower quartiles, respectively, for percentage of students eligible for a free or reduced-price lunch.

Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2010–11, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: <http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irs/accountability/>.



1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2010–11 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2007 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group, if it has 30 or more students, must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the group must meet both the participation criterion and the performance criterion in science.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2006 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2010 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (80%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2006 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2010 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (80%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

12th Graders

The count of 12th graders enrolled during the 2010–11 school year used to determine the Percentage Tested for the Participation part of the AYP determination for secondary-level ELA and mathematics. These are the first numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the secondary-level ELA and mathematics pages.

2007 Cohort

The count of students in the 2007 accountability cohort used to determine the Performance Index for the Test Performance part of the AYP determination for secondary-level ELA and mathematics. These are the second numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the secondary-level ELA and mathematics pages.

Accountability Cohort for English and Mathematics

The accountability cohort is used to determine if a school or district met the performance criterion in secondary-level ELA and mathematics. The 2007 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2007–08 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2007–08 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2010 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2011, are not included in the 2007 school accountability cohort. The 2007 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p) (14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuous Enrollment

The count of continuously enrolled tested students used to determine the Performance Index for the Test Performance part of the AYP determination for elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science. These are the second numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science pages.

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make AYP. The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.p12.nysed.gov/irs.

Graduation Rate

The Graduation Rate on the Graduation Rate page is the percentage of the 2006 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2010.

Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

The Graduation-Rate Total Cohort, shown on the Graduation Rate page, is used to determine if a school or district made AYP in graduation rate. For the 2010–11 school year, this cohort is the 2006 graduation-rate total cohort. The 2006 total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2006–07 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2006–07 school year, and who were enrolled in the school/district for five months or longer or who were enrolled in the school/district for less than five months but were previously enrolled in the same school/district for five months or longer between the date they first entered Grade 9 and the date they last ended enrollment. A more detailed definition of graduation-rate cohort can be found in the *SIRS Manual* at www.p12.nysed.gov/irs.

For districts and schools with fewer than 30 graduation-rate total cohort members in the All Students group in 2010–11, data for 2009–10 and 2010–11 for accountability groups were combined to determine counts and graduation rates. Groups with fewer than 30 students in the graduation-rate total cohort are not required to meet the graduation-rate criterion.

Limited English Proficient

For all accountability measures, if the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

Non-Accountability Groups

Female, Male, and Migrant groups are not part of the AYP determination for any measure.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability (continued)

Participation

Accountability groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period (for elementary/middle-level ELA, math, and science) or fewer than 40 12th graders (for secondary-level ELA and mathematics) are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the Percentage Tested for an accountability group fell below 95 percent for ELA and math or 80 percent for science in 2010–11, the participation enrollment (“Total” or “12th Graders”) shown in the tables is the sum of 2009–10 and 2010–11 participation enrollments and the “Percentage Tested” shown is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

Performance Index (PI)

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[\frac{\text{Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{the Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students}} \right]$$

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[\frac{\text{Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{the Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Cohort Members}} \right]$$

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at www.p12.nysed.gov/irs.

Progress Targets

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making AYP or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year’s performance.

Science: The current year’s Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the previous year’s Performance Index (PI). Example: The 2010–11 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2009–10 PI.

Graduation Rate: The Graduation-rate Progress Target is calculated by determining a 20% gap reduction between the rate of the previous year’s graduation-rate cohort and the state standard. Example: The 2010–11 Graduation-Rate Progress Target = $[(80 - \text{percentage of the 2005 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009}) \times 0.20] + \text{percentage of the 2005 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009}$.

Progress Targets are provided for groups whose PI (for science) or graduation rate (for graduation rate) is below the State Standard.

Safe Harbor Targets

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate AYP for accountability groups that do not achieve their EAMOs in English or mathematics. The 2010–11 safe harbor targets are calculated using the following equation:
2009–10 PI + (200 – the 2009–10 PI) × 0.10

Safe Harbor Targets are provided for groups whose PI is less than the EAMO.

Safe Harbor Qualification (*)

On the science page, if the group met both the participation and the performance criteria for science, the Safe Harbor Qualification column will show “Qualified.” If the group did not meet one or more criteria, the column will show “Did not qualify.” A “*” symbol after the 2010–11 Safe Harbor Target on the elementary/middle- or secondary-level ELA or mathematics page indicates that the student group did not make AYP in science (elementary/middle level) or graduation rate (secondary level) and; therefore, the group did not qualify for Safe Harbor in ELA or mathematics.

State Standard

The criterion value that represents minimally satisfactory performance (for science) or a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma (for graduation rate). In 2010–11, the State Science Standard is a Performance Index of 100; the State Graduation-Rate Standard is 80%. The Commissioner may raise the State Standard at his discretion in future years.

Students with Disabilities

For all measures, if the count of students with disabilities is equal to or greater than 30, former students with disabilities are also included in the performance calculations.

Test Performance

For districts and schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students (for elementary/middle-level ELA, math, and science) or fewer than 30 students in the 2007 cohort (for secondary-level ELA and mathematics) in the All Students group in 2010–11, data for 2009–10 and 2010–11 for accountability groups were combined to determine counts and Performance Indices. For districts and schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students/2007 cohort members in the All Students group in 2010–11, student groups with fewer than 30 members are not required to meet the performance criterion. This is indicated by a “—” in the Test Performance column in the table.

Total

The count of students enrolled during the test administration period used to determine the Percentage Tested for the Participation part of the AYP determination for elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science. These are the first numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science pages. For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons in accordance with federal NCLB guidance are not included in the count.

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: <http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irs/accountability/>.

Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

▲ District in Good Standing

- A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 2)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 3)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 4)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

New York State Status

(Applies to New York State districts)

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

Pending – A district's status is "Pending" if the district requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2011–12)

Improvement (Year 1)

ELA	Improvement (Year 1)	Science	Good Standing
Math	Good Standing	Graduation Rate	Good Standing

Title I Part A Funding

Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding

2009–10	2010–11	2011–12
YES	YES	YES

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

Student Groups	Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Level		
	English			English		
	Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
All Students	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native	✓	✓		–	–	
Black or African American	✗	✓		–	–	
Hispanic or Latino	✓	✓		–	–	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	–	–		–	–	
White	✓	✓		✓	✓	
Multiracial	–	–				
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities	✗	✗		✗	✗	
Limited English Proficient	–	–		–	–	
Economically Disadvantaged	✓	✓		✓	✓	
Student groups making AYP in each subject	✗ 5 of 7	✗ 6 of 7	✓ 1 of 1	✗ 3 of 4	✗ 3 of 4	✓ 1 of 1

AYP Status


- ✓ Made AYP
- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- ✗ Did not make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Accountability Status Levels

- | Federal | State |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| Good Standing | Good Standing |
| Improvement (Year 1) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) |
| Improvement (Year 2) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) |
| Improvement (Year 3) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) |
| Improvement (Year 4) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) |
| Improvement (Year 5 & Above) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 & Above) |
| Pending – Requires Special Evaluation | |

Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts






















Accountability Status  Improvement (Year 1)
for This Subject
(2011–12)

Accountability Measures 5 of 7 Student groups making AYP in English language arts
 Did not make AYP




Prospective Status

To be removed from improvement status in English language arts, this district must make AYP in this measure at the elementary/middle or secondary level for two consecutive years. If this district fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2011-12, the district will be In Need of Improvement (Year 2) in 2012-13. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or secondary level in 2011-12, the district will remain In Need of Improvement (Year 1) in 2012-13. [206]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment)	AYP	Participation		Test Performance		Performance Objectives		
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2010–11 2011–12	
Accountability Groups								
All Students (1770:1689)			98%		144	119		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (58:58)			100%		129	109		
Black or African American (48:45)			100%		102	108	108	112
Hispanic or Latino (41:26)			98%	–	–	–		–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (15:15)	–	–	–	–	–	–		–
White (1604:1542)			98%		146	119		
Multiracial (4:3)	–	–	–	–	–	–		–
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (299:278)			96%		80	115	96	92
Limited English Proficient (17:9)	–	–	–	–	–	–		–
Economically Disadvantaged (649:598)			98%		131	118		
Final AYP Determination	 5 of 7							
Non-Accountability Groups								
Female (852:817)			99%		151	118		
Male (918:872)			98%		138	118		
Migrant (0:0)								


Symbols

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30 Continuous Enrollment
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

NOTE: See *Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability* for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics






















Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2011–12)

Accountability Measures 6 of 7 Student groups making AYP in mathematics
 Did not make AYP




Prospective Status

A district that fails to make AYP in mathematics at the elementary/middle and secondary levels for two consecutive years is placed in improvement status. If this district fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2011-12, the district will be District In Need of Improvement (Year 1) in 2012-13. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or secondary level in 2011-12, the district will be in good standing in 2012-13. [202]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment)	AYP	Participation		Test Performance		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2010–11 2011–12
Accountability Groups							
All Students (1771:1699)			99%		158	134	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (58:58)			100%		160	124	
Black or African American (48:45)			100%		129	123	
Hispanic or Latino (41:26)			98%	—	—	—	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (15:15)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White (1605:1552)			99%		159	134	
Multiracial (4:3)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities (299:283)			97%		100	131	113 110
Limited English Proficient (17:13)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged (649:602)			98%		146	133	
Final AYP Determination	 6 of 7						
Non-Accountability Groups							
Female (852:818)			99%		161	133	
Male (919:881)			99%		156	133	
Migrant (0:0)							

Symbols

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30 Continuous Enrollment
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

NOTE: See *Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability* for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.











Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2011–12)



Accountability Measures 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in science
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2012-13. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment)	AYP		Participation		Test Performance		Performance Objectives		
	AYP Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress Target 2010–11	2011–12
Accountability Groups									
All Students (579:550)		Qualified		98%		186	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (16:16)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (12:12)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hispanic or Latino (11:7)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (3:3)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White (535:510)		Qualified		98%		187	100		
Multiracial (2:2)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (98:91)		Qualified		96%		155	100		
Limited English Proficient (6:4)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged (190:175)		Qualified		98%		185	100		
Final AYP Determination		1 of 1							
Non-Accountability Groups									
Female (261:248)				98%		186	100		
Male (318:302)				99%		186	100		
Migrant (0:0)									


Symbols

-  Made AYP
-  Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30 Continuous Enrollment

NOTE: See *Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability* for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

Secondary-Level English Language Arts














Accountability Status  Improvement (Year 1)
for This Subject
(2011–12)

Accountability Measures 3 of 4 Student groups making AYP in English language arts
 Did not make AYP




Prospective Status

To be removed from improvement status in English language arts, this district must make AYP in this measure at the elementary/middle or secondary level for two consecutive years. If this district fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2011-12, the district will be In Need of Improvement (Year 2) in 2012-13. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or secondary level in 2011-12, the district will remain In Need of Improvement (Year 1) in 2012-13. [206]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English language arts accountability measures?

Student Group (12th Graders: 2007 Cohort)	AYP	Participation		Test Performance		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2010–11 2011–12
Accountability Groups							
All Students (310:313)			97%		182	177	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (4:4)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (1:1)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hispanic or Latino (4:7)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1:0)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White (300:301)			97%		183	177	
Multiracial (0:0)							
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities (67:47)			87%		121	169	143‡ 129
Limited English Proficient (1:1)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged (45:50)			96%		170	170	
Final AYP Determination		3 of 4					
Non-Accountability Groups							
Female (146:148)			97%		188	174	
Male (164:165)			97%		177	175	
Migrant (0:0)							


Symbols

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 12th Graders/Fewer Than 30 Cohort
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

NOTE: See *Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability* for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

Secondary-Level Mathematics














Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2011–12)

Accountability Measures 3 of 4 Student groups making AYP in mathematics
 Did not make AYP




Prospective Status

A district that fails to make AYP in mathematics at the elementary/middle and secondary levels for two consecutive years is placed in improvement status. If this district fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2011-12, the district will be District In Need of Improvement (Year 1) in 2012-13. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or secondary level in 2011-12, the district will be in good standing in 2012-13. [202]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group (12th Graders: 2007 Cohort)	AYP	Participation		Test Performance		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2010–11 2011–12
Accountability Groups							
All Students (310:313)			99%		182	174	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (4:4)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (1:1)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hispanic or Latino (4:7)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1:0)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White (300:301)			99%		182	174	
Multiracial (0:0)							
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities (40:47)			95%		117	166	136 [‡] 125
Limited English Proficient (1:1)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged (45:50)			98%		174	167	
Final AYP Determination	 3 of 4						
Non-Accountability Groups							
Female (146:148)			98%		189	171	
Male (164:165)			99%		175	172	
Migrant (0:0)							


Symbols

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 12th Graders/Fewer Than 30 Cohort
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

NOTE: See *Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability* for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.







Graduation Rate

Accountability Status for This Indicator (2011–12)  Good Standing

Accountability Measures 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in graduation rate
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2012-13. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

Student Group (2006 Graduation-Rate Total Cohort)	Graduation			Objectives	
	AYP	Met Criterion	Graduation Rate	State Standard	Progress Target 2010–11
Accountability Groups					
All Students (381)			84%	80%	
Ethnicity					
American Indian or Alaska Native (3)		—	—	—	
Black or African American (2)		—	—	—	
Hispanic or Latino (4)		—	—	—	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1)		—	—	—	
White (371)			84%	80%	
Multiracial (0)					
Other Groups					
Students with Disabilities (47)			40%	80%	50%
Limited English Proficient (1)		—	—	—	
Economically Disadvantaged (67)			64%	80%	72%
Final AYP Determination	 1 of 1				
Non-Accountability Groups					
Female (174)			89%	80%	
Male (207)			79%	80%	
Migrant (0)					

Symbols

-  Made AYP
-  Did not make AYP
- Fewer than 30 Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

NOTE: See *Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability* for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

Aspirational Goal

The Board of Regents has set an aspirational goal that 95% of students in each public school and school district will graduate within five years of first entry into grade 9. The graduation rate for the 2006 total cohort through June 2011 (after 5 years) for this district is **86%** and, therefore, this district **did not** meet this goal. The aspirational goal does not impact accountability.

2011–12 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2011–12 accountability status.

In Good Standing

4 schools identified 57% of total

DRAKE SCHOOL
GILMORE SCHOOL
MEADOW SCHOOL
SPRUCE SCHOOL

Improvement (year 1) Basic

2 schools identified 29% of total

NORTH TONAWANDA MIDDLE SCHOOL
OHIO ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

Improvement (year 1) Focused

1 school identified 14% of total

NORTH TONAWANDA HIGH SCHOOL

4 Overview of District Performance

District **NORTH TONAWANDA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **40-09-00-01-0000**

Summary of 2010–11 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

English Language Arts	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			Total Tested
	0%	50%	100%	
Grade 3	60%			285
Grade 4	54%			278
Grade 5	49%			281
Grade 6	59%			316
Grade 7	43%			279
Grade 8	48%			277

Mathematics	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			Total Tested
	0%	50%	100%	
Grade 3	59%			290
Grade 4	67%			285
Grade 5	71%			283
Grade 6	64%			317
Grade 7	64%			279
Grade 8	50%			278

Science	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			Total Tested
	0%	50%	100%	
Grade 4	92%			286
Grade 8	80%			219

Secondary Level	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			2007 Total Cohort
	0%	50%	100%	
English	82%			348
Mathematics	82%			348

About the Performance Level Descriptors

English Language Arts

Level 1: Below Standard

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level.

Level 2: Meets Basic Standard

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level.

Level 3: Meets Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level.

Level 4: Exceeds Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level.

Mathematics

Level 1: Below Standard

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

Level 2: Meets Basic Standard

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

Level 3: Meets Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

Level 4: Exceeds Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at www.p12.nysed.gov/irs.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

This District's N/RC Category:

Average Need Districts


This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

4 Overview of District Performance

District **NORTH TONAWANDA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **40-09-00-01-0000**

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	285	87%	60%	2%	278	88%	55%	15%
Female	141	89%	63%	2%	133	90%	58%	18%
Male	144	85%	57%	3%	145	86%	53%	12%
American Indian or Alaska Native	14	64%	50%	0%	10	80%	50%	20%
Black or African American	11	73%	27%	0%	4	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	7	-	-	-	5	80%	60%	20%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
White	251	88%	62%	3%	257	89%	56%	14%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	9	100%	67%	0%	6	67%	33%	17%
General-Education Students	248	93%	67%	3%	238	95%	62%	16%
Students with Disabilities	37	49%	16%	0%	40	48%	18%	5%
English Proficient	282	-	-	-	277	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	3	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	114	80%	49%	2%	112	83%	46%	6%
Not Disadvantaged	171	92%	67%	3%	166	92%	61%	20%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	285	87%	60%	2%	278	88%	55%	15%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

Other Assessments

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total					Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 3	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	N/A


† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

4 Overview of District Performance

District **NORTH TONAWANDA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **40-09-00-01-0000**

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	290	93%	59%	9%	281	93%	54%	21%
Female	141	95%	60%	8%	135	92%	53%	20%
Male	149	92%	58%	9%	146	95%	55%	21%
American Indian or Alaska Native	14	100%	57%	0%	10	80%	30%	0%
Black or African American	11	91%	36%	0%	4	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	7	-	-	-	5	80%	80%	60%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
White	256	93%	59%	9%	260	94%	55%	21%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	9	100%	78%	22%	6	83%	33%	17%
General-Education Students	251	97%	66%	10%	240	96%	59%	24%
Students with Disabilities	39	69%	13%	0%	41	76%	24%	2%
English Proficient	286	-	-	-	279	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	4	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	115	90%	46%	6%	112	88%	46%	20%
Not Disadvantaged	175	95%	67%	10%	169	96%	59%	21%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	290	93%	59%	9%	281	93%	54%	21%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

Other Assessments


	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	3	-	-	-

4 Overview of District Performance

District **NORTH TONAWANDA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **40-09-00-01-0000**

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	278	90%	54%	0%	282	95%	66%	7%
Female	134	90%	57%	1%	137	95%	70%	9%
Male	144	90%	50%	0%	145	94%	63%	5%
American Indian or Alaska Native	10	80%	50%	0%	9	89%	78%	11%
Black or African American	5	-	-	-	8	100%	38%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	7	57%	43%	0%	3	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
White	252	92%	54%	0%	258	95%	67%	7%
Multiracial	2	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	9	78%	44%	0%	7	86%	43%	14%
General-Education Students	236	97%	61%	0%	245	99%	73%	8%
Students with Disabilities	42	52%	14%	0%	37	68%	19%	0%
English Proficient	275	-	-	-	280	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	3	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	98	85%	40%	0%	119	92%	57%	2%
Not Disadvantaged	180	93%	61%	1%	163	96%	73%	10%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	278	90%	54%	0%	282	95%	66%	7%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

Other Assessments

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	5	5	5	5
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total					Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 4	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A


† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

4 Overview of District Performance

District **NORTH TONAWANDA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **40-09-00-01-0000**

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	285	95%	67%	26%	282	95%	63%	20%
Female	137	97%	66%	24%	137	94%	57%	20%
Male	148	93%	68%	27%	145	97%	69%	21%
American Indian or Alaska Native	10	80%	60%	20%	9	89%	78%	33%
Black or African American	5	-	-	-	8	88%	50%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	7	86%	57%	0%	3	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
White	259	97%	68%	27%	258	96%	64%	21%
Multiracial	2	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	9	78%	67%	22%	7	86%	29%	14%
General-Education Students	241	99%	74%	29%	245	98%	69%	23%
Students with Disabilities	44	73%	27%	5%	37	81%	24%	3%
English Proficient	280	95%	68%	26%	280	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	5	80%	20%	0%	2	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	102	93%	56%	16%	119	95%	57%	12%
Not Disadvantaged	183	96%	73%	31%	163	96%	67%	26%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	285	95%	67%	26%	282	95%	63%	20%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

Other Assessments


	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	5	5	4	3

4 Overview of District Performance

District **NORTH TONAWANDA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **40-09-00-01-0000**

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science



Results by Student Group

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	286	98%	92%	59%	280	100%	95%	63%
Female	137	99%	92%	56%	136	100%	95%	57%
Male	149	97%	91%	62%	144	99%	95%	69%
American Indian or Alaska Native	10	100%	80%	60%	9	100%	89%	67%
Black or African American	4	-	-	-	8	100%	88%	25%
Hispanic or Latino	7	86%	57%	29%	3	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
White	261	98%	93%	60%	256	100%	95%	65%
Multiracial	2	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	8	100%	88%	50%	7	100%	100%	29%
General-Education Students	241	100%	96%	64%	243	100%	97%	68%
Students with Disabilities	45	89%	67%	33%	37	97%	81%	32%
English Proficient	281	98%	93%	59%	278	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	5	100%	40%	40%	2	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	104	97%	88%	47%	119	99%	94%	50%
Not Disadvantaged	182	99%	93%	66%	161	100%	96%	73%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	286	98%	92%	59%	280	100%	95%	63%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments


	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	5	5	5	5

4 Overview of District Performance

District **NORTH TONAWANDA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **40-09-00-01-0000**

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	281	92%	49%	3%	316	91%	51%	8%
Female	135	91%	53%	4%	159	94%	60%	8%
Male	146	92%	47%	2%	157	87%	41%	7%
American Indian or Alaska Native	8	75%	50%	13%	13	92%	38%	0%
Black or African American	7	86%	43%	0%	7	71%	14%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	5	80%	60%	0%	6	50%	33%	17%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	-	-	-	7	100%	57%	14%
White	256	93%	49%	3%	283	92%	52%	8%
Multiracial	2	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	5	100%	60%	0%				
General-Education Students	240	97%	57%	3%	272	96%	57%	9%
Students with Disabilities	41	63%	5%	2%	44	57%	14%	0%
English Proficient	280	-	-	-	311	91%	51%	8%
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-	5	60%	0%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	108	93%	36%	1%	125	83%	38%	4%
Not Disadvantaged	173	91%	58%	4%	191	95%	59%	10%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	281	92%	49%	3%	316	91%	51%	8%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

Other Assessments

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total					Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A


† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

4 Overview of District Performance

District **NORTH TONAWANDA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **40-09-00-01-0000**

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	283	96%	71%	19%	318	94%	64%	21%
Female	136	96%	65%	15%	159	96%	66%	21%
Male	147	96%	78%	22%	159	93%	62%	20%
American Indian or Alaska Native	8	88%	88%	25%	14	93%	86%	14%
Black or African American	7	100%	86%	14%	7	86%	14%	14%
Hispanic or Latino	5	80%	40%	0%	6	83%	50%	33%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	-	-	-	7	100%	71%	57%
White	258	97%	71%	19%	284	95%	64%	20%
Multiracial	2	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	5	100%	80%	20%				
General-Education Students	241	100%	78%	21%	274	99%	72%	24%
Students with Disabilities	42	74%	31%	5%	44	66%	16%	2%
English Proficient	282	-	-	-	313	95%	65%	21%
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-	5	60%	20%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	109	96%	65%	14%	126	91%	52%	13%
Not Disadvantaged	174	96%	75%	22%	192	96%	72%	26%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	283	96%	71%	19%	318	94%	64%	21%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

Other Assessments


	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	3	-	-	-

4 Overview of District Performance

District **NORTH TONAWANDA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **40-09-00-01-0000**

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	316	92%	59%	3%	278	90%	57%	8%
Female	162	94%	65%	2%	137	93%	62%	9%
Male	154	90%	53%	3%	141	87%	52%	7%
American Indian or Alaska Native	12	83%	33%	0%	9	-	-	-
Black or African American	5	60%	20%	0%	12	83%	25%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	9	89%	33%	11%	4	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	7	100%	71%	0%				
White	283	93%	61%	3%	253	91%	59%	9%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					13	77%	46%	0%
General-Education Students	264	98%	67%	3%	234	96%	65%	9%
Students with Disabilities	52	63%	17%	0%	44	55%	16%	2%
English Proficient	314	-	-	-	278	90%	57%	8%
Limited English Proficient	2	-	-	-				
Economically Disadvantaged	122	88%	49%	2%	119	88%	46%	6%
Not Disadvantaged	194	95%	65%	3%	159	91%	65%	9%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	316	92%	59%	3%	278	90%	57%	8%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

Other Assessments

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total					Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 6	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	N/A	N/A	N/A


† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

4 Overview of District Performance

District **NORTH TONAWANDA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **40-09-00-01-0000**

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	317	94%	64%	19%	279	94%	66%	25%
Female	162	94%	69%	19%	139	97%	66%	30%
Male	155	94%	59%	20%	140	91%	66%	21%
American Indian or Alaska Native	12	92%	58%	0%	9	89%	78%	33%
Black or African American	5	80%	20%	0%	12	92%	58%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	9	89%	67%	22%	5	80%	60%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	7	100%	71%	14%				
White	284	95%	65%	20%	253	95%	66%	27%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	264	100%	75%	22%	235	98%	73%	29%
Students with Disabilities	53	68%	13%	8%	44	73%	30%	5%
English Proficient	314	-	-	-	277	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	3	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	122	91%	49%	10%	120	91%	59%	21%
Not Disadvantaged	195	96%	74%	25%	159	97%	72%	29%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	317	94%	64%	19%	279	94%	66%	25%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

Other Assessments


	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	3	-	-	-

4 Overview of District Performance

District **NORTH TONAWANDA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **40-09-00-01-0000**

This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	279	89%	43%	1%	277	95%	51%	11%
Female	143	94%	47%	3%	119	97%	56%	13%
Male	136	84%	39%	0%	158	93%	47%	9%
American Indian or Alaska Native	8	100%	50%	0%	9	100%	56%	22%
Black or African American	11	73%	9%	0%	7	100%	43%	14%
Hispanic or Latino	8	88%	13%	0%	5	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander					1	-	-	-
White	252	89%	45%	2%	255	95%	52%	11%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					6	100%	33%	0%
General-Education Students	228	97%	51%	2%	234	98%	59%	13%
Students with Disabilities	51	53%	8%	0%	43	77%	12%	0%
English Proficient	276	-	-	-	277	95%	51%	11%
Limited English Proficient	3	-	-	-				
Economically Disadvantaged	102	89%	37%	2%	106	95%	42%	8%
Not Disadvantaged	177	89%	46%	1%	171	95%	57%	13%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	279	89%	43%	1%	277	95%	51%	11%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

Other Assessments

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total					Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A


† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

4 Overview of District Performance

District **NORTH TONAWANDA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **40-09-00-01-0000**

This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	279	96%	64%	23%	277	96%	66%	26%
Female	143	99%	67%	24%	119	98%	68%	24%
Male	136	92%	61%	23%	158	94%	65%	28%
American Indian or Alaska Native	8	100%	88%	50%	9	100%	56%	33%
Black or African American	11	91%	36%	18%	7	86%	57%	29%
Hispanic or Latino	8	88%	88%	13%	5	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander					1	-	-	-
White	252	96%	64%	23%	255	96%	67%	26%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					6	100%	50%	17%
General-Education Students	228	100%	73%	28%	234	100%	76%	30%
Students with Disabilities	51	78%	24%	2%	43	77%	14%	2%
English Proficient	276	-	-	-	277	96%	66%	26%
Limited English Proficient	3	-	-	-				
Economically Disadvantaged	102	96%	54%	17%	106	97%	56%	19%
Not Disadvantaged	177	95%	70%	27%	171	95%	73%	30%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	279	96%	64%	23%	277	96%	66%	26%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

Other Assessments


	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	4	-	-	-

4 Overview of District Performance

District **NORTH TONAWANDA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **40-09-00-01-0000**

This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	277	95%	48%	1%	316	92%	48%	9%
Female	117	97%	55%	0%	133	94%	55%	12%
Male	160	93%	44%	1%	183	91%	43%	7%
American Indian or Alaska Native	5	100%	60%	0%	5	-	-	-
Black or African American	7	100%	43%	0%	9	89%	44%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	4	-	-	-	10	80%	20%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
White	260	94%	48%	1%	290	93%	49%	10%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	5	100%	40%	0%	7	100%	43%	0%
General-Education Students	235	99%	55%	1%	255	98%	58%	11%
Students with Disabilities	42	69%	12%	0%	61	67%	7%	0%
English Proficient	277	95%	48%	1%	313	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient					3	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	82	94%	39%	1%	112	88%	38%	4%
Not Disadvantaged	195	95%	52%	1%	204	95%	53%	11%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	277	95%	48%	1%	316	92%	48%	9%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

Other Assessments

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	6	6	4	4
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total					Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 8	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A


† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

4 Overview of District Performance

District **NORTH TONAWANDA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **40-09-00-01-0000**

This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	278	95%	50%	7%	315	96%	46%	11%
Female	117	97%	50%	5%	133	96%	52%	11%
Male	161	93%	50%	8%	182	95%	42%	12%
American Indian or Alaska Native	5	100%	60%	0%	5	-	-	-
Black or African American	7	71%	29%	14%	9	89%	11%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	4	-	-	-	10	100%	20%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
White	261	95%	50%	7%	289	96%	48%	12%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	5	100%	60%	20%	7	100%	57%	0%
General-Education Students	236	98%	57%	8%	254	99%	54%	14%
Students with Disabilities	42	79%	10%	0%	61	82%	13%	2%
English Proficient	277	-	-	-	312	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	83	95%	43%	6%	112	95%	35%	5%
Not Disadvantaged	195	95%	53%	7%	203	96%	52%	15%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	278	95%	50%	7%	315	96%	46%	11%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

Other Assessments

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	6	6	5	4

4 Overview of District Performance

District **NORTH TONAWANDA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **40-09-00-01-0000**

This District's Results in Grade 8 Science

This District


Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4

NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4



Results by Student Group

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	219	96%	80%	20%	264	96%	83%	31%
Female	87	99%	74%	11%	105	96%	81%	26%
Male	132	95%	84%	26%	159	96%	84%	35%
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
Black or African American	6	100%	50%	17%	9	89%	56%	22%
Hispanic or Latino	3	-	-	-	10	100%	80%	10%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
White	206	96%	80%	20%	240	96%	83%	32%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	7	100%	100%	14%	5	100%	100%	40%
General-Education Students	178	99%	84%	22%	205	99%	89%	39%
Students with Disabilities	41	83%	61%	10%	59	86%	59%	5%
English Proficient	218	-	-	-	261	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	73	99%	82%	19%	101	94%	73%	24%
Not Disadvantaged	146	95%	79%	21%	163	98%	88%	36%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	219	96%	80%	20%	264	96%	83%	31%

NOTES
The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments


	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	6	6	4	4
Regents Science	56	56	56	38	47	47	47	42

4 Overview of District Performance

District **NORTH TONAWANDA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **40-09-00-01-0000**

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction



Results by Student Group

	2007 Cohort			2006 Cohort**				
	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	348	84%	82%	35%	381	89%	88%	34%
Female	169	86%	86%	44%	174	92%	91%	43%
Male	179	82%	79%	27%	207	86%	86%	26%
American Indian or Alaska Native	6	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Black or African American	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	7	71%	71%	14%	4	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander					1	-	-	-
White	334	84%	82%	35%	371	89%	89%	33%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	7	86%	86%	57%	10	80%	80%	40%
General-Education Students	295	91%	90%	41%	335	95%	95%	37%
Students with Disabilities	53	49%	38%	2%	46	43%	41%	7%
English Proficient	347	-	-	-	380	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	60	78%	75%	27%	67	75%	73%	22%
Not Disadvantaged	288	85%	84%	37%	314	92%	92%	36%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	348	84%	82%	35%	381	89%	88%	34%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.


** 2006 cohort data are those reported in the 2009-10 *Accountability and Overview Report*.

4 Overview of District Performance

District **NORTH TONAWANDA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **40-09-00-01-0000**

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction



Results by Student Group

	2007 Cohort				2006 Cohort**			
	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	348	86%	82%	22%	381	89%	87%	31%
Female	169	89%	87%	24%	174	89%	86%	25%
Male	179	83%	77%	20%	207	89%	88%	36%
American Indian or Alaska Native	6	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Black or African American	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	7	71%	71%	0%	4	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander					1	-	-	-
White	334	86%	82%	22%	371	89%	88%	31%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	7	86%	86%	14%	10	80%	80%	30%
General-Education Students	295	93%	92%	25%	335	96%	95%	35%
Students with Disabilities	53	45%	26%	2%	46	41%	30%	2%
English Proficient	347	-	-	-	380	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	60	80%	75%	10%	67	75%	70%	21%
Not Disadvantaged	288	87%	83%	24%	314	92%	91%	33%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	348	86%	82%	22%	381	89%	87%	31%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2006 cohort data are those reported in the 2009-10 *Accountability and Overview Report*.