

# The New York State School Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2010 - 11 School JOHN F KENNEDY MIDDLE SCHOOL District UTICA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT School ID 41-23-00-01-0022 Principal DOLORES CHAINEY Telephone (315) 792-2088 Grades 6-8, US

# This School's Report Card

The New York State School Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents' effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the school's status under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the school report card on a school's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

## Use this report to:

Get School Profile information. This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment.

### Review School Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a school made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies the school's accountability status.

Review an Overview of School Performance.

This section has information about the school's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science.

### For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: dataguest@mail.nysed.gov

# **School Profile**

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

## Enrollment

	2008–09	2009–10	2010-11
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	0	0	0
Grade 1	0	0	0
Grade 2	0	0	0
Grade 3	0	0	0
Grade 4	0	0	0
Grade 5	0	0	0
Grade 6	377	367	366
Ungraded Elementary	2	2	0
Grade 7	359	385	375
Grade 8	329	350	380
Grade 9	0	0	0
Grade 10	0	0	0
Grade 11	0	0	0
Grade 12	0	0	0
Ungraded Secondary	4	5	3
Total K–12	1071	1109	1124

# Enrollment Information

*Enrollment* counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a school's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a school's enrollment. Students classified by schools as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

### **Average Class Size**

	2008–09	2009-10	2010-11
Common Branch			19
Grade 8			
English	16	16	16
Mathematics	24	24	21
Science	21	21	24
Social Studies	20	20	21
Grade 10			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			

# Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

# **Demographic Factors**

	200	8-09	200	9–10	2010-11	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	674	63%	671	61%	742	66%
Reduced-Price Lunch	138	13%	135	12%	116	10%
Student Stability*		92%		90%		98%
Limited English Proficient	115	11%	118	11%	130	12%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%
Black or African American	243	23%	257	23%	267	24%
Hispanic or Latino	142	13%	181	16%	186	17%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	85	8%	94	8%	114	10%
White	597	56%	573	52%	556	49%
Multiracial	4	0%	4	0%	0	0%

\* Available only at the school level.

### **Attendance and Suspensions**

	2007-08		2008–09		2009-10	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		93%		94%		94%
Student Suspensions	173	17%	189	18%	136	12%

### Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category. Student Stability is the percentage of students in the highest grade in a school who were also enrolled in that school at any time during the previous school year. (For example, if School A, which serves Grades 6-8, has 100 students enrolled in Grade 8 this year, and 92 of those 100 students were also enrolled in School A last year, the stability rate for the school is 92 percent.)

### Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A school's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

# **Teacher Qualifications**

	2008–09	2009-10	2010-11
Total Number of Teachers	86	91	98
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	0%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	0%	0%	1%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	8%	8%	7%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	42%	47%	43%
Total Number of Core Classes	202	250	310
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers in This School	0%	0%	1%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers in This District	0%	0%	0%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified in High-Poverty Schools Statewide	8%	6%	5%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified in Low-Poverty Schools Statewide	1%	1%	0%
Total Number of Classes	276	346	465
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	0%	0%	0%

## **Teacher Turnover Rate**

	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	20%	7%	7%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	10%	10%	9%

### **Staff Counts**

2008–09	2009–10	2010-11
8	9	11
N/A	N/A	N/A
3	3	2
1	1	1
	8	8 9

\* Not available at the school level.

# Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. To be Highly Qualified, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. A teacher who taught one class outside of the certification area(s) is counted as Highly Qualified provided that 1) the teacher had been determined by the school or district through the HOUSSE process or other state-accepted methods to have demonstrated acceptable subject knowledge and teaching skills and 2) the class in question was not the sole assignment reported. Credit for incidental teaching does not extend beyond a single assignment. Independent of Highly Qualified Teacher status, any assignment for which a teacher did not hold a valid certificate still registers as teaching out of certification. High-poverty and low-poverty schools are those schools in the upper and lower quartiles, respectively, for percentage of students eligible for a free or reduced-price lunch.

### Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

### Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

# Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2010–11, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).



For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irs/accountability/.

### 1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation and the performance criteria.

### A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2010–11 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

### **B** Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2007 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

### 2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

### **3 Third Indicator**

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

**Elementary/Middle-Level Science:** To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

#### A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

#### **B** Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group, if it has 30 or more students, must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the group must meet both the participation criterion and the performance criterion in science.

**Secondary-Level Graduation Rate:** For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2006 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2010 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (80%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2006 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2010 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (80%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

# **Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability**

#### 12<sup>th</sup> Graders

The count of 12<sup>th</sup> graders enrolled during the 2010–11 school year used to determine the Percentage Tested for the Participation part of the AYP determination for secondarylevel ELA and mathematics. These are the first numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the secondary-level ELA and mathematics pages.

#### 2007 Cohort

The count of students in the 2007 accountability cohort used to determine the Performance Index for the Test Performance part of the AYP determination for secondary-level ELA and mathematics. These are the second numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the secondary-level ELA and mathematics pages.

#### **Accountability Cohort for English and Mathematics**

The accountability cohort is used to determine if a school or district met the performance criterion in secondary-level ELA and mathematics. The 2007 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2007–08 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2007–08 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2010 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2011, are not included in the 2007 school accountability cohort. The 2007 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

#### Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

#### Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p) (14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

#### **Continuous Enrollment**

The count of continuously enrolled tested students used to determine the Performance Index for the Test Performance part of the AYP determination for elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science. These are the second numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the elementary/ middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science pages.

#### **Continuously Enrolled Students**

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

# Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make AYP. The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at **www.p12.nysed.gov/irs**.

#### **Graduation Rate**

The Graduation Rate on the Graduation Rate page is the percentage of the 2006 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2010.

#### **Graduation-Rate Total Cohort**

The Graduation-Rate Total Cohort, shown on the Graduation Rate page, is used to determine if a school or district made AYP in graduation rate. For the 2010–11 school year, this cohort is the 2006 graduation-rate total cohort. The 2006 total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2006–07 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2006–07 school year, and who were enrolled in the school/ district for five months or longer or who were enrolled in the school/district for less than five months but were previously enrolled in the same school/district for five months or longer between the date they first entered Grade 9 and the date they last ended enrollment. A more detailed definition of graduation-rate cohort can be found in the SIRS Manual at http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irs/sirs/.

For districts and schools with fewer than 30 graduation-rate total cohort members in the All Students group in 2010–11, data for 2009–10 and 2010–11 for accountability groups were combined to determine counts and graduation rates. Groups with fewer than 30 students in the graduation-rate total cohort are not required to meet the graduation-rate criterion.

#### **Limited English Proficient**

For all accountability measures, if the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

#### **Non-Accountability Groups**

Female, Male, and Migrant groups are not part of the AYP determination for any measure.

# Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability (continued)

#### **Participation**

Accountability groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period (for elementary/middlelevel ELA, math, and science) or fewer than 40 12<sup>th</sup> graders (for secondary-level ELA and mathematics) are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the Percentage Tested for an accountability group fell below 95 percent for ELA and math or 80 percent for science in 2010–11, the participation enrollment ("Total" or "12<sup>th</sup> Graders") shown in the tables is the sum of 2009–10 and 2010–11 participation enrollments and the "Percentage Tested" shown is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

### Performance Index (PI)

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) ÷ Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) ÷ Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.p12.nysed.gov/irs.** 

### **Progress Targets**

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making AYP or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Science: The current year's Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the previous year's Performance Index (PI). Example: The 2010–11 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2009–10 PI.

Graduation Rate: The Graduation-rate Progress Target is calculated by determining a 20% gap reduction between the rate of the previous year's graduation-rate cohort and the state standard. Example: The 2010–11 Graduation-Rate Progress Target = [(80 - percentage of the 2005 cohort earninga local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009) × 0.20] + percentage of the 2005 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009.

Progress Targets are provided for groups whose PI (for science) or graduation rate (for graduation rate) is below the State Standard.

#### **Safe Harbor Targets**

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate AYP for accountability groups that do not achieve their EAMOs in English or mathematics. The 2010–11 safe harbor targets are calculated using the following equation:  $2009-10 \text{ PI} + (200 - \text{the } 2009-10 \text{ PI}) \times 0.10$ 

Safe Harbor Targets are provided for groups whose PI is less than the EAMO.

### Safe Harbor Qualification (\*)

On the science page, if the group met both the participation and the performance criteria for science, the Safe Harbor Qualification column will show "Qualified." If the group did not meet one or more criteria, the column will show "Did not qualify." A "\*" symbol after the 2010–11 Safe Harbor Target on the elementary/middle- or secondary-level ELA or mathematics page indicates that the student group did not make AYP in science (elementary/middle level) or graduation rate (secondary level) and; therefore, the group did not qualify for Safe Harbor in ELA or mathematics.

### **State Standard**

The criterion value that represents minimally satisfactory performance (for science) or a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma (for graduation rate). In 2010–11, the State Science Standard is a Performance Index of 100; the State Graduation-Rate Standard is 80%. The Commissioner may raise the State Standard at his discretion in future years.

#### **Students with Disabilities**

For all measures, if the count of students with disabilities is equal to or greater than 30, former students with disabilities are also included in the performance calculations.

#### **Test Performance**

For districts and schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students (for elementary/middle-level ELA, math, and science) or fewer than 30 students in the 2007 cohort (for secondary-level ELA and mathematics) in the All Students group in 2010–11, data for 2009–10 and 2010–11 for accountability groups were combined to determine counts and Performance Indices. For districts and schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students/2007 cohort members in the All Students group in 2010–11, student groups with fewer than 30 members are not required to meet the performance criterion. This is indicated by a "—" in the Test Performance column in the table.

#### Total

The count of students enrolled during the test administration period used to determine the Percentage Tested for the Participation part of the AYP determination for elementary/ middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science. These are the first numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science pages. For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons in accordance with federal NCLB guidance are not included in the count.

District UTICA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

School JOHN F KENNEDY MIDDLE SCHOOL School ID 41-23-00-01-0022

# **Understanding Your School Accountability Status**

New York State participates in the Differentiated Accountability pilot program, as approved by the United States Department of Education in January 2009. Under this program, each public school in the State is assigned an accountability "phase" (Good Standing, Improvement, Corrective Action, or Restructuring) and, for schools not in Good Standing, a "category" (Basic, Focused, or Comprehensive) for each measure for which the school is accountability measures for schools at the elementary/middle level are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, and science; at the secondary level, they are ELA, mathematics, and graduation rate. Generally, the school's overall accountability status is its most advanced accountability phase and its highest category within that phase. A school in any year of the phase (that is not Good Standing) that makes AYP for the measure remains in the same phase/category the following year. An identified school that makes AYP in the identified measure for two consecutive years returns to Good Standing. Once a school is identified with a category within a phase, it cannot move to a less intensive category in the following school year within that phase.

Each school district with one or more Title I schools and each Title I charter school designated as Improvement (year 1 and year 2), Corrective Action, or Restructuring must make Supplemental Educational Services available for eligible students in the identified Title I school(s). A school district with one or more schools designated as Improvement (year 2), Corrective Action, or Restructuring must also provide Public School Choice to eligible students in identified Title I school(s). For more information on the Differentiated Accountability program and a list of interventions for schools not in Good Standing,

see http://www.p12.nysed.gov/accountability/APA/Differentiated\_Accountability/DA\_home.html.

# Understanding Your School Accountability Status (continued)

#### Phase

### Phase/Category

Good Standing A school that has not been designated as Improvement, Corrective Action, or Restructuring.

**Improvement (year 1)** A school that failed to make AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure; or a school that was designated as Improvement (year 1) in the current school year that made AYP for the identified measure and is in Good Standing.

**Improvement (year 2)** A school that was designated as a school in Improvement (year 1) in the current school year and failed to make AYP on the same accountability measure for which it was identified; or a school that was designated as Improvement (year 2) in the current school year that made AYP for the identified measure.

**Corrective Action (year 1)** A school that was designated as a school in Improvement (year 2) in the current school year and failed to make AYP on the same accountability measure for which it was identified; or a school that was designated as Corrective Action (year 1) in the current school year that made AYP for the identified measure. **Corrective Action (year 2)** A school that was designated as a school in Corrective Action (year 1) in the current school year that failed to make AYP on the same accountability measure for which it was identified; or a school that was designated as Corrective Action (year 2) in the current school year that made AYP for the identified measure.

**Restructuring (year 1)** A school that was designated as a school in Corrective Action (year 2) in the current school year and failed to make AYP on the same accountability measure for which it was identified; or a school that was designated as Restructuring (year 1) in the current school year that made AYP for the identified measure. **Restructuring (year 2)** A school that was designated as a school in Restructuring (year 1) in the current school year that failed to make AYP on the same accountability measure for which it was identified; or a school that was designated as Restructuring (year 2) in the current school year that made AYP for the identified measure. Restructuring (Advanced) A school that was designated as a school in Restructuring (year 2) in the current school year that failed to make AYP on the same accountability measure for which it was identified; or a school that was designated as Restructuring (Advanced) in the current school year that made AYP for the identified measure.

#### Improvement/Basic:

A school that failed to make AYP in ELA and/or math for one accountability group, but made AYP for the All Students group; or a school that failed to make AYP in only science or graduation rate. **Improvement/Focused:** 

A school that failed to make AYP in ELA and/or math for more than one accountability group, but made AYP for the All Students group; or a school whose worst status is Improvement/Basic for at least two measures.

#### Improvement/Comprehensive:

A school that failed to make AYP in ELA and/or math for the All Students group; or a school that failed to make AYP in ELA and/or math for every accountability group for which there are at least two, but made AYP for the All Students group; or a school that failed to make AYP in ELA and/or math AND in science or graduation rate.

#### Corrective Action or Restructuring/Focused:

A school that failed to make AYP in ELA and/or math for one or more accountability groups, but made AYP for the All Students group; or a school that failed to make AYP in science or graduation rate but made AYP in ELA and math.

**Corrective Action or Restructuring/Comprehensive:** A school that failed to make AYP in ELA and/or math for the All Students group; or a school that failed to make AYP in ELA and/or math for every accountability group except the All Students group for which there are at least two, but made AYP for the All Students group; or a school that failed to make AYP in ELA and/or math AND in science or graduation rate.

**SURR:** A school that is identified for registration review (SURR) during a school year in which it is designated as a school in Improvement or Corrective Action shall, in the next school year, be designated as **Restructuring (year 1)/Comprehensive.** 

# 2 School Accountability

School JOHN F KENNEDY MIDDLE SCHOOL School ID 41-23-00-01-0022

YES

### Summary

Overall Accountability	Restructuring (year 1) Focused					
Status (2011–12)	Elementa	ry/Middle Level	Secondary Level			
	ELA	Restructuring (year 1) Focused	ELA			
	Math	Improvement (year 1) Comprehensive	Math			
	Science	In Good Standing	Graduation Rate			
Title I Part A Funding	Years th	e School Received Title I F	art A Funding			
	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12			

YES

### On which accountability measures did this school make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

YES

	Elementary/I	Middle Level		Secondary Le	evel	
<b>Ethnicity</b> American Indian or Alaska Native Black or African American Hispanic or Latino	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
All Students	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	X	<ul> <li>Image: A set of the set of the</li></ul>			
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native	-	_				
Black or African American	✓SH	X	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••
Hispanic or Latino	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	X	••••		•••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	×	×				•••••
White	~	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	••••	••••••••••	••••••••••	••••
Multiracial	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		•••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities	X	X				
Limited English Proficient	X	X	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	••••
Economically Disadvantaged	X	X	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••	••••
Student groups making AYP in each subject	<b>X</b> 4 of 8	🗙 1 of 8	🖌 1 of 1			

#### **AYP Status**



<sup>H</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did not make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

# Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2011–12)		Restructuring (year 1) Focused
<b>Accountability Measures</b>	4 of 8	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	X	Did not make AYP

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	ion	<b>Test Perfo</b>	rmance	Performance Objectives		<b>Performance Objectives</b>		
<b>Student Group</b> (Total: Continuous Enrollment)		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target			
	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2010-11	2011-12		
Accountability Groups										
All Students (1094:1035)	<b>v</b>	~	100%	<b>v</b>	124	119				
Ethnicity										
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	-	_	-	-	-	-		_		
Black or African American (254:237)	✓SH	<b>~</b>	100%	<b>√</b> SH	104	115	102	114		
Hispanic or Latino (180:166)	<	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	99%	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	120	114	••••			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (120:110)	X	~	100%	X	85	112	92	97		
White (539:521)	✓	<	100%	~	143	117	••••			
Multiracial (0:0)										
Other Groups										
Students with Disabilities (170:171)	X	<b>V</b>	98%	X	65	114	69	79		
Limited English Proficient (146:150)	X	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	99%	X	59	114	65	73		
Economically Disadvantaged (880:825)	X	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	100%	X	116	118	118	124		
Final AYP Determination	<b>X</b> 4 of 8									
Non-Accountability Groups										
Female (566:534)			100%		132	117				
Male (528:501)			99%		115	117				
Migrant (0:0)										

#### Symbols

### Made AYP

- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30
   Continuous Enrollment
- Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

**NOTE:** See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

# **Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2011–12)		Improvement (year 1) Comprehensive
Accountability Measures	1 of 8	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	X	Did not make AYP

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	ion	Test Perfo	rmance	<b>Performance Objectives</b>		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor	Target
(Total: Continuous Enrollment)	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2010-11	2011-12
Accountability Groups								
All Students (1092:1047)	X	~	100%	X	125	134	134	133
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	-	-	-	-	-	-		_
Black or African American (254:239)	X	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	100%	X	102	130	110	112
Hispanic or Latino (180:168)	X	<b>~</b>	99%	X	123	129	126	131
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (120:112)	X	~	100%	X	107	127	111	116
White (537:527)	<	✓	100%	~	139	132	••••	••••
Multiracial (0:0)								
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (170:173)	X	V	99%	X	66	129	88	79
Limited English Proficient (146:162)	X	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	100%	X	77	129	87	89
Economically Disadvantaged (879:838)	X	<	100%	X	118	133	129	126
Final AYP Determination	<b>X</b> 1 of 8	8						
Non-Accountability Groups								
Female (564:539)			100%		127	132		
Male (528:508)			100%		122	132		
Migrant (0:0)								

#### Symbols

### Made AYP

- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30
   Continuous Enrollment
- Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

**NOTE:** See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

# **Elementary/Middle-Level Science**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2011–12)		In Good Standing
<b>Accountability Measures</b>	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in science
	~	Made AYP

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participat	ion	<b>Test Perfo</b>	rmance	Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment)	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress 2010–11	Target 2011–12
Accountability Groups									
All Students (376:354)	~	Qualified	~	99%	~	178	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (84:77)		Qualified	~	100%	~	166	100		
Hispanic or Latino (57:54)		Qualified	<b>v</b>	98%	~	170	100		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (50:46)		Qualified	✓	100%	✓	150	100		
White (185:177)		Qualified	<	99%	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	193	100		
Multiracial (0:0)			••••••••••••••	••••		••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (66:66)		Qualified	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	98%	<b>v</b>	152	100		
Limited English Proficient (58:57)	• •••••	Qualified	<	100%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	147	100		
Economically Disadvantaged (303:284)		Qualified	<	99%	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	174	100		
Final AYP Determination	<b>V</b> 1	of 1							
Non-Accountability Groups									
Female (200:191)				100%		180	100		
Male (176:163)	• ••••		••••••••••••••	98%		175	100	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Migrant (0:0)	• ••••		•••••••••••••••	••••		••••		• • •• • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••

#### Symbols

Made AYP

X Did not make AYP

Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30
 Continuous Enrollment

**NOTE:** See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

### Summary of 2010–11 School Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage of scored at or a	Total Tested	
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 6	46%		349
Grade 7	33%		352
Grade 8	37%		365
Mathematics			
Grade 6	36%		361
Grade 7	47%		354
Grade 8	34%		373
Science			
Grade 8	77%		337

#### District UTICA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

### About the Performance Level Descriptors

#### **English Language Arts**

#### Level 1: Below Standard

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level.

#### Level 2: Meets Basic Standard

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level.

#### Level 3: Meets Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level.

#### Level 4: Exceeds Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level.

#### **Mathematics**

#### Level 1: Below Standard

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

#### Level 2: Meets Basic Standard

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

#### Level 3: Meets Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

#### Level 4: Exceeds Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

# How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.p12.nysed.gov/irs**.

In this section, this school's performance is compared with that of the school district and public schools Statewide.

# This School's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

	This Scho	ool		School I	District	NY State Public
	Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):			coring at level(s):	Percentage scoring at level(s):
	2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3–4 4	2-4 3-4 4
2011 Mean Score: 658	*Range: 644–785	662-785	694-785			
2010 Mean Score: 657	100% 85% 80%			77% 78%		88% 89%
2010-11 2009-10		46% 44%	2% 2%		38% 42%	56% 54%       3%     4% 7%
Number of Tested Students	297 290	160 159	67	543 517	270 279 7	17

Results by	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Studentoroup	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3-4	4	
All Students	349	85%	46%	2%	361	80%	44%	2%	
Female	187	90%	54%	1%	173	82%	40%	2%	
Male	162	80%	36%	3%	188	79%	47%	2%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-					
Black or African American	92	85%	32%	0%	80	70%	30%	0%	
Hispanic or Latino	56	91%	41%	0%	64	75%	30%	0%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	34	-			26				
White	166	87%	58%	3%	190	89%	55%	3%	
Multiracial					1	-	-	-	
Small Group Totals	35	66%	34%	3%	27	63%	41%	4%	
General-Education Students	301	90%	52%	2%	299	91%	53%	2%	
Students with Disabilities	48	52%	8%	0%	62	27%	0%	0%	
English Proficient	315	90%	50%	2%	329	84%	48%	2%	
Limited English Proficient	34	38%	3%	0%	32	44%	3%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	295	83%	42%	1%	274	78%	42%	1%	
Not Disadvantaged	54	98%	69%	7%	87	86%	52%	6%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	349	85%	46%	2%	361	80%	44%	2%	

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010–11 data only. Ranges for the 2009–10 data are available in the 2009–10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11 S	chool Year			2009–10 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scori	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scor	ing at level(s)	:	
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				1	-	-	-	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT) <sup>†</sup> : Grade 6	10	N/A	N/A	N/A	10	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Total				Total				
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 6	10	N/A	N/A	N/A	6	N/A	N/A	N/A	

<sup>+</sup> These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. April 20, 2012

# This School's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

	This Scho	ool		School I	District	NY Stat	e Public
	Percentage	scoring at leve	l(s):	Percentage s	coring at level(s):	Percentage	scoring at level(s):
	2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3–4 4	2-4	3–4 4
2011 Mean Score: 665	*Range: 640–780	674-780	700-780				
2010 Mean Score: 665	100%					92% 92%	
2010-11	83% 83%			78% 82%			63% 61%
2009–10		36% 43%	10% 11%		33% 40%	11%	26% 27%
Number of Tested Students	300 306	129 157	36 41	566 572	240 278 57	77	

Resultsby	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009-10	2009–10 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Studentoroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2–4	3-4	4		
All Students	361	83%	36%	10%	369	83%	43%	11%		
Female	194	84%	38%	10%	176	80%	38%	10%		
Male	167	83%	34%	10%	193	85%	47%	12%		
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-						
Black or African American	93	80%	20%	4%	81	67%	27%	5%		
Hispanic or Latino	58	86%	36%	7%	65	86%	31%	8%		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	39	-	-	-	31	-	-	-		
White	170	87%	43%	13%	191	93%	54%	15%		
Multiracial	•••••	•••••	••••••	••••••	1	-	-	-		
Small Group Totals	40	70%	40%	15%	32	59%	34%	13%		
General-Education Students	311	89%	41%	12%	307	89%	50%	13%		
Students with Disabilities	50	46%	0%	0%	62	52%	8%	0%		
English Proficient	316	89%	41%	11%	329	89%	47%	12%		
Limited English Proficient	45	44%	2%	0%	40	33%	5%	0%		
Economically Disadvantaged	307	81%	32%	7%	282	80%	37%	11%		
Not Disadvantaged	54	96%	59%	26%	87	92%	60%	11%		
Migrant										
Not Migrant	361	83%	36%	10%	369	83%	43%	11%		

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010–11 data only. Ranges for the 2009–10 data are available in the 2009–10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11 9	School Year		2009–10 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				1	-	_	-

# This School's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

	This Scho	ool		School I	District	NY Stat	e Public
	Percentage	scoring at leve	l(s):	Percentage s	coring at level(s):	Percentage	scoring at level(s):
	2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3–4 4	2-4	3–4 4
2011 Mean Score: 656	*Range: 642–790	665-790	698-790				
2010 Mean Score: 656	83% 76%			80% 75%		91% 90%	
2010-11 2009-10		33% <sub>30%</sub>	1% 4%		30% 28%	3%	48% 50%
Number of Tested Students	: 292 279	117 112	3 15	559 526	206 195 10	20	

Results by	2010-11	School Yea	r	2009–10 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentage	scoring at leve	l(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Studentoroup	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4
All Students	352	83%	33%	1%	369	76%	30%	4%
Female	169	85%	33%	1%	196	80%	36%	6%
Male	183	81%	33%	1%	173	71%	24%	2%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	76	70%	13%	0%	90	61%	14%	2%
Hispanic or Latino	64	84%	25%	0%	56	68%	29%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	30	57%	23%	0%	36	-	-	-
White	182	92%	46%	2%	185	91%	43%	6%
Multiracial					2	-	-	-
Small Group Totals			•••••		38	47%	11%	3%
General-Education Students	298	89%	39%	1%	291	85%	37%	5%
Students with Disabilities	54	48%	0%	0%	78	41%	4%	0%
English Proficient	314	89%	37%	1%	333	81%	34%	5%
Limited English Proficient	38	34%	0%	0%	36	22%	0%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	267	80%	27%	0%	295	72%	25%	2%
Not Disadvantaged	85	93%	53%	4%	74	91%	51%	11%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	352	83%	33%	1%	369	76%	30%	4%

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010–11 data only. Ranges for the 2009–10 data are available in the 2009–10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11 S	ichool Year			2009-10 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	3	N/A	N/A	N/A	14	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Total				Total				
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 7	3	N/A	N/A	N/A	12	N/A	N/A	N/A	

<sup>+</sup> These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. April 20, 2012

# This School's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

	This Scho	ol		School I	District		NY State Public			
	Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):					Percentage scoring at level(s):			
	2–4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4	2–4	3–4	4	
2011 Mean Score: 665	*Range: 639–800	670-800	694-800							
2010 Mean Score: 661	100%			82% 82%			92% 92%			
2010-11 2009-10		47% 43%	11% 8%		44% 41%	12% 11%		65% 62%	30% 29%	
Number of Tested Students	304 322	165 162	39 32	576 592	311 295	83 77				

Resultsby	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009-10	School Yea	tage scoring at level(s):         -4       3-4       4         %       43%       8%         %       42%       9%         %       44%       8%         %       30%       8%         %       30%       8%         %       30%       8%         %       39%       7%         -       -       -         %       54%       10%         -       -       -         %       24%       4%         %       50%       10%			
Student Group	Total	Percentage	scoring at lev	el(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at lev	el(s):		
Studentoroup	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4		
All Students	354	86%	47%	11%	381	85%	43%	8%		
Female	170	86%	43%	9%	202	85%	42%	9%		
Male	184	85%	50%	13%	179	84%	44%	8%		
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	77	73%	27%	3%	91	78%	30%	8%		
Hispanic or Latino	64	88%	42%	13%	56	79%	39%	7%		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	31	74%	39%	16%	44	-	_	-		
White	182	93%	58%	13%	188	94%	54%	10%		
Multiracial					2	-	–	-		
Small Group Totals				•••••	46	65%	24%	4%		
General-Education Students	300	90%	54%	13%	304	90%	50%	10%		
Students with Disabilities	54	63%	7%	0%	77	61%	13%	1%		
English Proficient	313	91%	52%	12%	331	89%	47%	10%		
Limited English Proficient	41	49%	5%	0%	50	54%	12%	0%		
Economically Disadvantaged	269	84%	42%	9%	306	82%	39%	7%		
Not Disadvantaged	85	93%	60%	18%	75	93%	56%	13%		
Migrant										
Not Migrant	354	86%	47%	11%	381	85%	43%	8%		

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010–11 data only. Ranges for the 2009–10 data are available in the 2009–10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11	School Year		2009–10 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	1	-	_	-	3	_	_	-

# This School's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

	This Sch	nool		School I	District		NY State Public			
	Percentag	e scoring at leve	el(s):	Percentage s	Percentage scoring at level(s):			scoring at level(s):		
	2–4	3-4	4	2–4	3–4 4		2–4	3–4 4		
2011 Mean Score: 650	*Range: 628–79	0 658-790	699-790							
2010 Mean Score: 651	86% 849	6		82% 80%			92% 91%			
2010-11 2009-10		37% 45%	1% 3%	н	31% <sup>35%</sup>	<u>6</u> <u>3%</u>		47% 51% <u>2%</u> 8%		
Number of Tested Students:	315 287	134 153	3 12	580 568	221 251 5	18				

Resultsby	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009-10 \$	School Yea	rcentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 84% 45% 3%				
Student Group	Total	Percentage	scoring at leve	el(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at leve	el(s):			
Studentoroup	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3-4	4			
All Students	365	86%	37%	1%	343	84%	45%	3%			
Female	193	88%	44%	1%	173	86%	46%	3%			
Male	172	84%	28%	1%	170	82%	44%	4%			
American Indian or Alaska Native											
Black or African American	84	87%	21%	0%	80	65%	30%	3%			
Hispanic or Latino	52	81%	31%	0%	44	77%	34%	0%			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	46	59%	17%	0%	28	-	-	-			
White	183	95%	50%	2%	190	97%	55%	4%			
Multiracial					1	-	-	-			
Small Group Totals					29	59%	31%	7%			
General-Education Students	303	90%	43%	1%	284	89%	51%	4%			
Students with Disabilities	62	66%	5%	0%	59	56%	12%	0%			
English Proficient	314	93%	43%	1%	301	91%	50%	4%			
Limited English Proficient	51	45%	0%	0%	42	31%	2%	0%			
Economically Disadvantaged	291	83%	31%	1%	271	81%	39%	3%			
Not Disadvantaged	74	99%	59%	1%	72	94%	67%	6%			
Migrant											
Not Migrant	365	86%	37%	1%	343	84%	45%	3%			

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students. \* These ranges are for 2010–11 data only. Ranges for the 2009–10 data are available in the 2009–10 Account

untability and Overview Reports

Other	2010-11 <b>S</b>	School Year 2009–10 School Year						
Assessments	Total	Number scori	Number scor	Number scoring at level(s):				
	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	9	N/A	N/A	N/A	4	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 8	9	N/A	N/A	N/A	4	N/A	N/A	N/A

t These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. April 20, 2012

# This School's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

	This Scho	ol		School I	District	NY Stat	NY State Public			
	Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):			coring at level(s):	Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
	2–4	3-4	4	2-4	3–4 4	2-4	3–4 4			
2011 Mean Score: 659	*Range: 639–775	674-775	704-775							
2010 Mean Score: 663	100%			80% 79%		91% 91%				
2010-11 2009-10		34% 37%	4% 6%		36% 34%		60% 55% 18% 18%			
Number of Tested Students	: 304 285	125 128	15 20	584 572	259 247 36	48				

Resultsby	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009-10	School Yea	rcentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 <b>82% 37% 6%</b> 82% 35% 4% 83% 39% 8% 73% 18% 0%		
Student Group	Total	Percentage	scoring at leve	l(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at lev	el(s):	
Studentoroup	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	
All Students	373	<b>82</b> %	34%	4%	346	82%	37%	6%	
Female	199	83%	37%	5%	176	82%	35%	4%	
Male	174	79%	29%	3%	170	83%	39%	8%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	84	75%	25%	1%	80	73%	18%	0%	
Hispanic or Latino	55	80%	22%	2%	44	80%	30%	0%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	50	60%	26%	2%	30	-	-	-	
White	184	91%	43%	7%	191	92%	47%	9%	
Multiracial					1	-	–	-	
Small Group Totals					31	55%	35%	6%	
General-Education Students	311	86%	39%	5%	286	86%	43%	7%	
Students with Disabilities	62	56%	6%	0%	60	63%	8%	0%	
English Proficient	313	88%	39%	5%	300	90%	42%	6%	
Limited English Proficient	60	50%	7%	0%	46	35%	7%	2%	
Economically Disadvantaged	299	78%	30%	3%	274	80%	32%	5%	
Not Disadvantaged	74	96%	49%	9%	72	92%	56%	10%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	373	82%	34%	4%	346	82%	37%	6%	

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010–11 data only. Ranges for the 2009–10 data are available in the 2009–10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11 5	School Year			2009-10 School Year					
Assessments	Total	Total Number scoring at level(s):				Number scori	ng at level(s):			
	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	2	_	_	-	3	-	_	-		

### This School's Results in Grade 8 Science



Results by	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009-10	School Yea	r	
Student Group	Total	Percentage	scoring at lev	el(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at lev	vel(s):
Studentoroup	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3-4	4
All Students	337	96%	77%	14%	295	97%	79%	23%
Female	175	97%	77%	10%	145	98%	77%	14%
Male	162	96%	77%	18%	150	96%	81%	32%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	81	96%	68%	6%	77	99%	69%	12%
Hispanic or Latino	51	98%	69%	8%	41	98%	78%	24%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	49	88%	51%	10%	28	-	-	-
White	156	99%	92%	21%	148	98%	90%	30%
Multiracial			•••••	••••••	1	-	-	-
Small Group Totals					29	86%	52%	17%
General-Education Students	274	97%	82%	15%	237	98%	84%	27%
Students with Disabilities	63	94%	54%	6%	58	93%	59%	7%
English Proficient	279	99%	84%	15%	248	99%	85%	27%
Limited English Proficient	58	86%	45%	5%	47	87%	47%	6%
Economically Disadvantaged	281	96%	73%	13%	245	97%	78%	20%
Not Disadvantaged	56	98%	96%	18%	50	96%	86%	40%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	337	96%	77%	14%	295	97%	79%	23%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2010-11 S	chool Year			2009–10 School Year				
Assessments	Total Number scoring at level(s):				Total	Fotal Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	2	_	_	_	2	_	_	_	
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent					J				
Regents Science	34	34	34	30	48	48	48	37	