

# **The New York State District Report Card**

**Accountability** and Overview Report 2010 - 11

District MINISINK VALLEY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT District ID 44-11-01-04-0000 Superintendent JOHN LATINI Telephone (845) 355-5100 Grades PK-12, US

# This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents' effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

## Use this report to:

**Get District Profile information**.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

#### **Review District** 2 Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies the district's accountability status.

## **View School Accountability** Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2011-12 accountability status.



## **Review an Overview** of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science.

## For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: dataquest@mail.nysed.gov

#### District ID 44-11-01-04-0000

## **District Profile**

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

## Enrollment

	2008–09	2009-10	2010-11
Pre-K	104	104	104
Kindergarten	308	266	304
Grade 1	315	310	267
Grade 2	319	309	315
Grade 3	349	317	310
Grade 4	338	362	324
Grade 5	340	332	362
Grade 6	361	338	330
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Grade 7	373	365	344
Grade 8	388	364	364
Grade 9	373	402	356
Grade 10	381	373	395
Grade 11	410	385	373
Grade 12	371	418	375
Ungraded Secondary	5	2	6
Total K–12	4631	4543	4425

## Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

## **Average Class Size**

	2008–09	2009-10	2010-11
Common Branch	23	23	24
Grade 8			
English	18	21	22
Mathematics	21	20	21
Science	25	23	22
Social Studies	25	23	23
Grade 10			
English	23	23	22
Mathematics	16	21	20
Science	24	22	22
Social Studies	24	24	23

# Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

## **Demographic Factors**

	2008–09		200	9–10	201	0-11
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	540	12%	512	11%	529	12%
Reduced-Price Lunch	278	6%	315	7%	295	7%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	44	1%	38	1%	37	1%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	37	1%	13	0%	13	0%
Black or African American	265	6%	249	5%	220	5%
Hispanic or Latino	555	12%	562	12%	552	12%
Asian or Native	59	1%	83	2%	81	2%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	3714	80%	3636	80%	3559	80%
Multiracial	1	0%	0	0%	0	0%

\* Available only at the school level.

## **Attendance and Suspensions**

	2007	2007-08		2008-09		2009-10	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Annual Attendance Rate		94%		94%		95%	
Student Suspensions	259	6%	276	6%	327	7%	

District ID 44-11-01-04-0000

## Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category.

## Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

## **Teacher Qualifications**

	2008–09	2009-10	2010-11
Total Number of Teachers	324	322	292
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	0%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	0%	0%	0%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	6%	6%	3%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	7%	7%	8%
Total Number of Core Classes	913	889	902
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers in This District	0%	0%	0%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified in High-Poverty Schools Statewide	8%	6%	5%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified in Low-Poverty Schools Statewide	1%	1%	0%
Total Number of Classes	1174	1203	1225
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	0%	0%	0%

## **Teacher Turnover Rate**

	2007-08	2008–09	2009-10
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	17%	17%	44%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	16%	10%	19%

## **Staff Counts**

	2008–09	2009–10	2010-11
Total Other Professional Staff	33	32	37
Total Paraprofessionals*	85	79	54
Assistant Principals	7	7	7
Principals	5	5	5

\* Not available at the school level.

## Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. To be Highly Qualified, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. A teacher who taught one class outside of the certification area(s) is counted as Highly Qualified provided that 1) the teacher had been determined by the school or district through the HOUSSE process or other state-accepted methods to have demonstrated acceptable subject knowledge and teaching skills and 2) the class in question was not the sole assignment reported. Credit for incidental teaching does not extend beyond a single assignment. Independent of Highly Qualified Teacher status, any assignment for which a teacher did not hold a valid certificate still registers as teaching out of certification. High-poverty and low-poverty schools are those schools in the upper and lower quartiles, respectively, for percentage of students eligible for a free or reduced-price lunch.

## Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

## Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

District ID 44-11-01-04-0000

## Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2010–11, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).





## 1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

#### A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2010–11 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

#### **B** Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2007 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

#### 2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

#### **3** Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

**Elementary/Middle-Level Science:** To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

#### **A** Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

#### **B** Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group, if it has 30 or more students, must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the group must meet both the participation criterion and the performance criterion in science.

**Secondary-Level Graduation Rate:** For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2006 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2010 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (80%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2006 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2010 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (80%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

## **Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability**

## 12<sup>th</sup> Graders

The count of 12<sup>th</sup> graders enrolled during the 2010–11 school year used to determine the Percentage Tested for the Participation part of the AYP determination for secondarylevel ELA and mathematics. These are the first numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the secondary-level ELA and mathematics pages.

#### 2007 Cohort

The count of students in the 2007 accountability cohort used to determine the Performance Index for the Test Performance part of the AYP determination for secondary-level ELA and mathematics. These are the second numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the secondary-level ELA and mathematics pages.

#### **Accountability Cohort for English and Mathematics**

The accountability cohort is used to determine if a school or district met the performance criterion in secondary-level ELA and mathematics. The 2007 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2007–08 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2007–08 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2010 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2011, are not included in the 2007 school accountability cohort. The 2007 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

#### Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

#### Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p) (14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

#### **Continuous Enrollment**

The count of continuously enrolled tested students used to determine the Performance Index for the Test Performance part of the AYP determination for elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science. These are the second numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the elementary/ middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science pages.

#### **Continuously Enrolled Students**

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

## Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make AYP. The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at **www.p12.nysed.gov/irs.** 

#### **Graduation Rate**

The Graduation Rate on the Graduation Rate page is the percentage of the 2006 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2010.

#### **Graduation-Rate Total Cohort**

The Graduation-Rate Total Cohort, shown on the Graduation Rate page, is used to determine if a school or district made AYP in graduation rate. For the 2010–11 school year, this cohort is the 2006 graduation-rate total cohort. The 2006 total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2006–07 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2006–07 school year, and who were enrolled in the school/ district for five months or longer or who were enrolled in the school/district for less than five months but were previously enrolled in the same school/district for five months or longer between the date they first entered Grade 9 and the date they last ended enrollment. A more detailed definition of graduation-rate cohort can be found in the *SIRS Manual* at **www.p12.nysed.gov/irs**.

For districts and schools with fewer than 30 graduation-rate total cohort members in the All Students group in 2010–11, data for 2009–10 and 2010–11 for accountability groups were combined to determine counts and graduation rates. Groups with fewer than 30 students in the graduation-rate total cohort are not required to meet the graduation-rate criterion.

#### **Limited English Proficient**

For all accountability measures, if the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

#### **Non-Accountability Groups**

Female, Male, and Migrant groups are not part of the AYP determination for any measure.

## Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability (continued)

#### **Participation**

Accountability groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period (for elementary/middlelevel ELA, math, and science) or fewer than 40 12<sup>th</sup> graders (for secondary-level ELA and mathematics) are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the Percentage Tested for an accountability group fell below 95 percent for ELA and math or 80 percent for science in 2010–11, the participation enrollment ("Total" or "12<sup>th</sup> Graders") shown in the tables is the sum of 2009–10 and 2010–11 participation enrollments and the "Percentage Tested" shown is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

#### Performance Index (PI)

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.p12.nysed.gov/irs.** 

#### **Progress Targets**

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making AYP or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

*Science:* The current year's Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the previous year's Performance Index (PI). Example: The 2010–11 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2009–10 PI.

Graduation Rate: The Graduation-rate Progress Target is calculated by determining a 20% gap reduction between the rate of the previous year's graduation-rate cohort and the state standard. Example: The 2010–11 Graduation-Rate Progress Target = [(80 – percentage of the 2005 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009) × 0.20] + percentage of the 2005 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009.

Progress Targets are provided for groups whose PI (for science) or graduation rate (for graduation rate) is below the State Standard.

#### **Safe Harbor Targets**

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate AYP for accountability groups that do not achieve their EAMOs in English or mathematics. The 2010–11 safe harbor targets are calculated using the following equation:  $2009-10 \text{ PI} + (200 - \text{the } 2009-10 \text{ PI}) \times 0.10$ 

Safe Harbor Targets are provided for groups whose PI is less than the EAMO.

#### Safe Harbor Qualification (\*)

On the science page, if the group met both the participation and the performance criteria for science, the Safe Harbor Qualification column will show "Qualified." If the group did not meet one or more criteria, the column will show "Did not qualify." A "‡" symbol after the 2010–11 Safe Harbor Target on the elementary/middle- or secondary-level ELA or mathematics page indicates that the student group did not make AYP in science (elementary/middle level) or graduation rate (secondary level) and; therefore, the group did not qualify for Safe Harbor in ELA or mathematics.

#### **State Standard**

The criterion value that represents minimally satisfactory performance (for science) or a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma (for graduation rate). In 2010–11, the State Science Standard is a Performance Index of 100; the State Graduation-Rate Standard is 80%. The Commissioner may raise the State Standard at his discretion in future years.

#### **Students with Disabilities**

For all measures, if the count of students with disabilities is equal to or greater than 30, former students with disabilities are also included in the performance calculations.

#### **Test Performance**

For districts and schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students (for elementary/middle-level ELA, math, and science) or fewer than 30 students in the 2007 cohort (for secondary-level ELA and mathematics) in the All Students group in 2010–11, data for 2009–10 and 2010–11 for accountability groups were combined to determine counts and Performance Indices. For districts and schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students/2007 cohort members in the All Students group in 2010–11, student groups with fewer than 30 members are not required to meet the performance criterion. This is indicated by a "—" in the Test Performance column in the table.

#### Total

The count of students enrolled during the test administration period used to determine the Percentage Tested for the Participation part of the AYP determination for elementary/ middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science. These are the first numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science pages. For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons in accordance with federal NCLB guidance are not included in the count.

District ID 44-11-01-04-0000

## **Understanding Your District Accountability Status**

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irs/accountability/.

<b>Federal Title I Status</b> (Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)	<b>New York State Status</b> (Applies to New York State districts)
<ul> <li>District in Good Standing</li> <li>A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not be or a District Requiring Academic Progress.</li> </ul>	en identified as a District in Need of Improvement
District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.
▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

Pending – A district's status is "Pending" if the district requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

# 2 District Accountability

District MINISINK VALLEY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT


District ID 44-11-01-04-0000

## Summary

<b>Overall Accountability</b>	▲ Good Standing					
Status (2011–12)	ELA A Good Standing		Science	▲ Good Standing		
	Math	▲ Good Standing	Graduatior	n Rate 🔺 Good Standing		
Title I Part A Funding	Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding					
	2009-	10	2010-11	2011-12		
	YES		YES	YES		

## On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/	Middle Level		Secondary L	Level			
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate		
All Students	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Image: A start of the start of</li></ul>	<b>v</b>	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	<b>v</b>	~		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native	_	_		_	-			
Black or African American	✓	✓		–	–	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Hispanic or Latino	✓	✓	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	✓	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	<b>v</b>	<ul> <li></li> </ul>		_	-			
White	~	<b>V</b>	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	<b>~</b>	<b>V</b>	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Multiracial		•••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••				
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities	X	X		<b>√</b> SH	✓sн			
Limited English Proficient	-	–	••••	–	–	••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	~	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	••••	✓	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	••••		
Student groups making AYP in each subject	<b>X</b> 6 of 7	<b>X</b> 6 of 7	🖌 1 of 1	🗸 5 of 5	🖌 5 of 5	✔ 1 of 1		



## Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2011–12)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	6 of 7	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2012-13. [201]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

		Participation		Test Performance		Performance Objectives		
	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target	
Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2010-11	2011–12	
~	<b>v</b>	100%	<b>V</b>	151	120			
-	-	-	-	-	-		-	
~	<b>v</b>	100%	~	137	112			
<	<b>~</b>	98%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	135	115			
<	-	-	~	164	106			
<	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	100%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	155	119			
							•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
x	~	98%	X	79	116	98	91	
-	-	-	-	-	-		-	
✓	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	99%	<b>~</b>	127	116			
<b>X</b> 6 of 7	7							
		100%		160	118			
• •••••	•••••	100%	•••••	143	119	••••••••••••		
• •••••	••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••	•••••••••	••••	
	<ul> <li>✓</li> <li>–</li> <li>✓</li> </ul>	v     v       -     -       v     v       v     v       v     v       v     v       v     v       v     v       v     v       v     v       v     v       v     v       v     v       v     v       v     v       v     v       v     v       v     v       v     v       v     v       v     v	✓       ✓       100%         ✓       ✓       100%         ✓       ✓       100%         ✓       ✓       98%         ✓       ✓       98%         ✓       ✓       100%         ✓       ✓       98%         ✓       ✓       98%         ✓       ✓       98%         ✓       ✓       98%         ✓       ✓       98%         ✓       ✓       98%         ✓       ✓       98%         ✓       ✓       98%         ✓       ✓       98%         ✓       ✓       99%         X       ✓       99%         X       ✓       100%	✓       ✓       100%       ✓         ✓       ✓       100%       ✓         ✓       ✓       100%       ✓         ✓       ✓       98%       ✓         ✓       ✓       98%       ✓         ✓       ✓       98%       ✓         ✓       ✓       100%       ✓         ✓       ✓       98%       ✓         ✓       ✓       98%       ✓         ✓       ✓       98%       ✓         ✓       ✓       98%       ✓         ✓       ✓       98%       ✓         ✓       ✓       99%       ✓         ✓       ✓       99%       ✓         ✓       ✓       99%       ✓         ✓       ✓       90%       ✓         ✓       ✓       100%       ✓	Image: Constraint of the second se	$\checkmark$ 100% $\checkmark$ 151       120 $      \checkmark$ $\checkmark$ 100% $\checkmark$ 137       112 $\checkmark$ $\checkmark$ 100% $\checkmark$ 137       112 $\checkmark$ $\checkmark$ 98% $\checkmark$ 135       115 $\checkmark$ $  \checkmark$ 164       106 $\checkmark$ $100\%$ $\checkmark$ 155       119 $\checkmark$ $00\%$ $\checkmark$ $155$ 119 $\checkmark$ $98\%$ $X$ $79$ 116 $      \checkmark$ $98\%$ $X$ $79$ 116 $      \checkmark$ $99\%$ $\checkmark$ 127       116 $X$ $99\%$ $\checkmark$ $120$ $ 100\%$ $160$ $118$ $-$	Image: Constraint of the second state of the second sta	

used on this page.

**NOTE:** See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels

#### Symbols

- Made AYP
- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30
   Continuous Enrollment
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

District ID 44-11-01-04-0000

## **Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2011–12)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	6 of 7	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2012-13. [201]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

AYP	Participation		<b>Test Performance</b>		Performance Objectives		
	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target
Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2010-11	2011–12
~	<b>v</b>	100%	<b>V</b>	159	135		
-	-	-	-	-	-		-
~	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	100%	~	136	127		
✓	~	100%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	144	130		•••••
~	-	-	~	172	121		
✓	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	100%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	163	134		••••
•••••							•••••
x	~	100%	X	89	131	103	100
-	-	-	-	-	-		-
✓	✓	99%	<b>v</b>	135	131		
🗙 6 of 7	7						
		100%		163	133		
•••••••••••••	••••••	100%		155	134		•••••
•••••••••	••••••	••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••	•••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
	Status	Status     Met Criterion       ✓     ✓       –     –       ✓     ✓       ✓     ✓       ✓     ✓       ✓     ✓       ✓     ✓       ✓     ✓       ✓     ✓       ✓     ✓       ✓     ✓       ✓     ✓       ✓     ✓       ✓     ✓       ✓     ✓       ✓     ✓       ✓     ✓       ✓     ✓       ✓     ✓	Met Criterion       Percentage Tested         ✓       100%         ✓       100%         ✓       100%         ✓       100%         ✓       100%         ✓       100%         ✓       100%         ✓       100%         ✓       100%         ✓       100%         ✓       99%         X       ✓         ✓       99%         X       ✓         ✓       100%	Met Criterion       Percentage Tested       Met Criterion         ✓       100%       ✓         –       –       –         ✓       100%       ✓         ✓       100%       ✓         ✓       100%       ✓         ✓       100%       ✓         ✓       100%       ✓         ✓       100%       ✓         ✓       100%       ✓         ✓       100%       ✓         ✓       99%       ✓         ✓       99%       ✓         ✓       100%       ✓	Met Status       Met Criterion       Percentage Tested       Met Criterion       Performance Index         ✓       ✓       100%       ✓       159         –       –       –       –       –         ✓       ✓       100%       ✓       159         ✓       ✓       100%       ✓       136         ✓       ✓       100%       ✓       144         ✓       –       –       ✓       172         ✓       ✓       100%       ✓       163         ✓       –       –       –       –         ✓       ✓       100%       ✓       135         ×       ✓       99%       ✓       135         ×       ✓       100%       ✓       163	Met Status         Percentage Tested         Met Criterion         Performance Index         Effective AMO           ✓         ✓         100%         ✓         159         135           –         –         –         –         –         –           ✓         ✓         100%         ✓         159         135           –         –         –         –         –         –           ✓         ✓         100%         ✓         136         127           ✓         ✓         100%         ✓         144         130           ✓         –         –         ✓         172         121           ✓         ✓         100%         ✓         163         134           ✓         ✓         100%         ✓         135         131           ✓         ✓         99%         ✓         135         131           ✓         ✓         99%         ✓         163         133	Met Status         Percentage Criterion         Met rested         Performance Index         Effective AMO         Safe Harba Safe Harba 2010-11           V         100%         V         159         135           -         -         -         -         -           V         100%         V         159         135           -         -         -         -         -           V         100%         V         136         127           V         100%         V         144         130           V         -         -         V         163         134           V         100%         V         163         131         103           -         -         -         -         -         -         -           X         Y         100%         X         89         131         103           -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -           X         Y         100%         X         89         131         103           -         -         -         -         -         -         -           Y

used on this page.

NOTE: See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels

#### Symbols

- Made AYP
- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30
   Continuous Enrollment
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

## **Elementary/Middle-Level Science**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2011–12)	^	Good Standing				
<b>Accountability Measures</b>	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in science				
	~	Made AYP				
<b>Prospective Status</b>		This district will be in good standing in 2012-13. [201]				

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participati	on	<b>Test Performance</b>		Performance Objectives		
<b>Student Group</b> (Total: Continuous Enrollment)	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress 2010–11	5 Target 2011–12
Accountability Groups									
All Students (698:677)	~	Qualified	~	99%	<b>v</b>	189	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (35:33)		Qualified	-	-	~	188	100		
Hispanic or Latino (97:92)		Qualified	✓	99%	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	178	100	•••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (8:8)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (558:544)		Qualified	✓	98%	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	191	100		
Multiracial (0:0)			••••••	••••			••••••	• •• • • • • • • • • • • •	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (105:111)		Qualified	~	97%	~	165	100		
Limited English Proficient (8:8)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (130:121)		Qualified	~	99%	~	185	100		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 o	of 1							
Non-Accountability Groups									
Female (339:331)				99%		192	100		
Male (359:346)				98%		186	100		
Migrant (0:0)			••••••				•••••		

#### Symbols

Made AYP

- X Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30
   Continuous Enrollment

April 20, 2012

**NOTE:** See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

District ID 44-11-01-04-0000

## Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2011–12)	^	Good Standing				
Accountability Measures	5 of 5	Student groups making AYP in English language arts				
	✓	Made AYP				
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2012-13. [201]				

# How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English language arts accountability measures?

AYP Participat		on	Test Performance		Performance Objectives		
	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target
Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2010-11	2011–12
~	<b>v</b>	99%	<b>V</b>	190	177		
-	-	-	-	-	-		-
-	-	-	-	-	-		-
✓	_	–	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	182	166	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
-	-	-	-	-	-		-
<	<b>~</b>	100%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	191	177	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••
•••••••••	••••••••••••	••••		••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••••••••••••••••
<b>✓</b> SH	<ul> <li>Image: A start of the start of</li></ul>	98%	<b>✓</b> SH	157	169	140	161
-	-	-	-	-	-		-
✓	•	100%	~	185	169		•••••
🖌 5 of 5	5						
		100%		194	175		
••••••••	••••••	99%		186	175	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••••••••
••••••••	•••••••••••••••	••••				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
	Status	Status     Met Criterion       ✓     ✓       –     –       –     –       –     –       ✓     ✓       ✓     ✓       ✓     ✓	Met Criterion       Percentage Tested         ✓       99%         ✓       99%         –       –           –	Met Criterion       Percentage Tested       Met Criterion         ✓       99%       ✓         –       –       –         –       –       –         –       –       –         –       –       –         –       –       –         –       –       –         –       –       –         –       –       –         –       –       –         –       –       –         –       –       –         –       –       –         ✓       100%       ✓         ✓       100%       ✓         ✓       100%       ✓	Met Status       Met Criterion       Percentage Tested       Met Criterion       Performance Index         ✓       ✓       99%       ✓       190         –       –       –       –       –         –       –       –       –       –         –       –       –       –       –         –       –       –       –       –         ✓       –       –       –       –         ✓       –       –       –       –         ✓       –       –       –       –         ✓       100%       ✓       185       –         ✓       100%       ✓       185       –         ✓       100%       ✓       194       –	Met Criterion         Percentage Tested         Met Criterion         Performance Index         Effective AMO           Image: Criterion         Image	Met Status       Percentage Criterion       Met Tested       Performance Index       Effective AMO       Safe Harbo Safe Harbo AMO         V       99%       V       190       177         -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -         V       100%       V       185       169       -       -       -       -         V       100%       194       175       -       -       -       -       -

#### Symbols

#### Made AYP

- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 12<sup>th</sup> Graders/Fewer Than 30 Cohort
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

**NOTE:** See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

## **Secondary-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2011–12)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	5 of 5	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2012-13. [201]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level mathematics accountability measures?

AYP Participa		on	<b>Test Performance</b>		Performance Objectives		
	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target
Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2010-11	2011–12
~	~	99%	<b>V</b>	189	174		
_	-	-	_	-	-		_
-	-	-	-	-	-		-
✓	-	-	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	188	163	•••••••••••••	•••••
-	-	-	-	-	-		-
<	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	100%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	189	174	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••
••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••	••••		••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••
<b>✓</b> SH	<ul> <li>Image: A set of the set of the</li></ul>	98%	<b>✓</b> SH	161	166	161	165
-	-	-	-	-	-		-
~	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	98%	~	179	166		•••••
🗸 5 of 5	5						
		99%		192	172		
•••••••••••	••••••	99%	••••••	186	172	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
•••••••	•••••••••••••••					• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••
	Status	Status     Met Criterion       ✓     ✓       –     –       –     –       –     –       –     –       ✓     ✓       ✓     ✓	Met Criterion       Percentage Tested         ✓       99%         ✓       99%         –       – <td>Met Criterion       Percentage Tested       Met Criterion         ✓       99%       ✓         –       –       –         –       –       –         –       –       –         –       –       –         –       –       –         –       –       –         –       –       –         –       –       –         –       –       –         –       –       –         –       –       –         –       –       –         ✓       98%       ✓sH         –       –       –         ✓       98%       ✓         ✓       98%       ✓         ✓       98%       ✓         ✓       98%       ✓</td> <td>Met Criterion       Percentage Tested       Met Criterion       Performance Index         ✓       ✓       99%       ✓       189         –       –       –       –       –         –       –       –       –       –         –       –       –       –       –         –       –       –       –       –         ✓       –       –       –       –         ✓       –       –       –       –         ✓       –       –       –       –         ✓       –       –       –       –         ✓       98%       ✓       189         ✓       98%       ✓       161         –       –       –       –         ✓       98%       ✓       179         ✓       99%       ✓       192</td> <td>Met Criterion         Percentage Tested         Met Criterion         Performance Index         Effective AMO           Image: Criterion         Image</td> <td>Met Status       Met Criterion       Percentage Tested       Met Criterion       Performance Index       Effective AMO       Safe Harba 2010-11         V       99%       V       189       174         -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -         V       98%       V SH       161       166       161       -       -         V       98%       V       179       166       -       -       -       -         V       99%       192       172       -       -       -       -         -       -</td>	Met Criterion       Percentage Tested       Met Criterion         ✓       99%       ✓         –       –       –         –       –       –         –       –       –         –       –       –         –       –       –         –       –       –         –       –       –         –       –       –         –       –       –         –       –       –         –       –       –         –       –       –         ✓       98%       ✓sH         –       –       –         ✓       98%       ✓         ✓       98%       ✓         ✓       98%       ✓         ✓       98%       ✓	Met Criterion       Percentage Tested       Met Criterion       Performance Index         ✓       ✓       99%       ✓       189         –       –       –       –       –         –       –       –       –       –         –       –       –       –       –         –       –       –       –       –         ✓       –       –       –       –         ✓       –       –       –       –         ✓       –       –       –       –         ✓       –       –       –       –         ✓       98%       ✓       189         ✓       98%       ✓       161         –       –       –       –         ✓       98%       ✓       179         ✓       99%       ✓       192	Met Criterion         Percentage Tested         Met Criterion         Performance Index         Effective AMO           Image: Criterion         Image	Met Status       Met Criterion       Percentage Tested       Met Criterion       Performance Index       Effective AMO       Safe Harba 2010-11         V       99%       V       189       174         -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -         V       98%       V SH       161       166       161       -       -         V       98%       V       179       166       -       -       -       -         V       99%       192       172       -       -       -       -         -       -

#### Symbols

#### Made AYP

- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 12<sup>th</sup> Graders/Fewer Than 30 Cohort
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

**NOTE:** See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

District ID 44-11-01-04-0000

## **Graduation Rate**

Accountability Status for This Indicator (2011–12)	•	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in graduation rate
	<b>v</b>	Made AYP
<b>Prospective Status</b>		This district will be in good standing in 2012-13. [201]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Graduati	on	Objectives	Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Graduation	State	Progress Target	
(2006 Graduation-Rate Total Cohort)	AYP	Criterion	Rate	Standard	2010–11	
Accountability Groups						
All Students (424)	<b>~</b>	<ul> <li>Image: A start of the start of</li></ul>	89%	80%		
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native (2)		-	_	-		
Black or African American (20)		_	-	–		
Hispanic or Latino (43)		<ul> <li>Image: A set of the set of the</li></ul>	86%	80%		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (5)	••••••	-	_	-		
White (354)	••••••	✓	90%	80%		
Multiracial (0)	••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••		
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities (56)		<b>v</b>	68%	80%	65%	
Limited English Proficient (1)	••••••	-	-	-		
Economically Disadvantaged (63)	•••••••	✓	79%	80%	79%	
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 of :	1				
Non-Accountability Groups						
Female (201)			91%	80%		
Male (223)	••••••		87%	80%		
Migrant ( <b>O</b> )	••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••		

#### Symbols

- Made AYP
- X Did not make AYP

**NOTE:** See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

Fewer than 30 Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

## **Aspirational Goal**

The Board of Regents has set an aspirational goal that 95% of students in each public school and school district will graduate within five years of first entry into grade 9. The graduation rate for the 2006 total cohort through June 2011 (after 5 years) for this district is **92%** and, therefore, this district **did not** meet this goal. The aspirational goal does not impact accountability.

## 2011–12 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2011–12 accountability status.

# In Good Standing 3 schools identified 60% of total MINISINK VALLEY ELEMENTARY SCHOOL MINISINK VALLEY HIGH SCHOOL MINISINK VALLEY INTERMEDIATE SCHOOL Improvement (year 1) Basic 2 schools identified 40% of total MINISINK VALLEY MIDDLE SCHOOL OTISVILLE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

## Summary of 2010–11 District Performance

4

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	-	of students that above Level 3	Total Tested
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 3	65%		312
Grade 4	52%		330
Grade 5	57%		361
Grade 6	75%		335
Grade 7	53%		359
Grade 8	50%		360
Mathematics			
Grade 3	61%		315
Grade 4	58%		330
Grade 5	68%		362
Grade 6	73%		335
Grade 7	71%		359
Grade 8	57%		361
Science			
Grade 4	92%		329
Grade 8	87%		353
	Percentage of scored at or	2007 Total Cohort	
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%

.....

88%

88%

District ID 44-11-01-04-0000

## About the Performance Level Descriptors

#### **English Language Arts**

#### Level 1: Below Standard

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level.

#### Level 2: Meets Basic Standard

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level.

#### Level 3: Meets Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level.

#### Level 4: Exceeds Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level.

#### **Mathematics**

#### Level 1: Below Standard

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

#### Level 2: Meets Basic Standard

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

#### Level 3: Meets Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

#### Level 4: Exceeds Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

# How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.p12.nysed.gov/irs**.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

## This District's N/RC Category:

#### **Average Need Districts**

395

395

This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

English

**Mathematics** 

## This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pul	NY State Public			
		Percentage scor	ring at level(s):		Percentage sc	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2011 Mean Score: 666	*Range:	644-780	663-780	694-780					
2010 Mean Score: 671	100%	88% 89%	65% 61%		87% 86%	56% 55%			
2010-11 2009-10				17% 3%			17% 5%		
Number of Tested Students:		276 287	202 196	9 54					

<b>Results by</b>	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
All Students	312	88%	65%	3%	321	<b>89</b> %	61%	17%	
Female	155	91%	70%	5%	158	92%	65%	22%	
Male	157	86%	60%	1%	163	87%	58%	12%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	15	87%	67%	0%	12	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino	40	85%	50%	0%	51	84%	33%	4%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	6	100%	50%	0%	3	-	-	-	
White	251	89%	67%	4%	255	91%	67%	19%	
Multiracial	•••••								
Small Group Totals					15	87%	60%	20%	
General-Education Students	266	94%	73%	3%	278	95%	69%	19%	
Students with Disabilities	46	57%	15%	0%	43	53%	12%	2%	
English Proficient	307	89%	65%	3%	313	90%	63%	17%	
Limited English Proficient	5	80%	20%	0%	8	63%	0%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	53	74%	49%	2%	65	80%	40%	5%	
Not Disadvantaged	259	92%	68%	3%	256	92%	66%	20%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	312	88%	65%	3%	321	89%	61%	17%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2009–10 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	8	7	5	3	1	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

<sup>+</sup> These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. April 20, 2012

## This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Pub	lic		
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2011 Mean Score: 686	*Range:	662-770	684-770	707-770				
2010 Mean Score: 690	100%	92% 89%	61% 61%		91% 91%	60% 59%		
2010-11 2009-10				21% 10%	н.	н.	13%	
Number of Tested Students:		291 285	191 196	33 66				

Resultsby	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009-10 \$	2009–10 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
All Students	315	<b>92</b> %	61%	10%	320	89%	61%	21%	
Female	157	94%	60%	10%	158	91%	59%	22%	
Male	158	91%	61%	11%	162	88%	64%	20%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	15	93%	67%	20%	12	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino	43	86%	42%	5%	50	82%	48%	10%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	r 6	83%	67%	0%	3	-	-		
White	251	94%	63%	11%	255	91%	64%	22%	
Multiracial		••••							
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••••	••••••	15	87%	67%	27%	
General-Education Students	266	98%	69%	12%	277	95%	69%	23%	
Students with Disabilities	49	61%	14%	0%	43	49%	12%	2%	
English Proficient	308	93%	62%	11%	312	89%	62%	21%	
Limited English Proficient	7	71%	0%	0%	8	100%	25%	13%	
Economically Disadvantaged	56	82%	45%	5%	64	81%	52%	19%	
Not Disadvantaged	259	95%	64%	12%	256	91%	64%	21%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	315	92%	61%	10%	320	89%	61%	21%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other Assessments	2010-11	School Year		2009–10 School Year				
	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	8	8	5	1	1	-	-	_

## This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pul	olic		
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):	ng at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2011 Mean Score: 668	*Range:	637-775	671-775	722-775				
2010 Mean Score: 670	100%	89% 93%	52% 57%		92% 92%	57% 57%		
2010-11 2009-10				1% 1%	н.		2% 6%	
Number of Tested Students:		295 339	171 208	4 4				

<b>Results by</b>	2010-11	School Yea	r	2009–10 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	330	<b>89</b> %	52%	1%	364	93%	57%	1%
Female	163	92%	64%	2%	182	96%	65%	2%
Male	167	87%	40%	1%	182	91%	49%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Native					2	-	-	-
Black or African American	14	-	-	-	26	88%	42%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	51	82%	35%	0%	40	88%	55%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
White	263	91%	56%	2%	293	95%	59%	1%
Multiracial	••••••							
Small Group Totals	16	88%	38%	0%	5	80%	40%	0%
General-Education Students	274	97%	60%	1%	312	98%	65%	1%
Students with Disabilities	56	54%	13%	0%	52	62%	8%	0%
English Proficient	323	90%	53%	1%	361	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	7	71%	14%	0%	3	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	59	80%	32%	0%	83	87%	34%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	271	92%	56%	1%	281	95%	64%	1%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	330	89%	52%	1%	364	93%	57%	1%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11 \$	ichool Year			2009–10 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):
ASSESSMENTS	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

<sup>+</sup> These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. April 20, 2012

## **This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics**

		This District			NY State Pub	lic		
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2011 Mean Score: 681	*Range:	636-800	676-800	707-800				
2010 Mean Score: 680	100%	92% 94%	58% 55%		94% 95%	67% 64%		
2010-11 2009-10				18% 17%			27% 26%	
Number of Tested Students:		305 342	193 200	59 61				

Results by	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Studentoroup	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	330	92%	58%	18%	364	94%	55%	17%
Female	163	95%	64%	20%	182	93%	52%	14%
Male	167	90%	53%	16%	182	95%	58%	20%
American Indian or Alaska Native					2	-	_	-
Black or African American	14	-	-	–	26	81%	38%	15%
Hispanic or Latino	51	90%	49%	8%	40	88%	48%	15%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	r 2	-	-	–	3	-	-	-
White	263	93%	62%	20%	293	96%	57%	17%
Multiracial	• •••••		•••••			••••	••••••	
Small Group Totals	16	88%	38%	13%	5	80%	60%	0%
General-Education Students	274	98%	69%	22%	312	98%	62%	19%
Students with Disabilities	56	66%	5%	0%	52	67%	13%	2%
English Proficient	323	92%	59%	18%	361	-	_	_
Limited English Proficient	7	100%	29%	0%	3	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	59	85%	39%	8%	83	93%	34%	8%
Not Disadvantaged	271	94%	63%	20%	281	94%	61%	19%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	330	92%	58%	18%	364	94%	55%	17%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other Assessments	2010-11	School Year		2009–10 School Year				
	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	1	-	_	-	3	-	-	-

## This District's Results in Grade 4 Science

		<b>This District</b>			NY State Pub	lic			
		Percentage sco	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2011 Mean Score: 83	Range:	45-100	65-100	85-100					
2010 Mean Score: 82 2010-11 2009-10	100%	98% 99%	92% 90%	54% 51%	98% 97%	88% 88%	52% 55%		
Number of Tested Students:		324 361	304 326	177 185					

Results by	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	329	98%	92%	54%	363	99%	90%	51%
Female	163	100%	93%	62%	182	99%	91%	52%
Male	166	97%	92%	46%	181	99%	89%	50%
American Indian or Alaska Native					2	-	-	-
Black or African American	14	-	-	-	26	100%	65%	27%
Hispanic or Latino	51	98%	86%	29%	40	95%	75%	43%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	- 2	-	-	–	3	-	-	-
White	262	99%	94%	58%	292	100%	94%	54%
Multiracial	••••••			•••••		••••	••••••	
Small Group Totals	16	88%	81%	56%	5	100%	80%	60%
General-Education Students	274	100%	96%	61%	311	100%	95%	59%
Students with Disabilities	55	93%	76%	16%	52	96%	56%	2%
English Proficient	322	98%	93%	55%	360	-	_	-
Limited English Proficient	7	100%	71%	14%	3	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	59	97%	85%	34%	83	100%	86%	27%
Not Disadvantaged	270	99%	94%	58%	280	99%	91%	58%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	329	98%	92%	54%	363	99%	90%	51%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2010-11 \$	School Year		2009–10 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	sted 2–4 3–4 4 Tested					3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	1	-	_	-	3	-	-	-

## This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Publi	c			
		Percentage scori	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2011 Mean Score: 667	*Range:	648-795	668-795	700-795					
2010 Mean Score: 676	100%	89% 94%	57% 62%		89% 88%	54% 52%			
2010-11 2009-10				16% 3%			4% 13%		
Number of Tested Students:		323 316	206 208	10 52					

Resultsby	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
All Students	361	89%	57%	3%	335	94%	62%	16%	
Female	182	93%	63%	3%	162	96%	67%	21%	
Male	179	86%	51%	3%	173	93%	57%	10%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
Black or African American	24	71%	38%	8%	19	89%	37%	0%	
Hispanic or Latino	40	85%	43%	0%	44	89%	45%	7%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	-	-	-	9	-	-		
White	291	92%	61%	2%	262	95%	66%	18%	
Multiracial	•••••		••••••	•••••			•••••	••••••	
Small Group Totals	6	83%	50%	17%	10	100%	70%	30%	
General-Education Students	304	99%	65%	3%	299	98%	69%	17%	
Students with Disabilities	57	40%	12%	0%	36	64%	6%	0%	
English Proficient	359	-	_	-	333	-	_	-	
Limited English Proficient	2	-	–	-	2	-	-	-	
Economically Disadvantaged	74	81%	34%	0%	63	83%	40%	11%	
Not Disadvantaged	287	92%	63%	3%	272	97%	67%	17%	
Migrant					1	_	_	_	
Not Migrant	361	89%	57%	3%	334	-	-		

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11 9	School Year			2009–10 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Total				Total				
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

<sup>+</sup> These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. April 20, 2012

## This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

		<b>This District</b>			NY State Pub	lic			
		Percentage scor	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2011 Mean Score: 684	*Range:	640-780	676-780	707-780					
2010 Mean Score: 689	100%	93% 96%	68% 75%		94% 94%	66% 65%			
2010-11 2009-10				21% 24%	н.	н.	23% 24%		
Number of Tested Students:		338 322	245 251	75 81					

Resultsby	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	
Student of oup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
All Students	362	93%	68%	21%	335	96%	75%	24%	
Female	182	96%	70%	14%	162	97%	76%	23%	
Male	180	91%	65%	27%	173	95%	74%	25%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
Black or African American	24	75%	42%	4%	19	79%	58%	0%	
Hispanic or Latino	41	85%	59%	10%	44	98%	68%	14%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	- 4	-	-	-	9	-	-	-	
White	291	96%	71%	23%	262	97%	77%	28%	
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••	••••••		••••••••••••••••	••••••	••••••	
Small Group Totals	6	83%	83%	33%	10	90%	80%	20%	
General-Education Students	305	100%	76%	25%	299	99%	82%	27%	
Students with Disabilities	57	60%	21%	0%	36	75%	17%	0%	
English Proficient	359	-	_	_	333	-	_	-	
Limited English Proficient	3	-	-	–	2	-	-	-	
Economically Disadvantaged	74	91%	46%	11%	63	87%	59%	6%	
Not Disadvantaged	288	94%	73%	23%	272	98%	79%	28%	
Migrant					1	_	_	_	
Not Migrant	362	93%	68%	21%	334	-	-		

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010–11 data only. Ranges for the 2009–10 data are available in the 2009–10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11	School Year		2009–10 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	4	-	-	-

## This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		<b>This District</b>			NY State Publ	ic		
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2011 Mean Score: 669	*Range:	644-785	662-785	694-785				
2010 Mean Score: 668	100%	94% 93%	75% 64%		88% 89%	56% 54%		
2010-11 2009-10				5% 6%		н.	4% 7%	
Number of Tested Students:		315 327	252 223	16 20				

Resultsby	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	335	94%	75%	5%	350	93%	64%	6%
Female	164	96%	79%	6%	152	95%	65%	9%
Male	171	92%	72%	4%	198	92%	63%	4%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	17	94%	53%	0%	22	91%	50%	5%
Hispanic or Latino	45	87%	62%	0%	50	94%	60%	2%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	10	-	-	-	7	-	-	-
White	261	95%	79%	6%	270	94%	66%	7%
Multiracial	•••••							
Small Group Totals	12	100%	83%	8%	8	88%	63%	0%
General-Education Students	300	98%	83%	5%	293	99%	73%	7%
Students with Disabilities	35	63%	9%	0%	57	67%	14%	0%
English Proficient	333	-	-	-	348	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	61	80%	61%	2%	74	88%	54%	1%
Not Disadvantaged	274	97%	78%	5%	276	95%	66%	7%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	335	94%	75%	5%	350	93%	64%	6%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010–11 data only. Ranges for the 2009–10 data are available in the 2009–10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2009–10 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 6	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

<sup>+</sup> These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. April 20, 2012

## This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Pub	olic		
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2011 Mean Score: 685	*Range:	640-780	674-780	700-780				
2010 Mean Score: 683	100%	94% 94%	73% 70%		92% 92%	63% 61%		
2010-11 2009-10				26% 29%	н.	н.	26% 27%	
Number of Tested Students:		315 329	245 246	88 100				

Results by	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	je scoring a	t level(s):
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	335	94%	73%	26%	350	94%	70%	<b>29</b> %
Female	164	95%	76%	26%	152	94%	70%	28%
Male	171	93%	71%	27%	198	94%	70%	29%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	_	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	17	82%	53%	0%	22	95%	59%	23%
Hispanic or Latino	45	91%	58%	11%	50	92%	66%	14%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	r 10	-	-		7	-	-	
White	261	95%	76%	30%	270	94%	71%	32%
Multiracial			•••••			••••••••		•••••••
Small Group Totals	12	92%	92%	42%	8	100%	100%	25%
General-Education Students	300	99%	81%	29%	293	100%	81%	34%
Students with Disabilities	35	54%	6%	0%	57	65%	16%	0%
English Proficient	333	-	_	_	348	-	_	-
Limited English Proficient	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	
Economically Disadvantaged	61	80%	57%	15%	74	86%	66%	14%
Not Disadvantaged	274	97%	77%	29%	276	96%	71%	33%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	335	94%	73%	26%	350	94%	70%	29%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11 S	ichool Year		2009–10 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	3	-	-	-

## This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pub	olic		
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2011 Mean Score: 666	*Range:	642-790	665-790	698-790				
2010 Mean Score: 672	100%	95% 94%	53% 60%		91% 90%	48% 50%		
2010-11 2009-10				3% 12%			4% 11%	
Number of Tested Students:		341 341	192 219	10 45				

Resultsby	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	359	95%	53%	3%	362	94%	60%	12%
Female	155	97%	59%	3%	177	97%	66%	15%
Male	204	93%	49%	3%	185	92%	55%	10%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	25	96%	36%	0%	22	91%	41%	5%
Hispanic or Latino	53	94%	49%	2%	41	88%	61%	5%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	7	-	-	–	7	100%	86%	14%
White	273	95%	56%	3%	292	95%	61%	14%
Multiracial	•••••							
Small Group Totals	8	100%	50%	0%			•••••	
General-Education Students	300	100%	62%	3%	318	99%	68%	14%
Students with Disabilities	59	71%	12%	0%	44	57%	5%	0%
English Proficient	358	-	_	-	360	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	73	89%	47%	1%	80	85%	46%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	286	97%	55%	3%	282	97%	65%	16%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	359	95%	53%	3%	362	94%	60%	12%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2009–10 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Total				Total				
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

<sup>+</sup> These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. April 20, 2012

## This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		<b>This District</b>			NY State Pub	lic		
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		Percentage sco	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2011 Mean Score: 681	*Range:	639-800	670-800	694-800				
2010 Mean Score: 679	100%	94% 95%	71% 71%		92% 92%	65% 62%		
2010-11 2009-10				31% 32%	н.	н.	30% 29%	
Number of Tested Students:		337 343	256 254	113 114				

<b>Results by</b>	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
All Students	359	94%	71%	31%	360	95%	71%	32%	
Female	155	96%	75%	30%	177	95%	71%	31%	
Male	204	92%	68%	32%	183	95%	70%	32%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-					
Black or African American	25	84%	44%	32%	22	91%	59%	23%	
Hispanic or Latino	53	94%	70%	15%	40	90%	73%	28%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	- 7	-	-	–	7	100%	86%	71%	
White	273	95%	74%	34%	291	96%	71%	32%	
Multiracial	•••••						•••••		
Small Group Totals	8	100%	88%	38%			••••••		
General-Education Students	300	98%	80%	37%	314	99%	78%	36%	
Students with Disabilities	59	71%	29%	3%	46	70%	17%	0%	
English Proficient	358	-	_	-	358	-	_	_	
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	–	2	-	-	-	
Economically Disadvantaged	73	90%	55%	11%	79	89%	54%	15%	
Not Disadvantaged	286	95%	76%	37%	281	97%	75%	36%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	359	94%	71%	31%	360	95%	71%	32%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010–11 data only. Ranges for the 2009–10 data are available in the 2009–10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other Assessments	2010-11	School Year		2009–10 School Year				
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	3	-	_	-	4	-	-	-

## This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pub	olic		
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):	·	Percentage sc	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2011 Mean Score: 657	*Range:	628-790	658-790	699-790				
2010 Mean Score: 661	100%	93% 96%	50% 59%		92% 91%	47% 51%		
2010-11 2009-10				2% 6%			2% 8%	
Number of Tested Students:		336 346	180 213	8 23				

<b>Results by</b>	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
All Students	360	93%	50%	2%	359	96%	59%	6%	
Female	173	95%	55%	2%	166	99%	71%	10%	
Male	187	92%	45%	3%	193	94%	49%	3%	
American Indian or Alaska Native					2	-	-	-	
Black or African American	21	95%	43%	0%	16	94%	63%	6%	
Hispanic or Latino	45	84%	49%	2%	43	98%	47%	12%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	6	100%	83%	0%	8	-	-		
White	288	94%	50%	2%	290	96%	60%	5%	
Multiracial	•••••	••••	••••••		••••••	••••	••••••	•••••	
Small Group Totals	•••••		••••••		10	100%	90%	20%	
General-Education Students	318	98%	56%	3%	302	100%	68%	8%	
Students with Disabilities	42	60%	2%	0%	57	77%	12%	0%	
English Proficient	359	-	_	_	357	-	_	-	
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	
Economically Disadvantaged	70	87%	37%	1%	66	95%	47%	3%	
Not Disadvantaged	290	95%	53%	2%	293	97%	62%	7%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	360	93%	50%	2%	359	96%	59%	6%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11 S	chool Year		,	2009–10 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	5	5	4	3	8	8	8	5	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Total				Total				
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

<sup>+</sup> These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. April 20, 2012

## **This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics**

		This District			NY State Pub	olic		
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2011 Mean Score: 674	*Range:	639-775	674-775	704-775				
2010 Mean Score: 674	100%	89% 93%	57% 52%		91% 91%	60% 55%		
2010-11 2009-10				14% 12%			18% 18%	
Number of Tested Students:		323 329	205 184	51 41				

<b>Results by</b>	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
All Students	361	89%	57%	14%	355	93%	52%	12%	
Female	172	92%	56%	12%	164	95%	59%	15%	
Male	189	87%	58%	16%	191	91%	46%	8%	
American Indian or Alaska Native					2	-	_	_	
Black or African American	21	86%	48%	10%	16	88%	50%	6%	
Hispanic or Latino	45	80%	49%	7%	40	88%	38%	10%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	r 6	83%	67%	50%	8	-	-		
White	289	91%	58%	15%	289	93%	54%	12%	
Multiracial		••••						••••••	
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			••••••	10	100%	60%	20%	
General-Education Students	318	95%	64%	16%	298	98%	59%	14%	
Students with Disabilities	43	49%	2%	0%	57	63%	14%	0%	
English Proficient	360	-	_	-	353	-	_	_	
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	–	2	-	-	-	
Economically Disadvantaged	69	83%	42%	6%	66	89%	38%	5%	
Not Disadvantaged	292	91%	60%	16%	289	93%	55%	13%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	361	89%	57%	14%	355	93%	52%	12%	

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11	School Year		2009–10 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	5	4	4	1	8	8	7	5

## This District's Results in Grade 8 Science



Results by	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	353	99%	87%	32%	356	99%	86%	36%	
Female	169	99%	90%	26%	165	100%	89%	38%	
Male	184	98%	85%	38%	191	99%	83%	34%	
American Indian or Alaska Native					2	-	-	-	
Black or African American	21	100%	90%	19%	16	94%	75%	19%	
Hispanic or Latino	44	95%	77%	18%	41	100%	66%	22%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islande	r 6	100%	83%	67%	8	-	-	-	
White	282	99%	89%	35%	289	100%	89%	37%	
Multiracial	• ••••••			•••••••		••••		••••••	
Small Group Totals	• ••••••		••••••	•••••••••••	10	100%	100%	70%	
General-Education Students	312	100%	91%	37%	301	100%	93%	42%	
Students with Disabilities	41	93%	56%	0%	55	98%	49%	4%	
English Proficient	352	_	_	_	354	_	_	_	
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	–	2	-	-	-	
Economically Disadvantaged	69	99%	84%	17%	64	100%	80%	17%	
Not Disadvantaged	284	99%	88%	36%	292	99%	87%	40%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	353	99%	87%	32%	356	99%	86%	36%	


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2010–11 S	chool Year			2009–10 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	5	5	3	1	0	8	7	5	
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	J	J	5	1	0	o	1	J	
Regents Science	0				0				

## This District's Total Cohort\* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2007 Cohor	t		2006 Cohort**				
Student Group	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	395	91%	88%	58%	424	89%	85%	44%
Female	184	93%	92%	66%	201	92%	88%	53%
Male	211	89%	84%	50%	223	87%	82%	36%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	_	-	2	-	_	-
Black or African American	20	95%	80%	25%	20	85%	85%	25%
Hispanic or Latino	37	89%	78%	43%	43	79%	67%	21%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	6	-	-	-	5	-	-	-
White	331	91%	89%	61%	354	90%	87%	48%
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••		
Small Group Totals	7	100%	100%	86%	7	100%	100%	57%
General-Education Students	342	95%	94%	66%	380	94%	93%	49%
Students with Disabilities	53	62%	43%	6%	44	41%	16%	0%
English Proficient	393	-	_	-	423	-	_	-
imited English Proficient	2	–	-	-	1	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	55	85%	78%	40%	63	83%	73%	19%
Not Disadvantaged	340	92%	89%	61%	361	90%	87%	49%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	395	91%	88%	58%	424	89%	85%	44%

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

\*\* 2006 cohort data are those reported in the 2009–10 Accountability and Overview Report.

## This District's Total Cohort\* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2007 <b>Coho</b> r	t		2006 Cohort**					
Student Group	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	395	89%	88%	18%	424	91%	87%	<b>29</b> %	
Female	184	92%	91%	20%	201	93%	90%	27%	
Male	211	87%	85%	16%	223	89%	84%	30%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	_			2	-			
Black or African American	20	85%	85%	5%	20	90%	75%	15%	
Hispanic or Latino	37	84%	81%	14%	43	86%	79%	14%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	6	-	-	-	5	-	-	-	
White	331	90%	88%	19%	354	92%	88%	32%	
Multiracial		•••••		•••••			•••••		
Small Group Totals	7	100%	100%	29%	7	86%	86%	0%	
General-Education Students	342	95%	94%	20%	380	96%	92%	32%	
Students with Disabilities	53	53%	49%	2%	44	48%	41%	0%	
English Proficient	393	-	_	-	423	-	-	-	
_imited English Proficient	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
Economically Disadvantaged	55	80%	76%	11%	63	87%	84%	13%	
Not Disadvantaged	340	91%	89%	19%	361	92%	87%	31%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	395	89%	88%	18%	424	91%	87%	29%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

\*\* 2006 cohort data are those reported in the 2009–10 Accountability and Overview Report.