



# The New York State District Report Card

**Accountability  
and Overview Report  
2010 – 11**

District **RICHFIELD SPRINGS CENTRAL  
SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **47-20-01-04-0000**

Superintendent **ROBERT BARRACO**

Telephone **(315) 574-3043**

Grades **PK-12, US**

## This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents' effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

### Use this report to:

- 1 Get District Profile information.**  
This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.
- 2 Review District Accountability Status.**  
This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies the district's accountability status.
- 3 View School Accountability Status.**  
This section lists all schools in your district by 2011–12 accountability status.
- 4 Review an Overview of District Performance.**  
This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science.

### For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services  
New York State Education Department  
Room 863 EBA  
Albany, NY 12234  
Email: [dataquest@mail.nysed.gov](mailto:dataquest@mail.nysed.gov)

## District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

### Enrollment

	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11
Pre-K	23	25	23
Kindergarten	37	39	43
Grade 1	42	33	38
Grade 2	37	44	38
Grade 3	38	39	37
Grade 4	43	42	42
Grade 5	50	43	38
Grade 6	37	44	39
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Grade 7	47	41	42
Grade 8	53	47	37
Grade 9	51	51	45
Grade 10	36	54	47
Grade 11	42	37	51
Grade 12	52	44	33
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	3
<b>Total K-12</b>	<b>565</b>	<b>558</b>	<b>533</b>

### Average Class Size

	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11
<b>Common Branch</b>	20	19	19
<b>Grade 8</b>			
English			37
Mathematics	17	20	18
Science		24	18
Social Studies	17	21	18
<b>Grade 10</b>			
English	16		22
Mathematics	14	14	13
Science			
Social Studies	16	16	21

### Enrollment Information

*Enrollment* counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

### Average Class Size Information

*Average Class Size* is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1-6.

District RICHFIELD SPRINGS CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 47-20-01-04-0000

## Demographic Factors

	2008-09		2009-10		2010-11	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	200	35%	211	38%	141	26%
Reduced-Price Lunch	94	17%	80	14%	53	10%
Student Stability*	N/A		N/A		N/A	
Limited English Proficient	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
<b>Racial/Ethnic Origin</b>						
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black or African American	9	2%	7	1%	4	1%
Hispanic or Latino	4	1%	5	1%	7	1%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	9	2%	11	2%	10	2%
White	543	96%	535	96%	512	96%
Multiracial	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

\* Available only at the school level.

## Attendance and Suspensions

	2007-08		2008-09		2009-10	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate	94%		93%		92%	
Student Suspensions	65	11%	76	13%	32	6%

## Demographic Factors Information

*Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch* percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. *Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient* counts are used to determine *Similar Schools* groupings within a *Need/Resource Capacity* category.

## Attendance and Suspensions Information

*Annual Attendance Rate* is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. *Student Suspension* rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

## Teacher Qualifications

	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11
<b>Total Number of Teachers</b>	55	56	53
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	2%	0%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	5%	2%	0%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	16%	16%	8%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	9%	7%	9%
<b>Total Number of Core Classes</b>	176	163	164
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers in This District	6%	2%	3%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified in High-Poverty Schools Statewide	8%	6%	5%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified in Low-Poverty Schools Statewide	1%	1%	0%
<b>Total Number of Classes</b>	223	231	237
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	5%	3%	0%

## Teacher Turnover Rate

	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	18%	8%	15%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	13%	7%	16%

## Staff Counts

	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11
Total Other Professional Staff	8	7	6
Total Paraprofessionals*	15	13	17
Assistant Principals	1	1	1
Principals	1	1	1

\* Not available at the school level.

## Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

*Core Classes* are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. A teacher who taught one class outside of the certification area(s) is counted as Highly Qualified provided that 1) the teacher had been determined by the school or district through the HOUSSE process or other state-accepted methods to have demonstrated acceptable subject knowledge and teaching skills and 2) the class in question was not the sole assignment reported. Credit for incidental teaching does not extend beyond a single assignment. Independent of Highly Qualified Teacher status, any assignment for which a teacher did not hold a valid certificate still registers as teaching out of certification. High-poverty and low-poverty schools are those schools in the upper and lower quartiles, respectively, for percentage of students eligible for a free or reduced-price lunch.

## Teacher Turnover Rate Information

*Teacher Turnover Rate* for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

## Staff Counts Information

*Other Professionals* includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

## Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2010–11, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: <http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irs/accountability/>.



### 1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

#### A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2010–11 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

#### B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2007 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

### 2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

### 3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

**Elementary/Middle-Level Science:** To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

#### A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

#### B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group, if it has 30 or more students, must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the group must meet both the participation criterion and the performance criterion in science.

**Secondary-Level Graduation Rate:** For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2006 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2010 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (80%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2006 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2010 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (80%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

## Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

### 12<sup>th</sup> Graders

The count of 12<sup>th</sup> graders enrolled during the 2010–11 school year used to determine the Percentage Tested for the Participation part of the AYP determination for secondary-level ELA and mathematics. These are the first numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the secondary-level ELA and mathematics pages.

### 2007 Cohort

The count of students in the 2007 accountability cohort used to determine the Performance Index for the Test Performance part of the AYP determination for secondary-level ELA and mathematics. These are the second numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the secondary-level ELA and mathematics pages.

### Accountability Cohort for English and Mathematics

The accountability cohort is used to determine if a school or district met the performance criterion in secondary-level ELA and mathematics. The 2007 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2007–08 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2007–08 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2010 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2011, are not included in the 2007 school accountability cohort. The 2007 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

### Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

### Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p) (14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

### Continuous Enrollment

The count of continuously enrolled tested students used to determine the Performance Index for the Test Performance part of the AYP determination for elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science. These are the second numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science pages.

### Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

### Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make AYP. The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at [www.p12.nysed.gov/irs](http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irs).

### Graduation Rate

The Graduation Rate on the Graduation Rate page is the percentage of the 2006 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2010.

### Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

The Graduation-Rate Total Cohort, shown on the Graduation Rate page, is used to determine if a school or district made AYP in graduation rate. For the 2010–11 school year, this cohort is the 2006 graduation-rate total cohort. The 2006 total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2006–07 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2006–07 school year, and who were enrolled in the school/district for five months or longer or who were enrolled in the school/district for less than five months but were previously enrolled in the same school/district for five months or longer between the date they first entered Grade 9 and the date they last ended enrollment. A more detailed definition of graduation-rate cohort can be found in the *SIRS Manual* at [www.p12.nysed.gov/irs](http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irs).

For districts and schools with fewer than 30 graduation-rate total cohort members in the All Students group in 2010–11, data for 2009–10 and 2010–11 for accountability groups were combined to determine counts and graduation rates. Groups with fewer than 30 students in the graduation-rate total cohort are not required to meet the graduation-rate criterion.

### Limited English Proficient

For all accountability measures, if the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

### Non-Accountability Groups

Female, Male, and Migrant groups are not part of the AYP determination for any measure.

## Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability (continued)

### Participation

Accountability groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period (for elementary/middle-level ELA, math, and science) or fewer than 40 12<sup>th</sup> graders (for secondary-level ELA and mathematics) are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the Percentage Tested for an accountability group fell below 95 percent for ELA and math or 80 percent for science in 2010–11, the participation enrollment (“Total” or “12<sup>th</sup> Graders”) shown in the tables is the sum of 2009–10 and 2010–11 participation enrollments and the “Percentage Tested” shown is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

### Performance Index (PI)

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[ \frac{\text{Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{the Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students}} \right]$$

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[ \frac{\text{Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{the Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Cohort Members}} \right]$$

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at [www.p12.nysed.gov/irs](http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irs).

### Progress Targets

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making AYP or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year’s performance.

*Science:* The current year’s Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the previous year’s Performance Index (PI). Example: The 2010–11 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2009–10 PI.

*Graduation Rate:* The Graduation-rate Progress Target is calculated by determining a 20% gap reduction between the rate of the previous year’s graduation-rate cohort and the state standard. Example: The 2010–11 Graduation-Rate Progress Target =  $[(80 - \text{percentage of the 2005 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009}) \times 0.20] + \text{percentage of the 2005 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009}$ .

Progress Targets are provided for groups whose PI (for science) or graduation rate (for graduation rate) is below the State Standard.

### Safe Harbor Targets

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate AYP for accountability groups that do not achieve their EAMOs in English or mathematics. The 2010–11 safe harbor targets are calculated using the following equation:  
2009–10 PI + (200 – the 2009–10 PI) × 0.10

Safe Harbor Targets are provided for groups whose PI is less than the EAMO.

### Safe Harbor Qualification (\*)

On the science page, if the group met both the participation and the performance criteria for science, the Safe Harbor Qualification column will show “Qualified.” If the group did not meet one or more criteria, the column will show “Did not qualify.” A “\*” symbol after the 2010–11 Safe Harbor Target on the elementary/middle- or secondary-level ELA or mathematics page indicates that the student group did not make AYP in science (elementary/middle level) or graduation rate (secondary level) and; therefore, the group did not qualify for Safe Harbor in ELA or mathematics.

### State Standard

The criterion value that represents minimally satisfactory performance (for science) or a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma (for graduation rate). In 2010–11, the State Science Standard is a Performance Index of 100; the State Graduation-Rate Standard is 80%. The Commissioner may raise the State Standard at his discretion in future years.

### Students with Disabilities

For all measures, if the count of students with disabilities is equal to or greater than 30, former students with disabilities are also included in the performance calculations.

### Test Performance

For districts and schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students (for elementary/middle-level ELA, math, and science) or fewer than 30 students in the 2007 cohort (for secondary-level ELA and mathematics) in the All Students group in 2010–11, data for 2009–10 and 2010–11 for accountability groups were combined to determine counts and Performance Indices. For districts and schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students/2007 cohort members in the All Students group in 2010–11, student groups with fewer than 30 members are not required to meet the performance criterion. This is indicated by a “—” in the Test Performance column in the table.

### Total

The count of students enrolled during the test administration period used to determine the Percentage Tested for the Participation part of the AYP determination for elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science. These are the first numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science pages. For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons in accordance with federal NCLB guidance are not included in the count.

## Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: <http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irs/accountability/>.

### Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

#### ▲ District in Good Standing

■ A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.

#### ▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

#### ▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 2)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

#### ▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 3)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

#### ▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 4)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

#### ▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

### New York State Status

(Applies to New York State districts)

#### ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

#### ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

#### ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

#### ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

#### ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

**Pending** – A district's status is "Pending" if the district requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.



## Summary

### Overall Accountability Status (2011–12)

**Good Standing**

ELA	Good Standing	Science	Good Standing
Math	Good Standing	Graduation Rate	Good Standing

### Title I Part A Funding

#### Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding

2009–10	2010–11	2011–12
YES	YES	YES

### On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

Student Groups	Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Level		
	English			English		
	Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
<b>All Students</b>						
<b>Ethnicity</b>						
American Indian or Alaska Native	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hispanic or Latino	—	—	—	—	—	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	—	—	—	—	—	—
White			—			—
Multiracial	—	—	—	—	—	—
<b>Other Groups</b>						
Students with Disabilities			—	—	—	—
Limited English Proficient	—	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged			—	—	—	—
<b>Student groups making AYP in each subject</b>	3 of 4	3 of 4	1 of 1	2 of 2	2 of 2	1 of 1

#### AYP Status

- Made AYP
- Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- Did not make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

#### Accountability Status Levels

- | Federal                               | State  |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| Good Standing                         | Good Standing                                |
| Improvement (Year 1)                  | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)         |
| Improvement (Year 2)                  | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)         |
| Improvement (Year 3)                  | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)         |
| Improvement (Year 4)                  | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)         |
| Improvement (Year 5 & Above)          | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 & Above) |
| Pending – Requires Special Evaluation |  |













## Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

**Accountability Status**  Good Standing  
**for This Subject**  
**(2011–12)**

**Accountability Measures** 3 of 4 Student groups making AYP in English language arts  
 Did not make AYP

**Prospective Status** This district will be in good standing in 2012-13. [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment)	AYP	Participation		Test Performance		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2010–11    2011–12
<b>Accountability Groups</b>							
<b>All Students</b> (236:224)			99%		138	115	
<b>Ethnicity</b>							
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)							
Black or African American (1:1)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hispanic or Latino (4:3)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (5:5)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White (222:215)			99%		137	114	
Multiracial (4:0)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
<b>Other Groups</b>							
Students with Disabilities (39:36)		—	—		58	106	78    72
Limited English Proficient (0:0)							
Economically Disadvantaged (141:135)			99%		128	113	
<b>Final AYP Determination</b>	 3 of 4						
<b>Non-Accountability Groups</b>							
Female (126:123)			99%		151	113	
Male (110:101)			99%		121	112	
Migrant (1:1)			—		—	—	

#### Symbols

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30 Continuous Enrollment
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

**NOTE:** See *Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability* for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.













## Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

**Accountability Status**  Good Standing  
**for This Subject**  
**(2011–12)**

**Accountability Measures** 3 of 4 Student groups making AYP in mathematics  
 Did not make AYP

**Prospective Status** This district will be in good standing in 2012-13. [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment)	AYP	Participation		Test Performance		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2010–11    2011–12
<b>Accountability Groups</b>							
<b>All Students</b> (237:226)			100%		150	130	
<b>Ethnicity</b>							
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)							
Black or African American (1:1)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Hispanic or Latino (4:3)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (5:5)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
White (223:217)			100%		149	129	
Multiracial (4:0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
<b>Other Groups</b>							
Students with Disabilities (39:38)		–	–		76	121	88    88
Limited English Proficient (0:0)							
Economically Disadvantaged (142:136)			99%		139	128	
<b>Final AYP Determination</b>	 3 of 4						
<b>Non-Accountability Groups</b>							
Female (127:125)			100%		153	128	
Male (110:101)			99%		146	127	
Migrant (1:1)			–		–	–	

#### Symbols

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30 Continuous Enrollment
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

**NOTE:** See *Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability* for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.









## Elementary/Middle-Level Science

**Accountability Status**  Good Standing  
**for This Subject**  
**(2011–12)**

**Accountability Measures** 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in science  
 Made AYP

**Prospective Status** This district will be in good standing in 2012-13. [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment)	AYP		Participation		Test Performance		Performance Objectives		
	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress Target 2010–11	2011–12
<b>Accountability Groups</b>									
<b>All Students</b> (78:72)		Qualified		96%		188	100		
<b>Ethnicity</b>									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (0:0)									
Hispanic or Latino (2:2)		–	–	–	–	–	–		–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)									
White (75:70)		Qualified		96%		187	100		
Multiracial (1:0)		–	–	–	–	–	–		–
<b>Other Groups</b>									
Students with Disabilities (11:10)		–	–	–	–	–	–		–
Limited English Proficient (0:0)									
Economically Disadvantaged (47:43)		Qualified		96%		181	100		
<b>Final AYP Determination</b>		1 of 1							
<b>Non-Accountability Groups</b>									
Female (47:46)				98%		196	100		
Male (31:26)				–		–	–		
Migrant (0:0)									


#### Symbols

-  Made AYP
-  Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30 Continuous Enrollment

**NOTE:** See *Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability* for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.




## Secondary-Level English Language Arts

**Accountability Status**  Good Standing  
**for This Subject**  
**(2011–12)**




**Accountability Measures** 2 of 2 Student groups making AYP in English language arts  
 Made AYP

**Prospective Status** This district will be in good standing in 2012-13. [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English language arts accountability measures?

Student Group (12th Graders: 2007 Cohort)	AYP	Participation		Test Performance		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2010–11    2011–12
<b>Accountability Groups</b>							
<b>All Students</b> (33:35)		–	–		180	167	
<b>Ethnicity</b>							
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)							
Black or African American (0:0)							
Hispanic or Latino (0:0)							
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (2:2)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
White (31:33)		–	–		179	166	
Multiracial (0:0)							
<b>Other Groups</b>							
Students with Disabilities (3:6)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Limited English Proficient (0:0)							
Economically Disadvantaged (16:15)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
<b>Final AYP Determination</b>	 2 of 2						
<b>Non-Accountability Groups</b>							
Female (20:20)			–		–	–	
Male (13:15)			–		–	–	
Migrant (0:0)							

#### Symbols

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 12<sup>th</sup> Graders/Fewer Than 30 Cohort
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

**NOTE:** See *Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability* for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.






## Secondary-Level Mathematics

**Accountability Status**  Good Standing  
**for This Subject**  
**(2011–12)**

**Accountability Measures** 2 of 2 Student groups making AYP in mathematics  
 Made AYP

**Prospective Status** This district will be in good standing in 2012-13. [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group (12th Graders: 2007 Cohort)	AYP	Participation		Test Performance		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2010–11    2011–12
<b>Accountability Groups</b>							
<b>All Students</b> (33:35)		–	–		186	164	
<b>Ethnicity</b>							
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)							
Black or African American (0:0)							
Hispanic or Latino (0:0)							
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (2:2)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
White (31:33)		–	–		185	163	
Multiracial (0:0)							
<b>Other Groups</b>							
Students with Disabilities (3:6)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Limited English Proficient (0:0)							
Economically Disadvantaged (16:15)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
<b>Final AYP Determination</b>	 2 of 2						
<b>Non-Accountability Groups</b>							
Female (20:20)			–		–	–	
Male (13:15)			–		–	–	
Migrant (0:0)							

#### Symbols

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 12<sup>th</sup> Graders/Fewer Than 30 Cohort
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

**NOTE:** See *Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability* for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.





## Graduation Rate

**Accountability Status for This Indicator (2011–12)**  Good Standing

**Accountability Measures** 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in graduation rate  
 Made AYP

**Prospective Status** This district will be in good standing in 2012-13. [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

Student Group (2006 Graduation-Rate Total Cohort)	Graduation			Objectives	
	AYP	Met Criterion	Graduation Rate	State Standard	Progress Target 2010–11
<b>Accountability Groups</b>					
<b>All Students</b> (49)			80%	80%	
<b>Ethnicity</b>					
American Indian or Alaska Native (0)					
Black or African American (0)					
Hispanic or Latino (0)					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0)					
White (49)			80%	80%	
Multiracial (0)					
<b>Other Groups</b>					
Students with Disabilities (12)		—	—	—	
Limited English Proficient (0)					
Economically Disadvantaged (17)		—	—	—	
<b>Final AYP Determination</b>	 1 of 1				
<b>Non-Accountability Groups</b>					
Female (20)			—	—	
Male (29)			—	—	
Migrant (0)					

#### Symbols

-  Made AYP
-  Did not make AYP
- Fewer than 30 Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

**NOTE:** See *Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability* for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

#### Aspirational Goal

The Board of Regents has set an aspirational goal that 95% of students in each public school and school district will graduate within five years of first entry into grade 9. The graduation rate for the 2006 total cohort through June 2011 (after 5 years) for this district is **76%** and, therefore, this district **did not** meet this goal. The aspirational goal does not impact accountability.

## 2011–12 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2011–12 accountability status.

---

**Improvement (year 1) Basic**

1 school identified 100% of total

RICHFIELD SPRINGS CENTRAL SCHOOL

---



# 4 Overview of District Performance

District **RICHFIELD SPRINGS CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **47-20-01-04-0000**

## Summary of 2010–11 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

English Language Arts	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			Total Tested
	0%	50%	100%	
Grade 3	50%			36
Grade 4	47%			38
Grade 5	59%			39
Grade 6	53%			38
Grade 7	40%			43
Grade 8	42%			38

Mathematics	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			Total Tested
	0%	50%	100%	
Grade 3	39%			36
Grade 4	69%			39
Grade 5	74%			39
Grade 6	45%			40
Grade 7	52%			42
Grade 8	53%			38

Science	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			Total Tested
	0%	50%	100%	
Grade 4	92%			38
Grade 8	86%			36

Secondary Level	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			2007 Total Cohort
	0%	50%	100%	
English	75%			40
Mathematics	78%			40

### About the Performance Level Descriptors

#### English Language Arts

##### Level 1: Below Standard

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level.

##### Level 2: Meets Basic Standard

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level.

##### Level 3: Meets Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level.

##### Level 4: Exceeds Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level.

#### Mathematics

##### Level 1: Below Standard

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

##### Level 2: Meets Basic Standard

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

##### Level 3: Meets Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

##### Level 4: Exceeds Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

### How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at [www.p12.nysed.gov/irs](http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irs).

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

### This District's N/RC Category:

#### High Need/Resource Rural Districts


This is a rural school district with high student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

# 4 Overview of District Performance

District **RICHFIELD SPRINGS CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **47-20-01-04-0000**

## This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts



### Results by Student Group

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>86%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>90%</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>21%</b>
Female	18	94%	50%	0%	25	92%	56%	20%
Male	18	78%	50%	0%	14	86%	43%	21%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	1	-	-	-				
Hispanic or Latino					1	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-				
White	33	-	-	-	38	-	-	-
Multiracial	1	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	36	86%	50%	0%	39	90%	51%	21%
General-Education Students	28	96%	64%	0%	33	94%	58%	24%
Students with Disabilities	8	50%	0%	0%	6	67%	17%	0%
English Proficient	36	86%	50%	0%	39	90%	51%	21%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	27	85%	48%	0%	30	90%	47%	20%
Not Disadvantaged	9	89%	56%	0%	9	89%	67%	22%
Migrant	1	-	-	-				
Not Migrant	35	-	-	-	39	90%	51%	21%

#### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

### Other Assessments

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Total</b>					<b>Total</b>			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A


† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

# 4 Overview of District Performance

District **RICHFIELD SPRINGS CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **47-20-01-04-0000**

## This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics



### Results by Student Group

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>89%</b>	<b>39%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>83%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>23%</b>
Female	18	83%	44%	0%	25	88%	56%	24%
Male	18	94%	33%	0%	15	73%	53%	20%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	1	-	-	-				
Hispanic or Latino					1	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-				
White	33	-	-	-	39	-	-	-
Multiracial	1	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	36	89%	39%	0%	40	83%	55%	23%
General-Education Students	28	100%	50%	0%	34	91%	65%	26%
Students with Disabilities	8	50%	0%	0%	6	33%	0%	0%
English Proficient	36	89%	39%	0%	40	83%	55%	23%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	27	89%	30%	0%	30	80%	57%	23%
Not Disadvantaged	9	89%	67%	0%	10	90%	50%	20%
Migrant	1	-	-	-				
Not Migrant	35	-	-	-	40	83%	55%	23%

#### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

### Other Assessments

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				0			

# 4 Overview of District Performance

District **RICHFIELD SPRINGS CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **47-20-01-04-0000**

## This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

	This District			NY State Public		
	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2011 Mean Score: 669	*Range: 637-775	671-775	722-775			
2010 Mean Score: 671						
Number of Tested Students:	33	38	18	26	0	1

### Results by Student Group

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>87%</b>	<b>47%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>93%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>2%</b>
Female	25	88%	56%	0%	25	96%	68%	4%
Male	13	85%	31%	0%	16	88%	56%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American								
Hispanic or Latino	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander					1	-	-	-
White	35	-	-	-	39	-	-	-
Multiracial	1	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	38	87%	47%	0%	41	93%	63%	2%
General-Education Students	32	97%	56%	0%	35	100%	74%	3%
Students with Disabilities	6	33%	0%	0%	6	50%	0%	0%
English Proficient	38	87%	47%	0%	41	93%	63%	2%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	28	82%	46%	0%	26	88%	65%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	10	100%	50%	0%	15	100%	60%	7%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	38	87%	47%	0%	41	93%	63%	2%

#### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

### Other Assessments

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Total</b>					<b>Total</b>			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A


† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

# 4 Overview of District Performance

District **RICHFIELD SPRINGS CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **47-20-01-04-0000**

## This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics



### Results by Student Group

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>92%</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>31%</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>17%</b>
Female	26	92%	69%	31%	25	100%	72%	8%
Male	13	92%	69%	31%	16	100%	75%	31%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American								
Hispanic or Latino	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander					1	-	-	-
White	36	-	-	-	39	-	-	-
Multiracial	1	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	39	92%	69%	31%	41	100%	73%	17%
General-Education Students	33	100%	82%	36%	35	100%	83%	20%
Students with Disabilities	6	50%	0%	0%	6	100%	17%	0%
English Proficient	39	92%	69%	31%	41	100%	73%	17%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	29	90%	72%	31%	26	100%	69%	15%
Not Disadvantaged	10	100%	60%	30%	15	100%	80%	20%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	39	92%	69%	31%	41	100%	73%	17%

#### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

### Other Assessments


	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0			

# 4 Overview of District Performance

District **RICHFIELD SPRINGS CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **47-20-01-04-0000**

## This District's Results in Grade 4 Science



### Results by Student Group

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>92%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>93%</b>	<b>63%</b>
Female	26	100%	96%	46%	25	100%	92%	68%
Male	12	100%	83%	58%	16	100%	94%	56%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American								
Hispanic or Latino	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander					1	-	-	-
White	35	-	-	-	39	-	-	-
Multiracial	1	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	38	100%	92%	50%	41	100%	93%	63%
General-Education Students	32	100%	94%	59%	35	100%	97%	74%
Students with Disabilities	6	100%	83%	0%	6	100%	67%	0%
English Proficient	38	100%	92%	50%	41	100%	93%	63%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	28	100%	89%	50%	26	100%	88%	58%
Not Disadvantaged	10	100%	100%	50%	15	100%	100%	73%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	38	100%	92%	50%	41	100%	93%	63%

**NOTES**  
The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

### Other Assessments


	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0			

# 4 Overview of District Performance

District **RICHFIELD SPRINGS CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **47-20-01-04-0000**

## This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts



### Results by Student Group

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>97%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>87%</b>	<b>45%</b>	<b>8%</b>
Female	23	96%	65%	9%	15	73%	40%	7%
Male	16	100%	50%	6%	23	96%	48%	9%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American								
Hispanic or Latino	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
White	37	-	-	-	36	-	-	-
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	39	97%	59%	8%	38	87%	45%	8%
General-Education Students	35	-	-	-	31	94%	55%	10%
Students with Disabilities	4	-	-	-	7	57%	0%	0%
English Proficient	39	97%	59%	8%	38	87%	45%	8%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	25	96%	52%	4%	22	86%	32%	5%
Not Disadvantaged	14	100%	71%	14%	16	88%	63%	13%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	39	97%	59%	8%	38	87%	45%	8%

#### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

### Other Assessments

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Total</b>					<b>Total</b>			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A


† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

# 4 Overview of District Performance

District **RICHFIELD SPRINGS CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **47-20-01-04-0000**

## This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics



### Results by Student Group

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>26%</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>97%</b>	<b>68%</b>	<b>29%</b>
Female	23	100%	70%	17%	15	100%	53%	27%
Male	16	100%	81%	38%	23	96%	78%	30%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American								
Hispanic or Latino	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
White	37	-	-	-	36	-	-	-
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	39	100%	74%	26%	38	97%	68%	29%
General-Education Students	35	-	-	-	31	100%	81%	35%
Students with Disabilities	4	-	-	-	7	86%	14%	0%
English Proficient	39	100%	74%	26%	38	97%	68%	29%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	25	100%	60%	16%	22	95%	64%	23%
Not Disadvantaged	14	100%	100%	43%	16	100%	75%	38%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	39	100%	74%	26%	38	97%	68%	29%

#### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

### Other Assessments

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				0			




# 4 Overview of District Performance

District **RICHFIELD SPRINGS CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **47-20-01-04-0000**

## This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts



### Results by Student Group

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>87%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>87%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>4%</b>
Female	16	88%	56%	13%	27	93%	63%	7%
Male	22	86%	50%	5%	19	79%	53%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American								
Hispanic or Latino	1	-	-	-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
White	35	-	-	-	44	-	-	-
Multiracial	1	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	38	87%	53%	8%	46	87%	59%	4%
General-Education Students	34	-	-	-	40	95%	68%	5%
Students with Disabilities	4	-	-	-	6	33%	0%	0%
English Proficient	38	87%	53%	8%	46	87%	59%	4%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	20	80%	60%	5%	26	81%	50%	4%
Not Disadvantaged	18	94%	44%	11%	20	95%	70%	5%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	38	87%	53%	8%	46	87%	59%	4%

#### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

### Other Assessments

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				1	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Total</b>					<b>Total</b>			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 6	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A


† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

# 4 Overview of District Performance

District **RICHFIELD SPRINGS CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **47-20-01-04-0000**

## This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics



### Results by Student Group

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>85%</b>	<b>45%</b>	<b>13%</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>87%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>13%</b>
Female	17	82%	35%	6%	27	89%	63%	19%
Male	23	87%	52%	17%	19	84%	47%	5%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American								
Hispanic or Latino	1	-	-	-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
White	37	-	-	-	44	-	-	-
Multiracial	1	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	40	85%	45%	13%	46	87%	57%	13%
General-Education Students	34	94%	53%	15%	40	95%	65%	15%
Students with Disabilities	6	33%	0%	0%	6	33%	0%	0%
English Proficient	40	85%	45%	13%	46	87%	57%	13%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	21	90%	52%	10%	26	81%	46%	15%
Not Disadvantaged	19	79%	37%	16%	20	95%	70%	10%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	40	85%	45%	13%	46	87%	57%	13%

#### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

### Other Assessments


	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				1	-	-	-

# 4 Overview of District Performance

District **RICHFIELD SPRINGS CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **47-20-01-04-0000**

## This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts



### Results by Student Group

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>84%</b>	<b>40%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>72%</b>	<b>44%</b>	<b>3%</b>
Female	22	95%	64%	0%	22	95%	59%	0%
Male	21	71%	14%	0%	17	41%	24%	6%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American								
Hispanic or Latino								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-				
White	40	-	-	-	39	72%	44%	3%
Multiracial	1	-	-	-				
<b>Small Group Totals</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>84%</b>	<b>40%</b>	<b>0%</b>				
General-Education Students	34	94%	50%	0%	34	79%	50%	3%
Students with Disabilities	9	44%	0%	0%	5	20%	0%	0%
English Proficient	43	84%	40%	0%	39	72%	44%	3%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	21	76%	29%	0%	22	55%	18%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	22	91%	50%	0%	17	94%	76%	6%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	43	84%	40%	0%	39	72%	44%	3%

#### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

### Other Assessments

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Total</b>					<b>Total</b>			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A


† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

# 4 Overview of District Performance

District **RICHFIELD SPRINGS CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **47-20-01-04-0000**

## This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics



### Results by Student Group

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>86%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>14%</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>92%</b>	<b>36%</b>	<b>5%</b>
Female	22	91%	68%	18%	22	95%	36%	5%
Male	20	80%	35%	10%	17	88%	35%	6%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American								
Hispanic or Latino								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-				
White	39	-	-	-	39	92%	36%	5%
Multiracial	1	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	42	86%	52%	14%				
General-Education Students	33	94%	67%	18%	34	97%	38%	6%
Students with Disabilities	9	56%	0%	0%	5	60%	20%	0%
English Proficient	42	86%	52%	14%	39	92%	36%	5%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	20	75%	30%	0%	22	86%	14%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	22	95%	73%	27%	17	100%	65%	12%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	42	86%	52%	14%	39	92%	36%	5%

#### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

### Other Assessments


	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-

# 4 Overview of District Performance

District **RICHFIELD SPRINGS CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **47-20-01-04-0000**

## This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts



### Results by Student Group

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>87%</b>	<b>42%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>96%</b>	<b>47%</b>	<b>6%</b>
Female	21	95%	57%	0%	27	96%	63%	7%
Male	17	76%	24%	0%	22	95%	27%	5%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American								
Hispanic or Latino								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander								
White	38	87%	42%	0%	49	96%	47%	6%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	34	-	-	-	44	98%	52%	7%
Students with Disabilities	4	-	-	-	5	80%	0%	0%
English Proficient	38	87%	42%	0%	49	96%	47%	6%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	17	82%	24%	0%	25	92%	36%	4%
Not Disadvantaged	21	90%	57%	0%	24	100%	58%	8%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	38	87%	42%	0%	49	96%	47%	6%

#### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

### Other Assessments

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Total</b>					<b>Total</b>			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A


† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

# 4 Overview of District Performance

District **RICHFIELD SPRINGS CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **47-20-01-04-0000**

## This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics



### Results by Student Group

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>90%</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>8%</b>
Female	21	100%	67%	10%	27	89%	48%	11%
Male	17	100%	35%	6%	22	91%	55%	5%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American								
Hispanic or Latino								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander								
White	38	100%	53%	8%	49	90%	51%	8%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	34	-	-	-	44	95%	57%	9%
Students with Disabilities	4	-	-	-	5	40%	0%	0%
English Proficient	38	100%	53%	8%	49	90%	51%	8%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	17	100%	29%	0%	25	84%	44%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	21	100%	71%	14%	24	96%	58%	17%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	38	100%	53%	8%	49	90%	51%	8%

#### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

### Other Assessments

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	0			

# 4 Overview of District Performance

District **RICHFIELD SPRINGS CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **47-20-01-04-0000**

## This District's Results in Grade 8 Science

### This District


Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4      3-4      4

### NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4      3-4      4



Number of Tested Students:

2010-11: 35      2009-10: 49

2010-11: 22      2009-10: 27

## Results by Student Group

### 2010-11 School Year

Total Tested      Percentage scoring at level(s):  
2-4      3-4      4

### 2009-10 School Year

Total Tested      Percentage scoring at level(s):  
2-4      3-4      4

Student Group	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Total Tested	2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>97%</b>	<b>86%</b>	<b>22%</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>84%</b>	<b>39%</b>
Female	20	100%	95%	25%	27	100%	81%	30%
Male	16	94%	75%	19%	22	100%	86%	50%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American								
Hispanic or Latino								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander								
White	36	97%	86%	22%	49	100%	84%	39%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	32	-	-	-	44	100%	89%	43%
Students with Disabilities	4	-	-	-	5	100%	40%	0%
English Proficient	36	97%	86%	22%	49	100%	84%	39%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	16	94%	75%	19%	25	100%	80%	20%
Not Disadvantaged	20	100%	95%	25%	24	100%	88%	58%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	36	97%	86%	22%	49	100%	84%	39%

### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

## Other Assessments

### 2010-11 School Year

Total Tested      Number scoring at level(s):  
2-4      3-4      4

### 2009-10 School Year

Total Tested      Number scoring at level(s):  
2-4      3-4      4

Assessment	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Total Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	0			
Regents Science	0				0			

# 4 Overview of District Performance

District **RICHFIELD SPRINGS CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **47-20-01-04-0000**

## This District's Total Cohort\* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction

### This District

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4


### NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4



## Results by Student Group

	2007 Cohort				2006 Cohort**			
	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>78%</b>	<b>75%</b>	<b>23%</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>76%</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>18%</b>
Female	21	90%	86%	19%	20	85%	85%	20%
Male	19	63%	63%	26%	29	69%	62%	17%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American								
Hispanic or Latino								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other								
Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-				
White	38	-	-	-	49	76%	71%	18%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	40	78%	75%	23%				
General-Education Students	34	88%	88%	26%	37	92%	86%	24%
Students with Disabilities	6	17%	0%	0%	12	25%	25%	0%
English Proficient	40	78%	75%	23%	49	76%	71%	18%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	18	83%	78%	22%	17	76%	71%	18%
Not Disadvantaged	22	73%	73%	23%	32	75%	72%	19%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	40	78%	75%	23%	49	76%	71%	18%

### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

\*\* 2006 cohort data are those reported in the 2009-10 *Accountability and Overview Report*.




# 4 Overview of District Performance

District **RICHFIELD SPRINGS CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **47-20-01-04-0000**

## This District's Total Cohort\* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction



### Results by Student Group

Student Group	2007 Cohort				2006 Cohort**			
	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>83%</b>	<b>78%</b>	<b>35%</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>86%</b>	<b>82%</b>	<b>18%</b>
Female	21	90%	86%	29%	20	95%	90%	15%
Male	19	74%	68%	42%	29	79%	76%	21%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American								
Hispanic or Latino								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other								
Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-				
White	38	-	-	-	49	86%	82%	18%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	40	83%	78%	35%				
General-Education Students	34	91%	85%	41%	37	95%	95%	24%
Students with Disabilities	6	33%	33%	0%	12	58%	42%	0%
English Proficient	40	83%	78%	35%	49	86%	82%	18%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	18	89%	78%	22%	17	94%	88%	29%
Not Disadvantaged	22	77%	77%	45%	32	81%	78%	13%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	40	83%	78%	35%	49	86%	82%	18%

#### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

\*\* 2006 cohort data are those reported in the 2009-10 *Accountability and Overview Report*.