



# The New York State District Report Card

## Accountability and Overview Report 2010 – 11

District **COBLESKILL-RICHMONDVILLE  
CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **54-11-02-06-0000**

Superintendent **LYNN MACAN**

Telephone **(518) 234-4032**

Grades **PK-12**

## This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents' effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

### Use this report to:

- 1 Get District Profile information.**  
This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.
- 2 Review District Accountability Status.**  
This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies the district's accountability status.
- 3 View School Accountability Status.**  
This section lists all schools in your district by 2011–12 accountability status.
- 4 Review an Overview of District Performance.**  
This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science.

### For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services  
New York State Education Department  
Room 863 EBA  
Albany, NY 12234  
Email: [dataquest@mail.nysed.gov](mailto:dataquest@mail.nysed.gov)

## District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

### Enrollment

	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11
Pre-K	50	40	46
Kindergarten	151	142	112
Grade 1	144	149	147
Grade 2	155	149	149
Grade 3	129	161	146
Grade 4	126	133	161
Grade 5	169	129	137
Grade 6	177	169	132
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Grade 7	164	183	177
Grade 8	166	161	177
Grade 9	163	176	177
Grade 10	190	144	157
Grade 11	168	166	129
Grade 12	163	182	169
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
<b>Total K-12</b>	2065	2044	1970

### Average Class Size

	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11
<b>Common Branch</b>	19	20	21
<b>Grade 8</b>			
English	23	21	21
Mathematics	23	23	23
Science	23	23	22
Social Studies	23	22	19
<b>Grade 10</b>			
English	17	21	22
Mathematics	17	23	16
Science	18	19	
Social Studies	19	27	26

### Enrollment Information

*Enrollment* counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

### Average Class Size Information

*Average Class Size* is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1-6.

District COBLESKILL-RICHMONDVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 54-11-02-06-0000

## Demographic Factors

	2008-09		2009-10		2010-11	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	469	23%	526	26%	471	24%
Reduced-Price Lunch	188	9%	239	12%	116	6%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	8	0%	7	0%	0	0%
<b>Racial/Ethnic Origin</b>						
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	0%	3	0%	6	0%
Black or African American	38	2%	29	1%	24	1%
Hispanic or Latino	62	3%	66	3%	56	3%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	23	1%	19	1%	23	1%
White	1935	94%	1919	94%	1855	94%
Multiracial	4	0%	8	0%	6	0%

\* Available only at the school level.

## Attendance and Suspensions

	2007-08		2008-09		2009-10	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		95%		95%		94%
Student Suspensions	76	4%	75	4%	54	3%

## Demographic Factors Information

*Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch* percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. *Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient* counts are used to determine *Similar Schools* groupings within a *Need/Resource Capacity* category.

## Attendance and Suspensions Information

*Annual Attendance Rate* is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. *Student Suspension* rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

District COBLESKILL-RICHMONDVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 54-11-02-06-0000

## Teacher Qualifications

	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11
<b>Total Number of Teachers</b>	187	188	179
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	1%	1%	1%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	3%	2%	3%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	9%	9%	6%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	11%	11%	11%
<b>Total Number of Core Classes</b>	485	429	404
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers in This District	1%	3%	3%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified in High-Poverty Schools Statewide	8%	6%	5%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified in Low-Poverty Schools Statewide	1%	1%	0%
<b>Total Number of Classes</b>	745	711	719
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	3%	4%	4%

## Teacher Turnover Rate

	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	29%	14%	31%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	23%	16%	20%

## Staff Counts

	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11
Total Other Professional Staff	25	31	25
Total Paraprofessionals*	77	82	81
Assistant Principals	2	2	2
Principals	4	4	4

\* Not available at the school level.

## Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

*Core Classes* are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. A teacher who taught one class outside of the certification area(s) is counted as Highly Qualified provided that 1) the teacher had been determined by the school or district through the HOUSSE process or other state-accepted methods to have demonstrated acceptable subject knowledge and teaching skills and 2) the class in question was not the sole assignment reported. Credit for incidental teaching does not extend beyond a single assignment. Independent of Highly Qualified Teacher status, any assignment for which a teacher did not hold a valid certificate still registers as teaching out of certification. High-poverty and low-poverty schools are those schools in the upper and lower quartiles, respectively, for percentage of students eligible for a free or reduced-price lunch.

## Teacher Turnover Rate Information

*Teacher Turnover Rate* for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

## Staff Counts Information

*Other Professionals* includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

## Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2010–11, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: <http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irs/accountability/>.



### 1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

#### A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2010–11 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

#### B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2007 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

### 2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

### 3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

**Elementary/Middle-Level Science:** To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

#### A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

#### B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group, if it has 30 or more students, must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the group must meet both the participation criterion and the performance criterion in science.

**Secondary-Level Graduation Rate:** For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2006 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2010 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (80%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2006 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2010 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (80%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

## Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

### 12<sup>th</sup> Graders

The count of 12<sup>th</sup> graders enrolled during the 2010–11 school year used to determine the Percentage Tested for the Participation part of the AYP determination for secondary-level ELA and mathematics. These are the first numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the secondary-level ELA and mathematics pages.

### 2007 Cohort

The count of students in the 2007 accountability cohort used to determine the Performance Index for the Test Performance part of the AYP determination for secondary-level ELA and mathematics. These are the second numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the secondary-level ELA and mathematics pages.

### Accountability Cohort for English and Mathematics

The accountability cohort is used to determine if a school or district met the performance criterion in secondary-level ELA and mathematics. The 2007 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2007–08 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2007–08 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2010 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2011, are not included in the 2007 school accountability cohort. The 2007 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

### Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

### Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p) (14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

### Continuous Enrollment

The count of continuously enrolled tested students used to determine the Performance Index for the Test Performance part of the AYP determination for elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science. These are the second numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science pages.

### Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

### Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make AYP. The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at [www.p12.nysed.gov/irs](http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irs).

### Graduation Rate

The Graduation Rate on the Graduation Rate page is the percentage of the 2006 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2010.

### Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

The Graduation-Rate Total Cohort, shown on the Graduation Rate page, is used to determine if a school or district made AYP in graduation rate. For the 2010–11 school year, this cohort is the 2006 graduation-rate total cohort. The 2006 total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2006–07 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2006–07 school year, and who were enrolled in the school/district for five months or longer or who were enrolled in the school/district for less than five months but were previously enrolled in the same school/district for five months or longer between the date they first entered Grade 9 and the date they last ended enrollment. A more detailed definition of graduation-rate cohort can be found in the *SIRS Manual* at [www.p12.nysed.gov/irs](http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irs).

For districts and schools with fewer than 30 graduation-rate total cohort members in the All Students group in 2010–11, data for 2009–10 and 2010–11 for accountability groups were combined to determine counts and graduation rates. Groups with fewer than 30 students in the graduation-rate total cohort are not required to meet the graduation-rate criterion.

### Limited English Proficient

For all accountability measures, if the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

### Non-Accountability Groups

Female, Male, and Migrant groups are not part of the AYP determination for any measure.



## Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability (continued)

### Participation

Accountability groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period (for elementary/middle-level ELA, math, and science) or fewer than 40 12<sup>th</sup> graders (for secondary-level ELA and mathematics) are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the Percentage Tested for an accountability group fell below 95 percent for ELA and math or 80 percent for science in 2010–11, the participation enrollment (“Total” or “12<sup>th</sup> Graders”) shown in the tables is the sum of 2009–10 and 2010–11 participation enrollments and the “Percentage Tested” shown is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

### Performance Index (PI)

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[ \frac{\text{Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{the Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students}} \right]$$

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[ \frac{\text{Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{the Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Cohort Members}} \right]$$

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at [www.p12.nysed.gov/irs](http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irs).

### Progress Targets

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making AYP or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year’s performance.

*Science:* The current year’s Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the previous year’s Performance Index (PI). Example: The 2010–11 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2009–10 PI.

*Graduation Rate:* The Graduation-rate Progress Target is calculated by determining a 20% gap reduction between the rate of the previous year’s graduation-rate cohort and the state standard. Example: The 2010–11 Graduation-Rate Progress Target =  $[(80 - \text{percentage of the 2005 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009}) \times 0.20] + \text{percentage of the 2005 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009}$ .

Progress Targets are provided for groups whose PI (for science) or graduation rate (for graduation rate) is below the State Standard.

### Safe Harbor Targets

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate AYP for accountability groups that do not achieve their EAMOs in English or mathematics. The 2010–11 safe harbor targets are calculated using the following equation:  
2009–10 PI + (200 – the 2009–10 PI) × 0.10

Safe Harbor Targets are provided for groups whose PI is less than the EAMO.

### Safe Harbor Qualification (\*)

On the science page, if the group met both the participation and the performance criteria for science, the Safe Harbor Qualification column will show “Qualified.” If the group did not meet one or more criteria, the column will show “Did not qualify.” A “\*” symbol after the 2010–11 Safe Harbor Target on the elementary/middle- or secondary-level ELA or mathematics page indicates that the student group did not make AYP in science (elementary/middle level) or graduation rate (secondary level) and; therefore, the group did not qualify for Safe Harbor in ELA or mathematics.

### State Standard

The criterion value that represents minimally satisfactory performance (for science) or a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma (for graduation rate). In 2010–11, the State Science Standard is a Performance Index of 100; the State Graduation-Rate Standard is 80%. The Commissioner may raise the State Standard at his discretion in future years.

### Students with Disabilities

For all measures, if the count of students with disabilities is equal to or greater than 30, former students with disabilities are also included in the performance calculations.

### Test Performance

For districts and schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students (for elementary/middle-level ELA, math, and science) or fewer than 30 students in the 2007 cohort (for secondary-level ELA and mathematics) in the All Students group in 2010–11, data for 2009–10 and 2010–11 for accountability groups were combined to determine counts and Performance Indices. For districts and schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students/2007 cohort members in the All Students group in 2010–11, student groups with fewer than 30 members are not required to meet the performance criterion. This is indicated by a “—” in the Test Performance column in the table.

### Total

The count of students enrolled during the test administration period used to determine the Percentage Tested for the Participation part of the AYP determination for elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science. These are the first numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science pages. For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons in accordance with federal NCLB guidance are not included in the count.

## Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: <http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irs/accountability/>.

### Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

#### ▲ District in Good Standing

■ A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.

#### ▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

#### ▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 2)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

#### ▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 3)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

#### ▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 4)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

#### ▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

### New York State Status

(Applies to New York State districts)

#### ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

#### ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

#### ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

#### ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

#### ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

**Pending** – A district's status is "Pending" if the district requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.



## Summary

### Overall Accountability Status (2011–12)

**Good Standing**

ELA	Good Standing	Science	Good Standing
Math	Good Standing	Graduation Rate	Good Standing

### Title I Part A Funding

#### Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding

2009–10	2010–11	2011–12
YES	YES	YES

### On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

Student Groups	Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Level		
	English			English		
	Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
<b>All Students</b>						
<b>Ethnicity</b>						
American Indian or Alaska Native	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hispanic or Latino			—	—	—	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	—	—	—	—	—	—
White			—			—
Multiracial	—	—	—	—	—	—
<b>Other Groups</b>						
Students with Disabilities			—	—	—	—
Limited English Proficient	—	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged			—			—
<b>Student groups making AYP in each subject</b>	4 of 5	4 of 5	1 of 1	3 of 3	3 of 3	1 of 1

#### AYP Status


- Made AYP
- Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- Did not make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

#### Accountability Status Levels

- | Federal                               | State  |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| Good Standing                         | Good Standing                                |
| Improvement (Year 1)                  | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)         |
| Improvement (Year 2)                  | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)         |
| Improvement (Year 3)                  | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)         |
| Improvement (Year 4)                  | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)         |
| Improvement (Year 5 & Above)          | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 & Above) |
| Pending – Requires Special Evaluation |  |
















## Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

**Accountability Status**  Good Standing  
**for This Subject**  
**(2011–12)**

**Accountability Measures** 4 of 5 Student groups making AYP in English language arts  
 Did not make AYP

**Prospective Status** This district will be in good standing in 2012-13. [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment)	AYP	Participation		Test Performance		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2010–11    2011–12
<b>Accountability Groups</b>							
<b>All Students</b> (925:902)			100%		141	118	
<b>Ethnicity</b>							
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)							
Black or African American (17:17)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hispanic or Latino (37:35)		—	—		94	106	20    105
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (10:10)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White (858:837)			100%		142	118	
Multiracial (3:3)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
<b>Other Groups</b>							
Students with Disabilities (101:101)			99%		53	112	68    68
Limited English Proficient (0:0)							
Economically Disadvantaged (391:385)			100%		121	116	
<b>Final AYP Determination</b>	 4 of 5						
<b>Non-Accountability Groups</b>							
Female (455:444)			100%		150	117	
Male (470:458)			99%		132	117	
Migrant (4:4)			—		—	—	


#### Symbols

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30 Continuous Enrollment
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

**NOTE:** See *Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability* for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.
















## Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

**Accountability Status**  Good Standing  
**for This Subject**  
**(2011–12)**

**Accountability Measures** 4 of 5 Student groups making AYP in mathematics  
 Did not make AYP

**Prospective Status** This district will be in good standing in 2012-13. [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment)	AYP	Participation		Test Performance		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2010–11    2011–12
<b>Accountability Groups</b>							
<b>All Students</b> (926:903)			100%		146	133	
<b>Ethnicity</b>							
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)							
Black or African American (17:17)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hispanic or Latino (37:36)		—	—		111	121	20    120
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (10:10)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
White (859:837)			100%		148	133	
Multiracial (3:3)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
<b>Other Groups</b>							
Students with Disabilities (101:101)			99%		70	127	87    83
Limited English Proficient (0:0)							
Economically Disadvantaged (391:385)			100%		132	131	
<b>Final AYP Determination</b>	 4 of 5						
<b>Non-Accountability Groups</b>							
Female (455:444)			100%		148	132	
Male (471:459)			100%		145	132	
Migrant (4:4)			—		—	—	

#### Symbols

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30 Continuous Enrollment
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

**NOTE:** See *Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability* for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.










## Elementary/Middle-Level Science

**Accountability Status**  Good Standing  
**for This Subject**  
**(2011–12)**

**Accountability Measures** 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in science  
 Made AYP

**Prospective Status** This district will be in good standing in 2012-13. [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment)	AYP		Participation		Test Performance		Performance Objectives		
	AYP Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress Target 2010–11	2011–12
<b>Accountability Groups</b>									
<b>All Students</b> (336:327)		Qualified		99%		177	100		
<b>Ethnicity</b>									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (8:8)		–	–	–	–	–	–		–
Hispanic or Latino (15:13)		–	–	–	–	–	–		–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (3:3)		–	–	–	–	–	–		–
White (309:302)		Qualified		100%		178	100		
Multiracial (1:1)		–	–	–	–	–	–		–
<b>Other Groups</b>									
Students with Disabilities (33:34)		Qualified	–	–		147	100		
Limited English Proficient (0:0)									
Economically Disadvantaged (151:147)		Qualified		100%		169	100		
<b>Final AYP Determination</b>		1 of 1							
<b>Non-Accountability Groups</b>									
Female (165:158)				99%		178	100		
Male (171:169)				100%		176	100		
Migrant (2:2)				–		–	–		–


#### Symbols

-  Made AYP
-  Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30 Continuous Enrollment

**NOTE:** See *Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability* for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.











## Secondary-Level English Language Arts

**Accountability Status**  Good Standing  
**for This Subject**  
**(2011–12)**

**Accountability Measures** 3 of 3 Student groups making AYP in English language arts  
 Made AYP

**Prospective Status** This district will be in good standing in 2012-13. [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English language arts accountability measures?

Student Group (12th Graders: 2007 Cohort)	AYP	Participation		Test Performance		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2010–11    2011–12
<b>Accountability Groups</b>							
<b>All Students</b> (163:164)			98%		179	175	
<b>Ethnicity</b>							
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Black or African American (0:0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Hispanic or Latino (5:5)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (3:3)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
White (154:155)			98%		179	175	
Multiracial (0:0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
<b>Other Groups</b>							
Students with Disabilities (10:13)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Limited English Proficient (0:0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged (47:50)			98%		172	170	
<b>Final AYP Determination</b>	 3 of 3						
<b>Non-Accountability Groups</b>							
Female (93:94)			99%		182	173	
Male (70:70)			96%		176	172	
Migrant (0:0)							


#### Symbols

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 12<sup>th</sup> Graders/Fewer Than 30 Cohort
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

**NOTE:** See *Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability* for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.











## Secondary-Level Mathematics

**Accountability Status**  Good Standing  
**for This Subject**  
**(2011–12)**

**Accountability Measures** 3 of 3 Student groups making AYP in mathematics  
 Made AYP

**Prospective Status** This district will be in good standing in 2012-13. [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group (12th Graders: 2007 Cohort)	AYP	Participation		Test Performance		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2010–11    2011–12
<b>Accountability Groups</b>							
<b>All Students</b> (163:164)			98%		184	172	
<b>Ethnicity</b>							
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Black or African American (0:0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Hispanic or Latino (5:5)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (3:3)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
White (154:155)			98%		184	172	
Multiracial (0:0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
<b>Other Groups</b>							
Students with Disabilities (10:13)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Limited English Proficient (0:0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged (47:50)			100%		180	167	
<b>Final AYP Determination</b>	 3 of 3						
<b>Non-Accountability Groups</b>							
Female (93:94)			98%		186	170	
Male (70:70)			97%		180	169	
Migrant (0:0)							

#### Symbols


-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 12<sup>th</sup> Graders/Fewer Than 30 Cohort
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

**NOTE:** See *Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability* for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.








## Graduation Rate

**Accountability Status for This Indicator (2011–12)**  Good Standing



**Accountability Measures** 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in graduation rate  
 Made AYP

**Prospective Status** This district will be in good standing in 2012-13. [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

Student Group (2006 Graduation-Rate Total Cohort)	Graduation			Objectives	
	AYP	Met Criterion	Graduation Rate	State Standard	Progress Target 2010–11
<b>Accountability Groups</b>					
<b>All Students</b> (191)			82%	80%	
<b>Ethnicity</b>					
American Indian or Alaska Native (1)		—	—	—	
Black or African American (7)		—	—	—	
Hispanic or Latino (6)		—	—	—	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1)		—	—	—	
White (175)			83%	80%	
Multiracial (1)		—	—	—	
<b>Other Groups</b>					
Students with Disabilities (22)		—	—	—	
Limited English Proficient (1)		—	—	—	
Economically Disadvantaged (53)			79%	80%	80%
<b>Final AYP Determination</b>	 1 of 1				
<b>Non-Accountability Groups</b>					
Female (101)			86%	80%	
Male (90)			77%	80%	
Migrant (0)					

#### Symbols

-  Made AYP
-  Did not make AYP
- Fewer than 30 Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

**NOTE:** See *Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability* for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

#### Aspirational Goal

The Board of Regents has set an aspirational goal that 95% of students in each public school and school district will graduate within five years of first entry into grade 9. The graduation rate for the 2006 total cohort through June 2011 (after 5 years) for this district is **83%** and, therefore, this district **did not** meet this goal. The aspirational goal does not impact accountability.

## 2011–12 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2011–12 accountability status.

---

### **In Good Standing**

3 schools identified 75% of total

COBLESKILL-RICHMONDVILLE HIGH SCHOOL

GEORGE D RYDER ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

JOSEPH B RADEZ ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

---

### **Improvement (year 1) Basic**

1 school identified 25% of total

WILLIAM H GOLDING MIDDLE SCHOOL

---

# 4 Overview of District Performance

District **COBLESKILL-RICHMONDVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **54-11-02-06-0000**

## Summary of 2010–11 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

English Language Arts	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			Total Tested
	0%	50%	100%	
Grade 3	55%			144
Grade 4	54%			157
Grade 5	58%			128
Grade 6	59%			135
Grade 7	44%			174
Grade 8	43%			175

Mathematics	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			Total Tested
	0%	50%	100%	
Grade 3	59%			144
Grade 4	56%			158
Grade 5	71%			128
Grade 6	52%			136
Grade 7	48%			174
Grade 8	45%			175

Science	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			Total Tested
	0%	50%	100%	
Grade 4	86%			156
Grade 8	72%			174

Secondary Level	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			2007 Total Cohort
	0%	50%	100%	
English	77%			197
Mathematics	80%			197

### About the Performance Level Descriptors

#### English Language Arts

##### Level 1: Below Standard

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level.

##### Level 2: Meets Basic Standard

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level.

##### Level 3: Meets Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level.

##### Level 4: Exceeds Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level.

#### Mathematics

##### Level 1: Below Standard

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

##### Level 2: Meets Basic Standard

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

##### Level 3: Meets Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

##### Level 4: Exceeds Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

### How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at [www.p12.nysed.gov/irs](http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irs).

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

### This District's N/RC Category:

#### Average Need Districts


This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

# 4 Overview of District Performance

District **COBLESKILL-RICHMONDVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **54-11-02-06-0000**

## This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts



### Results by Student Group

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>144</b>	<b>82%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>156</b>	<b>88%</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>10%</b>
Female	65	88%	60%	11%	84	90%	51%	12%
Male	79	77%	51%	3%	72	85%	47%	7%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	2	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	7	14%	14%	0%	10	90%	30%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
White	132	85%	55%	7%	141	87%	51%	10%
Multiracial	2	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	5	100%	100%	0%	5	100%	40%	20%
General-Education Students	129	90%	60%	7%	144	93%	52%	10%
Students with Disabilities	15	13%	13%	0%	12	25%	17%	0%
English Proficient	144	82%	55%	6%	155	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient					1	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	60	70%	40%	2%	72	82%	33%	3%
Not Disadvantaged	84	90%	65%	10%	84	93%	63%	15%
Migrant	1	-	-	-				
Not Migrant	143	-	-	-	156	88%	49%	10%

#### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

### Other Assessments

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Total</b>					<b>Total</b>			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A


† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

# 4 Overview of District Performance

District **COBLESKILL-RICHMONDVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **54-11-02-06-0000**

## This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics



### Results by Student Group

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>144</b>	<b>90%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>13%</b>	<b>157</b>	<b>92%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>19%</b>
Female	65	92%	62%	20%	85	94%	55%	19%
Male	79	89%	57%	8%	72	90%	51%	19%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	2	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	7	57%	29%	0%	10	100%	30%	20%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
White	132	92%	60%	14%	142	92%	56%	19%
Multiracial	2	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	5	100%	80%	20%	5	100%	40%	20%
General-Education Students	129	95%	65%	15%	145	96%	56%	21%
Students with Disabilities	15	47%	7%	0%	12	50%	25%	0%
English Proficient	144	90%	59%	13%	156	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient					1	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	60	85%	45%	7%	72	88%	42%	8%
Not Disadvantaged	84	94%	69%	18%	85	96%	64%	28%
Migrant	1	-	-	-				
Not Migrant	143	-	-	-	157	92%	54%	19%

#### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

### Other Assessments

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-

# 4 Overview of District Performance

District **COBLESKILL-RICHMONDVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **54-11-02-06-0000**

## This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

	This District			NY State Public		
	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2011 Mean Score: 669	*Range: 637-775	671-775	722-775			
2010 Mean Score: 667						
Number of Tested Students:	140	123	85	65	5	2

### Results by Student Group

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>157</b>	<b>89%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>131</b>	<b>94%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>2%</b>
Female	83	92%	64%	4%	70	96%	56%	3%
Male	74	86%	43%	3%	61	92%	43%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Native	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Black or African American	3	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	10	80%	40%	0%	3	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
White	140	90%	55%	3%	125	94%	51%	2%
Multiracial	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	7	86%	57%	14%	6	83%	17%	0%
General-Education Students	145	92%	57%	3%	120	98%	54%	2%
Students with Disabilities	12	50%	17%	0%	11	45%	0%	0%
English Proficient	157	89%	54%	3%	131	94%	50%	2%
Limited English Proficient	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	78	83%	42%	0%	56	91%	39%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	79	95%	66%	6%	75	96%	57%	3%
Migrant	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Not Migrant	156	-	-	-	131	94%	50%	2%

#### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

### Other Assessments

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	0	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Total</b>					<b>Total</b>			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.




# 4 Overview of District Performance

District **COBLESKILL-RICHMONDVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **54-11-02-06-0000**

## This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics



### Results by Student Group

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>158</b>	<b>94%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>13%</b>	<b>131</b>	<b>96%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>17%</b>
Female	83	98%	55%	18%	70	94%	60%	20%
Male	75	91%	57%	8%	61	98%	67%	13%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	3	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	11	91%	36%	0%	3	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
White	140	95%	57%	14%	125	96%	63%	17%
Multiracial	1	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	7	86%	71%	29%	6	100%	67%	17%
General-Education Students	146	96%	58%	14%	120	99%	68%	18%
Students with Disabilities	12	75%	42%	0%	11	64%	9%	0%
English Proficient	158	94%	56%	13%	131	96%	63%	17%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	78	91%	47%	6%	56	91%	57%	13%
Not Disadvantaged	80	98%	65%	20%	75	100%	68%	20%
Migrant	1	-	-	-				
Not Migrant	157	-	-	-	131	96%	63%	17%

#### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

### Other Assessments


	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	0			

# 4 Overview of District Performance

District **COBLESKILL-RICHMONDVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **54-11-02-06-0000**

## This District's Results in Grade 4 Science



### Results by Student Group

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>156</b>	<b>99%</b>	<b>86%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>131</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>93%</b>	<b>67%</b>
Female	82	98%	88%	52%	70	100%	96%	64%
Male	74	100%	84%	51%	61	100%	90%	70%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	3	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	11	100%	64%	27%	3	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
White	138	99%	88%	53%	125	100%	94%	68%
Multiracial	1	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	7	100%	71%	71%	6	100%	67%	50%
General-Education Students	144	99%	87%	53%	120	100%	98%	73%
Students with Disabilities	12	100%	75%	42%	11	100%	36%	9%
English Proficient	156	99%	86%	52%	131	100%	93%	67%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	78	97%	81%	45%	56	100%	89%	61%
Not Disadvantaged	78	100%	91%	59%	75	100%	96%	72%
Migrant	1	-	-	-				
Not Migrant	155	-	-	-	131	100%	93%	67%

#### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

### Other Assessments


	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	0			

# 4 Overview of District Performance

District **COBLESKILL-RICHMONDVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **54-11-02-06-0000**

## This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts



### Results by Student Group

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>128</b>	<b>88%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>133</b>	<b>87%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>11%</b>
Female	68	90%	59%	3%	64	95%	63%	11%
Male	60	87%	57%	0%	69	80%	42%	12%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	4	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
White	120	88%	59%	2%	127	87%	53%	11%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	8	88%	38%	0%	6	83%	33%	17%
General-Education Students	117	96%	63%	2%	120	94%	57%	12%
Students with Disabilities	11	9%	0%	0%	13	23%	8%	8%
English Proficient	128	88%	58%	2%	133	87%	52%	11%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	53	81%	34%	0%	54	80%	35%	6%
Not Disadvantaged	75	93%	75%	3%	79	92%	63%	15%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	128	88%	58%	2%	133	87%	52%	11%

#### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

### Other Assessments

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Total</b>					<b>Total</b>			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A


† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

# 4 Overview of District Performance

District **COBLESKILL-RICHMONDVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **54-11-02-06-0000**

## This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics



### Results by Student Group

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>128</b>	<b>93%</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>23%</b>	<b>133</b>	<b>95%</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>26%</b>
Female	68	91%	69%	19%	64	97%	77%	30%
Male	60	95%	73%	27%	69	93%	71%	22%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	4	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
White	120	93%	73%	23%	127	95%	75%	25%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	8	100%	50%	13%	6	83%	50%	33%
General-Education Students	117	99%	77%	25%	120	98%	79%	28%
Students with Disabilities	11	27%	9%	0%	13	62%	23%	8%
English Proficient	128	93%	71%	23%	133	95%	74%	26%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	53	89%	58%	13%	54	91%	59%	13%
Not Disadvantaged	75	96%	80%	29%	79	97%	84%	34%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	128	93%	71%	23%	133	95%	74%	26%

#### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

### Other Assessments


	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				0			

# 4 Overview of District Performance

District **COBLESKILL-RICHMONDVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **54-11-02-06-0000**

## This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts



### Results by Student Group

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>135</b>	<b>87%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>7%</b>	<b>172</b>	<b>91%</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>6%</b>
Female	64	94%	67%	14%	87	91%	57%	5%
Male	71	82%	52%	1%	85	91%	48%	7%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	2	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	3	-	-	-	6	83%	50%	17%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
White	129	87%	59%	8%	160	91%	53%	6%
Multiracial					1	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	6	100%	67%	0%	6	100%	50%	0%
General-Education Students	119	94%	66%	8%	153	97%	59%	7%
Students with Disabilities	16	38%	6%	0%	19	42%	0%	0%
English Proficient	135	87%	59%	7%	172	91%	53%	6%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	57	82%	47%	0%	70	87%	40%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	78	91%	68%	13%	102	93%	62%	10%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	135	87%	59%	7%	172	91%	53%	6%

#### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

### Other Assessments

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Total</b>					<b>Total</b>			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 6	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A


† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

# 4 Overview of District Performance

District **COBLESKILL-RICHMONDVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **54-11-02-06-0000**

## This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics



### Results by Student Group

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>136</b>	<b>91%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>14%</b>	<b>172</b>	<b>92%</b>	<b>47%</b>	<b>11%</b>
Female	64	95%	59%	19%	87	92%	51%	14%
Male	72	88%	46%	10%	85	92%	44%	8%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	2	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	3	-	-	-	6	67%	33%	17%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
White	130	91%	53%	14%	160	93%	48%	11%
Multiracial					1	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	6	100%	33%	17%	6	83%	50%	17%
General-Education Students	120	97%	58%	15%	153	97%	52%	12%
Students with Disabilities	16	50%	6%	6%	19	47%	5%	0%
English Proficient	136	91%	52%	14%	172	92%	47%	11%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	57	88%	40%	7%	70	91%	30%	6%
Not Disadvantaged	79	94%	61%	19%	102	92%	59%	15%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	136	91%	52%	14%	172	92%	47%	11%

#### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

### Other Assessments

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-




# 4 Overview of District Performance

District **COBLESKILL-RICHMONDVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **54-11-02-06-0000**

## This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts



### Results by Student Group

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>174</b>	<b>94%</b>	<b>44%</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>178</b>	<b>88%</b>	<b>44%</b>	<b>9%</b>
Female	90	93%	52%	4%	80	95%	53%	15%
Male	84	95%	36%	1%	98	83%	38%	4%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	4	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	7	86%	14%	0%	2	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-				
White	161	94%	45%	3%	170	88%	46%	9%
Multiracial	3	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	6	100%	50%	0%	8	88%	13%	0%
General-Education Students	154	99%	49%	3%	155	94%	49%	10%
Students with Disabilities	20	55%	5%	0%	23	48%	13%	4%
English Proficient	174	94%	44%	3%	177	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient					1	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	68	88%	28%	1%	63	87%	32%	2%
Not Disadvantaged	106	98%	55%	4%	115	89%	51%	13%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	174	94%	44%	3%	178	88%	44%	9%

#### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

### Other Assessments

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Total</b>					<b>Total</b>			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A


† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

# 4 Overview of District Performance

District **COBLESKILL-RICHMONDVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **54-11-02-06-0000**

## This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics



### Results by Student Group

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>174</b>	<b>90%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>15%</b>	<b>179</b>	<b>92%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>9%</b>
Female	90	91%	49%	16%	81	94%	58%	11%
Male	84	89%	48%	14%	98	90%	55%	8%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	4	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	7	71%	29%	14%	1	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-				
White	161	91%	50%	15%	171	91%	56%	10%
Multiracial					3	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	6	83%	33%	17%	8	100%	75%	0%
General-Education Students	154	96%	55%	17%	156	99%	63%	11%
Students with Disabilities	20	45%	0%	0%	23	43%	9%	0%
English Proficient	174	90%	48%	15%	178	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient					1	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	68	90%	31%	4%	65	89%	54%	3%
Not Disadvantaged	106	91%	59%	22%	114	93%	58%	13%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	174	90%	48%	15%	179	92%	56%	9%

#### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

### Other Assessments


	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	3	-	-	-

# 4 Overview of District Performance

District **COBLESKILL-RICHMONDVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **54-11-02-06-0000**

## This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts



### Results by Student Group

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>175</b>	<b>90%</b>	<b>43%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>163</b>	<b>93%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>6%</b>
Female	79	92%	47%	3%	72	97%	63%	4%
Male	96	89%	40%	0%	91	90%	37%	8%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	5	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	4	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander					1	-	-	-
White	166	91%	43%	1%	157	94%	48%	6%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	9	78%	44%	0%	6	83%	67%	0%
General-Education Students	158	96%	46%	1%	148	98%	52%	7%
Students with Disabilities	17	41%	12%	0%	15	47%	13%	0%
English Proficient	175	90%	43%	1%	163	93%	48%	6%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	70	87%	33%	0%	54	93%	33%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	105	92%	50%	2%	109	94%	56%	9%
Migrant	1	-	-	-				
Not Migrant	174	-	-	-	163	93%	48%	6%

#### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

### Other Assessments

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Total</b>					<b>Total</b>			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A


† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

# 4 Overview of District Performance

District **COBLESKILL-RICHMONDVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **54-11-02-06-0000**

## This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics



### Results by Student Group

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>175</b>	<b>94%</b>	<b>45%</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>163</b>	<b>91%</b>	<b>37%</b>	<b>1%</b>
Female	79	89%	43%	3%	72	92%	42%	0%
Male	96	98%	46%	3%	91	90%	33%	2%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	5	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	4	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander					1	-	-	-
White	166	94%	44%	3%	157	91%	38%	1%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	9	89%	56%	0%	6	83%	17%	0%
General-Education Students	158	96%	49%	3%	148	95%	41%	1%
Students with Disabilities	17	76%	6%	0%	15	53%	0%	0%
English Proficient	175	94%	45%	3%	163	91%	37%	1%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	70	94%	33%	4%	54	91%	22%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	105	93%	52%	2%	109	91%	44%	2%
Migrant	1	-	-	-				
Not Migrant	174	-	-	-	163	91%	37%	1%

#### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

### Other Assessments

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	0			

# 4 Overview of District Performance

District **COBLESKILL-RICHMONDVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **54-11-02-06-0000**

## This District's Results in Grade 8 Science

### This District


Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4      3-4      4

### NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4      3-4      4



## Results by Student Group

### 2010-11 School Year

Total Tested      Percentage scoring at level(s):  
2-4      3-4      4

### 2009-10 School Year

Total Tested      Percentage scoring at level(s):  
2-4      3-4      4

	2010-11 School Year	2010-11 School Year			2009-10 School Year	2009-10 School Year		
	Total Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Total Tested	2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>174</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>72%</b>	<b>20%</b>	<b>162</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>82%</b>	<b>27%</b>
Female	78	97%	73%	21%	71	99%	82%	28%
Male	96	98%	72%	20%	91	98%	82%	26%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	5	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	3	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander					1	-	-	-
White	166	98%	72%	20%	156	98%	82%	27%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	8	100%	75%	25%	6	100%	83%	33%
General-Education Students	158	100%	76%	22%	147	100%	86%	29%
Students with Disabilities	16	75%	38%	0%	15	80%	47%	7%
English Proficient	174	98%	72%	20%	162	98%	82%	27%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	70	99%	59%	11%	53	98%	74%	4%
Not Disadvantaged	104	97%	82%	26%	109	98%	86%	39%
Migrant	1	-	-	-				
Not Migrant	173	-	-	-	162	98%	82%	27%

### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

## Other Assessments

### 2010-11 School Year

Total Tested      Number scoring at level(s):  
2-4      3-4      4

### 2009-10 School Year

Total Tested      Number scoring at level(s):  
2-4      3-4      4

	2010-11 School Year	2010-11 School Year			2009-10 School Year	2009-10 School Year		
	Total Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Total Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	0			
Regents Science	0				0			

# 4 Overview of District Performance

District **COBLESKILL-RICHMONDVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **54-11-02-06-0000**

## This District's Total Cohort\* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction

### This District


Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4      3-4      4

### NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4      3-4      4



## Results by Student Group

	2007 Cohort				2006 Cohort**			
	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>197</b>	<b>80%</b>	<b>77%</b>	<b>40%</b>	<b>191</b>	<b>85%</b>	<b>82%</b>	<b>41%</b>
Female	103	87%	83%	50%	101	91%	90%	60%
Male	94	71%	69%	29%	90	78%	73%	20%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	1	-	-	-	7	86%	57%	43%
Hispanic or Latino	7	57%	57%	14%	6	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
White	185	81%	77%	40%	175	85%	83%	41%
Multiracial	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	5	80%	80%	80%	9	89%	89%	44%
General-Education Students	179	85%	82%	44%	169	92%	91%	47%
Students with Disabilities	18	28%	22%	0%	22	32%	18%	0%
English Proficient	196	-	-	-	190	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	59	80%	75%	32%	53	87%	81%	30%
Not Disadvantaged	138	80%	78%	43%	138	84%	83%	46%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	197	80%	77%	40%	191	85%	82%	41%

### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

\*\* 2006 cohort data are those reported in the 2009-10 *Accountability and Overview Report*.




# 4 Overview of District Performance

District **COBLESKILL-RICHMONDVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **54-11-02-06-0000**

## This District's Total Cohort\* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction



### Results by Student Group

	2007 Cohort			2006 Cohort**				
	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>197</b>	<b>82%</b>	<b>80%</b>	<b>30%</b>	<b>191</b>	<b>88%</b>	<b>84%</b>	<b>38%</b>
Female	103	90%	89%	36%	101	90%	86%	43%
Male	94	73%	69%	23%	90	86%	81%	33%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	1	-	-	-	7	86%	71%	43%
Hispanic or Latino	7	57%	57%	29%	6	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
White	185	83%	81%	30%	175	88%	84%	38%
Multiracial	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	5	80%	80%	40%	9	89%	89%	44%
General-Education Students	179	87%	85%	32%	169	93%	91%	43%
Students with Disabilities	18	33%	28%	6%	22	45%	27%	0%
English Proficient	196	-	-	-	190	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	59	80%	78%	22%	53	87%	83%	32%
Not Disadvantaged	138	83%	80%	33%	138	88%	84%	41%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	197	82%	80%	30%	191	88%	84%	38%

#### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

\*\* 2006 cohort data are those reported in the 2009-10 *Accountability and Overview Report*.