



The New York State District Report Card

**Accountability
and Overview Report
2010 – 11**

District **SOUTH HUNTINGTON UNION FREE
SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **58-04-13-03-0000**

Superintendent **THOMAS SHEA**

Telephone **(631) 812-3070**

Grades **PK-12, UE, US**

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents' effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

Use this report to:

- 1 Get District Profile information.**
This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.
- 2 Review District Accountability Status.**
This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies the district's accountability status.
- 3 View School Accountability Status.**
This section lists all schools in your district by 2011–12 accountability status.
- 4 Review an Overview of District Performance.**
This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services
New York State Education Department
Room 863 EBA
Albany, NY 12234
Email: dataquest@mail.nysed.gov

District SOUTH HUNTINGTON UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 58-04-13-03-0000

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11
Pre-K	130	127	125
Kindergarten	464	457	437
Grade 1	476	469	455
Grade 2	444	459	476
Grade 3	480	444	469
Grade 4	478	482	434
Grade 5	454	480	480
Grade 6	455	467	482
Ungraded Elementary	5	6	6
Grade 7	434	452	465
Grade 8	452	437	459
Grade 9	488	476	452
Grade 10	449	450	463
Grade 11	455	459	452
Grade 12	419	456	438
Ungraded Secondary	23	25	22
Total K-12	5976	6019	5990

Average Class Size

	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11
Common Branch	22	24	25
Grade 8			
English	19	22	23
Mathematics	22	24	25
Science	22	22	25
Social Studies	23	27	26
Grade 10			
English	25	29	26
Mathematics	23	24	24
Science	23	23	23
Social Studies	26	27	27

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1-6.

District SOUTH HUNTINGTON UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 58-04-13-03-0000

Demographic Factors

	2008-09		2009-10		2010-11	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	1480	25%	1638	27%	1906	32%
Reduced-Price Lunch	364	6%	382	6%	310	5%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	700	12%	710	12%	734	12%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	0%	3	0%	8	0%
Black or African American	648	11%	643	11%	622	10%
Hispanic or Latino	1351	23%	1436	24%	1500	25%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	365	6%	363	6%	393	7%
White	3610	60%	3574	59%	3459	58%
Multiracial	0	0%	0	0%	8	0%

* Available only at the school level.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2007-08		2008-09		2009-10	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		95%		95%		95%
Student Suspensions	334	6%	316	5%	338	6%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. *Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient* counts are used to determine *Similar Schools* groupings within a *Need/Resource Capacity* category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. *Student Suspension* rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

District SOUTH HUNTINGTON UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 58-04-13-03-0000

Teacher Qualifications

	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11
Total Number of Teachers	506	493	487
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	0%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	0%	0%	0%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	6%	2%	2%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	59%	63%	65%
Total Number of Core Classes	1158	1035	1048
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers in This District	0%	0%	0%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified in High-Poverty Schools Statewide	8%	6%	5%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified in Low-Poverty Schools Statewide	1%	1%	0%
Total Number of Classes	1684	1534	1623
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	1%	0%	0%

Teacher Turnover Rate

	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	24%	34%	26%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	12%	11%	10%

Staff Counts

	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11
Total Other Professional Staff	77	73	73
Total Paraprofessionals*	165	170	168
Assistant Principals	8	8	8
Principals	7	7	7

* Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. A teacher who taught one class outside of the certification area(s) is counted as Highly Qualified provided that 1) the teacher had been determined by the school or district through the HOUSSE process or other state-accepted methods to have demonstrated acceptable subject knowledge and teaching skills and 2) the class in question was not the sole assignment reported. Credit for incidental teaching does not extend beyond a single assignment. Independent of Highly Qualified Teacher status, any assignment for which a teacher did not hold a valid certificate still registers as teaching out of certification. High-poverty and low-poverty schools are those schools in the upper and lower quartiles, respectively, for percentage of students eligible for a free or reduced-price lunch.

Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2010–11, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: <http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irs/accountability/>.



1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2010–11 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2007 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group, if it has 30 or more students, must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the group must meet both the participation criterion and the performance criterion in science.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2006 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2010 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (80%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2006 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2010 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (80%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

12th Graders

The count of 12th graders enrolled during the 2010–11 school year used to determine the Percentage Tested for the Participation part of the AYP determination for secondary-level ELA and mathematics. These are the first numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the secondary-level ELA and mathematics pages.

2007 Cohort

The count of students in the 2007 accountability cohort used to determine the Performance Index for the Test Performance part of the AYP determination for secondary-level ELA and mathematics. These are the second numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the secondary-level ELA and mathematics pages.

Accountability Cohort for English and Mathematics

The accountability cohort is used to determine if a school or district met the performance criterion in secondary-level ELA and mathematics. The 2007 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2007–08 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2007–08 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2010 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2011, are not included in the 2007 school accountability cohort. The 2007 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p) (14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuous Enrollment

The count of continuously enrolled tested students used to determine the Performance Index for the Test Performance part of the AYP determination for elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science. These are the second numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science pages.

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make AYP. The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.p12.nysed.gov/irs.

Graduation Rate

The Graduation Rate on the Graduation Rate page is the percentage of the 2006 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2010.

Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

The Graduation-Rate Total Cohort, shown on the Graduation Rate page, is used to determine if a school or district made AYP in graduation rate. For the 2010–11 school year, this cohort is the 2006 graduation-rate total cohort. The 2006 total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2006–07 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2006–07 school year, and who were enrolled in the school/district for five months or longer or who were enrolled in the school/district for less than five months but were previously enrolled in the same school/district for five months or longer between the date they first entered Grade 9 and the date they last ended enrollment. A more detailed definition of graduation-rate cohort can be found in the *SIRS Manual* at www.p12.nysed.gov/irs.

For districts and schools with fewer than 30 graduation-rate total cohort members in the All Students group in 2010–11, data for 2009–10 and 2010–11 for accountability groups were combined to determine counts and graduation rates. Groups with fewer than 30 students in the graduation-rate total cohort are not required to meet the graduation-rate criterion.

Limited English Proficient

For all accountability measures, if the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

Non-Accountability Groups

Female, Male, and Migrant groups are not part of the AYP determination for any measure.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability (continued)

Participation

Accountability groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period (for elementary/middle-level ELA, math, and science) or fewer than 40 12th graders (for secondary-level ELA and mathematics) are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the Percentage Tested for an accountability group fell below 95 percent for ELA and math or 80 percent for science in 2010–11, the participation enrollment (“Total” or “12th Graders”) shown in the tables is the sum of 2009–10 and 2010–11 participation enrollments and the “Percentage Tested” shown is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

Performance Index (PI)

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[\frac{\text{Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{the Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students}} \right]$$

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[\frac{\text{Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{the Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Cohort Members}} \right]$$

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at www.p12.nysed.gov/irs.

Progress Targets

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making AYP or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year’s performance.

Science: The current year’s Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the previous year’s Performance Index (PI). Example: The 2010–11 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2009–10 PI.

Graduation Rate: The Graduation-rate Progress Target is calculated by determining a 20% gap reduction between the rate of the previous year’s graduation-rate cohort and the state standard. Example: The 2010–11 Graduation-Rate Progress Target = $[(80 - \text{percentage of the 2005 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009}) \times 0.20] + \text{percentage of the 2005 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009}$.

Progress Targets are provided for groups whose PI (for science) or graduation rate (for graduation rate) is below the State Standard.

Safe Harbor Targets

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate AYP for accountability groups that do not achieve their EAMOs in English or mathematics. The 2010–11 safe harbor targets are calculated using the following equation:
2009–10 PI + (200 – the 2009–10 PI) × 0.10

Safe Harbor Targets are provided for groups whose PI is less than the EAMO.

Safe Harbor Qualification (*)

On the science page, if the group met both the participation and the performance criteria for science, the Safe Harbor Qualification column will show “Qualified.” If the group did not meet one or more criteria, the column will show “Did not qualify.” A “*” symbol after the 2010–11 Safe Harbor Target on the elementary/middle- or secondary-level ELA or mathematics page indicates that the student group did not make AYP in science (elementary/middle level) or graduation rate (secondary level) and; therefore, the group did not qualify for Safe Harbor in ELA or mathematics.

State Standard

The criterion value that represents minimally satisfactory performance (for science) or a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma (for graduation rate). In 2010–11, the State Science Standard is a Performance Index of 100; the State Graduation-Rate Standard is 80%. The Commissioner may raise the State Standard at his discretion in future years.

Students with Disabilities

For all measures, if the count of students with disabilities is equal to or greater than 30, former students with disabilities are also included in the performance calculations.

Test Performance

For districts and schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students (for elementary/middle-level ELA, math, and science) or fewer than 30 students in the 2007 cohort (for secondary-level ELA and mathematics) in the All Students group in 2010–11, data for 2009–10 and 2010–11 for accountability groups were combined to determine counts and Performance Indices. For districts and schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students/2007 cohort members in the All Students group in 2010–11, student groups with fewer than 30 members are not required to meet the performance criterion. This is indicated by a “—” in the Test Performance column in the table.

Total

The count of students enrolled during the test administration period used to determine the Percentage Tested for the Participation part of the AYP determination for elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science. These are the first numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science pages. For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons in accordance with federal NCLB guidance are not included in the count.

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: <http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irs/accountability/>.

Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

▲ District in Good Standing

- A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 2)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 3)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 4)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

New York State Status

(Applies to New York State districts)

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

Pending – A district's status is "Pending" if the district requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2011–12)

Good Standing

ELA	Good Standing	Science	Good Standing
Math	Good Standing	Graduation Rate	Good Standing

Title I Part A Funding

Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding

2009–10	2010–11	2011–12
YES	YES	YES

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

Student Groups	Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Level		
	English			English		
	Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
All Students						
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American			—			—
Hispanic or Latino			—			—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander			—			—
White			—			—
Multiracial	—	—	—	—	—	—
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities			—			—
Limited English Proficient			—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged			—			—
Student groups making AYP in each subject	4 of 8	6 of 8	1 of 1	7 of 7	6 of 7	1 of 1

AYP Status


- Made AYP
- Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- Did not make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Accountability Status Levels

- | Federal | State |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| Good Standing | Good Standing |
| Improvement (Year 1) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) |
| Improvement (Year 2) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) |
| Improvement (Year 3) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) |
| Improvement (Year 4) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) |
| Improvement (Year 5 & Above) | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 & Above) |
| Pending – Requires Special Evaluation | |


























Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2011–12)

Accountability Measures 4 of 8 Student groups making AYP in English language arts
 Did not make AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2012-13. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment)	AYP	Participation		Test Performance		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2010–11 2011–12
Accountability Groups							
All Students (2838:2769)			100%		151	120	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (2:2)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Black or African American (276:259)			99%		113	115	115 122
Hispanic or Latino (766:738)			100%		121	118	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (180:175)			100%		164	114	
White (1587:1568)			100%		169	119	
Multiracial (27:27)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities (354:350)			99%		89	116	100 100
Limited English Proficient (349:417)			100%		87	117	97 98
Economically Disadvantaged (1110:1070)			100%		118	119	119 126
Final AYP Determination	 4 of 8						
Non-Accountability Groups							
Female (1388:1361)			100%		157	119	
Male (1450:1408)			100%		145	119	
Migrant (0:0)							


Symbols

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30 Continuous Enrollment
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

NOTE: See *Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability* for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics


























Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2011–12)

Accountability Measures 6 of 8 Student groups making AYP in mathematics
 Did not make AYP

Prospective Status

A district that fails to make AYP in mathematics at the elementary/middle and secondary levels for two consecutive years is placed in improvement status. If this district fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2011-12, the district will be District In Need of Improvement (Year 1) in 2012-13. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or secondary level in 2011-12, the district will be in good standing in 2012-13. [202]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment)	AYP	Participation		Test Performance		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2010–11 2011–12
Accountability Groups							
All Students (2837:2770)			100%		164	135	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (2:2)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Black or African American (276:260)			100%		132	130	
Hispanic or Latino (766:740)			99%		142	133	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (180:176)			100%		178	129	
White (1586:1565)			100%		179	134	
Multiracial (27:27)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities (353:349)			99%		106	131	118 115
Limited English Proficient (349:422)			99%		113	132	114 122
Economically Disadvantaged (1109:1074)			100%		138	134	
Final AYP Determination	 6 of 8						
Non-Accountability Groups							
Female (1388:1360)			100%		168	134	
Male (1449:1410)			100%		161	134	
Migrant (0:0)							

Symbols

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30 Continuous Enrollment
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

NOTE: See *Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability* for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.



















Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2011–12)

Accountability Measures 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in science
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2012-13. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment)	AYP		Participation		Test Performance		Performance Objectives		
	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress Target 2010–11	2011–12
Accountability Groups									
All Students (915:889)		Qualified		99%		189	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Black or African American (93:87)		Qualified		100%		179	100		
Hispanic or Latino (245:234)		Qualified		99%		178	100		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (57:56)		Qualified		100%		196	100		
White (509:501)		Qualified		99%		196	100		
Multiracial (10:10)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (126:120)		Qualified		98%		171	100		
Limited English Proficient (109:123)		Qualified		99%		156	100		
Economically Disadvantaged (350:336)		Qualified		99%		176	100		
Final AYP Determination		1 of 1							
Non-Accountability Groups									
Female (435:424)				100%		189	100		
Male (480:465)				99%		189	100		
Migrant (0:0)									


Symbols

-  Made AYP
-  Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30 Continuous Enrollment

NOTE: See *Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability* for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.






















Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2011–12)

Accountability Measures 7 of 7 Student groups making AYP in English language arts
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2012-13. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English language arts accountability measures?

Student Group (12th Graders: 2007 Cohort)	AYP	Participation		Test Performance		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2010–11 2011–12
Accountability Groups							
All Students (459:454)			100%		196	178	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Black or African American (61:64)			100%		189	171	
Hispanic or Latino (92:87)			100%		194	172	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (37:35)		–	–		200	167	
White (265:265)			100%		198	176	
Multiracial (3:2)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities (60:76)			100%		178	172	
Limited English Proficient (22:18)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged (141:140)			100%		192	174	
Final AYP Determination	 7 of 7						
Non-Accountability Groups							
Female (212:208)			100%		195	175	
Male (247:246)			100%		197	176	
Migrant (0:0)							


Symbols

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 12th Graders/Fewer Than 30 Cohort
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

NOTE: See *Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability* for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

Secondary-Level Mathematics






















Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2011–12)

Accountability Measures 6 of 7 Student groups making AYP in mathematics
 Did not make AYP

Prospective Status

A district that fails to make AYP in mathematics at the elementary/middle and secondary levels for two consecutive years is placed in improvement status. If this district fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2011-12, the district will be District In Need of Improvement (Year 1) in 2012-13. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or secondary level in 2011-12, the district will be in good standing in 2012-13. [202]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group (12th Graders: 2007 Cohort)	AYP	Participation		Test Performance		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2010–11 2011–12
Accountability Groups							
All Students (459:454)			100%		193	175	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Black or African American (61:64)			100%		186	168	
Hispanic or Latino (92:87)			100%		185	169	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (37:35)		–	–		200	164	
White (265:265)			100%		197	173	
Multiracial (3:2)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities (60:76)			100%		164	169	169 168
Limited English Proficient (22:18)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged (141:140)			100%		184	171	
Final AYP Determination	 6 of 7						
Non-Accountability Groups							
Female (212:208)			100%		193	172	
Male (247:246)			100%		193	173	
Migrant (0:0)							


Symbols

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 12th Graders/Fewer Than 30 Cohort
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

NOTE: See *Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability* for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.









Graduation Rate

Accountability Status for This Indicator (2011–12)  Good Standing

Accountability Measures 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in graduation rate
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2012-13. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

Student Group (2006 Graduation-Rate Total Cohort)	Graduation			Objectives	
	AYP	Met Criterion	Graduation Rate	State Standard	Progress Target 2010–11
Accountability Groups					
All Students (490)			92%	80%	
Ethnicity					
American Indian or Alaska Native (0)					
Black or African American (58)			88%	80%	
Hispanic or Latino (97)			84%	80%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (20)		—	—	—	
White (315)			95%	80%	
Multiracial (0)					
Other Groups					
Students with Disabilities (61)			82%	80%	
Limited English Proficient (18)		—	—	—	
Economically Disadvantaged (155)			86%	80%	
Final AYP Determination	 1 of 1				
Non-Accountability Groups					
Female (229)			93%	80%	
Male (261)			91%	80%	
Migrant (0)					

Symbols

-  Made AYP
-  Did not make AYP
- Fewer than 30 Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

NOTE: See *Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability* for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

Aspirational Goal

The Board of Regents has set an aspirational goal that 95% of students in each public school and school district will graduate within five years of first entry into grade 9. The graduation rate for the 2006 total cohort through June 2011 (after 5 years) for this district is **95%** and, therefore, this district **did** meet this goal. The aspirational goal does not impact accountability.

2011–12 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2011–12 accountability status.

In Good Standing

3 schools identified 50% of total

COUNTRYWOOD PRIMARY CENTER

OAKWOOD PRIMARY CENTER

WALT WHITMAN HIGH SCHOOL

Improvement (year 1) Focused

3 schools identified 50% of total

BIRCHWOOD INTERMEDIATE SCHOOL

HENRY L STIMSON MIDDLE SCHOOL

MAPLEWOOD INTERMEDIATE SCHOOL

4 Overview of District Performance

District **SOUTH HUNTINGTON UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **58-04-13-03-0000**

Summary of 2010–11 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

English Language Arts	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			Total Tested
	0%	50%	100%	
Grade 3	58%			466
Grade 4	66%			433
Grade 5	58%			478
Grade 6	68%			485
Grade 7	52%			458
Grade 8	53%			455

Mathematics	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			Total Tested
	0%	50%	100%	
Grade 3	60%			467
Grade 4	70%			438
Grade 5	64%			481
Grade 6	73%			489
Grade 7	74%			461
Grade 8	74%			457

Science	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			Total Tested
	0%	50%	100%	
Grade 4	92%			441
Grade 8	83%			321

Secondary Level	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			2007 Total Cohort
	0%	50%	100%	
English	96%			464
Mathematics	93%			464

About the Performance Level Descriptors

English Language Arts

Level 1: Below Standard

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level.

Level 2: Meets Basic Standard

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level.

Level 3: Meets Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level.

Level 4: Exceeds Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level.

Mathematics

Level 1: Below Standard

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

Level 2: Meets Basic Standard

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

Level 3: Meets Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

Level 4: Exceeds Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at www.p12.nysed.gov/irs.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

This District's N/RC Category:

Average Need Districts


This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

4 Overview of District Performance

District **SOUTH HUNTINGTON UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **58-04-13-03-0000**

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	466	86%	58%	4%	446	84%	58%	15%
Female	231	91%	67%	6%	199	88%	61%	15%
Male	235	81%	49%	2%	247	81%	56%	15%
American Indian or Alaska Native	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Black or African American	41	73%	29%	0%	43	74%	35%	5%
Hispanic or Latino	128	73%	34%	0%	118	70%	36%	10%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	31	90%	65%	3%	22	86%	45%	18%
White	261	94%	73%	7%	263	92%	73%	19%
Multiracial	5	100%	80%	0%	-	-	-	-
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	418	91%	63%	5%	397	90%	63%	17%
Students with Disabilities	48	42%	15%	0%	49	35%	16%	2%
English Proficient	394	93%	66%	5%	379	90%	66%	17%
Limited English Proficient	72	51%	11%	0%	67	52%	13%	3%
Economically Disadvantaged	185	72%	36%	0%	162	72%	36%	5%
Not Disadvantaged	281	96%	73%	7%	284	91%	71%	21%
Migrant	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Not Migrant	466	86%	58%	4%	446	84%	58%	15%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

Other Assessments

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	5	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total					Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 3	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	6	N/A	N/A	N/A


† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

4 Overview of District Performance

District **SOUTH HUNTINGTON UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **58-04-13-03-0000**

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	467	90%	60%	11%	451	92%	58%	25%
Female	231	94%	62%	9%	203	93%	55%	21%
Male	236	86%	57%	13%	248	91%	61%	28%
American Indian or Alaska Native	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Black or African American	42	69%	24%	0%	44	77%	39%	14%
Hispanic or Latino	128	81%	34%	3%	120	83%	36%	12%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	31	94%	74%	16%	23	100%	70%	22%
White	261	97%	75%	16%	264	97%	71%	33%
Multiracial	5	100%	80%	20%	-	-	-	-
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	419	94%	65%	11%	403	94%	63%	27%
Students with Disabilities	48	58%	15%	6%	48	69%	23%	8%
English Proficient	394	94%	69%	13%	377	94%	67%	29%
Limited English Proficient	73	66%	10%	0%	74	78%	15%	4%
Economically Disadvantaged	185	79%	36%	3%	165	85%	36%	12%
Not Disadvantaged	282	97%	75%	16%	286	95%	71%	33%
Migrant	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Not Migrant	467	90%	60%	11%	451	92%	58%	25%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

Other Assessments


	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	3	-	-	-

4 Overview of District Performance

District **SOUTH HUNTINGTON UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **58-04-13-03-0000**

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	433	93%	66%	4%	482	94%	61%	8%
Female	199	95%	69%	4%	233	95%	65%	9%
Male	234	91%	63%	4%	249	93%	58%	7%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	35	80%	43%	0%	45	84%	29%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	122	85%	46%	0%	125	89%	34%	3%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	22	-	-	-	30	-	-	-
White	252	98%	78%	7%	281	98%	77%	10%
Multiracial	2	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	24	100%	75%	0%	31	90%	77%	16%
General-Education Students	382	96%	71%	5%	434	97%	65%	9%
Students with Disabilities	51	67%	27%	0%	48	69%	25%	0%
English Proficient	373	96%	73%	5%	410	96%	70%	9%
Limited English Proficient	60	75%	22%	0%	72	81%	14%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	161	84%	47%	0%	175	87%	30%	2%
Not Disadvantaged	272	98%	77%	7%	307	98%	79%	11%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	433	93%	66%	4%	482	94%	61%	8%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

Other Assessments

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	6	5	4	3	8	7	6	4
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	5	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total					Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 4	5	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	N/A	N/A	N/A


† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

4 Overview of District Performance

District **SOUTH HUNTINGTON UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **58-04-13-03-0000**

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	438	96%	70%	30%	482	95%	66%	31%
Female	199	97%	71%	28%	234	96%	61%	27%
Male	239	95%	69%	32%	248	94%	71%	35%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	37	95%	51%	11%	45	87%	29%	18%
Hispanic or Latino	123	92%	52%	12%	125	90%	42%	12%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	23	-	-	-	30	-	-	-
White	253	98%	81%	40%	281	99%	80%	40%
Multiracial	2	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	25	100%	76%	48%	31	94%	87%	48%
General-Education Students	387	98%	76%	33%	434	97%	70%	33%
Students with Disabilities	51	82%	29%	6%	48	75%	29%	13%
English Proficient	374	98%	77%	33%	408	97%	75%	37%
Limited English Proficient	64	88%	33%	11%	74	81%	18%	1%
Economically Disadvantaged	163	93%	50%	12%	175	89%	36%	11%
Not Disadvantaged	275	98%	83%	41%	307	98%	83%	43%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	438	96%	70%	30%	482	95%	66%	31%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

Other Assessments


	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	6	5	3	2	8	8	6	4

4 Overview of District Performance

District **SOUTH HUNTINGTON UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **58-04-13-03-0000**

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science



Results by Student Group

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	441	99%	92%	62%	483	97%	90%	62%
Female	200	99%	93%	60%	235	97%	90%	57%
Male	241	98%	90%	64%	248	97%	91%	67%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	38	97%	84%	45%	46	93%	78%	37%
Hispanic or Latino	125	97%	82%	43%	126	94%	83%	33%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	23	-	-	-	30	-	-	-
White	253	100%	97%	75%	280	99%	96%	78%
Multiracial	2	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	25	100%	92%	56%	31	94%	90%	68%
General-Education Students	389	99%	93%	67%	434	99%	93%	65%
Students with Disabilities	52	98%	79%	29%	49	84%	67%	31%
English Proficient	375	100%	96%	70%	409	99%	94%	71%
Limited English Proficient	66	92%	65%	21%	74	89%	72%	12%
Economically Disadvantaged	166	98%	83%	40%	176	94%	78%	30%
Not Disadvantaged	275	99%	97%	76%	307	99%	97%	80%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	441	99%	92%	62%	483	97%	90%	62%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments


	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	6	6	6	4	8	8	6	6

4 Overview of District Performance

District **SOUTH HUNTINGTON UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **58-04-13-03-0000**

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	478	91%	58%	4%	480	89%	57%	16%
Female	231	90%	57%	3%	249	92%	63%	19%
Male	247	91%	60%	5%	231	86%	50%	13%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	44	70%	25%	2%	50	78%	30%	6%
Hispanic or Latino	127	87%	36%	2%	110	75%	27%	5%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	32	88%	75%	6%	31	100%	77%	29%
White	268	96%	72%	5%	289	95%	71%	21%
Multiracial	6	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	7	100%	86%	0%				
General-Education Students	429	94%	62%	5%	427	93%	63%	18%
Students with Disabilities	49	61%	22%	0%	53	53%	11%	2%
English Proficient	420	95%	65%	5%	427	94%	63%	18%
Limited English Proficient	58	62%	14%	0%	53	51%	8%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	185	82%	35%	1%	166	78%	31%	7%
Not Disadvantaged	293	97%	73%	6%	314	95%	71%	21%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	478	91%	58%	4%	480	89%	57%	16%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

Other Assessments

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	9	8	8	5	3	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	4	N/A	N/A	N/A	7	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total					Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 5	4	N/A	N/A	N/A	7	N/A	N/A	N/A


† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

4 Overview of District Performance

District **SOUTH HUNTINGTON UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **58-04-13-03-0000**

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	481	95%	64%	21%	487	96%	71%	26%
Female	232	94%	63%	16%	252	94%	71%	27%
Male	249	95%	65%	25%	235	97%	71%	26%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	46	89%	26%	2%	52	87%	40%	6%
Hispanic or Latino	128	91%	44%	8%	114	92%	48%	11%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	32	94%	69%	31%	31	100%	81%	45%
White	268	97%	79%	28%	290	98%	84%	34%
Multiracial	6	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	7	100%	71%	43%				
General-Education Students	431	97%	69%	22%	434	97%	74%	29%
Students with Disabilities	50	74%	20%	6%	53	83%	43%	6%
English Proficient	419	97%	71%	24%	427	98%	78%	30%
Limited English Proficient	62	79%	18%	0%	60	78%	18%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	188	90%	38%	6%	173	91%	48%	10%
Not Disadvantaged	293	97%	81%	30%	314	98%	83%	36%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	481	95%	64%	21%	487	96%	71%	26%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

Other Assessments


	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	9	8	7	5	3	-	-	-

4 Overview of District Performance

District **SOUTH HUNTINGTON UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **58-04-13-03-0000**

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	485	91%	68%	5%	465	90%	65%	11%
Female	249	92%	74%	6%	231	92%	68%	11%
Male	236	90%	62%	5%	234	87%	62%	10%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	49	80%	39%	2%	45	73%	36%	2%
Hispanic or Latino	114	79%	47%	2%	132	81%	40%	3%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	30	-	-	-	29	93%	76%	14%
White	290	97%	81%	8%	259	97%	81%	15%
Multiracial	2	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	32	97%	75%	3%				
General-Education Students	430	95%	74%	6%	415	93%	71%	12%
Students with Disabilities	55	58%	22%	0%	50	58%	18%	0%
English Proficient	440	95%	75%	6%	417	94%	72%	12%
Limited English Proficient	45	51%	2%	0%	48	48%	6%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	174	79%	44%	0%	186	76%	38%	4%
Not Disadvantaged	311	97%	82%	8%	279	99%	83%	15%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	485	91%	68%	5%	465	90%	65%	11%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

Other Assessments

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	5	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total					Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 6	5	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	N/A	N/A	N/A


† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

4 Overview of District Performance

District **SOUTH HUNTINGTON UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **58-04-13-03-0000**

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	489	96%	73%	37%	467	94%	66%	31%
Female	252	96%	77%	37%	233	97%	66%	30%
Male	237	96%	70%	38%	234	92%	65%	31%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	49	88%	43%	10%	45	80%	44%	20%
Hispanic or Latino	117	91%	56%	17%	132	92%	44%	11%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	31	-	-	-	30	100%	80%	40%
White	290	99%	84%	48%	260	98%	79%	42%
Multiracial	2	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	33	100%	85%	48%				
General-Education Students	435	99%	78%	40%	417	98%	72%	34%
Students with Disabilities	54	74%	37%	17%	50	66%	12%	2%
English Proficient	440	98%	79%	40%	417	96%	72%	35%
Limited English Proficient	49	80%	27%	8%	50	80%	10%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	177	93%	53%	16%	187	88%	44%	13%
Not Disadvantaged	312	98%	85%	49%	280	99%	80%	43%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	489	96%	73%	37%	467	94%	66%	31%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

Other Assessments


	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	3	-	-	-

4 Overview of District Performance

District **SOUTH HUNTINGTON UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **58-04-13-03-0000**

This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	458	91%	52%	2%	447	93%	62%	17%
Female	228	94%	56%	3%	224	94%	70%	21%
Male	230	87%	48%	2%	223	92%	54%	13%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	45	73%	33%	0%	51	92%	45%	4%
Hispanic or Latino	135	84%	28%	0%	103	89%	45%	13%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	27	-	-	-	33	-	-	-
White	247	96%	68%	3%	259	94%	71%	20%
Multiracial	4	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	31	100%	61%	6%	34	100%	71%	29%
General-Education Students	404	96%	58%	2%	391	97%	68%	19%
Students with Disabilities	54	54%	6%	0%	56	64%	21%	2%
English Proficient	410	95%	58%	2%	414	96%	67%	18%
Limited English Proficient	48	52%	4%	0%	33	61%	3%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	197	80%	29%	1%	169	88%	41%	7%
Not Disadvantaged	261	99%	70%	3%	278	96%	75%	23%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	458	91%	52%	2%	447	93%	62%	17%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

Other Assessments

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	5	5	4	2
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	5	N/A	N/A	N/A	6	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total					Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 7	5	N/A	N/A	N/A	6	N/A	N/A	N/A


† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

4 Overview of District Performance

District **SOUTH HUNTINGTON UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **58-04-13-03-0000**

This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	461	92%	74%	39%	453	96%	74%	40%
Female	229	95%	74%	36%	228	96%	77%	43%
Male	232	89%	74%	43%	225	96%	70%	36%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	45	73%	49%	29%	51	96%	73%	22%
Hispanic or Latino	139	89%	54%	20%	105	91%	56%	21%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	27	-	-	-	34	-	-	-
White	246	97%	88%	51%	262	97%	79%	50%
Multiracial	4	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	31	97%	90%	52%	35	100%	91%	49%
General-Education Students	407	97%	81%	44%	398	97%	79%	44%
Students with Disabilities	54	54%	19%	7%	55	84%	33%	7%
English Proficient	408	95%	82%	44%	414	98%	80%	43%
Limited English Proficient	53	70%	15%	2%	39	69%	10%	3%
Economically Disadvantaged	201	83%	53%	23%	174	92%	58%	18%
Not Disadvantaged	260	99%	90%	52%	279	98%	84%	54%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	461	92%	74%	39%	453	96%	74%	40%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

Other Assessments


	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	5	4	4	2

4 Overview of District Performance

District **SOUTH HUNTINGTON UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **58-04-13-03-0000**

This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts



Results by Student Group

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	455	93%	53%	1%	437	94%	65%	11%
Female	227	94%	59%	2%	201	94%	71%	10%
Male	228	91%	48%	0%	236	94%	61%	11%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	52	85%	35%	0%	53	91%	43%	2%
Hispanic or Latino	114	87%	38%	2%	98	90%	47%	3%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	33	97%	64%	0%	29	97%	69%	14%
White	247	96%	63%	1%	257	96%	77%	16%
Multiracial	8	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	9	100%	56%	0%				
General-Education Students	394	96%	59%	1%	383	97%	73%	13%
Students with Disabilities	61	70%	20%	0%	54	70%	15%	0%
English Proficient	417	96%	58%	1%	402	98%	71%	12%
Limited English Proficient	38	53%	0%	0%	35	54%	0%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	179	86%	29%	1%	154	88%	45%	3%
Not Disadvantaged	276	97%	69%	1%	283	97%	76%	16%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	455	93%	53%	1%	437	94%	65%	11%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

Other Assessments

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	6	6	6	5	5	5	5	4
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	4	N/A	N/A	N/A	3	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total					Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 8	4	N/A	N/A	N/A	3	N/A	N/A	N/A


† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

4 Overview of District Performance

District **SOUTH HUNTINGTON UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **58-04-13-03-0000**

This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	457	95%	74%	23%	438	93%	64%	25%
Female	229	97%	80%	25%	202	93%	63%	24%
Male	228	94%	68%	21%	236	93%	66%	25%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	53	94%	60%	6%	52	85%	46%	12%
Hispanic or Latino	116	93%	62%	9%	99	87%	45%	13%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	34	100%	88%	35%	30	97%	80%	30%
White	245	96%	81%	32%	257	97%	74%	31%
Multiracial	8	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	9	89%	78%	33%				
General-Education Students	397	98%	80%	26%	385	98%	70%	28%
Students with Disabilities	60	80%	33%	3%	53	58%	21%	2%
English Proficient	415	97%	79%	25%	400	96%	70%	27%
Limited English Proficient	42	76%	26%	5%	38	63%	8%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	181	93%	59%	7%	155	87%	45%	12%
Not Disadvantaged	276	97%	84%	34%	283	96%	75%	32%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	457	95%	74%	23%	438	93%	64%	25%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

Other Assessments

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	6	6	5	3	5	4	3	2

4 Overview of District Performance

District **SOUTH HUNTINGTON UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **58-04-13-03-0000**

This District's Results in Grade 8 Science

This District


Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4

NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4



Number of Tested Students:

Results by Student Group

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	321	97%	83%	25%	314	99%	84%	37%
Female	156	98%	79%	19%	146	98%	81%	31%
Male	165	96%	87%	30%	168	99%	87%	42%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Black or African American	49	98%	71%	22%	47	100%	72%	26%
Hispanic or Latino	102	93%	75%	11%	94	96%	74%	26%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	20	100%	100%	25%	23	100%	96%	48%
White	144	99%	92%	35%	150	100%	92%	46%
Multiracial	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	6	100%	67%	17%	-	-	-	-
General-Education Students	265	98%	88%	28%	262	100%	89%	42%
Students with Disabilities	56	93%	64%	7%	52	94%	58%	12%
English Proficient	280	99%	90%	28%	276	100%	90%	42%
Limited English Proficient	41	83%	39%	2%	38	92%	42%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	164	95%	74%	13%	144	98%	74%	24%
Not Disadvantaged	157	99%	94%	36%	170	99%	92%	48%
Migrant	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Not Migrant	321	97%	83%	25%	314	99%	84%	37%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments


	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	6	6	5	4	5	5	5	3
Regents Science	135	135	135	122	123	123	123	119

4 Overview of District Performance

District **SOUTH HUNTINGTON UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **58-04-13-03-0000**

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction



Results by Student Group

	2007 Cohort			2006 Cohort**				
	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	464	97%	96%	52%	492	95%	93%	58%
Female	213	97%	96%	61%	230	95%	94%	62%
Male	251	97%	96%	44%	262	94%	92%	55%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	63	94%	90%	32%	58	97%	91%	41%
Hispanic or Latino	98	94%	93%	20%	98	88%	85%	30%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	35	-	-	-	21	100%	100%	48%
White	265	98%	98%	66%	315	96%	96%	71%
Multiracial	2	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	38	100%	100%	63%				
General-Education Students	393	99%	99%	60%	433	98%	97%	65%
Students with Disabilities	71	85%	83%	8%	59	68%	61%	10%
English Proficient	440	98%	97%	55%	473	96%	94%	60%
Limited English Proficient	24	83%	79%	0%	19	68%	63%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	149	95%	93%	27%	156	91%	87%	33%
Not Disadvantaged	315	98%	98%	63%	336	96%	96%	70%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	464	97%	96%	52%	492	95%	93%	58%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.


** 2006 cohort data are those reported in the 2009-10 *Accountability and Overview Report*.

4 Overview of District Performance

District **SOUTH HUNTINGTON UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **58-04-13-03-0000**

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction



Results by Student Group

	2007 Cohort				2006 Cohort**			
	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	464	96%	93%	30%	492	94%	93%	38%
Female	213	97%	94%	36%	230	94%	93%	42%
Male	251	95%	92%	25%	262	94%	94%	34%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	63	95%	89%	11%	58	97%	97%	19%
Hispanic or Latino	98	90%	82%	7%	98	86%	85%	19%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	35	-	-	-	21	100%	100%	43%
White	265	98%	98%	40%	315	96%	95%	46%
Multiracial	2	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	38	100%	100%	53%				
General-Education Students	393	99%	97%	35%	433	98%	97%	42%
Students with Disabilities	71	80%	70%	1%	59	68%	63%	5%
English Proficient	440	97%	94%	32%	473	95%	95%	39%
Limited English Proficient	24	83%	75%	4%	19	63%	58%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	149	91%	85%	13%	156	91%	90%	17%
Not Disadvantaged	315	98%	97%	38%	336	96%	95%	47%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	464	96%	93%	30%	492	94%	93%	38%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2006 cohort data are those reported in the 2009-10 *Accountability and Overview Report*.