

The New York State District Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2010 - 11

District ISLIP UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT District ID 58-05-02-02-0000 Superintendent SUSAN SCHNEBEL Telephone (631) 650-8210 Grades K-12

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents' effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

Use this report to:

Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

Review District 2 Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies the district's accountability status.

View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2011-12 accountability status.



Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: dataquest@mail.nysed.gov

District ID 58-05-02-02-0000

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2008–09	2009–10	2010-11
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	215	217	193
Grade 1	258	222	217
Grade 2	227	257	225
Grade 3	243	231	257
Grade 4	230	240	223
Grade 5	275	236	242
Grade 6	282	280	235
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Grade 7	303	284	277
Grade 8	313	305	284
Grade 9	275	334	315
Grade 10	286	262	319
Grade 11	299	266	251
Grade 12	270	299	265
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12	3476	3433	3303

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

	2008–09	2009-10	2010-11
Common Branch	23	22	22
Grade 8			
English	24	23	23
Mathematics	24	23	23
Science	24	23	24
Social Studies	24	23	23
Grade 10			
English	22	22	25
Mathematics	19	20	22
Science	17	21	22
Social Studies	22	22	23

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

Demographic Factors

	200	8-09	200	2009-10		2010-11	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Eligible for Free Lunch	346	10%	469	14%	473	14%	
Reduced-Price Lunch	222	6%	253	7%	210	6%	
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A	
Limited English Proficient	93	3%	126	4%	118	4%	
Racial/Ethnic Origin							
American Indian or Alaska Native	8	0%	8	0%	13	0%	
Black or African American	203	6%	209	6%	211	6%	
Hispanic or Latino	439	13%	468	14%	530	16%	
Asian or Native	129	4%	118	3%	130	4%	
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander							
White	2697	78%	2624	76%	2407	73%	
Multiracial	0	0%	6	0%	12	0%	

* Available only at the school level.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2007-08		2008–09		2009-10	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		95%		95%		95%
Student Suspensions	106	3%	96	3%	91	3%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

Teacher Qualifications

	2008–09	2009-10	2010-11
Total Number of Teachers	282	273	261
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	0%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	0%	0%	0%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	8%	6%	3%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	57%	60%	64%
Total Number of Core Classes	850	754	706
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers in This District	0%	0%	0%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified in High-Poverty Schools Statewide	8%	6%	5%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified in Low-Poverty Schools Statewide	1%	1%	0%
Total Number of Classes	1038	971	936
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	1%	1%	0%

Teacher Turnover Rate

	2007-08	2008–09	2009-10
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	12%	18%	36%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	9%	9%	15%

Staff Counts

2008–09	2009–10	2010-11
38	28	56
26	26	30
3	3	2
5	5	5
	38 26 3	38 28 26 26 3 3

* Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. To be Highly Qualified, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. A teacher who taught one class outside of the certification area(s) is counted as Highly Qualified provided that 1) the teacher had been determined by the school or district through the HOUSSE process or other state-accepted methods to have demonstrated acceptable subject knowledge and teaching skills and 2) the class in question was not the sole assignment reported. Credit for incidental teaching does not extend beyond a single assignment. Independent of Highly Qualified Teacher status, any assignment for which a teacher did not hold a valid certificate still registers as teaching out of certification. High-poverty and low-poverty schools are those schools in the upper and lower quartiles, respectively, for percentage of students eligible for a free or reduced-price lunch.

Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2010–11, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).



1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2010–11 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2007 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group, if it has 30 or more students, must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the group must meet both the participation criterion and the performance criterion in science.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2006 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2010 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (80%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2006 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2010 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (80%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

12th Graders

The count of 12th graders enrolled during the 2010–11 school year used to determine the Percentage Tested for the Participation part of the AYP determination for secondarylevel ELA and mathematics. These are the first numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the secondary-level ELA and mathematics pages.

2007 Cohort

The count of students in the 2007 accountability cohort used to determine the Performance Index for the Test Performance part of the AYP determination for secondary-level ELA and mathematics. These are the second numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the secondary-level ELA and mathematics pages.

Accountability Cohort for English and Mathematics

The accountability cohort is used to determine if a school or district met the performance criterion in secondary-level ELA and mathematics. The 2007 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2007–08 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2007–08 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2010 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2011, are not included in the 2007 school accountability cohort. The 2007 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p) (14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuous Enrollment

The count of continuously enrolled tested students used to determine the Performance Index for the Test Performance part of the AYP determination for elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science. These are the second numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the elementary/ middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science pages.

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make AYP. The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at **www.p12.nysed.gov/irs.**

Graduation Rate

The Graduation Rate on the Graduation Rate page is the percentage of the 2006 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2010.

Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

The Graduation-Rate Total Cohort, shown on the Graduation Rate page, is used to determine if a school or district made AYP in graduation rate. For the 2010–11 school year, this cohort is the 2006 graduation-rate total cohort. The 2006 total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2006–07 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2006–07 school year, and who were enrolled in the school/ district for five months or longer or who were enrolled in the school/district for less than five months but were previously enrolled in the same school/district for five months or longer between the date they first entered Grade 9 and the date they last ended enrollment. A more detailed definition of graduation-rate cohort can be found in the *SIRS Manual* at **www.p12.nysed.gov/irs**.

For districts and schools with fewer than 30 graduation-rate total cohort members in the All Students group in 2010–11, data for 2009–10 and 2010–11 for accountability groups were combined to determine counts and graduation rates. Groups with fewer than 30 students in the graduation-rate total cohort are not required to meet the graduation-rate criterion.

Limited English Proficient

For all accountability measures, if the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

Non-Accountability Groups

Female, Male, and Migrant groups are not part of the AYP determination for any measure.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability (continued)

Participation

Accountability groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period (for elementary/middlelevel ELA, math, and science) or fewer than 40 12th graders (for secondary-level ELA and mathematics) are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the Percentage Tested for an accountability group fell below 95 percent for ELA and math or 80 percent for science in 2010–11, the participation enrollment ("Total" or "12th Graders") shown in the tables is the sum of 2009–10 and 2010–11 participation enrollments and the "Percentage Tested" shown is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

Performance Index (PI)

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.p12.nysed.gov/irs.**

Progress Targets

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making AYP or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Science: The current year's Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the previous year's Performance Index (PI). Example: The 2010–11 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2009–10 PI.

Graduation Rate: The Graduation-rate Progress Target is calculated by determining a 20% gap reduction between the rate of the previous year's graduation-rate cohort and the state standard. Example: The 2010–11 Graduation-Rate Progress Target = [(80 – percentage of the 2005 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009) × 0.20] + percentage of the 2005 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009.

Progress Targets are provided for groups whose PI (for science) or graduation rate (for graduation rate) is below the State Standard.

Safe Harbor Targets

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate AYP for accountability groups that do not achieve their EAMOs in English or mathematics. The 2010–11 safe harbor targets are calculated using the following equation: $2009-10 \text{ PI} + (200 - \text{the } 2009-10 \text{ PI}) \times 0.10$

Safe Harbor Targets are provided for groups whose PI is less than the EAMO.

Safe Harbor Qualification (*)

On the science page, if the group met both the participation and the performance criteria for science, the Safe Harbor Qualification column will show "Qualified." If the group did not meet one or more criteria, the column will show "Did not qualify." A "‡" symbol after the 2010–11 Safe Harbor Target on the elementary/middle- or secondary-level ELA or mathematics page indicates that the student group did not make AYP in science (elementary/middle level) or graduation rate (secondary level) and; therefore, the group did not qualify for Safe Harbor in ELA or mathematics.

State Standard

The criterion value that represents minimally satisfactory performance (for science) or a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma (for graduation rate). In 2010–11, the State Science Standard is a Performance Index of 100; the State Graduation-Rate Standard is 80%. The Commissioner may raise the State Standard at his discretion in future years.

Students with Disabilities

For all measures, if the count of students with disabilities is equal to or greater than 30, former students with disabilities are also included in the performance calculations.

Test Performance

For districts and schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students (for elementary/middle-level ELA, math, and science) or fewer than 30 students in the 2007 cohort (for secondary-level ELA and mathematics) in the All Students group in 2010–11, data for 2009–10 and 2010–11 for accountability groups were combined to determine counts and Performance Indices. For districts and schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students/2007 cohort members in the All Students group in 2010–11, student groups with fewer than 30 members are not required to meet the performance criterion. This is indicated by a "—" in the Test Performance column in the table.

Total

The count of students enrolled during the test administration period used to determine the Percentage Tested for the Participation part of the AYP determination for elementary/ middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science. These are the first numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science pages. For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons in accordance with federal NCLB guidance are not included in the count.

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irs/accountability/.

Federal Title I Status (Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)	New York State Status (Applies to New York State districts)
 District in Good Standing A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not be or a District Requiring Academic Progress. 	
District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.
▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

Pending – A district's status is "Pending" if the district requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

2 District Accountability

District ISLIP UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT


District ID 58-05-02-02-0000

Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2011–12)	▲ Good Standing						
	ELA A Good Standing Math A Good Standing		Science	▲ Good Standing			
			Graduation R	ate 🔥 Good Standing			
Title I Part A Funding	Years	the District Recei	ved Title I Part A Fundi	ng			
	2009-	10	2010-11	2011-12			
	YES		YES	YES			

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/	Middle Level		Secondary L	Secondary Level			
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate		
All Students	 	v	 Image: A set of the set of the	 ✓ 	v	 		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native	_	_						
Black or African American	✓	✓		–	–	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Hispanic or Latino	✓	✓	••••	✓	✓	••••		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	v	 		-	-			
White	~	~	••••	~	~	••••		
Multiracial	–	_		_	–	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities	X	✓ SH		_	_			
Limited English Proficient	X	✓		–	–	••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	✓	 ✓ 	••••	 ✓ 	~	••••		
Student groups making AYP in each subject	X 6 of 8	🗸 8 of 8	🖌 1 of 1	✔ 4 of 4	🗸 4 of 4	✔ 1 of 1		



Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2011–12)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	6 of 8	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2012-13. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

AYP Participation		Test Performance		Performance Objectives			
	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target
Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2010-11	2011–12
 Image: A second s	v	100%	V	155	119		
-	-	-	-	-	-		-
~	v	98%	v	129	112		
~	~	99%	~	131	115		
~	 	100%	~	165	107		
✓	 	100%	 ✓ 	162	119	••••••••••••••••	
–	_	-	–	-	-		–
x	v	98%	x	90	114	105	101
X	✓	100%	X	91	109	101	102
~	✓	100%	✓	133	116		
🗙 6 of 8	3						
		100%		165	118		
•••••••	••••••	99%	••••••	146	118		••••
•••••••		••••			•••••••••••	•••••••••••••••	••••
	Status	Status Met Criterion ✓ ✓ – – ✓ ✓	Met Criterion Percentage Tested ✓ 100% ✓ 100% ✓ 98% ✓ 98% ✓ 98% ✓ 100% ✓ 98% ✓ 98% ✓ 98% ✓ 98% ✓ 98% ✓ 100% ✓ 100% ✓ 100% ✓ 100% ✓ 100% ✓ 100% ✓ 100% ✓ 100%	Met Criterion Percentage Tested Met Criterion ✓ 100% ✓ ✓ 100% ✓ – – – ✓ 98% ✓ ✓ 99% ✓ ✓ 100% ✓ ✓ 98% ✓ ✓ 100% ✓ ✓ 98% ✓ ✓ 100% ✓ ✓ 98% ズ ✓ 100% ✓ ✓ 100% ✓ ✓ 100% ✓ ✓ 100% ✓ ✓ 100% ✓ ✓ 100% ✓	Met Status Met Criterion Percentage Tested Met Criterion Performance Index ✓ ✓ 100% ✓ 155 – – – – ✓ ✓ 100% ✓ 155 – – – – – ✓ ✓ 98% ✓ 129 ✓ ✓ 99% ✓ 131 ✓ ✓ 100% ✓ 165 ✓ ✓ 100% ✓ 162 – – – – – X ✓ 98% X 90 X ✓ 100% X 91 ✓ ✓ 100% ✓ 133 X 6 of 8 – – – I00% ✓ 165 – –	Met Status Percentage Tested Met Criterion Performance Index Effective AMO V V 100% V 155 119 - - - - - - - - - - - - V 98% V 129 112 V 99% V 131 115 V 100% V 165 107 V 98% V 162 119 - - - - - X Y 98% X 90 114 X Y 100% X 91 109 V 100% Y 133 116 X X 0 100% I I I I 100% I 165 118	Met Status Percentage Criterion Met Tested Performance Index Effective AMO Safe Harbo Safe Harbo AMO V 100% V 155 119 - - - - - - - - - - - V 98% V 129 112 - V 99% V 131 115 - V 99% V 165 107 - V 99% V 162 119 - V 100% V 162 119 - X V 98% X 90 114 105 X V 100% X 91 109 101 V 100% V 133 116 - X 0 - - - - - X 100% V 133 116 - -

used on this page.

NOTE: See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels

Symbols

- Made AYP
- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30
 Continuous Enrollment
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2011–12)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	8 of 8	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2012-13. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation		Test Performance		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target
(Total: Continuous Enrollment)	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2010-11	2011–12
Accountability Groups								
All Students (1532:1505)	~	V	100%	V	168	134		
Ethnicity								·
American Indian or Alaska Native (2:2)	-	-	-	_	-	-		_
Black or African American (96:92)	~	 	97%	~	140	127		
Hispanic or Latino (258:249)	<	 	100%	 ✓ 	146	130		••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (41:40)	~	 Image: A start of the start of	100%	~	180	122		
White (1113:1101)	✓	 	100%	 ✓ 	175	134		••••
Multiracial (22:21)	_	-	-	-	-	-		-
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (200:205)	✓ SH	v	98%	√ SH	121	129	120	129
Limited English Proficient (47:55)	~	✓	100%	~	133	124		
Economically Disadvantaged (313:302)	~	✓	100%	 	147	131		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 8 of 8	3						
Non-Accountability Groups								
Female (729:721)			100%		170	133		
Male (803:784)	•••••••••••	•••••	99%	••••••	166	133		
Migrant (0:0)	••••••	•••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	••••	•••••	•••••••••	••••

used on this page.

NOTE: See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels

Symbols

- Made AYP
- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30
 Continuous Enrollment
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status for This Subject (2011–12)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in science
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2012-13. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	ΑΥΡ		Participatio	Participation		Test Performance		Performance Objectives	
Student Group		Safe Harbor	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	State	Progres	s Target
(Total: Continuous Enrollment)	Status	Qualification	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	Standard	2010-11	2011-12
Accountability Groups									
AllStudents (511:501)	~	Qualified	 ✓ 	99%	V	193	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (2:1)		-	_	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (29:29)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (81:79)		Qualified	✓	99%	 	189	100		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (13:12)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (381:375)		Qualified	 	99%	 	195	100		
Multiracial (5:5)	• • • • • • • • • • • •	_	_	-	-	-	-		-
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (76:76)		Qualified	~	97%	~	175	100		
Limited English Proficient (15:15)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (94:90)		Qualified	~	99%	~	182	100		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 o	f 1							
Non-Accountability Groups									
Female (250:245)				99%		193	100		
Male (261:256)				98%		194	100		
Migrant (0:0)									

Symbols

Made AYP

X Did not make AYP

 Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30 Continuous Enrollment for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

NOTE: See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2011–12)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	4 of 4	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2012-13. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation		Test Performance		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target
(12th Graders: 2007 Cohort)	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2010-11	2011–12
Accountability Groups								
All Students (276:263)	~	v	99%	V	198	176		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (19:16)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (34:33)	<	_	-	 ✓ 	194	166	••••••••••••••	••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (13:10)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (209:203)	<	~	100%	 ✓ 	198	175	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Multiracial (1:1)		_		-	-	-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (21:21)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Limited English Proficient (3:3)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (43:37)	✓	~	100%	~	200	167		•••••
Final AYP Determination	🖌 4 of 4	1						
Non-Accountability Groups								
Female (137:133)			99%		197	174		
Male (139:130)	•••••••••••••	•••••••••	99%		198	174	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Migrant (0:0)	•••••••••	•••••••••••••••					••• ••••	

used on this page.

NOTE: See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels

Symbols

Made AYP

- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 12th Graders/Fewer Than 30 Cohort
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2011–12)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	4 of 4	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	v	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2012-13. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation		Test Performance		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target	
(12th Graders: 2007 Cohort)	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2010-11	2011–12
Accountability Groups								
All Students (276:263)	~	~	100%	 	198	173		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (19:16)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (34:33)	✓	-	–	 ✓ 	194	163	••••••••••••••••	••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (13:10)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (209:203)	<	 	100%	 ✓ 	198	172	••••	••••
Multiracial (1:1)	- -	-	-	–	-	-	••• ••••	-
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (21:21)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Limited English Proficient (3:3)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (43:37)	<	 	100%	~	200	164		•••••
Final AYP Determination	🖌 4 of 4	4						
Non-Accountability Groups								
Female (137:133)			99%		197	171		
Male (139:130)			100%		198	171		
Migrant (0:0)	•••••••••		••••					••••

used on this page.

NOTE: See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels

Symbols

Made AYP

- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 12th Graders/Fewer Than 30 Cohort
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

District ID 58-05-02-02-0000

Graduation Rate

Accountability Status for This Indicator (2011–12)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in graduation rate
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2012-13. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Graduati	on	Objectives			
Student Group		Met	Graduation	State	Progress Target	
(2006 Graduation-Rate Total Cohort)	AYP	Criterion	Rate	Standard	2010-11	
Accountability Groups						
All Students (315)	v	 ✓ 	93%	80%		
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native (1)		-	_	-		
Black or African American (14)		-	-	-		
Hispanic or Latino (40)		 Image: A set of the set of the	80%	80%		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (9)		_	-	_		
White (251)	••••••	✓	96%	80%	••••	
Multiracial (0)	••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities (18)		_	_	-		
Limited English Proficient (5)	••••••	-	-	-	••••	
Economically Disadvantaged (51)	••••••	✓	90%	80%	••••	
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 of :	1				
Non-Accountability Groups						
Female (148)			95%	80%		
Male (167)	••••••		92%	80%		
Migrant (O)	••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••	

Symbols

Made AYP

X Did not make AYP

NOTE: See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

Fewer than 30 Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

Aspirational Goal

The Board of Regents has set an aspirational goal that 95% of students in each public school and school district will graduate within five years of first entry into grade 9. The graduation rate for the 2006 total cohort through June 2011 (after 5 years) for this district is **95%** and, therefore, this district *did* meet this goal. The aspirational goal does not impact accountability.

2011–12 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2011–12 accountability status.

In Good Standing			
4 schools identified	80% of total		
ISLIP HIGH SCHOOL			
ISLIP MIDDLE SCHO	JL		
MAUD S SHERWOO	DELEMENTARY SCHOOL		
WING ELEMENTARY	SCHOOL		
Improvement (yea	r 1) Basic		
1 school identified	20% of total		
COMMACK ROAD E	EMENTARY SCHOOL		

Summary of 2010–11 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	-	e of students that or above Level 3	Total Tested
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 3	64%		259
Grade 4	63%		224
Grade 5	65%		237
Grade 6	63%		234
Grade 7	57%		276
Grade 8	52%		284
Mathematics			
Grade 3	54%		259
Grade 4	63%		224
	71%		240
Grade 6	74%		234
Grade 7	82%		276
Grade 8	79%		285
Science			
Grade 4	92%		221
Grade 8	92%		191
	-	e of students that or above Level 3	2007 Total Cohort
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%
English	97%	1	267

97%

District ID 58-05-02-02-0000

About the Performance Level Descriptors

English Language Arts

Level 1: Below Standard

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level.

Level 2: Meets Basic Standard

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level.

Level 3: Meets Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level.

Level 4: Exceeds Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level.

Mathematics

Level 1: Below Standard

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

Level 2: Meets Basic Standard

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

Level 3: Meets Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

Level 4: Exceeds Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.p12.nysed.gov/irs**.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

This District's N/RC Category:

Average Need Districts

267

This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

Mathematics

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pub	NY State Public			
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2011 Mean Score: 667	*Range:	644-780	663-780	694-780					
2010 Mean Score: 669	100%	94% 88%	64% 60%		87% 86%	56% 55%			
2010-11 2009-10				17% 4%	н.		17% 5%		
Number of Tested Students:		244 197	165 135	11 38					

Results by	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):
Studentoroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	259	94%	64%	4%	224	88%	60%	17%
Female	124	97%	73%	5%	103	86%	62%	17%
Male	135	92%	55%	4%	121	89%	59%	17%
American Indian or Alaska Native					2	-	-	-
Black or African American	15	87%	53%	0%	14	79%	36%	7%
Hispanic or Latino	46	85%	33%	0%	41	78%	46%	15%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	12	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
White	184	97%	72%	5%	163	92%	67%	19%
Multiracial	2	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	14	100%	71%	7%	6	67%	33%	0%
General-Education Students	240	96%	67%	5%	197	93%	64%	18%
Students with Disabilities	19	68%	21%	0%	27	48%	30%	7%
English Proficient	251	95%	65%	4%	212	90%	62%	17%
Limited English Proficient	8	63%	25%	0%	12	58%	25%	8%
Economically Disadvantaged	48	88%	38%	0%	57	79%	42%	12%
Not Disadvantaged	211	96%	70%	5%	167	91%	66%	19%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	259	94%	64%	4%	224	88%	60%	17%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 Accountability and Overview Reports

Other	2010–11 S e	chool Year			2009–10 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number sco	oring at lev	el(s):
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	N/A

⁺ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. April 20, 2012

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Pub	lic		
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2011 Mean Score: 686	*Range:	662-770	684-770	707–770				
2010 Mean Score: 690	100%	95% 93%	54% 55%		91% 91%	60% 59%		
2010-11 2009-10				21% 10%			13%	
Number of Tested Students:		245 211	140 124	27 47				

Results by	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):	
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
All Students	259	95%	54%	10%	226	93%	55%	21%	
Female	124	94%	50%	6%	105	91%	48%	20%	
Male	135	95%	58%	14%	121	95%	61%	21%	
American Indian or Alaska Native					2	-	-	-	
Black or African American	15	87%	33%	0%	14	93%	36%	14%	
Hispanic or Latino	46	87%	24%	2%	42	88%	48%	19%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	r 12	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	
White	184	97%	62%	13%	164	95%	59%	22%	
Multiracial	2	-	-	-					
Small Group Totals	14	100%	71%	21%	6	83%	33%	17%	
General-Education Students	240	96%	56%	11%	199	95%	60%	24%	
Students with Disabilities	19	79%	32%	5%	27	78%	19%	0%	
English Proficient	251	95%	55%	11%	213	95%	57%	22%	
Limited English Proficient	8	75%	13%	0%	13	69%	23%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	48	90%	27%	4%	59	83%	39%	12%	
Not Disadvantaged	211	96%	60%	12%	167	97%	60%	24%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	259	95%	54%	10%	226	93%	55%	21%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010–11 data only. Ranges for the 2009–10 data are available in the 2009–10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other Assessments	2010-11 \$	School Year		2009–10 School Year				
	Total	Number sco	oring at level	.(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	0			

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pub	olic		
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2011 Mean Score: 674	*Range:	637-775	671-775	722-775				
2010 Mean Score: 675	100%	94% 95%	63% 64%		92% 92%	57% 57%		
2010-11 2009-10				1% 5%	н.		2% 6%	
Number of Tested Students:		210 226	141 153	2 12				

Results by	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	tage scoring at level(s):		
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	224	94%	63%	1%	239	95%	64%	5%	
Female	105	93%	69%	0%	113	94%	66%	8%	
Male	119	94%	58%	2%	126	95%	62%	2%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
Black or African American	15	93%	47%	0%	16	94%	38%	0%	
Hispanic or Latino	41	85%	54%	2%	36	81%	33%	3%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	- 5	100%	20%	0%	12	-	-	-	
White	158	96%	68%	1%	174	97%	72%	6%	
Multiracial	3	-	–	–	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••		
Small Group Totals	5	100%	60%	0%	13	100%	77%	0%	
General-Education Students	186	98%	71%	1%	206	98%	71%	6%	
Students with Disabilities	38	71%	24%	0%	33	73%	21%	0%	
English Proficient	213	95%	64%	1%	232	96%	66%	5%	
Limited English Proficient	11	64%	45%	0%	7	43%	0%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	52	87%	50%	0%	63	89%	43%	2%	
Not Disadvantaged	172	96%	67%	1%	176	97%	72%	6%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	224	94%	63%	1%	239	95%	64%	5%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11 9	School Year			2009–10 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number sco	oring at leve	əl(s):
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				3	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

⁺ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. April 20, 2012

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Public Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):					
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3–4	4	
2011 Mean Score: 682	*Range:	636-800	676-800	707-800				
2010 Mean Score: 689	100%	97% 97%	63% 66%		94% 95%	67% 64%		
2010-11 2009-10				24% 14%			27% 26%	
Number of Tested Students:		217 230	140 156	31 58				

Results by	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):	
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
All Students	224	97%	63%	14%	237	97%	66%	24%	
Female	105	96%	61%	14%	113	96%	59%	16%	
Male	119	97%	64%	13%	124	98%	72%	32%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
Black or African American	15	100%	47%	13%	16	100%	44%	0%	
Hispanic or Latino	41	93%	41%	7%	36	92%	42%	11%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	5	100%	60%	0%	12	-	-	-	
White	158	98%	70%	15%	172	98%	72%	27%	
Multiracial	3	-	-	-					
Small Group Totals	5	80%	60%	40%	13	100%	77%	62%	
General-Education Students	186	99%	69%	17%	204	99%	73%	28%	
Students with Disabilities	38	84%	32%	0%	33	88%	21%	3%	
English Proficient	213	98%	64%	15%	230	97%	67%	25%	
Limited English Proficient	11	82%	36%	0%	7	86%	43%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	52	94%	40%	6%	63	97%	56%	17%	
Not Disadvantaged	172	98%	69%	16%	174	97%	70%	27%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	224	97%	63%	14%	237	97%	66%	24%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010–11 data only. Ranges for the 2009–10 data are available in the 2009–10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11	School Year			2009–10 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				3	-	-	_

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science

	Thi	s District			NY State Publ	ic	
	Perc	centage sco	oring at level(s):		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):	
	2-4	1	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2011 Mean Score: 83	Range: 45-	-100	65-100	85-100			
2010 Mean Score: 85 2010-11 2009-10	100% 1009	%100%	92% 95%	57% 65%	98% 97%	88% 88%	52% 55%
Number of Tested Students:	221	234	204 222	126 151			

Resultsby	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009-10	School Yea	ır	
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	le scoring a	t level(s):
Studentoroup	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	221	100%	92%	57%	234	100%	95%	65%
Female	103	100%	92%	53%	112	100%	96%	59%
Male	118	100%	92%	60%	122	100%	94%	70%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	15	100%	80%	53%	16	100%	94%	38%
Hispanic or Latino	41	100%	88%	37%	36	100%	83%	33%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	- 5	-	-	-	11	-	-	-
White	156	100%	94%	62%	170	100%	97%	72%
Multiracial	3	-	-	-				•••••
Small Group Totals	9	100%	100%	78%	12	100%	100%	83%
General-Education Students	184	100%	95%	64%	202	100%	98%	71%
Students with Disabilities	37	100%	78%	24%	32	100%	75%	22%
English Proficient	210	100%	93%	60%	227	100%	96%	66%
Limited English Proficient	11	100%	73%	9%	7	100%	43%	14%
Economically Disadvantaged	51	100%	82%	39%	62	100%	89%	45%
Not Disadvantaged	170	100%	95%	62%	172	100%	97%	72%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	221	100%	92%	57%	234	100%	95%	65%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2010-11	School Year			2009–10 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				3	-	-	_	

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pub	lic	
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):		Percentage sco	oring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2011 Mean Score: 671	*Range:	648-795	668-795	700-795			
2010 Mean Score: 678	100%	92% 93%	65% 63%		89% 88%	54% 52%	
2009-10				17% 5%			4% 13%
Number of Tested Students:		217 215	153 144	11 38			

Results by	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	237	92%	65%	5%	230	93%	63%	17%
Female	113	92%	68%	6%	100	93%	72%	20%
Male	124	91%	61%	3%	130	94%	55%	14%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	13	92%	46%	0%	18	89%	39%	11%
Hispanic or Latino	42	83%	36%	2%	37	89%	49%	11%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	8	-	-	-	11	91%	64%	27%
White	170	94%	73%	6%	164	95%	68%	18%
Multiracial	4	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	12	92%	67%	0%				
General-Education Students	201	99%	75%	5%	201	98%	70%	19%
Students with Disabilities	36	53%	8%	0%	29	62%	14%	0%
English Proficient	230	93%	67%	5%	222	94%	64%	17%
Limited English Proficient	7	43%	0%	0%	8	75%	25%	13%
Economically Disadvantaged	63	87%	44%	5%	62	92%	52%	8%
Not Disadvantaged	174	93%	72%	5%	168	94%	67%	20%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	237	92%	65%	5%	230	93%	63%	17%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11 9	School Year			2009–10 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Total Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	3	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 5	3	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

⁺ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. April 20, 2012

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Publi	ic	
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2011 Mean Score: 691	*Range:	640-780	676-780	707-780			
2010 Mean Score: 691	100%	98% 96%	71% 72%		94% 94%	66% 65%	
2010-112009-10				27% 28%			23% 24%
Number of Tested Students:		235 220	170 164	64 65			

Results by	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009-10	School Yea	r	
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	240	98%	71%	27%	229	96%	72%	28%
Female	116	97%	68%	22%	99	96%	72%	24%
Male	124	98%	73%	31%	130	96%	72%	32%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	13	100%	46%	31%	18	72%	50%	11%
Hispanic or Latino	45	98%	38%	11%	37	95%	65%	14%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	8	-	-	-	11	100%	100%	55%
White	171	98%	80%	29%	163	99%	74%	32%
Multiracial	3	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	11	100%	91%	55%				
General-Education Students	204	100%	81%	31%	200	99%	80%	33%
Students with Disabilities	36	89%	14%	0%	29	76%	14%	0%
English Proficient	230	98%	73%	28%	221	96%	73%	29%
Limited English Proficient	10	90%	30%	0%	8	88%	38%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	66	97%	48%	17%	61	89%	64%	10%
Not Disadvantaged	174	98%	79%	30%	168	99%	74%	35%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	240	98%	71%	27%	229	96%	72%	28%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010–11 data only. Ranges for the 2009–10 data are available in the 2009–10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11 \$	School Year		2009–10 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	2-4 3-4 4		Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	0			

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pub	lic	
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):	·	Percentage sco	ring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2011 Mean Score: 664	*Range:	644-785	662-785	694-785			
2010 Mean Score: 670	100%	92% 96%	63% ^{69%}		88% 89%	56% 54%	
2010-11 2009-10				3% 11%			4% 7%
Number of Tested Students:		215 265	147 191	8 30			

Results by	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009-10	School Yea	r	
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	234	92%	63%	3%	277	96%	69%	11%
Female	100	94%	71%	6%	138	99%	76%	12%
Male	134	90%	57%	1%	139	93%	62%	10%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	18	78%	33%	0%	18	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	39	87%	49%	0%	31	87%	45%	3%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	r 5	100%	80%	0%	4	-	-	-
White	163	94%	69%	5%	224	97%	72%	12%
Multiracial	9	100%	56%	0%				
Small Group Totals					22	95%	68%	9%
General-Education Students	203	98%	70%	4%	246	98%	75%	12%
Students with Disabilities	31	52%	13%	0%	31	77%	19%	0%
English Proficient	229	93%	64%	3%	270	97%	71%	11%
Limited English Proficient	5	40%	20%	0%	7	43%	0%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	62	89%	48%	2%	41	90%	49%	2%
Not Disadvantaged	172	93%	68%	4%	236	97%	72%	12%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	234	92%	63%	3%	277	96%	69%	11%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11 \$	School Year			2009–10 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Langua Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	je O	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested o the ELA NYSTP: Grade 6	n O	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	N/A

⁺ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. April 20, 2012

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Pub	lic		
		Percentage scori	ing at level(s):	ng at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2011 Mean Score: 689	*Range:	640-780	674-780	700-780				
2010 Mean Score: 687	100%	97% 97%	74% 74%		92% 92%	63% 61%		
2010-11 2009-10				32% 35%			26% 27%	
Number of Tested Students:		226 269	174 207	75 96				

Resultsby	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009-10	2009–10 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	
Studentoroup	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
All Students	234	97%	74%	32%	278	97%	74%	35%	
Female	100	97%	78%	37%	139	99%	78%	37%	
Male	134	96%	72%	28%	139	94%	71%	32%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	18	89%	39%	6%	18	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino	39	92%	62%	18%	32	94%	53%	13%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	- 5	100%	100%	60%	4	-	-		
White	163	98%	79%	37%	224	98%	79%	40%	
Multiracial	9	100%	100%	44%		••••			
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			•••••	22	86%	59%	14%	
General-Education Students	203	100%	82%	36%	247	100%	80%	39%	
Students with Disabilities	31	74%	26%	3%	31	74%	32%	0%	
English Proficient	229	97%	75%	32%	270	97%	77%	36%	
Limited English Proficient	5	80%	40%	20%	8	88%	0%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	62	94%	60%	18%	41	93%	59%	10%	
Not Disadvantaged	172	98%	80%	37%	237	97%	77%	39%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	234	97%	74%	32%	278	97%	74%	35%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010–11 data only. Ranges for the 2009–10 data are available in the 2009–10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other Assessments	2010-11 \$	School Year		2009–10 School Year				
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	1	-	_	-	1	-	-	-

This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pub	olic	
		Percentage scor	ring at level(s):		Percentage sco	oring at level(s):	
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2011 Mean Score: 667	*Range:	642-790	665-790	698-790			
2010 Mean Score: 675	100%	95% 96%	57% 62%		91% 90%	48% 50%	
2010-11 2009-10				4%			4% 11%
Number of Tested Students:		261 273	156 176	12 41			

Resultsby	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009-10 \$	School Yea	r	
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):
Studentoroup	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	276	95%	57%	4%	284	96%	62%	14%
Female	137	97%	66%	6%	142	99%	70%	18%
Male	139	92%	47%	3%	142	94%	54%	11%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	18	94%	39%	0%	14	79%	36%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	43	91%	33%	2%	34	97%	44%	6%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	-	-	-	8	88%	63%	25%
White	210	95%	62%	5%	228	97%	66%	16%
Multiracial	2	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	5	100%	80%	0%				
General-Education Students	248	97%	62%	5%	249	99%	68%	16%
Students with Disabilities	28	71%	11%	0%	35	77%	20%	0%
English Proficient	268	96%	58%	4%	278	96%	63%	15%
Limited English Proficient	8	50%	0%	0%	6	100%	0%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	40	90%	35%	3%	48	94%	44%	4%
Not Disadvantaged	236	95%	60%	5%	236	97%	66%	17%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	276	95%	57%	4%	284	96%	62%	14%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11 S	chool Year			2009–10 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

⁺ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. April 20, 2012

This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Pub	lic	
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):		Percentage sc	oring at level(s):	
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2011 Mean Score: 685	*Range:	639-800	670-800	694-800			
2010 Mean Score: 690	100%	96% 98%	82% 85%	39% 41%	92% 92%	65% 62%	30% 29%
2009-10							50% 29%
Number of Tested Students:		264 280	226 241	108 116			

Results by	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):	
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
All Students	276	96%	82%	39%	285	98%	85%	41%	
Female	137	98%	88%	45%	142	99%	89%	45%	
Male	139	94%	76%	34%	143	97%	80%	36%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	18	89%	61%	11%	14	79%	64%	21%	
Hispanic or Latino	43	95%	72%	26%	34	100%	71%	24%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	r 3	-	-	–	8	88%	75%	25%	
White	210	96%	85%	44%	229	100%	88%	45%	
Multiracial	2	-	-	–					
Small Group Totals	5	100%	100%	40%					
General-Education Students	248	98%	85%	43%	249	100%	90%	45%	
Students with Disabilities	28	75%	50%	7%	36	86%	47%	11%	
English Proficient	268	96%	83%	40%	279	98%	86%	42%	
Limited English Proficient	8	75%	38%	0%	6	100%	33%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	40	95%	68%	15%	49	96%	71%	22%	
Not Disadvantaged	236	96%	84%	43%	236	99%	87%	44%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	276	96%	82%	39%	285	98%	85%	41%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010–11 data only. Ranges for the 2009–10 data are available in the 2009–10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other Assessments	2010-11	School Year		2009–10 School Year				
	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	0			

This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pub	lic	
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		Percentage sco	oring at level(s):	
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2011 Mean Score: 659	*Range:	628-790	658-790	699-790			
2010 Mean Score: 671	100%	98% 96%	75%		92% 91%	47% 51%	
2010-11 2009-10				12% 0%			2% 8%
Number of Tested Students:		277 296	149 230	1 36			

Results by	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):	
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
All Students	284	98%	52%	0%	307	96%	75%	12%	
Female	144	99%	66%	1%	144	98%	83%	13%	
Male	140	96%	39%	0%	163	95%	68%	11%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	14	86%	29%	0%	20	95%	55%	5%	
Hispanic or Latino	38	100%	39%	0%	42	95%	76%	19%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	8	-	-	-	7	100%	86%	14%	
White	222	98%	56%	0%	238	97%	76%	11%	
Multiracial	2	-	–	-					
Small Group Totals	10	90%	50%	0%					
General-Education Students	247	100%	59%	0%	271	100%	83%	13%	
Students with Disabilities	37	84%	8%	0%	36	72%	17%	0%	
English Proficient	280	-	_	-	304	-	-	-	
Limited English Proficient	4	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	
Economically Disadvantaged	42	95%	40%	0%	57	98%	67%	11%	
Not Disadvantaged	242	98%	55%	0%	250	96%	77%	12%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	284	98%	52%	0%	307	96%	75%	12%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11 S	ichool Year			2009–10 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Total Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	N/A

⁺ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. April 20, 2012

This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Pub	lic			
		Percentage sco	oring at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2011 Mean Score: 683	*Range:	639-775	674-775	704-775					
2010 Mean Score: 684	100%	98% 97%	79% 70%		91% 91%	60% <u>55%</u>			
2010-11 2009-10				12% 19%			18% 18%		
Number of Tested Students:		279 298	226 215	33 60					

Resultsby	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student of oup	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	285	98 %	79%	12%	308	97%	70%	19%	
Female	145	99%	86%	12%	145	96%	74%	23%	
Male	140	97%	72%	11%	163	98%	66%	16%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	14	86%	64%	7%	20	95%	25%	0%	
Hispanic or Latino	39	97%	69%	3%	43	98%	67%	30%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islande	r 8	-	-	-	7	100%	100%	71%	
White	222	99%	82%	14%	238	97%	73%	18%	
Multiracial	2	-	-	-			•••••		
Small Group Totals	10	90%	80%	10%					
General-Education Students	248	100%	85%	13%	272	100%	76%	22%	
Students with Disabilities	37	84%	41%	0%	36	75%	25%	3%	
English Proficient	281	-	_	_	304	-	_	-	
Limited English Proficient	4	-	-	–	4	-	-	-	
Economically Disadvantaged	42	93%	69%	2%	57	95%	54%	14%	
Not Disadvantaged	243	99%	81%	13%	251	97%	73%	21%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	285	98%	79%	12%	308	97%	70%	19%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010–11 data only. Ranges for the 2009–10 data are available in the 2009–10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11 9	School Year		2009–10 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	1	-	_	-	0			

This District's Results in Grade 8 Science

	This District			NY State Public					
	Percentage sco	ring at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):					
	2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4			
2010-11 2009-10	100% 100% 99%	94% 92%	53% 61%	94% 94%	72% 74%	28% 33%			
Number of Tested Students:	282 303	267 281	151 187						

Results by	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
All Students	191	99%	92%	41%	212	98%	88%	47%	
Female	91	99%	90%	42%	98	98%	88%	46%	
Male	100	100%	93%	40%	114	98%	88%	47%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	11	100%	73%	9%	18	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino	32	97%	91%	34%	29	97%	79%	45%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	6	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	
White	140	100%	94%	44%	162	99%	91%	49%	
Multiracial	2	-	-	–		••••	•••••	•••••	
Small Group Totals	8	100%	88%	50%	21	95%	76%	29%	
General-Education Students	156	100%	96%	44%	177	99%	94%	53%	
Students with Disabilities	35	97%	71%	26%	35	91%	54%	14%	
English Proficient	187	-	_	_	208	-	_	_	
Limited English Proficient	4	-	-	–	4	-	-	-	
Economically Disadvantaged	35	97%	83%	34%	48	96%	85%	42%	
Not Disadvantaged	156	100%	94%	42%	164	99%	88%	48%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	191	99%	92%	41%	212	98%	88%	47%	


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2010-11 S	chool Year			2009–10 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	1	_	_	_	0				
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	T				0				
Regents Science	92	92	92	73	95	95	95	88	

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2007 Cohor	t		2006 Cohort**				
	Number	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Number	Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	267	97%	97%	51%	316	96%	96%	48%
Female	133	98%	98%	57%	148	98%	97%	57%
Male	134	96%	96%	44%	168	95%	95%	40%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	16	100%	100%	38%	14	100%	93%	21%
Hispanic or Latino	33	97%	97%	39%	40	88%	85%	28%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	10	-	-	-	9	-	-	-
White	207	97%	97%	53%	252	98%	98%	52%
Yultiracial	1	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	11	100%	100%	55%	10	90%	90%	50%
General-Education Students	243	100%	100%	56%	297	98%	98%	51%
Students with Disabilities	24	71%	71%	0%	19	68%	63%	0%
English Proficient	264	-	_	-	311	97%	96%	49%
imited English Proficient	3	-	-	-	5	60%	40%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	37	100%	100%	41%	51	96%	94%	35%
Not Disadvantaged	230	97%	97%	52%	265	96%	96%	50%
Aigrant								
Not Migrant	267	97%	97%	51%	316	96%	96%	48%

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2006 cohort data are those reported in the 2009–10 Accountability and Overview Report.

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2007 Coho i	t		2006 Cohort**				
_	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	267	98%	97%	30%	316	98%	97%	41%
Female	133	98%	98%	31%	148	98%	97%	45%
Male	134	97%	96%	30%	168	98%	96%	39%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	16	100%	100%	19%	14	100%	100%	21%
Hispanic or Latino	33	97%	97%	24%	40	93%	88%	23%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	10	-	-	-	9	-	-	-
White	207	98%	97%	31%	252	98%	98%	44%
Multiracial	1	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	11	100%	100%	45%	10	100%	100%	80%
General-Education Students	243	100%	99%	33%	297	99%	98%	43%
Students with Disabilities	24	79%	79%	4%	19	79%	68%	11%
English Proficient	264	-	_	-	311	98%	97%	42%
_imited English Proficient	3	–	-	-	5	60%	40%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	37	100%	100%	19%	51	96%	94%	37%
Not Disadvantaged	230	97%	97%	32%	265	98%	97%	42%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	267	98%	97%	30%	316	98%	97%	41%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2006 cohort data are those reported in the 2009–10 Accountability and Overview Report.