

# The New York State District Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2010 – 11

District NEWARK VALLEY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 60-04-02-04-0000
Superintendent RYAN DOUGHERTY
Telephone (607) 642-3221
Grades PK-12, UE, US

## This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents' effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

## Use this report to:

Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies the district's accountability status.

**View School Accountability Status**.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2011–12 accountability status.

Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science.

### For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: dataguest@mail.nysed.gov

## **District Profile**

District NEWARK VALLEY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 60-04-02-04-0000

## **District Profile**

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

### **Enrollment**

	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11
Pre-K	45	54	45
Kindergarten	89	66	98
Grade 1	94	90	74
Grade 2	83	89	93
Grade 3	96	83	83
Grade 4	97	96	88
Grade 5	93	99	97
Grade 6	100	87	108
Ungraded Elementary	4	5	2
Grade 7	103	101	92
Grade 8	114	103	101
Grade 9	86	112	104
Grade 10	116	90	109
Grade 11	103	110	82
Grade 12	111	103	107
Ungraded Secondary	3	2	3
Total K-12	1292	1236	1241

## **Enrollment Information**

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

## **Average Class Size**

2008-09	2009-10	2010-11
19	18	20
16	14	20
19	16	18
19	19	17
19	17	17
17	13	21
16	14	21
16	16	15
16	17	20
	19 16 19 19 19 19 17 16 16	19 18  16 14  19 16  19 19  19 17  17 13  16 14  16 16

## **Average Class Size Information**

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. Common Branch refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

## **District Profile**

District NEWARK VALLEY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

**Demographic Factors** 

	2008-09		200	9-10	2010-11	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	209	16%	356	29%	371	30%
Reduced-Price Lunch	63	5%	110	9%	120	10%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0%	4	0%	2	0%
Black or African American	10	1%	8	1%	9	1%
Hispanic or Latino	5	0%	7	1%	0	0%
Asian or Native	6	0%	5	0%	9	1%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	1271	98%	1212	98%	1221	98%
Multiracial	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

<sup>\*</sup> Available only at the school level.

## **Attendance and Suspensions**

	2007-08		2008-09		2009-10	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		95%		95%		95%
Student Suspensions	78	6%	48	4%	65	5%

District ID 60-04-02-04-0000

## **Demographic Factors Information**

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price
Lunch percentages are determined by dividing
the number of approved lunch applicants
by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS)
enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through
Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited
English Proficient counts are used to determine
Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource
Capacity category.

# Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

## **District Profile**

District NEWARK VALLEY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 60-04-02-04-0000

## **Teacher Qualifications**

	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11
Total Number of Teachers	117	116	110
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	0%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	1%	0%	1%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	9%	8%	8%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	4%	6%	6%
Total Number of Core Classes	333	346	325
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers in This District	2%	0%	1%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified in High-Poverty Schools Statewide	8%	6%	5%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified in Low-Poverty Schools Statewide	1%	1%	0%
Total Number of Classes	488	493	478
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	2%	0%	1%

## **Teacher Turnover Rate**

	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	5%	14%	29%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	8%	9%	16%

## **Staff Counts**

	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11
Total Other Professional Staff	15	15	14
Total Paraprofessionals*	37	39	38
Assistant Principals	2	2	2
Principals	3	3	3

<sup>\*</sup> Not available at the school level.

## **Teacher Qualifications Information**

The Percent Teaching Out of Certification is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. To be Highly Qualified, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. A teacher who taught one class outside of the certification area(s) is counted as Highly Qualified provided that 1) the teacher had been determined by the school or district through the HOUSSE process or other state-accepted methods to have demonstrated acceptable subject knowledge and teaching skills and 2) the class in question was not the sole assignment reported. Credit for incidental teaching does not extend beyond a single assignment. Independent of Highly Qualified Teacher status, any assignment for which a teacher did not hold a valid certificate still registers as teaching out of certification. High-poverty and low-poverty schools are those schools in the upper and lower quartiles, respectively, for percentage of students eligible for a free or reduced-price lunch.

## **Teacher Turnover Rate Information**

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

## **Staff Counts Information**

Other Professionals includes administrators, quidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.


District NEWARK VALLEY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 60-04-02-04-0000

## Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2010–11, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irs/accountability/.



### 1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation and the performance criteria.

#### **A Participation Criterion**

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2010–11 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

#### **B** Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2007 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

### 2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

### 3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement.

This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

**Elementary/Middle-Level Science:** To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

#### **A Participation Criterion**

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

### **B** Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group, if it has 30 or more students, must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the group must meet both the participation criterion and the performance criterion in science.

**Secondary-Level Graduation Rate:** For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2006 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2010 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (80%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2006 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2010 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (80%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

District NEWARK VALLEY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 60-04-02-04-0000

## **Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability**

### 12th Graders

The count of 12<sup>th</sup> graders enrolled during the 2010–11 school year used to determine the Percentage Tested for the Participation part of the AYP determination for secondary-level ELA and mathematics. These are the first numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the secondary-level ELA and mathematics pages.

#### 2007 Cohort

The count of students in the 2007 accountability cohort used to determine the Performance Index for the Test Performance part of the AYP determination for secondary-level ELA and mathematics. These are the second numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the secondary-level ELA and mathematics pages.

### **Accountability Cohort for English and Mathematics**

The accountability cohort is used to determine if a school or district met the performance criterion in secondary-level ELA and mathematics. The 2007 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2007-08 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2007-08 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2010 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2011, are not included in the 2007 school accountability cohort. The 2007 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

#### Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

### Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p) (14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

#### **Continuous Enrollment**

The count of continuously enrolled tested students used to determine the Performance Index for the Test Performance part of the AYP determination for elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science. These are the second numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science pages.

### **Continuously Enrolled Students**

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

## Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make AYP. The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.p12.nysed.gov/irs.

#### **Graduation Rate**

The Graduation Rate on the Graduation Rate page is the percentage of the 2006 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2010.

#### **Graduation-Rate Total Cohort**

The Graduation-Rate Total Cohort, shown on the Graduation Rate page, is used to determine if a school or district made AYP in graduation rate. For the 2010–11 school year, this cohort is the 2006 graduation-rate total cohort. The 2006 total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2006–07 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2006–07 school year, and who were enrolled in the school/district for five months or longer or who were enrolled in the school/district for less than five months but were previously enrolled in the same school/district for five months or longer between the date they first entered Grade 9 and the date they last ended enrollment. A more detailed definition of graduation-rate cohort can be found in the SIRS Manual at www.p12.nysed.gov/irs.

For districts and schools with fewer than 30 graduation-rate total cohort members in the All Students group in 2010–11, data for 2009–10 and 2010–11 for accountability groups were combined to determine counts and graduation rates. Groups with fewer than 30 students in the graduation-rate total cohort are not required to meet the graduation-rate criterion.

### **Limited English Proficient**

For all accountability measures, if the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

### **Non-Accountability Groups**

Female, Male, and Migrant groups are not part of the AYP determination for any measure.

District NEWARK VALLEY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 60-04-02-04-0000

## **Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability (continued)**

#### **Participation**

Accountability groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period (for elementary/middle-level ELA, math, and science) or fewer than 40 12<sup>th</sup> graders (for secondary-level ELA and mathematics) are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the Percentage Tested for an accountability group fell below 95 percent for ELA and math or 80 percent for science in 2010–11, the participation enrollment ("Total" or "12<sup>th</sup> Graders") shown in the tables is the sum of 2009–10 and 2010–11 participation enrollments and the "Percentage Tested" shown is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

#### Performance Index (PI)

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at www.p12.nysed.gov/irs.

### **Progress Targets**

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making AYP or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Science: The current year's Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the previous year's Performance Index (PI). Example: The 2010–11 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2009–10 PI.

Graduation Rate: The Graduation-rate Progress Target is calculated by determining a 20% gap reduction between the rate of the previous year's graduation-rate cohort and the state standard. Example: The 2010–11 Graduation-Rate Progress Target = [(80 – percentage of the 2005 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009)  $\times$  0.20] + percentage of the 2005 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009.

Progress Targets are provided for groups whose PI (for science) or graduation rate (for graduation rate) is below the State Standard.

### Safe Harbor Targets

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate AYP for accountability groups that do not achieve their EAMOs in English or mathematics. The 2010-11 safe harbor targets are calculated using the following equation:  $2009-10 \text{ PI} + (200 - \text{the } 2009-10 \text{ PI}) \times 0.10$ 

Safe Harbor Targets are provided for groups whose PI is less than the EAMO.

### Safe Harbor Qualification (\*)

On the science page, if the group met both the participation and the performance criteria for science, the Safe Harbor Qualification column will show "Qualified." If the group did not meet one or more criteria, the column will show "Did not qualify." A "‡" symbol after the 2010–11 Safe Harbor Target on the elementary/middle- or secondary-level ELA or mathematics page indicates that the student group did not make AYP in science (elementary/middle level) or graduation rate (secondary level) and; therefore, the group did not qualify for Safe Harbor in ELA or mathematics.

#### State Standard

The criterion value that represents minimally satisfactory performance (for science) or a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma (for graduation rate). In 2010–11, the State Science Standard is a Performance Index of 100; the State Graduation-Rate Standard is 80%. The Commissioner may raise the State Standard at his discretion in future years.

### **Students with Disabilities**

For all measures, if the count of students with disabilities is equal to or greater than 30, former students with disabilities are also included in the performance calculations.

### **Test Performance**

For districts and schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students (for elementary/middle-level ELA, math, and science) or fewer than 30 students in the 2007 cohort (for secondary-level ELA and mathematics) in the All Students group in 2010–11, data for 2009–10 and 2010–11 for accountability groups were combined to determine counts and Performance Indices. For districts and schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students/2007 cohort members in the All Students group in 2010–11, student groups with fewer than 30 members are not required to meet the performance criterion. This is indicated by a "—" in the Test Performance column in the table.

#### **Total**

The count of students enrolled during the test administration period used to determine the Percentage Tested for the Participation part of the AYP determination for elementary/ middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science. These are the first numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science pages. For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons in accordance with federal NCLB guidance are not included in the count.

District NEWARK VALLEY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 60-04-02-04-0000

## **Understanding Your District Accountability Status**

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irs/accountability/.

### Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

### **New York State Status**

(Applies to New York State districts)

### District in Good Standing

A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.

#### District in Need of Improvement (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

### District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

#### District in Need of Improvement (Year 2)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

#### District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

### District in Need of Improvement (Year 3)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

### ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

### District in Need of Improvement (Year 4)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

### ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

### District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

### District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

Pending – A district's status is "Pending" if the district requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

**District NEWARK VALLEY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT** 


District ID 60-04-02-04-0000

## **Summary**

Overall Accountability Status (2011–12)	▲ Good Standing						
	ELA	♠ Good Standing	Science	♠ Good Standing			
	Math	♠ Good Standing	Graduation Rate	Good Standing			
Title I Part A Funding	Years	the District Receiv	ed Title I Part A Funding	J			
	2009-	10	2010-11	2011-12			
	YES		YES	YES			

## On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/	Middle Level		Secondary L	Secondary Level			
	English			English				
Student Groups	Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate		
All Students	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>~</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>V</b>	<b>~</b>		
Ethnicity	,	'	,					
American Indian or Alaska Native	_	_						
Black or African American	_	_		_	_			
Hispanic or Latino	_	_		_	_			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	-	-						
White	~	<b>V</b>	••••••••	~	~~~	••••••••		
Multiracial	_	_		_	_			
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities	X	X		_	_			
Limited English Proficient	•••••		•••••••	••••••••••		•••••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	~	<b>V</b>		X	<b>V</b>	•••••••		
Student groups making AYP in each subject	<b>X</b> 3 of 4	<b>X</b> 3 of 4	✓ 1 of 1	<b>X</b> 2 of 3	<b>✓</b> 3 of 3	<b>✓</b> 1 of 1		





renaing Requires Special Evaluation

**District NEWARK VALLEY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT** 

District ID 60-04-02-04-0000

## **Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2011–12)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	3 of 4	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		A district that fails to make AYP in English language arts at the elementary/middle and secondary levels for two consecutive years is placed in improvement status. If this district fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2011-12, the district will be District In Need of Improvement (Year 1) in 2012-13. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or

secondary level in 2011-12, the district will be in good standing in 2012-13. [202]

## How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participati	on	Test Performance Performance Objective		ce Objectives	5	
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target
(Total: Continuous Enrollment)	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2010–11	2011–12
Accountability Groups								
All Students (568:555)	V	<b>V</b>	99%	<b>V</b>	139	117		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (4:2)	_	-	-	-	_	_		_
Hispanic or Latino (6:6)	_	_	_	_	_	-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1:1)	_	-	-	-	_	_	••••••	- -
White (555:544)	<b>/</b>	<b>/</b>	100%	<b>V</b>	140	117	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Multiracial (1:1)	-	_	-	_	-	-		_
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (81:77)	X	~	99%	X	71	111	94	84
Limited English Proficient (0:0)								
Economically Disadvantaged (267:259)	<b>~</b>	<b>~</b>	100%	<b>v</b>	123	115		
Final AYP Determination	<b>X</b> 3 of	4						'
Non-Accountability Groups								
Female (280:276)			100%		146	115		
Male (288:279)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	99%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	133	115	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Migrant (0:0)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
-								

### Symbols



Made AYP



Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did not make AYP

Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30 Continuous Enrollment

Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

**NOTE:** See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

**District NEWARK VALLEY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT** 

District ID 60-04-02-04-0000

## **Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2011–12)	^	Good Standing
<b>Accountability Measures</b>	3 of 4	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2012-13. [201]

## How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

AYP Participation		on	Test Performance		Performance Objectives		
	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target	
Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2010–11	2011–12
V	<b>V</b>	100%	<b>V</b>	151	132		
_	_	-	-	-	_		-
- 	_	_	_	-	_		
<del>.</del>			_	-	_		-
_	-	-	_	-	-		-
<b>/</b>	<b>/</b>	100%	<b>V</b>	151	132		
_	_	-	_	-	-		_
X	<b>V</b>	100%	X	78	126	103	90
<b>~</b>	<b>✓</b>	100%	<b>v</b>	135	130		
<b>X</b> 3 of 4	1						,
		100%		151	130		
• •••••	••••••	100%	***************************************	151	131	••••••••	••••
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	••••••	•••••	•••••••••••••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
	Status  X	Status Met Criterion	Met	Met   Percentage   Tested   Criterion	Net   Percentage   Tested   Criterion   Performance   Index	Status         Met Criterion         Percentage Tested         Met Criterion         Performance Index         Effective AMO           V         100%         V         151         132           -         -         -         -         -           -         -         -         -         -           -         -         -         -         -           -         -         -         -         -           -         -         -         -         -           -         -         -         -         -           -         -         -         -         -           -         -         -         -         -           -         -         -         -         -           -         -         -         -         -           -         -         -         -         -           -         -         -         -         -           -         -         -         -         -           -         -         -         -         -           -         -         -         -         - <tr< td=""><td>  Met Criterion   Percentage Criterion   Performance Index   Effective AMO   Safe Harbon 2010-11    </td></tr<>	Met Criterion   Percentage Criterion   Performance Index   Effective AMO   Safe Harbon 2010-11

### Symbols



Made AYP



Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did not make AYP

Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30 Continuous Enrollment

Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

**NOTE:** See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

**Prospective Status** 

## **District Accountability**

**District NEWARK VALLEY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT** 

District ID 60-04-02-04-0000

## **Elementary/Middle-Level Science**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2011–12)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in science
	<b>✓</b>	Made AYP

This district will be in good standing in 2012-13. [201]

## How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participati	on	Test Performance		Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment)	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progres 2010–11	ss Target 2011–12
Accountability Groups									
All Students (186:179)	<b>/</b>	Qualified	<b>V</b>	97%	V	193	100		,
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (0:0)									
Hispanic or Latino (5:5)		_	_	-	_	-	-		-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)							•••••	•••••	
White (180:173)		Oualified	<b>/</b>	97%	<b>/</b>	193	100	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Multiracial (1:1)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_	_	-	_	-	-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	- -
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (27:26)		_	_	-	-	-	-		-
Limited English Proficient (0:0)		•••••	•••••				••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Economically Disadvantaged (89:84)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Qualified	<b>/</b>	97%	~	190	100	•••••	
Final AYP Determination	<b>1</b> 0	f 1							
Non-Accountability Groups	1								,
Female (100:95)				96%		193	100		
Male (86:84)			***************	99%		194	100		
Migrant (0:0)		••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •

### Symbols



Made AYP

✓ Did not make AYP

Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30 Continuous Enrollment

**NOTE:** See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

**District NEWARK VALLEY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT** 

District ID 60-04-02-04-0000

## **Secondary-Level English Language Arts**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2011–12)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	2 of 3	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		A district that fails to make AYP in English language arts at the elementary/middle and secondary levels for two consecutive years is placed in improvement status. If this district fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2011-12, the district will be District In Need of Improvement (Year 1) in 2012-13. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or secondary level in 2011-12, the district will be in good standing in 2012-13. [202]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP Participation		Test Perfor	mance	Performance Objectives			
Student Group		Met	et Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target	
(12th Graders: 2007 Cohort)	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2010–11	2011–12
Accountability Groups								
All Students (99:108)	<u> </u>	<b>V</b>	99%	<b>V</b>	188	173		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (2:1)	_	_	-	_	-	_		-
Hispanic or Latino (1:1)	_	- -	_	_	_	-		_
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)		••••••		•••••			••••••	•••••••
White (95:105)	<b>V</b>	<b>/</b>	100%	<b>/</b>	188	173		••••
Multiracial (1:1)	_	- -	_	<u> </u>	-	_		_
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (6:12)	_	-	-	-	-	-		-
Limited English Proficient (0:0)		•••••						••••••
Economically Disadvantaged (27:34)	X	_	-	X	165	166	166	169
Final AYP Determination	<b>X</b> 2 of 3	3				'		,
Non-Accountability Groups	,							
Female (57:62)			100%		190	171		
Male (42:46)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••	98%	*	185	169		••••
Migrant (0:0)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		

### **Symbols**





Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Fewer Than 40 12<sup>th</sup> Graders/Fewer Than 30 Cohort

Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

**NOTE:** See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

**District NEWARK VALLEY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT** 

District ID 60-04-02-04-0000

## **Secondary-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2011–12)	^	Good Standing
<b>Accountability Measures</b>	3 of 3	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	<b>✓</b>	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2012-13. [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP Participation		n Test Performance		Performance Objectives			
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target	
(12th Graders: 2007 Cohort)	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2010-11	2011–12
Accountability Groups								
All Students (99:108)	V	V	99%	<b>V</b>	190	170		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (2:1)	_	_	-	_	-	_		-
Hispanic or Latino (1:1)			_	_	_	-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)				•		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••••
White (95:105)		<b>V</b>	100%	<b>V</b>	190	170	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Multiracial (1:1)	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>	_	_	-	_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (6:12)	_	_	-	-	-	-		-
Limited English Proficient (0:0)		•••••		•	•			••••••
Economically Disadvantaged (27:34)	•	_	-	<b>v</b>	176	163		•••••••
Final AYP Determination	✓ 3 of 3							
Non-Accountability Groups	'							
Female (57:62)			100%		194	168		
Male (42:46)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	98%	***************************************	185	166		•••••••••
Migrant (0:0)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	***************	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••

### **Symbols**





Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Fewer Than 40 12<sup>th</sup> Graders/Fewer Than 30 Cohort

Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

**NOTE:** See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

District NEWARK VALLEY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 60-04-02-04-0000

## **Graduation Rate**

Accountability Status for This Indicator (2011–12)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in graduation rate
	<b>/</b>	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2012-13. [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

Graduati	on	Objectives			
	Met	Graduation	State	Progress Target	
AYP	Criterion	Rate	Standard	2010–11	
<b>✓</b>	V	82%	80%		
••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••		
••••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••		
••••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••		
••••••	<b>/</b>	82%	80%		
••••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••		
	-	-	_		
•••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••		
••••••	<b>V</b>	85%	80%		
<b>✓</b> 1 of :	1				
		89%	80%		
		75%	80%		
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••		
	AYP	AYP Criterion	AYP  Met Criterion Rate  82%  82%  82%  7 85%  7 1 of 1	AYP       Met Criterion       Graduation Rate       State Standard         ✓       82%       80%         ✓       82%       80%         ✓       82%       80%         ✓       85%       80%         ✓       1 of 1         89%       80%	

#### **Symbols**



Made AYP





Fewer than 30 Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

**NOTE:** See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

### **Aspirational Goal**

The Board of Regents has set an aspirational goal that 95% of students in each public school and school district will graduate within five years of first entry into grade 9. The graduation rate for the 2006 total cohort through June 2011 (after 5 years) for this district is 84% and, therefore, this district did not meet this goal. The aspirational goal does not impact accountability.

## **School Accountability Status**

**District NEWARK VALLEY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT** 

District ID 60-04-02-04-0000

## 2011-12 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2011–12 accountability status.

In Good	Standing
---------	----------

2 schools identified 67% of total

NATHAN T HALL SCHOOL

NEWARK VALLEY SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL

### Improvement (year 1) Basic

1 school identified 33% of total

NEWARK VALLEY MIDDLE SCHOOL

District NEWARK VALLEY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

## Summary of 2010–11 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

		<del>`</del>		
scored at or a	<u>T</u>	ested		
Percentage of students that				

English Language Arts	O	)%	50%	100%
Grade 3	52%			85
Grade 4	44%			84
Grade 5	46%			94
Grade 6	55%			104
Grade 7	48%			94
Grade 8	39%			96

#### **Mathematics**

Grade 3	62%	87
Grade 4	65%	84
Grade 5	51%	94
Grade 6	54%	106
Grade 7	48%	94
Grade 8	67%	96

#### Science

Grade 4	91%	81
Grade 8	96%	94

	3	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3						
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%					
English	83%	,	123					
Mathematics	88%		123					

District ID 60-04-02-04-0000

### About the Performance Level Descriptors

### **English Language Arts**

#### Level 1: Below Standard

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level.

#### Level 2: Meets Basic Standard

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level.

#### Level 3: Meets Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level.

#### Level 4: Exceeds Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level.

#### **Mathematics**

#### Level 1: Below Standard

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

#### Level 2: Meets Basic Standard

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

#### Level 3: Meets Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

### Level 4: Exceeds Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

## How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools at www.p12.nysed.gov/irs.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

### This District's N/RC Category:


### **Average Need Districts**

This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

District NEWARK VALLEY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 60-04-02-04-0000

## This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts



Results by	2010-11	School Yea	r	2009–10 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	85	91%	52%	1%	85	93%	59%	21%
Female	32	91%	59%	0%	45	93%	67%	20%
Male	53	91%	47%	2%	40	93%	50%	23%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American					1	_	_	-
Hispanic or Latino			••••••		2	_	_	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						••••••	
White	85	91%	52%	1%	82		- -	-
Multiracial			••••••				•	••••••
Small Group Totals			•••••		85	93%	59%	21%
General-Education Students	74	100%	59%	1%	73	99%	68%	25%
Students with Disabilities	11	27%	0%	0%	12	58%	0%	0%
English Proficient	85	91%	52%	1%	85	93%	59%	21%
Limited English Proficient		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••
Economically Disadvantaged	44	89%	43%	0%	43	93%	47%	12%
Not Disadvantaged	41	93%	61%	2%	42	93%	71%	31%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	85	91%	52%	1%	85	93%	59%	21%

### NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010–11 data only. Ranges for the 2009–10 data are available in the 2009–10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11 \$	ichool Year		,	2009-10 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sc	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	Number sc	oring at lev	el(s):
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0		,		1	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A


† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

April 20, 2012

District NEWARK VALLEY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 60-04-02-04-0000

## This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics



Results by	2010-11	School Yea	r	2009-10	2009–10 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	ercentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	87	90%	62%	11%	86	97%	62%	22%
Female	35	86%	54%	14%	45	93%	58%	22%
Male	52	92%	67%	10%	41	100%	66%	22%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American				•••••	1	_	_	-
Hispanic or Latino				••••••	2	_	_	<u> </u>
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			••••••				•••••
White	87	90%	62%	11%	83		-	_
Multiracial				••••••		••••	•••••	•••••
Small Group Totals			•••••	•••••	86	97%	62%	22%
General-Education Students	76	97%	68%	13%	74	100%	68%	26%
Students with Disabilities	11	36%	18%	0%	12	75%	25%	0%
English Proficient	87	90%	62%	11%	86	97%	62%	22%
Limited English Proficient		••••	••••	•••••		••••	•••••	•••••
Economically Disadvantaged	44	84%	50%	9%	44	95%	52%	14%
Not Disadvantaged	43	95%	74%	14%	42	98%	71%	31%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	87	90%	62%	11%	86	97%	62%	22%

#### **NOTES**

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 Accountability and Overview Reports.


Other	2010-11	chool Year			2009-10 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				1	_	_	_	
(NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				1				

District NEWARK VALLEY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 60-04-02-04-0000

Page 20

## This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts



Results by	2010-11	School Yea	r	2009–10 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	84	90%	44%	0%	95	94%	53%	5%
Female	45	89%	53%	0%	46	91%	54%	7%
Male	39	92%	33%	0%	49	96%	51%	4%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	· • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				1	-	_	-
Hispanic or Latino	4	_	_	-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander					1	-	_	-
White	80	-	_	-	93	-	_	-
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••••			••••••	••••••	
Small Group Totals	84	90%	44%	0%	95	94%	53%	5%
General-Education Students	72	96%	51%	0%	81	100%	60%	6%
Students with Disabilities	12	58%	0%	0%	14	57%	7%	0%
English Proficient	84	90%	44%	0%	95	94%	53%	5%
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	44	89%	30%	0%	47	89%	49%	2%
Not Disadvantaged	40	93%	60%	0%	48	98%	56%	8%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	84	90%	44%	0%	95	94%	53%	5%

#### **NOTES**

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11 S	chool Year		,	2009-10 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	1	-	_	-	1	-	_	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A


<sup>†</sup> These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

April 20, 2012

District NEWARK VALLEY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 60-04-02-04-0000

## This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics



Results by	2010-11 S	chool Yea	r		2009–10 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Studentoroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	84	98%	65%	19%	96	96%	65%	25%
Female	45	96%	69%	22%	47	91%	66%	26%
Male	39	100%	62%	15%	49	100%	63%	24%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	•			•	1	-	_	_
Hispanic or Latino	4	_	_	_			•	•••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islande	r			•••••	1	-	_	_
White	80	_	_	_	94	_	_	<del>-</del>
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			••••			•	•••••
Small Group Totals	84	98%	65%	19%	96	96%	65%	25%
General-Education Students	72	100%	75%	22%	82	100%	74%	29%
Students with Disabilities	12	83%	8%	0%	14	71%	7%	0%
English Proficient	84	98%	65%	19%	96	96%	65%	25%
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••	••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••	•••••
Economically Disadvantaged	44	98%	57%	16%	48	92%	50%	10%
Not Disadvantaged	40	98%	75%	23%	48	100%	79%	40%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	84	98%	65%	19%	96	96%	65%	25%

#### **NOTES**

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


\* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11 S	chool Year			2009-10 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	.(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	1	_	_	_	1	_	_	_	
(NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	1				ı				

District NEWARK VALLEY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 60-04-02-04-0000

## This District's Results in Grade 4 Science



Results by	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Studentoroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	81	100%	91%	47%	95	99%	91%	49%
Female	42	100%	90%	52%	47	98%	85%	45%
Male	39	100%	92%	41%	48	100%	96%	54%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American				•	1	-	_	_
Hispanic or Latino	4	_	_	_		••••••	•	•••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	r			•••••	1	-	_	<del>-</del>
White	77	_	_	-	93	-	_	-
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			••••		••••••	•	•••••
Small Group Totals	81	100%	91%	47%	95	99%	91%	49%
General-Education Students	69	100%	96%	54%	81	100%	95%	56%
Students with Disabilities	12	100%	67%	8%	14	93%	64%	14%
English Proficient	81	100%	91%	47%	95	99%	91%	49%
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••	•••••	••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	•••••	•••••
Economically Disadvantaged	43	100%	91%	35%	48	98%	85%	35%
Not Disadvantaged	38	100%	92%	61%	47	100%	96%	64%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	81	100%	91%	47%	95	99%	91%	49%

#### **NOTES**


The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2010-11 S	chool Year			2009–10 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	1	_	_	_	1	_	_	_	
(NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	<u> </u>				1				

District NEWARK VALLEY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 60-04-02-04-0000

## This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts



Results by	2010-11 S	chool Yea	r		2009-10 S	chool Yea	r	
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Studentoroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	94	86%	46%	2%	103	83%	42%	6%
Female	45	80%	51%	4%	53	92%	57%	9%
Male	49	92%	41%	0%	50	72%	26%	2%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	_	-
Black or African American	•				2	-	_	-
Hispanic or Latino			••••••	•			••••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander		-	_	_			••••••	
White	93	-	_	-	100	-	-	-
Multiracial	•		••••••	•			••••••	
Small Group Totals	94	86%	46%	2%	103	83%	42%	6%
General-Education Students	81	94%	52%	2%	93	87%	45%	6%
Students with Disabilities	13	38%	8%	0%	10	40%	10%	0%
English Proficient	94	86%	46%	2%	103	83%	42%	6%
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••	•	•••••		••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	42	71%	24%	0%	43	77%	26%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	52	98%	63%	4%	60	87%	53%	10%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	94	86%	46%	2%	103	83%	42%	6%

### NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010–11 data only. Ranges for the 2009–10 data are available in the 2009–10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11 S	chool Year		,	2009-10 <b>S</b> c	hool Year	iool Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at lev	el(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	1	-	_	-	1	-	_	-			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	Total				Total						
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A			


† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

April 20, 2012

District NEWARK VALLEY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 60-04-02-04-0000

## This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics



Results by	2010-11 \$	chool Yea	r		2009-10 S	ichool Yea	r	
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Studentoroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	94	91%	51%	16%	103	97%	47%	9%
Female	45	87%	47%	13%	53	98%	58%	8%
Male	49	96%	55%	18%	50	96%	34%	10%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1			_
Black or African American					2	-	_	-
Hispanic or Latino								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	- 1	-	_	-				
White	93	-	_	-	100	-	-	-
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	94	91%	51%	16%	103	97%	47%	9%
General-Education Students	81	99%	59%	19%	93	99%	52%	10%
Students with Disabilities	13	46%	0%	0%	10	80%	0%	0%
English Proficient	94	91%	51%	16%	103	97%	47%	9%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	42	81%	33%	5%	43	98%	35%	2%
Not Disadvantaged	52	100%	65%	25%	60	97%	55%	13%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	94	91%	51%	16%	103	97%	47%	9%

#### **NOTES**

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 Accountability and Overview Reports.


Other	2010-11 S	chool Year			2009-10 <b>S</b>	o School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	.(s):	Total Number scoring at level(s			ເ(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment	1	_	_	_	1	_	_	_		
(NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	1			_	ı					

District NEWARK VALLEY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 60-04-02-04-0000

Page 25

## This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts



Results by	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009-10	School Yea	r	
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	104	91%	55%	2%	89	84%	37%	2%
Female	57	95%	61%	4%	42	83%	45%	2%
Male	47	87%	47%	0%	47	85%	30%	2%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	_	_				
Black or African American	•				1	_	_	-
Hispanic or Latino			•••••		1	_	_	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Isla					• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••	
White	103	_	_	_	87	_	_	_
Multiracial			•••••				••••••	
Small Group Totals	104	91%	55%	2%	89	84%	37%	2%
General-Education Students	97	93%	59%	2%	73	93%	44%	3%
Students with Disabilities	7	71%	0%	0%	16	44%	6%	0%
English Proficient	104	91%	55%	2%	89	84%	37%	2%
Limited English Proficient	•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	39	95%	44%	0%	45	78%	22%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	65	89%	62%	3%	44	91%	52%	5%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	104	91%	55%	2%	89	84%	37%	2%

### NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010–11 data only. Ranges for the 2009–10 data are available in the 2009–10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11 Sc	chool Year			2009-10 Sc	hool Year	ı	
Assessments	Total Number scoring at level(s): Total Num				Number sco	nber scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	1	_	_	_	0			
(NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent					0			
New York State English as a Second Language	0	N1 / A	N1 /A	N1 / A	0	N1 /A	N1 /A	N1 / A
Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	U	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 6	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A


† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

April 20, 2012

District NEWARK VALLEY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 60-04-02-04-0000

## This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics



Results by	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009-10	School Yea	ercentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):		
Studentoroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	106	95%	54%	13%	90	89%	52%	10%		
Female	57	98%	63%	16%	42	93%	50%	12%		
Male	49	92%	43%	10%	48	85%	54%	8%		
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	_	_	-						
Black or African American	2		_	<del></del>	1		_			
Hispanic or Latino		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••	1	<del>-</del>		·····		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Isla				•••••	•••••		•••••	•••••		
White	103	_	_	<del>-</del>	88	_	_	<del></del>		
Multiracial	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••	•••••	••••	•••••	••••••		
Small Group Totals	106	95%	54%	13%	90	89%	52%	10%		
General-Education Students	98	97%	57%	14%	75	96%	59%	12%		
Students with Disabilities	8	75%	13%	0%	15	53%	20%	0%		
English Proficient	106	95%	54%	13%	90	89%	52%	10%		
Limited English Proficient		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	•••••	••••	••••••	••••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	40	98%	38%	5%	47	83%	43%	6%		
Not Disadvantaged	66	94%	64%	18%	43	95%	63%	14%		
Migrant										
Not Migrant	106	95%	54%	13%	90	89%	52%	10%		

### **NOTES**

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


\* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11 S	chool Year			2009-10 <b>S</b>	School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	.(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):				
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	1	-	_	-	0					

District NEWARK VALLEY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 60-04-02-04-0000

## This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts



Results by	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009-10	ichool Yea	r	
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Studentoroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	94	91%	48%	5%	99	92%	44%	6%
Female	43	93%	60%	7%	54	94%	46%	6%
Male	51	90%	37%	4%	45	89%	42%	7%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	2	_	_	_	1	-	_	-
Hispanic or Latino	1	_	_	-	1	_	_	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Isl	ander							
White	91	-	-	-	97	-	_	-
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	94	91%	48%	5%	99	92%	44%	6%
General-Education Students	74	100%	58%	7%	88	95%	50%	7%
Students with Disabilities	20	60%	10%	0%	11	64%	0%	0%
English Proficient	94	91%	48%	5%	99	92%	44%	6%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	50	88%	34%	4%	42	88%	29%	5%
Not Disadvantaged	44	95%	64%	7%	57	95%	56%	7%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	94	91%	48%	5%	99	92%	44%	6%

### NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010–11 data only. Ranges for the 2009–10 data are available in the 2009–10 Accountability and Overview Reports.


Other	2010-11 \$	ichool Year		,	2009-10 <b>S</b> c	hool Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	 Total	Number scoring at level(s):				
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				5	5	5	4		
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A		
	Total				Total					
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A		

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

District NEWARK VALLEY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 60-04-02-04-0000

## This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics



Results by	2010-11 \$	School Yea	r		2009-10	School Yea	r	
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	94	84%	48%	7%	99	98%	67%	20%
Female	43	86%	49%	7%	54	96%	63%	17%
Male	51	82%	47%	8%	45	100%	71%	24%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	2	_	_	-	1	_	_	_
Hispanic or Latino	1	_	_	-	1	-	_	_
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific	Islander							
White	91	-	_	-	97	-	_	_
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	94	84%	48%	7%	99	98%	67%	20%
General-Education Students	74	95%	58%	9%	88	100%	73%	23%
Students with Disabilities	20	45%	10%	0%	11	82%	18%	0%
English Proficient	94	84%	48%	7%	99	98%	67%	20%
Limited English Proficient				•				
Economically Disadvantaged	50	78%	36%	4%	42	98%	57%	7%
Not Disadvantaged	44	91%	61%	11%	57	98%	74%	30%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	94	84%	48%	7%	99	98%	67%	20%

#### **NOTES**

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


\* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11 \$	chool Year			2009-10 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	.(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				5	5	5	4	

District NEWARK VALLEY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 60-04-02-04-0000

## This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts



Results by	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year			
Student Group	Total Tested	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Oroup		2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	96	96%	39%	0%	103	94%	65%	11%
Female	53	98%	40%	0%	56	96%	71%	16%
Male	43	93%	37%	0%	47	91%	57%	4%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American								•••••
Hispanic or Latino	1	_	_	-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islande					2	-	_	_
White	94	-	-	-	101	-	_	_
Multiracial	1	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	96	96%	39%	0%	103	94%	65%	11%
General-Education Students	87	97%	43%	0%	85	98%	74%	13%
Students with Disabilities	9	89%	0%	0%	18	78%	22%	0%
English Proficient	96	96%	39%	0%	103	94%	65%	11%
Limited English Proficient								•••••
Economically Disadvantaged	42	95%	26%	0%	40	90%	43%	3%
Not Disadvantaged	54	96%	48%	0%	63	97%	79%	16%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	96	96%	39%	0%	103	94%	65%	11%

### NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010–11 data only. Ranges for the 2009–10 data are available in the 2009–10 Accountability and Overview Reports.


Other	2010-11 <b>S</b>	chool Year		,	2009–10 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	5	5	5	4	2	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

District NEWARK VALLEY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 60-04-02-04-0000

## This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics



Results by	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009-10	ichool Yea	r	
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Studentoroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	96	98%	67%	6%	102	94%	60%	10%
Female	53	100%	60%	2%	55	93%	62%	13%
Male	43	95%	74%	12%	47	96%	57%	6%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American				•				•
Hispanic or Latino	1	_	_	-				•••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islande	r			•••••	2	-	_	_
White	94	_	_	_	100	_	_	_
Multiracial	1	_	_	-				•••••
Small Group Totals	96	98%	67%	6%	102	94%	60%	10%
General-Education Students	87	99%	71%	7%	85	100%	72%	12%
Students with Disabilities	9	89%	22%	0%	17	65%	0%	0%
English Proficient	96	98%	67%	6%	102	94%	60%	10%
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••	•••••	•••••	•		•••••	•••••
Economically Disadvantaged	42	98%	52%	0%	39	85%	38%	5%
Not Disadvantaged	54	98%	78%	11%	63	100%	73%	13%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	96	98%	67%	6%	102	94%	60%	10%

#### **NOTES**

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


\* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2009-10 <b>S</b>	2009-10 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	ring at level	.(s):	Total Number scoring at level(s):			.(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment	5	1	1	2		_	_	_		
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	5	4	4	2	2			-		

District NEWARK VALLEY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 60-04-02-04-0000

## This District's Results in Grade 8 Science



Results by	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	age scoring at level(s):		
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	94	99%	96%	44%	103	99%	85%	51%	
Female	52	98%	96%	33%	56	98%	84%	50%	
Male	42	100%	95%	57%	47	100%	87%	53%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American									
Hispanic or Latino	1	-	_	-					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Island					2	-	_	_	
White	92	-	_	-	101	-	_	_	
Multiracial	1	-	-	-					
Small Group Totals	94	99%	96%	44%	103	99%	85%	51%	
General-Education Students	85	100%	99%	46%	85	100%	93%	62%	
Students with Disabilities	9	89%	67%	22%	18	94%	50%	0%	
English Proficient	94	99%	96%	44%	103	99%	85%	51%	
Limited English Proficient									
Economically Disadvantaged	40	98%	93%	35%	40	98%	73%	23%	
Not Disadvantaged	54	100%	98%	50%	63	100%	94%	70%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	94	99%	96%	44%	103	99%	85%	51%	

### NOTES


The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2010-11 S	chool Year			2009-10 S			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment		5	5	3		_	_	_
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	J	3	J	3	2			
Regents Science	0				0			

District NEWARK VALLEY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 60-04-02-04-0000

## This District's Total Cohort\* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2007 Cohor	t			2006 Cohort**				
_	Number	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Number	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	123	85%	83%	49%	113	85%	82%	32%	
Female	64	92%	92%	61%	62	92%	90%	48%	
Male	59	78%	73%	36%	51	76%	73%	12%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	1	-	_	-					
Hispanic or Latino	1	-	_	-					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••••	••••••	
Pacific Islander									
White	120	-	_	-	113	85%	82%	32%	
Multiracial	1	-	_	-					
Small Group Totals	123	85%	83%	49%					
General-Education Students	107	93%	92%	55%	91	93%	92%	40%	
Students with Disabilities	16	38%	25%	6%	22	50%	41%	0%	
English Proficient	123	85%	83%	49%	113	85%	82%	32%	
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	37	81%	76%	24%	40	90%	88%	15%	
Not Disadvantaged	86	87%	86%	59%	73	82%	79%	41%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	123	85%	83%	49%	113	85%	82%	32%	

#### NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


<sup>\*</sup> A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

<sup>\*\* 2006</sup> cohort data are those reported in the 2009–10 Accountability and Overview Report.

District NEWARK VALLEY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 60-04-02-04-0000

## This District's Total Cohort\* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2007 Cohoi	rt			2006 Cohort**				
	Number	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Number	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s)		
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	123	89%	88%	24%	113	87%	83%	34%	
Female	64	94%	94%	30%	62	89%	84%	40%	
Male	59	85%	81%	19%	51	84%	82%	25%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	1	-	_	-					
Hispanic or Latino	1	_	_			•••••	••••••	•••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	••••••		••••••	••••••••••		•••••	••••••	•••••	
Pacific Islander									
White	120	_	_	-	113	87%	83%	34%	
Multiracial	1	-	_	-					
Small Group Totals	123	89%	88%	24%					
General-Education Students	107	95%	94%	28%	91	96%	95%	42%	
Students with Disabilities	16	50%	44%	0%	22	50%	36%	0%	
English Proficient	123	89%	88%	24%	113	87%	83%	34%	
Limited English Proficient	••••••			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••			
Economically Disadvantaged	37	84%	81%	14%	40	90%	85%	13%	
Not Disadvantaged	86	92%	91%	29%	73	85%	82%	45%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	123	89%	88%	24%	113	87%	83%	34%	

#### NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

<sup>\*</sup> A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

 $<sup>^{**}</sup>$  2006 cohort data are those reported in the 2009–10 Accountability and Overview Report.