

# **The New York State District Report Card**

**Accountability** and Overview Report 2010 - 11

District HARRISON CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT District ID 66-05-01-06-0000 Superintendent LOUIS WOOL Telephone (914) 630-3021 Grades K-12, US

# This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents' effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

# Use this report to:

**Get District Profile information**.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

#### **Review District** 2 Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies the district's accountability status.

#### **View School Accountability** Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2011-12 accountability status.



#### **Review an Overview** of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science.

### For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: dataquest@mail.nysed.gov

#### District ID 66-05-01-06-0000

# **District Profile**

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

# Enrollment

2008–09	2009–10	2010-11
0	0	0
284	265	245
256	292	281
300	270	302
284	309	284
295	274	290
287	294	274
275	279	284
26	5	0
249	270	273
263	255	265
237	251	249
251	255	257
227	258	255
231	238	276
17	5	4
3482	3520	3539
	0 284 256 300 284 295 287 275 26 249 263 237 251 227 251 227 231	0         0           284         265           256         292           300         270           284         309           295         274           287         294           275         279           26         5           225         274           287         294           275         279           26         5           2237         251           251         255           227         258           231         238           17         5

# Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

### **Average Class Size**

	2008–09	2009-10	2010-11
Common Branch	19	19	19
Grade 8			
English	22	21	22
Mathematics	21	20	21
Science	22	21	22
Social Studies	22	21	22
Grade 10			
English	19	21	19
Mathematics	16	19	20
Science	19	21	20
Social Studies	19	20	18

# Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

# **Demographic Factors**

	200	8-09	200	9–10	2010-11	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	157	5%	226	6%	235	7%
Reduced-Price Lunch	96	3%	141	4%	137	4%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	238	7%	237	7%	236	7%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0%	6	0%	1	0%
Black or African American	27	1%	44	1%	53	1%
Hispanic or Latino	428	12%	479	14%	487	14%
Asian or Native	310	9%	350	10%	333	9%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	2714	78%	2634	75%	2643	75%
Multiracial	3	0%	7	0%	22	1%

\* Available only at the school level.

### **Attendance and Suspensions**

	2007-08		2008-09		2009-10	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		94%		94%		95%
Student Suspensions	87	3%	75	2%	79	2%

# Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category.

### Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

# **Teacher Qualifications**

	2008–09	2009–10	2010-11
Total Number of Teachers	334	342	335
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	0%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	0%	1%	1%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	8%	9%	6%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	55%	53%	53%
Total Number of Core Classes	797	810	800
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers in This District	0%	0%	0%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified in High-Poverty Schools Statewide	8%	6%	5%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified in Low-Poverty Schools Statewide	1%	1%	0%
Total Number of Classes	1219	1268	1255
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	1%	1%	0%

# **Teacher Turnover Rate**

	2007-08	2008–09	2009-10
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	21%	26%	21%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	16%	13%	14%

### **Staff Counts**

2008–09	2009–10	2010-11
51	52	51
91	93	91
4	4	4
6	6	6
	51 91 4	51         52           91         93           4         4

\* Not available at the school level.

# Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. To be Highly Qualified, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. A teacher who taught one class outside of the certification area(s) is counted as Highly Qualified provided that 1) the teacher had been determined by the school or district through the HOUSSE process or other state-accepted methods to have demonstrated acceptable subject knowledge and teaching skills and 2) the class in question was not the sole assignment reported. Credit for incidental teaching does not extend beyond a single assignment. Independent of Highly Qualified Teacher status, any assignment for which a teacher did not hold a valid certificate still registers as teaching out of certification. High-poverty and low-poverty schools are those schools in the upper and lower quartiles, respectively, for percentage of students eligible for a free or reduced-price lunch.

### Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

# Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

# Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2010–11, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).





#### 1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

#### **A** Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2010–11 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

#### **B** Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2007 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

#### 2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

#### 3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

**Elementary/Middle-Level Science:** To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

#### **A** Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

#### **B** Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group, if it has 30 or more students, must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the group must meet both the participation criterion and the performance criterion in science.

**Secondary-Level Graduation Rate:** For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2006 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2010 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (80%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2006 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2010 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (80%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

# **Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability**

#### 12<sup>th</sup> Graders

The count of 12<sup>th</sup> graders enrolled during the 2010–11 school year used to determine the Percentage Tested for the Participation part of the AYP determination for secondarylevel ELA and mathematics. These are the first numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the secondary-level ELA and mathematics pages.

#### 2007 Cohort

The count of students in the 2007 accountability cohort used to determine the Performance Index for the Test Performance part of the AYP determination for secondary-level ELA and mathematics. These are the second numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the secondary-level ELA and mathematics pages.

#### Accountability Cohort for English and Mathematics

The accountability cohort is used to determine if a school or district met the performance criterion in secondary-level ELA and mathematics. The 2007 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2007–08 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2007–08 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2010 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2011, are not included in the 2007 school accountability cohort. The 2007 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

#### Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

#### Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p) (14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

#### **Continuous Enrollment**

The count of continuously enrolled tested students used to determine the Performance Index for the Test Performance part of the AYP determination for elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science. These are the second numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the elementary/ middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science pages.

#### **Continuously Enrolled Students**

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

# Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make AYP. The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at **www.p12.nysed.gov/irs.** 

#### **Graduation Rate**

The Graduation Rate on the Graduation Rate page is the percentage of the 2006 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2010.

#### **Graduation-Rate Total Cohort**

The Graduation-Rate Total Cohort, shown on the Graduation Rate page, is used to determine if a school or district made AYP in graduation rate. For the 2010–11 school year, this cohort is the 2006 graduation-rate total cohort. The 2006 total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2006–07 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2006–07 school year, and who were enrolled in the school/ district for five months or longer or who were enrolled in the school/district for less than five months but were previously enrolled in the same school/district for five months or longer between the date they first entered Grade 9 and the date they last ended enrollment. A more detailed definition of graduation-rate cohort can be found in the *SIRS Manual* at **www.p12.nysed.gov/irs**.

For districts and schools with fewer than 30 graduation-rate total cohort members in the All Students group in 2010–11, data for 2009–10 and 2010–11 for accountability groups were combined to determine counts and graduation rates. Groups with fewer than 30 students in the graduation-rate total cohort are not required to meet the graduation-rate criterion.

#### **Limited English Proficient**

For all accountability measures, if the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

#### **Non-Accountability Groups**

Female, Male, and Migrant groups are not part of the AYP determination for any measure.

# Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability (continued)

#### Participation

Accountability groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period (for elementary/middlelevel ELA, math, and science) or fewer than 40 12<sup>th</sup> graders (for secondary-level ELA and mathematics) are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the Percentage Tested for an accountability group fell below 95 percent for ELA and math or 80 percent for science in 2010–11, the participation enrollment ("Total" or "12<sup>th</sup> Graders") shown in the tables is the sum of 2009–10 and 2010–11 participation enrollments and the "Percentage Tested" shown is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

#### **Performance Index (PI)**

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.p12.nysed.gov/irs.** 

#### **Progress Targets**

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making AYP or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

*Science:* The current year's Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the previous year's Performance Index (PI). Example: The 2010–11 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2009–10 PI.

Graduation Rate: The Graduation-rate Progress Target is calculated by determining a 20% gap reduction between the rate of the previous year's graduation-rate cohort and the state standard. Example: The 2010–11 Graduation-Rate Progress Target = [(80 – percentage of the 2005 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009) × 0.20] + percentage of the 2005 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009.

Progress Targets are provided for groups whose PI (for science) or graduation rate (for graduation rate) is below the State Standard.

#### **Safe Harbor Targets**

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate AYP for accountability groups that do not achieve their EAMOs in English or mathematics. The 2010–11 safe harbor targets are calculated using the following equation:  $2009-10 \text{ PI} + (200 - \text{the } 2009-10 \text{ PI}) \times 0.10$ 

Safe Harbor Targets are provided for groups whose PI is less than the EAMO.

#### Safe Harbor Qualification (\*)

On the science page, if the group met both the participation and the performance criteria for science, the Safe Harbor Qualification column will show "Qualified." If the group did not meet one or more criteria, the column will show "Did not qualify." A "‡" symbol after the 2010–11 Safe Harbor Target on the elementary/middle- or secondary-level ELA or mathematics page indicates that the student group did not make AYP in science (elementary/middle level) or graduation rate (secondary level) and; therefore, the group did not qualify for Safe Harbor in ELA or mathematics.

#### **State Standard**

The criterion value that represents minimally satisfactory performance (for science) or a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma (for graduation rate). In 2010–11, the State Science Standard is a Performance Index of 100; the State Graduation-Rate Standard is 80%. The Commissioner may raise the State Standard at his discretion in future years.

#### **Students with Disabilities**

For all measures, if the count of students with disabilities is equal to or greater than 30, former students with disabilities are also included in the performance calculations.

#### **Test Performance**

For districts and schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students (for elementary/middle-level ELA, math, and science) or fewer than 30 students in the 2007 cohort (for secondary-level ELA and mathematics) in the All Students group in 2010–11, data for 2009–10 and 2010–11 for accountability groups were combined to determine counts and Performance Indices. For districts and schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students/2007 cohort members in the All Students group in 2010–11, student groups with fewer than 30 members are not required to meet the performance criterion. This is indicated by a "—" in the Test Performance column in the table.

#### Total

The count of students enrolled during the test administration period used to determine the Percentage Tested for the Participation part of the AYP determination for elementary/ middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science. These are the first numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science pages. For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons in accordance with federal NCLB guidance are not included in the count.

# **Understanding Your District Accountability Status**

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irs/accountability/.

<b>Federal Title   Status</b> (Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)	<b>New York State Status</b> (Applies to New York State districts)
<ul> <li>District in Good Standing</li> <li>A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not bee or a District Requiring Academic Progress.</li> </ul>	en identified as a District in Need of Improvement
District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.
▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

Pending – A district's status is "Pending" if the district requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

# 2 District Accountability

District HARRISON CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT


District ID 66-05-01-06-0000

### Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2011–12)	▲ Good Standing					
	ELA A Good Standing		Science	▲ Good Standing		
	Math	▲ Good Standing	Graduatior	n Rate 🔺 Good Standing		
Title I Part A Funding	Years	the District Receiv	ved Title I Part A Fun	ding		
	2009-	10	2010-11	2011-12		
	YES		YES	YES		

#### On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/	Middle Level		Secondary L	Secondary Level			
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate		
All Students	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	<b>v</b>	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	<b>v</b>	<ul> <li></li> </ul>		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	-	_	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	-	–	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Hispanic or Latino	✓	✓	••••	✓	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	••••		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	<ul> <li></li> </ul>		-	-			
White	~	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	~	••••		
Multiracial	–	_	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••		•••••••••••		
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities	X	~		_	_			
Limited English Proficient	✓	✓	••••	–	–	••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	••••	<b>~</b>	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	••••		
Student groups making AYP in each subject	<b>X</b> 6 of 7	🗸 7 of 7	🖌 1 of 1	🖌 4 of 4	🖌 4 of 4	✔ 1 of 1		



# **Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2011–12)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	6 of 7	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2012-13. [201]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation		<b>Test Performance</b>		<b>Performance Objectives</b>		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment)	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2010-11	2011-12
Accountability Groups								
All Students (1684:1631)	~	<b>v</b>	100%	V	170	119		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (24:19)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (225:218)	<	~	100%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	147	114	•••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (159:135)	~	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	100%	~	173	113		
White (1266:1251)	<	~	100%	~	174	119		
Multiracial (10:8)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (214:233)	x	~	100%	X	112	115	115	121
Limited English Proficient (106:136)	~	~	100%	✓	128	113		
Economically Disadvantaged (193:184)	~	✓	100%	✓	140	114		•••••
Final AYP Determination	🗙 6 of 7	7						
Non-Accountability Groups								
Female (800:779)			100%		174	118		
Male (884:852)	• ••••	••••••	100%	••••••	167	118	•• ••••	••••
Migrant (0:0)	• •••••	••••••	••••	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••	••••••••••	••••

used on this page.

**NOTE:** See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels

#### Symbols

- Made AYP
- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30
   Continuous Enrollment
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

District ID 66-05-01-06-0000

# **Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2011–12)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	7 of 7	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2012-13. [201]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

AYP	Farticipati	Participation		Test Performance		Performance Objectives		
	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target		
Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2010-11	2011–12	
~	<b>V</b>	100%	V	181	134			
-	-	-	-	-	-		-	
<	✓	100%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	165	129		•••••	
~	~	100%	~	195	128		•••••	
✓	✓	100%	✓	182	134		•••••••••••••••••••••	
_	–	-	-	-	-		-	
~	<b>v</b>	100%	<b>v</b>	134	130			
~	<b>v</b>	100%	<b>~</b>	165	128			
~	<b>v</b>	100%	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	158	129			
🗸 7 of 7	7						·	
		100%		180	133			
• •••••	•••••••	100%	••••••	181	133			
• •••••	••••••	••••	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	•••••••••	•••••	
	V - V V V - V V V V V V V V	Status  Criterion    V  V    -  -    V  V    V  V    V  V    V  V    V  V    V  V    V  V    V  V    V  V	Status       Criterion       Tested         ✓       ✓       100%         ✓       ✓       100%         ✓       ✓       100%         ✓       ✓       100%         ✓       ✓       100%         ✓       ✓       100%         ✓       ✓       100%         ✓       ✓       100%         ✓       ✓       100%         ✓       ✓       100%         ✓       ✓       100%         ✓       ✓       100%         ✓       ✓       100%         ✓       ✓       100%	Status       Criterion       Tested       Criterion         ✓       ✓       100%       ✓         –       –       –       –         ✓       ✓       100%       ✓         ✓       ✓       100%       ✓         ✓       ✓       100%       ✓         ✓       ✓       100%       ✓         ✓       ✓       100%       ✓         ✓       ✓       100%       ✓         ✓       ✓       100%       ✓         ✓       ✓       100%       ✓         ✓       ✓       100%       ✓         ✓       ✓       100%       ✓         ✓       ✓       100%       ✓         ✓       ✓       100%       ✓	Status         Criterion         Tested         Criterion         Index           V         V         100%         V         181           -         -         -         -         -           -         -         -         -         -           V         100%         V         165           V         100%         V         182           -         -         -         -           V         100%         V         182           -         -         -         -           V         100%         V         182           -         -         -         -           V         100%         V         134           V         100%         V         158           V 7 of 7         -         -         -           100%         180         -         -	Status       Criterion       Tested       Criterion       Index       AMO         Image: Image of the state of	Status       Criterion       Tested       Criterion       Index       AMO       2010-11         Image: Criterion       100%       Image: Criterion       181       134       134         Image: Criterion       100%       Image: Criterion       181       134         Image: Criterion       Image: Criterion       181       134         Image: Criterion       Image: Criterion       Image: Criterion       Image: Criterion         Image: Criterion       Image: Criterion       Image: Criterion       Image: Criterion       Image: Criterion         Image: Criterion       Image: Criterion       Image: Criterion       Image: Criterion       Image: Criterion       Image: Criterion         Image: Criterion       Image: Criterion       Image: Criterion       Image: Criterion       Image: Criterion       Image: Criterion         Image: Criterion       Image: Criterion       Image: Criterion       Image: Criterion       Image: Criterion       Image: Criterion         Image: Criterion       Image: Criterion       Image: Criterion       Image: Criterion       Image: Criterion       Image: Criterion       Image: Criterion       Image: Criterion       Image: Criterion       Image: Criterion       Image: Criterion       Image: Criterion       Image: Criterion       Image: Criterion       Image: Cri	

used on this page.

NOTE: See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels

#### Symbols

- Made AYP
- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30
   Continuous Enrollment
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

# **Elementary/Middle-Level Science**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2011–12)	^	Good Standing
<b>Accountability Measures</b>	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in science
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2012-13. [201]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	АҮР		Participatio	on	Test Perfor	<b>Test Performance</b>		Performance Objectives		
Student Group	Chature	Safe Harbor	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	State	Progres		
(Total: Continuous Enrollment)	Status	Qualification	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	Standard	2010-11	2011-12	
Accountability Groups										
All Students (562:548)		Qualified	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	99%	V	195	100			
Ethnicity										
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)										
Black or African American (9:8)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-	
Hispanic or Latino (70:69)		Qualified	✓	99%	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	184	100			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (51:46)		Qualified	~	100%	~	200	100			
White (429:423)		Qualified	✓	100%	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	196	100			
Multiracial (3:2)		_	-	-	-	-	-	• ••• • • • • • • • • • •	-	
Other Groups										
Students with Disabilities (61:65)		Qualified	~	100%	~	168	100			
Limited English Proficient (25:20)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-	
Economically Disadvantaged (54:51)		Qualified	~	98%	~	186	100			
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 o	of 1								
Non-Accountability Groups										
Female (279:271)				99%		193	100			
Male (283:277)				100%		196	100			
Migrant (0:0)										

used on this page.

#### Symbols

Made AYP

- X Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30
   Continuous Enrollment

\_

NOTE: See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels

# Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2011–12)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	4 of 4	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	<b>v</b>	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2012-13. [201]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP Participati		on	<b>Test Performance</b>		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target
(12th Graders: 2007 Cohort)	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2010-11	2011–12
Accountability Groups								
All Students (227:241)	~	<b>v</b>	100%	<b>V</b>	193	176		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (4:5)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (46:51)	<	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	100%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	184	170	••••••••••••••	••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (10:11)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (167:174)	<	<b>~</b>	100%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	195	175	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Multiracial (0:0)	••••••••	•••••••••••	••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (16:26)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Limited English Proficient (2:4)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (42:49)	✓	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	100%	~	190	169		•••••
Final AYP Determination	🖌 4 of 4	1						
Non-Accountability Groups								
Female (112:118)			100%		193	173		
Male (115:123)	••••••••••••		100%		193	174	•••••••••••••••	
Migrant (0:0)	•••••••••	•••••••••••				•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	

used on this page.

**NOTE:** See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels

#### Symbols

#### Made AYP

- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 12<sup>th</sup> Graders/Fewer Than 30 Cohort
- Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

# **Secondary-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2011–12)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	4 of 4	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2012-13. [201]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level mathematics accountability measures?

AYP Participation		<b>Test Perfor</b>	mance	Performance Objectives			
	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target	
Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2010-11	2011–12
~	~	100%	<b>V</b>	191	173		
-	-	-	-	-	-		-
<	~	100%	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	184	167	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
-	-	-	-	-	-		-
<	<	100%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	193	172	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••
• ••••	•••••••••••••••	••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
-	-	-	-	-	-		-
-	-	-	-	-	-		-
~	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	100%	~	192	166		•••••
🗸 4 of 4	4						
		100%		191	170		
•••••		100%		192	171	•••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
• ••••	•••••••	••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••
	Status	Status     Met Criterion       ✓     ✓       –     –       –     –       –     –       –     –       –     –       –     –       –     –       –     –       –     –       –     –       –     –       –     –       –     –       –     –       –     –	Met Criterion       Percentage Tested         ✓       100%         ✓       100%         –       –         ✓       100%         –       –         ✓       100%         –       –         ✓       100%         –       –         ✓       100%         ✓       100%         ✓       100%         ✓       100%         ✓       100%	Met Criterion       Percentage Tested       Met Criterion         ✓       100%       ✓         ✓       100%       ✓         –       –       –         ✓       100%       ✓         –       –       –         ✓       100%       ✓         –       –       –         ✓       100%       ✓         –       –       –         ✓       100%       ✓         –       –       –         ✓       100%       ✓         –       –       –         –       –       –         –       –       –         –       –       –         –       –       –         –       –       –         –       –       –         –       –       –         –       –       –         –       –       –         –       –       –         –       –       –         –       –       –         –       –       –         –       –       –	Met Status       Met Criterion       Percentage Tested       Met Criterion       Performance Index         Image: Criterion       100%       Image: Criterion       191         Image: Criterion       100%       Image: Criterion       191         Image: Criterion       Image: Criterion       Image: Criterion       Image: Criterion         Image: Criterion       Image: Criterion       Image: Criterion       Image: Criterion       Image: Criterion         Image: Criterion       Image: Criterion       Image: Criterion       Image: Criterion       Image: Criterion         Image: Criterion       Image: Criterion       Image: Criterion       Image: Criterion       Image: Criterion       Image: Criterion         Image: Criterion       Image: Criterion       Image: Criterion       Image: Criterion       Image: Criterion       Image: Criterion         Image: Criterion       Image: Criterion       Image: Criterion       Image: Criterion       Image: Criterion       Image: Criterion         Image: Criterion	Met Status         Percentage Tested         Met Criterion         Performance Index         Effective AMO           Image: Criterion         Image: C	Met Status         Percentage (riterion         Met rested         Performance index         Effective AMO         Safe Harbs 2010-11           V         100%         V         191         173           -         -         -         -         -           -         -         -         -         -           -         -         -         -         -           -         -         -         -         -           -         -         -         -         -         -           -         -         -         -         -         -         -           -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -           -         100%         V         184         167         -         -         -           -

used on this page.

**NOTE:** See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels

#### Symbols

#### Made AYP

- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 12<sup>th</sup> Graders/Fewer Than 30 Cohort
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

### **Graduation Rate**

Accountability Status for This Indicator (2011–12)	; 🔥	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in graduation rate
	~	Made AYP
<b>Prospective Status</b>		This district will be in good standing in 2012-13. [201]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Graduati	on		Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Graduation	State	Progress Target	
(2006 Graduation-Rate Total Cohort)	AYP	Criterion	Rate	Standard	2010–11	
Accountability Groups						
All Students (235)	<ul> <li>Image: A start of the start of</li></ul>	<ul> <li>Image: A start of the start of</li></ul>	93%	80%		
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native <b>(0)</b>						
Black or African American (3)	••••••	_	-	–		
Hispanic or Latino (28)		_	_	_		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (13)	••••••	-	-	-		
White (190)	••••••	✓	94%	80%		
Multiracial (1)	••••••	-	-	-		
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities (35)		<ul> <li>Image: A start of the start of</li></ul>	86%	80%		
Limited English Proficient (2)	•••••••	-	-	-		
Economically Disadvantaged (33)	•••••••	✓	94%	80%		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 of :	1				
Non-Accountability Groups						
Female ( <b>118</b> )			95%	80%		
Male (117)	••••••		91%	80%		
Migrant (0)	••••••		••••••••••••••••	••••••		

#### Symbols

- Made AYP
- X Did not make AYP

**NOTE:** See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

Fewer than 30 Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

#### **Aspirational Goal**

The Board of Regents has set an aspirational goal that 95% of students in each public school and school district will graduate within five years of first entry into grade 9. The graduation rate for the 2006 total cohort through June 2011 (after 5 years) for this district is **93%** and, therefore, this district **did not** meet this goal. The aspirational goal does not impact accountability.

# 2011–12 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2011–12 accountability status.

In Good Standing	
5 schools identified 83% of total	
HARRISON AVENUE ELEMENTARY SCH	łOOL
HARRISON HIGH SCHOOL	
LOUIS M KLEIN MIDDLE SCHOOL	
PURCHASE SCHOOL	
SAMUEL J PRESTON SCHOOL	
Improvement (year 1) Basic	
1 school identified 17% of total	
PARSONS MEMORIAL SCHOOL	

# Summary of 2010–11 District Performance

4

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	-	e of students that or above Level 3	Total Tested
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 3	72%		272
Grade 4	76%		287
Grade 5	69%		265
Grade 6	74%		289
Grade 7	72%		271
Grade 8	80%		264
Mathematics			
Grade 3	80%		278
Grade 4	86%		294
Grade 5	77%		270
Grade 6	83%		292
Grade 7	90%		274
Grade 8	78%		263
Science			
Grade 4	98%		292
Grade 8	91%		263
		je of students that or above Level 3	2007 Total Cohort
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%
English	92%		251
Mathematics	90%		251

District ID 66-05-01-06-0000

#### About the Performance Level Descriptors

#### **English Language Arts**

#### Level 1: Below Standard

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level.

#### Level 2: Meets Basic Standard

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level.

#### Level 3: Meets Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level.

#### Level 4: Exceeds Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level.

#### **Mathematics**

#### Level 1: Below Standard

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

#### Level 2: Meets Basic Standard

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

#### Level 3: Meets Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

#### Level 4: Exceeds Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

# How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.p12.nysed.gov/irs**.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

#### This District's N/RC Category:

#### **Low Need Districts**

This is a school district with low student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

# This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

		<b>This District</b>			NY State Pul	blic		
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):	ng at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3–4	4	
2011 Mean Score: 670	*Range:	644-780	663-780	694-780				
2010 Mean Score: 682	100%	93% 96%	72% 71%		87% 86%	<u>56%</u> 55%		
2010-11 2009-10				30%			17% 5%	
Number of Tested Students:		254 285	195 213	17 88				

<b>Results by</b>	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
All Students	272	93%	72%	6%	298	96%	71%	30%	
Female	125	96%	74%	6%	157	97%	72%	29%	
Male	147	91%	69%	6%	141	94%	71%	30%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	5	80%	60%	40%	4	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino	42	88%	64%	0%	29	90%	45%	7%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	32	97%	81%	3%	33	94%	67%	9%	
White	193	94%	72%	7%	231	97%	75%	35%	
Multiracial	•••••		••••••	•••••	1	–	-	-	
Small Group Totals	•••••		•••••	••••••	5	100%	80%	40%	
General-Education Students	235	97%	80%	7%	269	98%	75%	30%	
Students with Disabilities	37	68%	19%	0%	29	72%	38%	21%	
English Proficient	246	95%	74%	7%	275	97%	75%	32%	
Limited English Proficient	26	81%	46%	0%	23	83%	30%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	40	83%	45%	3%	24	88%	54%	13%	
Not Disadvantaged	232	95%	76%	7%	274	96%	73%	31%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	272	93%	72%	6%	298	96%	71%	30%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11 S	chool Year			2009–10 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	5	N/A	N/A	N/A	3	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 3	5	N/A	N/A	N/A	3	N/A	N/A	N/A

<sup>+</sup> These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. April 20, 2012

# This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Pub	lic	
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		Percentage sco	oring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3–4	4
2011 Mean Score: 697	*Range:	662-770	684-770	707-770			
2010 Mean Score: 703	100%	97% 97%	80% 78%		91% 91%	60% 59%	
2010-11 2009-10				29% 33%	н.	н.	13%
Number of Tested Students:		271 289	222 233	81 98			

Results by	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009-10	School Yea	r	
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	278	97%	80%	29%	299	97%	78%	33%
Female	125	98%	79%	26%	158	96%	72%	27%
Male	153	97%	80%	32%	141	97%	85%	40%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	5	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	43	98%	74%	21%	30	83%	37%	10%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	- 36	100%	97%	53%	35	100%	94%	37%
White	193	97%	78%	26%	229	98%	81%	36%
Multiracial	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	6	83%	67%	50%	5	80%	60%	0%
General-Education Students	241	100%	86%	33%	271	99%	81%	35%
Students with Disabilities	37	84%	38%	5%	28	75%	46%	7%
English Proficient	247	98%	81%	30%	273	97%	79%	34%
Limited English Proficient	31	97%	74%	26%	26	88%	62%	19%
Economically Disadvantaged	40	93%	60%	23%	25	76%	48%	20%
Not Disadvantaged	238	98%	83%	30%	274	99%	81%	34%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	278	97%	80%	29%	299	97%	78%	33%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010–11 data only. Ranges for the 2009–10 data are available in the 2009–10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11 9	School Year		2009–10 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	2	-	_	-	1	-	-	-

# This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pub	olic	
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):		Percentage sc		
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2011 Mean Score: 684	*Range:	637-775	671-775	722-775			
2010 Mean Score: 678	100%	98% 97%	76% <sub>69%</sub>		92% 92%	57% 57%	
2009-10				3% 3%			2% 6%
Number of Tested Students:		281 259	218 184	10 9			

Results by	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	287	98%	76%	3%	266	<b>97</b> %	69%	3%	
Female	147	99%	76%	3%	126	97%	68%	6%	
Male	140	97%	76%	4%	140	98%	70%	1%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	4	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino	32	94%	50%	0%	38	95%	39%	3%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	r 30	-	-	-	21	-	-	-	
White	221	99%	80%	4%	205	98%	73%	3%	
Multiracial	••••••								
Small Group Totals	34	97%	74%	6%	23	100%	83%	4%	
General-Education Students	260	100%	80%	4%	226	99%	78%	4%	
Students with Disabilities	27	81%	41%	0%	40	88%	20%	0%	
English Proficient	273	99%	78%	4%	244	98%	73%	4%	
Limited English Proficient	14	86%	36%	0%	22	86%	32%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	29	93%	55%	0%	27	93%	44%	0%	
Not Disadvantaged	258	98%	78%	4%	239	98%	72%	4%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	287	98%	76%	3%	266	97%	69%	3%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11 \$	School Year			2009–10 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	7	N/A	N/A	N/A	4	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Total				Total				
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 4	7	N/A	N/A	N/A	3	N/A	N/A	N/A	

<sup>+</sup> These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. April 20, 2012

# **This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics**

		<b>This District</b>			NY State Pub	lic	
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):		Percentage sco	oring at level(s):	
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2011 Mean Score: 705	*Range:	636-800	676-800	707-800			
2010 Mean Score: 701 2010-11 2009-10	100%	99% 97%	86% 80%	49% 39%	94% 95%	67% 64%	27% 26%
Number of Tested Students:		292 260	254 214	143 103			

<b>Results by</b>	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009-10 \$	School Yea	r	
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	294	99%	86%	<b>49</b> %	267	97%	80%	39%
Female	149	99%	86%	38%	127	98%	77%	31%
Male	145	99%	87%	60%	140	97%	83%	46%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	4	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	32	-	-	-	38	97%	55%	5%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	r 37	100%	97%	81%	24	-	-	-
White	221	100%	90%	48%	203	97%	84%	40%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	36	94%	56%	17%	26	100%	88%	73%
General-Education Students	267	100%	90%	52%	228	100%	87%	43%
Students with Disabilities	27	93%	56%	15%	39	85%	38%	10%
English Proficient	273	100%	87%	48%	242	98%	83%	40%
Limited English Proficient	21	90%	76%	62%	25	96%	48%	28%
Economically Disadvantaged	29	97%	52%	28%	27	93%	48%	4%
Not Disadvantaged	265	100%	90%	51%	240	98%	84%	43%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	294	99%	86%	49%	267	97%	80%	39%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010–11 data only. Ranges for the 2009–10 data are available in the 2009–10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other Assessments	2010-11	School Year			2009–10 School Year				
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	2	_	-	-	

# This District's Results in Grade 4 Science

		<b>This District</b>			NY State Pub	lic		
		Percentage sco	oring at level(s):	ng at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2011 Mean Score: 89	Range:	45-100	65-100	85-100				
2010 Mean Score: 88 2010-11 2009-10	100%	100%100%	98% 96%	79% 76%	98% 97%	88% 88%	52% 55%	
Number of Tested Students:		291 266	287 255	231 203				

Resultsby	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009-10	2009–10 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
All Students	292	100%	98%	79%	267	100%	96%	76%	
Female	147	100%	99%	80%	127	100%	95%	80%	
Male	145	99%	98%	79%	140	99%	96%	73%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	4	-	-	–	2	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino	31	-	-	–	37	100%	89%	62%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	- 37	100%	100%	81%	24	-	-		
White	220	100%	99%	83%	204	100%	97%	77%	
Multiracial	••••••			••••••					
Small Group Totals	35	97%	91%	51%	26	100%	96%	88%	
General-Education Students	265	100%	100%	83%	229	100%	98%	83%	
Students with Disabilities	27	96%	85%	41%	38	97%	82%	37%	
English Proficient	272	100%	99%	81%	243	100%	96%	77%	
Limited English Proficient	20	95%	90%	50%	24	100%	88%	63%	
Economically Disadvantaged	28	100%	93%	61%	26	96%	92%	54%	
Not Disadvantaged	264	100%	99%	81%	241	100%	96%	78%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	292	100%	98%	79%	267	100%	96%	76%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2010-11 \$	School Year			2009–10 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	1	-	_	-	2	_	_	-	

# This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pub	lic			
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):	g at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3–4	4		
2011 Mean Score: 672	*Range:	648-795	668-795	700-795					
2010 Mean Score: 684	100%	94% 94%	69% 70%		89% 88%	54% 52%			
2010-11 2009-10				21% 4%			4% 13%		
Number of Tested Students:		250 277	183 206	10 63					

Resultsby	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	265	94%	69%	4%	294	94%	70%	21%
Female	126	94%	75%	4%	128	95%	75%	26%
Male	139	95%	63%	4%	166	94%	66%	18%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	3	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	36	89%	47%	3%	40	93%	60%	8%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	20	100%	70%	5%	28	-	-	-
White	204	95%	73%	4%	224	95%	71%	24%
Multiracial	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	5	100%	80%	0%	30	93%	73%	20%
General-Education Students	226	99%	76%	4%	260	97%	75%	24%
Students with Disabilities	39	69%	28%	0%	34	76%	29%	0%
English Proficient	248	96%	73%	4%	277	96%	73%	22%
Limited English Proficient	17	76%	18%	0%	17	71%	24%	6%
Economically Disadvantaged	30	83%	37%	3%	30	90%	50%	10%
Not Disadvantaged	235	96%	73%	4%	264	95%	72%	23%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	265	94%	69%	4%	294	94%	70%	21%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010–11 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2009–10 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	6	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 5	6	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	N/A

<sup>+</sup> These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. April 20, 2012

# This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

		<b>This District</b>			NY State Pub	lic			
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):	ng at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2011 Mean Score: 696	*Range:	640-780	676-780	707-780					
2010 Mean Score: 702 ■ 2010-11	100%	98% 98%	77%	36% 43%	94% 94%	66% 65%	23% 24%		
2009-10									
Number of Tested Students:		265 289	207 256	96 128					

Results by	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	270	98%	77%	36%	295	98%	87%	43%
Female	130	98%	74%	30%	129	97%	84%	43%
Male	140	99%	79%	41%	166	99%	89%	44%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	3	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	36	100%	64%	19%	41	95%	78%	22%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	26	100%	96%	65%	28	-	-	-
White	203	98%	76%	34%	224	98%	87%	45%
Multiracial	2	-	-	–	1	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	5	100%	80%	60%	30	100%	97%	63%
General-Education Students	232	100%	84%	41%	261	99%	91%	48%
Students with Disabilities	38	89%	29%	5%	34	88%	53%	6%
English Proficient	247	98%	77%	35%	277	99%	88%	44%
Limited English Proficient	23	96%	70%	39%	18	83%	61%	39%
Economically Disadvantaged	30	90%	60%	17%	30	100%	70%	13%
Not Disadvantaged	240	99%	79%	38%	265	98%	89%	47%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	270	98%	77%	36%	295	98%	87%	43%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other Assessments	2010-11	School Year		2009–10 School Year				
	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	0			

# This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		<b>This District</b>			NY State Pub	lic			
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		Percentage sco	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2011 Mean Score: 669	*Range:	644-785	662-785	694-785					
2010 Mean Score: 677	100%	95% 96%	74% 73%		88% 89%	56% 54%			
2009-10				9%			4% 7%		
Number of Tested Students:		275 259	214 199	25 51					

Results by	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009-10	School Yea	r	
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	289	95%	74%	9%	271	96%	73%	19%
Female	126	94%	75%	11%	135	98%	80%	24%
Male	163	96%	73%	7%	136	93%	67%	13%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	•••••			•••••	6	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	41	93%	54%	0%	30	93%	53%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	20	-	-	-	22	91%	68%	36%
White	226	95%	78%	10%	211	97%	76%	20%
Multiracial	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	22	100%	73%	9%	8	88%	88%	13%
General-Education Students	255	98%	81%	10%	237	99%	82%	22%
Students with Disabilities	34	71%	21%	0%	34	71%	15%	0%
English Proficient	278	96%	76%	9%	262	97%	76%	19%
Limited English Proficient	11	73%	27%	0%	9	67%	0%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	31	94%	58%	3%	33	85%	52%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	258	95%	76%	9%	238	97%	76%	21%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	289	95%	74%	9%	271	96%	73%	19%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010–11 data only. Ranges for the 2009–10 data are available in the 2009–10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11 S	ichool Year		,	2009–10 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				1	-	-	-	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	3	N/A	N/A	N/A	4	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Total				Total				
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 6	3	N/A	N/A	N/A	4	N/A	N/A	N/A	

<sup>+</sup> These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. April 20, 2012

# This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Publi	c	
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2011 Mean Score: 697	*Range:	640-780	674-780	700-780			
2010 Mean Score: 695 ■ 2010–11 ■ 2009–10	100%	97% 99%	83% <sub>78%</sub>	45% 47%	92% 92%	63% 61%	26% 27%
Number of Tested Students:	L	282 271	241 214	131 128			

Resultsby	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009-10	School Yea	r	
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	292	97%	83%	45%	275	99%	78%	47%
Female	127	96%	81%	41%	137	98%	78%	50%
Male	165	97%	84%	48%	138	99%	78%	43%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	•••••				6	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	44	93%	73%	27%	30	100%	53%	23%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	20	-	-	–	26	100%	92%	69%
White	226	97%	84%	46%	211	99%	80%	47%
Multiracial	2	-	-	–	2	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	22	100%	91%	73%	8	88%	75%	50%
General-Education Students	258	99%	88%	50%	241	100%	85%	53%
Students with Disabilities	34	79%	41%	9%	34	88%	26%	3%
English Proficient	278	97%	84%	46%	262	98%	79%	47%
Limited English Proficient	14	79%	50%	29%	13	100%	62%	31%
Economically Disadvantaged	34	94%	76%	26%	33	94%	55%	21%
Not Disadvantaged	258	97%	83%	47%	242	99%	81%	50%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	292	97%	83%	45%	275	99%	78%	47%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11	School Year			2009–10 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				1	-	-	-	

# This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Publ	lic	
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):	
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2011 Mean Score: 675	*Range:	642-790	665-790	698-790			
2010 Mean Score: 684	100%	97% 97%	72% 79%		91% 90%	48% 50%	
2010-11 2009-10				24% 12%			4% 11%
Number of Tested Students:		262 257	194 210	32 64			

Results by	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009-10	School Yea	r	
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	271	97%	72%	12%	266	97%	<b>79</b> %	24%
Female	135	97%	80%	16%	125	97%	84%	25%
Male	136	96%	63%	8%	141	96%	74%	23%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	7	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	32	97%	44%	6%	35	100%	51%	6%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	- 23	91%	65%	17%	13	100%	85%	8%
White	207	98%	76%	12%	210	97%	84%	29%
Multiracial	2	-	-	-	4	-	–	-
Small Group Totals	9	89%	78%	11%	8	75%	63%	0%
General-Education Students	235	99%	80%	13%	239	98%	84%	27%
Students with Disabilities	36	81%	14%	3%	27	81%	37%	0%
English Proficient	261	98%	74%	12%	260	97%	80%	25%
Limited English Proficient	10	70%	0%	0%	6	83%	17%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	33	91%	39%	9%	24	100%	38%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	238	97%	76%	12%	242	96%	83%	26%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	271	97%	72%	12%	266	97%	79%	24%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11 S	chool Year			2009–10 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2–4 3	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	3	_	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	3	N/A	N/A	N/A	3	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 7	3	N/A	N/A	N/A	3	N/A	N/A	N/A

<sup>+</sup> These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. April 20, 2012

# This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		<b>This District</b>			NY State Pub	lic	
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):	·	Percentage sco	oring at level(s):	
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2011 Mean Score: 698	*Range:	639-800	670-800	694-800			
2010 Mean Score: 704	100%	99% 99%	90% 93%	62%	92% 92%	65% 62%	30% 29%
Number of Tested Students:		271 265	246 249	169 190			_

Resultsby	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009-10	School Yea	r	
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	274	99%	90%	62%	269	99%	93%	71%
Female	137	99%	92%	65%	128	98%	93%	68%
Male	137	99%	88%	58%	141	99%	92%	73%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	7	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	32	100%	78%	34%	36	100%	89%	44%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	r 26	100%	100%	92%	15	100%	100%	100%
White	207	99%	91%	62%	210	98%	93%	75%
Multiracial	2	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	9	89%	78%	67%	8	100%	75%	25%
General-Education Students	238	100%	96%	70%	242	100%	97%	76%
Students with Disabilities	36	92%	50%	8%	27	85%	52%	22%
English Proficient	261	99%	90%	62%	260	98%	93%	71%
Limited English Proficient	13	100%	77%	62%	9	100%	67%	56%
Economically Disadvantaged	33	94%	67%	30%	25	100%	88%	28%
Not Disadvantaged	241	100%	93%	66%	244	98%	93%	75%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	274	99%	90%	62%	269	99%	93%	71%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010–11 data only. Ranges for the 2009–10 data are available in the 2009–10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11	School Year			2009–10 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	3	_	-	-	

# This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pub	lic	
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		Percentage sco	oring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2011 Mean Score: 672	*Range:	628-790	658-790	699-790			
2010 Mean Score: 675	100%	97% 98%	80% 77%		92% 91%	47% 51%	
2010-11 2009-10				18% 7%			2% 8%
Number of Tested Students:		256 247	210 196	18 45			

Results by	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009-10	School Yea	r	
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Studentoroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	264	97%	80%	7%	253	98%	77%	18%
Female	127	98%	80%	9%	130	100%	82%	20%
Male	137	96%	80%	4%	123	95%	73%	15%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	5	-	-	-	5	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	38	97%	63%	0%	37	97%	68%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	- 14	100%	64%	0%	19	89%	74%	21%
White	204	97%	85%	8%	191	98%	80%	21%
Multiracial	3	-	-	–	1	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	8	88%	38%	13%	6	100%	67%	0%
General-Education Students	234	100%	85%	8%	216	99%	88%	20%
Students with Disabilities	30	77%	37%	0%	37	89%	19%	3%
English Proficient	260	-	-	-	245	99%	79%	18%
Limited English Proficient	4	-	-	-	8	63%	38%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	24	96%	58%	4%	28	93%	64%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	240	97%	82%	7%	225	98%	79%	20%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	264	97%	80%	7%	253	98%	77%	18%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11 S	chool Year			2009–10 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number sco	scoring at level(s):	
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	3	-	_	-	4	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	4	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	4	N/A	N/A	N/A

<sup>+</sup> These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. April 20, 2012

# **This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics**

		<b>This District</b>			NY State Public					
		Percentage scor	ring at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):					
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4			
2011 Mean Score: 689	*Range:	639-775	674-775	704-775						
2010 Mean Score: 696	100%	96% 98%	78% 78%	38%	91% 91%	60% <sub>55%</sub>				
2009-10				26%			18% 18%			
Number of Tested Students:		252 252	205 200	68 97						

Results by	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
All Students	263	96%	78%	26%	258	98%	78%	38%	
Female	126	98%	74%	24%	132	97%	80%	39%	
Male	137	94%	82%	28%	126	98%	75%	37%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	5	-	-	-	5	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino	38	92%	61%	11%	38	100%	58%	18%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	r 14	100%	86%	57%	21	100%	90%	67%	
White	203	97%	82%	28%	193	97%	80%	39%	
Multiracial	3	-	-	–	1	-	-	-	
Small Group Totals	8	88%	38%	0%	6	83%	67%	0%	
General-Education Students	233	99%	86%	29%	220	99%	87%	43%	
Students with Disabilities	30	70%	17%	3%	38	89%	24%	5%	
English Proficient	259	-	-	-	246	98%	79%	38%	
imited English Proficient	4	-	-	-	12	92%	50%	33%	
Economically Disadvantaged	24	96%	46%	13%	29	100%	52%	17%	
Not Disadvantaged	239	96%	81%	27%	229	97%	81%	40%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	263	96%	78%	26%	258	98%	78%	38%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010–11 data only. Ranges for the 2009–10 data are available in the 2009–10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11	School Year		2009–10 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	3	-	_	-	4	-	-	-

# **This District's Results in Grade 8 Science**

	This District			NY State Public Percentage scoring at level(s):				
	Percentage sco	ring at level(s):	·					
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
<ul><li>■ 2010-11</li><li>■ 2009-10</li></ul>	100% 99% 100%	91% 92%	49% 58%	94% 94%	72% 74%	28% 33%		
Number of Tested Students:	261 252	239 233	128 147					

<b>Results by</b>	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Studentoroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	263	99%	91%	<b>49</b> %	253	100%	92%	58%	
Female	126	98%	88%	42%	129	100%	94%	57%	
Male	137	100%	93%	55%	124	99%	90%	60%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	5	-	-	–	5	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino	38	100%	79%	26%	38	100%	82%	34%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	r 14	100%	93%	64%	19	100%	95%	68%	
White	203	99%	93%	53%	190	99%	94%	63%	
Multiracial	3	-	-	–	1	-	-	-	
Small Group Totals	8	100%	88%	25%	6	100%	100%	17%	
General-Education Students	233	100%	96%	53%	217	100%	97%	67%	
Students with Disabilities	30	93%	50%	13%	36	100%	61%	6%	
English Proficient	259	_	_	-	242	100%	94%	60%	
Limited English Proficient	4	-	-	-	11	91%	55%	27%	
Economically Disadvantaged	24	100%	75%	21%	29	100%	76%	28%	
Not Disadvantaged	239	99%	92%	51%	224	100%	94%	62%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	263	99%	91%	49%	253	100%	92%	58%	


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2010-11 S	chool Year			2009–10 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	2	_			4	_			
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	3				4			_	
Regents Science	0				0				

# This District's Total Cohort\* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction



<b>Results by</b>	2007 <b>Coho</b> r	t		2006 Cohort**				
	Number	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Number	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	251	93%	92%	34%	235	93%	89%	37%
Female	122	95%	94%	45%	118	97%	93%	47%
Male	129	91%	90%	23%	117	89%	85%	26%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	6	83%	83%	0%	3	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	52	92%	88%	15%	28	86%	79%	14%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	11	100%	100%	73%	13	-	-	-
White	182	93%	93%	38%	190	94%	91%	39%
Multiracial		••••••••••	••••••	••••••	1	-	-	–
Small Group Totals			••••••	•••••	17	94%	94%	47%
General-Education Students	219	98%	98%	39%	200	98%	97%	42%
Students with Disabilities	32	59%	53%	0%	35	69%	49%	6%
English Proficient	247	-	_	-	233	-	-	-
imited English Proficient	4	–	-	-	2	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	51	92%	90%	25%	33	82%	73%	15%
Not Disadvantaged	200	94%	93%	36%	202	95%	92%	40%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	251	93%	92%	34%	235	93%	89%	37%

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

\*\* 2006 cohort data are those reported in the 2009–10 Accountability and Overview Report.

# This District's Total Cohort\* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction



Resultsby	2007 <b>Coho</b> r	t		2006 Cohort**				
	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	251	94%	90%	37%	235	94%	91%	44%
Female	122	95%	92%	46%	118	94%	92%	52%
Male	129	93%	89%	29%	117	93%	91%	37%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	6	83%	83%	0%	3	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	52	94%	88%	8%	28	89%	82%	29%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	11	100%	100%	73%	13	-	-	-
White	182	94%	91%	45%	190	94%	93%	44%
Multiracial	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••		•••••	1	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••		•••••	17	94%	94%	76%
General-Education Students	219	99%	98%	42%	200	99%	97%	51%
Students with Disabilities	32	63%	41%	0%	35	66%	63%	6%
English Proficient	247	-	-	-	233	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	4	–	-	-	2	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	51	96%	92%	24%	33	91%	85%	24%
Not Disadvantaged	200	94%	90%	41%	202	94%	93%	48%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	251	94%	90%	37%	235	94%	91%	44%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

\*\* 2006 cohort data are those reported in the 2009–10 Accountability and Overview Report.