

The New York State District Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2010 - 11

District SCARSDALE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT District ID 66-20-01-03-0000 Superintendent MICHAEL MCGILL Telephone (914) 721-2410 Grades K-12, US

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents' effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

Use this report to:

Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

Review District 2 Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies the district's accountability status.

View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2011-12 accountability status.



Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: dataquest@mail.nysed.gov

District ID 66-20-01-03-0000

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2008–09	2009–10	2010-11
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	335	321	307
Grade 1	342	361	357
Grade 2	372	349	372
Grade 3	387	381	366
Grade 4	389	380	380
Grade 5	369	388	388
Grade 6	395	370	407
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Grade 7	339	395	370
Grade 8	333	340	398
Grade 9	363	338	346
Grade 10	370	361	336
Grade 11	357	369	358
Grade 12	357	353	368
Ungraded Secondary	8	12	13
Total K–12	4716	4718	4766

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

	2008–09	2009–10	2010-11
Common Branch	20	20	20
Grade 8			
English	18	20	23
Mathematics	17	19	20
Science	18	19	22
Social Studies	18	20	23
Grade 10			
English	20	21	20
Mathematics	19	18	22
Science	21	20	20
Social Studies	20	20	21

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

Demographic Factors

	200	8-09	200	9–10	201	0-11
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Reduced-Price Lunch	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	115	2%	122	3%	110	2%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black or African American	72	2%	65	1%	60	1%
Hispanic or Latino	151	3%	176	4%	237	5%
Asian or Native	572	12%	604	13%	645	14%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	3764	80%	3673	78%	3607	76%
Multiracial	157	3%	200	4%	217	5%

* Available only at the school level.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2007-08		2008-09		2009-10	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		97%		97%		97%
Student Suspensions	12	0%	9	0%	10	0%

District ID 66-20-01-03-0000

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

Teacher Qualifications

	2008–09	2009-10	2010-11
Total Number of Teachers	415	415	411
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	0%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	0%	0%	0%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	1%	2%	1%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	67%	69%	69%
Total Number of Core Classes	985	1008	1018
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers in This District	0%	1%	1%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified in High-Poverty Schools Statewide	8%	6%	5%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified in Low-Poverty Schools Statewide	1%	1%	0%
Total Number of Classes	1370	1369	1396
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	1%	2%	0%

Teacher Turnover Rate

	2007-08	2008–09	2009-10
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	8%	14%	6%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	8%	7%	8%

Staff Counts

	2008–09	2009–10	2010-11
Total Other Professional Staff	51	48	50
Total Paraprofessionals*	128	129	129
Assistant Principals	4	5	5
Principals	7	7	7

* Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. To be Highly Qualified, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. A teacher who taught one class outside of the certification area(s) is counted as Highly Qualified provided that 1) the teacher had been determined by the school or district through the HOUSSE process or other state-accepted methods to have demonstrated acceptable subject knowledge and teaching skills and 2) the class in question was not the sole assignment reported. Credit for incidental teaching does not extend beyond a single assignment. Independent of Highly Qualified Teacher status, any assignment for which a teacher did not hold a valid certificate still registers as teaching out of certification. High-poverty and low-poverty schools are those schools in the upper and lower quartiles, respectively, for percentage of students eligible for a free or reduced-price lunch.

Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2010–11, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).





1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2010–11 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2007 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group, if it has 30 or more students, must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the group must meet both the participation criterion and the performance criterion in science.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2006 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2010 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (80%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2006 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2010 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (80%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

12th Graders

The count of 12th graders enrolled during the 2010–11 school year used to determine the Percentage Tested for the Participation part of the AYP determination for secondarylevel ELA and mathematics. These are the first numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the secondary-level ELA and mathematics pages.

2007 Cohort

The count of students in the 2007 accountability cohort used to determine the Performance Index for the Test Performance part of the AYP determination for secondary-level ELA and mathematics. These are the second numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the secondary-level ELA and mathematics pages.

Accountability Cohort for English and Mathematics

The accountability cohort is used to determine if a school or district met the performance criterion in secondary-level ELA and mathematics. The 2007 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2007–08 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2007–08 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2010 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2011, are not included in the 2007 school accountability cohort. The 2007 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p) (14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuous Enrollment

The count of continuously enrolled tested students used to determine the Performance Index for the Test Performance part of the AYP determination for elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science. These are the second numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the elementary/ middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science pages.

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make AYP. The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at **www.p12.nysed.gov/irs.**

Graduation Rate

The Graduation Rate on the Graduation Rate page is the percentage of the 2006 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2010.

Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

The Graduation-Rate Total Cohort, shown on the Graduation Rate page, is used to determine if a school or district made AYP in graduation rate. For the 2010–11 school year, this cohort is the 2006 graduation-rate total cohort. The 2006 total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2006–07 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2006–07 school year, and who were enrolled in the school/ district for five months or longer or who were enrolled in the school/district for less than five months but were previously enrolled in the same school/district for five months or longer between the date they first entered Grade 9 and the date they last ended enrollment. A more detailed definition of graduation-rate cohort can be found in the *SIRS Manual* at **www.p12.nysed.gov/irs**.

For districts and schools with fewer than 30 graduation-rate total cohort members in the All Students group in 2010–11, data for 2009–10 and 2010–11 for accountability groups were combined to determine counts and graduation rates. Groups with fewer than 30 students in the graduation-rate total cohort are not required to meet the graduation-rate criterion.

Limited English Proficient

For all accountability measures, if the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

Non-Accountability Groups

Female, Male, and Migrant groups are not part of the AYP determination for any measure.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability (continued)

Participation

Accountability groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period (for elementary/middlelevel ELA, math, and science) or fewer than 40 12th graders (for secondary-level ELA and mathematics) are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the Percentage Tested for an accountability group fell below 95 percent for ELA and math or 80 percent for science in 2010–11, the participation enrollment ("Total" or "12th Graders") shown in the tables is the sum of 2009–10 and 2010–11 participation enrollments and the "Percentage Tested" shown is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

Performance Index (PI)

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.p12.nysed.gov/irs.**

Progress Targets

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making AYP or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Science: The current year's Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the previous year's Performance Index (PI). Example: The 2010–11 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2009–10 PI.

Graduation Rate: The Graduation-rate Progress Target is calculated by determining a 20% gap reduction between the rate of the previous year's graduation-rate cohort and the state standard. Example: The 2010–11 Graduation-Rate Progress Target = [(80 – percentage of the 2005 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009) × 0.20] + percentage of the 2005 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009.

Progress Targets are provided for groups whose PI (for science) or graduation rate (for graduation rate) is below the State Standard.

Safe Harbor Targets

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate AYP for accountability groups that do not achieve their EAMOs in English or mathematics. The 2010–11 safe harbor targets are calculated using the following equation: $2009-10 \text{ PI} + (200 - \text{the } 2009-10 \text{ PI}) \times 0.10$

Safe Harbor Targets are provided for groups whose PI is less than the EAMO.

Safe Harbor Qualification (*)

On the science page, if the group met both the participation and the performance criteria for science, the Safe Harbor Qualification column will show "Qualified." If the group did not meet one or more criteria, the column will show "Did not qualify." A "‡" symbol after the 2010–11 Safe Harbor Target on the elementary/middle- or secondary-level ELA or mathematics page indicates that the student group did not make AYP in science (elementary/middle level) or graduation rate (secondary level) and; therefore, the group did not qualify for Safe Harbor in ELA or mathematics.

State Standard

The criterion value that represents minimally satisfactory performance (for science) or a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma (for graduation rate). In 2010–11, the State Science Standard is a Performance Index of 100; the State Graduation-Rate Standard is 80%. The Commissioner may raise the State Standard at his discretion in future years.

Students with Disabilities

For all measures, if the count of students with disabilities is equal to or greater than 30, former students with disabilities are also included in the performance calculations.

Test Performance

For districts and schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students (for elementary/middle-level ELA, math, and science) or fewer than 30 students in the 2007 cohort (for secondary-level ELA and mathematics) in the All Students group in 2010–11, data for 2009–10 and 2010–11 for accountability groups were combined to determine counts and Performance Indices. For districts and schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students/2007 cohort members in the All Students group in 2010–11, student groups with fewer than 30 members are not required to meet the performance criterion. This is indicated by a "—" in the Test Performance column in the table.

Total

The count of students enrolled during the test administration period used to determine the Percentage Tested for the Participation part of the AYP determination for elementary/ middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science. These are the first numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science pages. For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons in accordance with federal NCLB guidance are not included in the count.

District ID 66-20-01-03-0000

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irs/accountability/.

Federal Title I Status	New York State Status
(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)	(Applies to New York State districts)
 District in Good Standing A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not bee or a District Requiring Academic Progress. 	n identified as a District in Need of Improvement
District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

Pending – A district's status is "Pending" if the district requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

2 District Accountability

District SCARSDALE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT


District ID 66-20-01-03-0000

Summary

Overall Accountability	Good Standing					
Status (2011–12)	ELA Good Stan	ding Science	Good Standing			
	Math 📕 Good Stan	ding Graduation	Rate Good Standing			
Title I Part A Funding	Years the District F	Received Title I Part A Fund	ding			
	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12			
	NO	NO	YES			

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/	Middle Level		Secondary Lo	Secondary Level			
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate		
All Students	~	v	 Image: A start of the start of	 ✓ 	v	v		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	_	_	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	_	–	••••		
Hispanic or Latino	✓	~		_	_			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	v	v		v	~			
White	 	~		~	~	••••		
Multiracial	✓	✓	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	–	–	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities	 Image: A start of the start of	 ✓ 		v	 ✓ 			
Limited English Proficient	✓	✓	••••	–	–	••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	••••		
Student groups making AYP in each subject	🗸 7 of 7	🗸 7 of 7	🖌 1 of 1	🖌 4 of 4	🖌 4 of 4	✔ 1 of 1		



Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2011–12)	•	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	7 of 7	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2012-13. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation		Test Performance		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment)	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2010-11	2011–12
Accountability Groups								
AllStudents (2326:2281)	 	v	100%	V	185	120		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (22:22)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (116:113)	<	 	100%	 ✓ 	180	112		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (347:319)	~	 Image: A start of the start of	99%	~	184	116		
White (1744:1730)	<	 	100%	 ✓ 	186	119	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
Multiracial (97:97)	✓	 	100%	 ✓ 	188	112	••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (172:177)	~	v	100%	~	121	114		
Limited English Proficient (50:25)	~	v	96%	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (0:0)								
Final AYP Determination	🗸 7 of 7	7						
Non-Accountability Groups								
Female (1179:1155)			100%		188	119		
Male (1147:1126)	•••••••	••••••••••••••••	100%		183	119	••••••••••••••	••••
Migrant (0:0)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••	•••••••••••	••••

used on this page.

NOTE: See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels

Symbols

- Made AYP
- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30
 Continuous Enrollment
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

District ID 66-20-01-03-0000

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2011–12)		Good Standing
Accountability Measures	7 of 7	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2012-13. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP Participation		n Test Performance		Performance Objectives			
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target
(Total: Continuous Enrollment)	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2010-11	2011-12
Accountability Groups								
All Students (2326:2300)	~	V	100%	V	190	135		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native								
(0:0)								
Black or African American								
(22:22)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (116:114)	~	v	100%	v	179	127		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (347:337)	~	v	99%	v	195	131		
White (1744:1730)	~	~	100%	~	190	134		••••
Multiracial (97:97)	<	 	100%	~	192	127		••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (172:176)	~	~	99%	v	133	129		
Limited English Proficient (50:89)	~	~	100%	✓	192	126		
Economically Disadvantaged (0:0)								
Final AYP Determination	🗸 7 of 7	7						·
Non-Accountability Groups								
Female (1179:1172)			100%		190	134		
Male (1147:1128)	• ••••	••••••••	100%		191	134		••••
Migrant (0:0)	• •••••	••••••	••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••	••• •••••	••••

used on this page.

NOTE: See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels

Symbols

- Made AYP
- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30
 Continuous Enrollment
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status for This Subject (2011–12)	•	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in science
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2012-13. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participatio	on	Test Performance		Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment)	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progres 2010–11	s Target 2011–12
Accountability Groups									
All Students (792:772)	~	Qualified	~	99%	v	197	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (5:5)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (42:41)	••••••	Qualified	✓	100%	 	198	100		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (111:109)	•••••	Qualified	~	100%	~	199	100		
White (603:587)	• • • • • • • • • • •	Qualified	✓	99%	 	196	100		
Multiracial (31:30)	• • • • • • • • • • •	Qualified	-	-	~	197	100		
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (63:60)		Qualified	~	95%	~	167	100		
Limited English Proficient (12:10)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (0:0)									
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 o	f 1							
Non-Accountability Groups									
Female (407:403)				100%		197	100		
Male (385:369)				98%		196	100		
Migrant (0:0)									

Symbols

- Made AYP
- X Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30
 Continuous Enrollment

April 20, 2012

NOTE: See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

District ID 66-20-01-03-0000

Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2011–12)	•	Good Standing					
Accountability Measures	4 of 4	Student groups making AYP in English language arts					
	 ✓ 	Made AYP					
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2012-13. [201]					

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English language arts accountability measures?

AYP Participation		on	Test Perfor	mance	Performance Objectives		
	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target
Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2010-11	2011–12
~	 Image: A set of the set of the	100%	V	198	177		
-	-	-	-	-	-	••••	-
-	_	-	–	-	-	••••	–
✓	-	-	~	193	168	••••	
<	 ✓ 	100%	 ✓ 	200	177	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••
-	-	-	-	-	-	••• •••	–
~	-	-	v	185	168		
-	-	-	-	-	-		-
						••••	
🖌 4 of 4	1						
		100%		199	175		
		100%		197	175		
	Status	Met Status Criterion	Met Criterion Percentage Tested Image: Criterion Tested Image: Criterion Image: Criterion Image: Criterion Ima	Status Met Criterion Percentage Tested Met Criterion Image: Criterion Image: Criterion Image: Criterion Image: Criterion Image: Criterion Image: Criterion Image: Criterion Image: Criterion Image: Criterion Image: Criterion Image: Criterion Image: Criterion Image: Criterion Image: Criterion Image: Criterion Image: Criterio Image: Criterio	Met Criterion Percentage Tested Met Criterion Performance Index Met Criterion Performance Index Met Criterion Performance Index Met Criterion Performance Index Met Criterion Performance Index Met Criterion Met Index Performance Index Met Criterion Performance Index Met Criterion Performance Index Met Criterion Performance Index Met Index Met Criterion Performance Index Met Index Met Index Performance Index Met Index Met Index Performance Index Met Index Met Index Performance Index Met Index Index Index Index Index Index	Status Met Criterion Percentage Tested Met Criterion Performance Index Effective AMO V 100% 198 177 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - <td>Met Criterion Percentage Tested Met Criterion Performance Index Effective AMO Safe Harba 2010-11 Image: Image:</td>	Met Criterion Percentage Tested Met Criterion Performance Index Effective AMO Safe Harba 2010-11 Image:

used on this page.

NOTE: See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels

Symbols

Made AYP

- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 12th Graders/Fewer Than 30 Cohort
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2011–12)	•	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	4 of 4	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2012-13. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level mathematics accountability measures?

AYP Participation		on	Test Perfor	lest Performance		Performance Objectives		
	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target		
Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2010-11	2011–12	
V	v	100%	V	197	174			
-	-	-	-	-	-		_	
_	_	-	-	-	-	••••••••••••••	–	
~	-	-	~	190	165			
<	 	100%	 ✓ 	199	174	••••	••••	
–	_	-	–	-	-	••• •••	-	
~	-	-	v	173	165			
-	-	-	-	-	-		-	
	••••••	••••				••• ••••	•••••	
🗸 4 of 4	1							
		100%		197	172			
		100%		197	172	•••••••••••••••		
	Status	Status Met Criterion	Met Criterion Percentage Tested ✓ 100% ✓ 100% – – – – ✓ 100% – – ✓ 100% – – ✓ <	Met Status Met Criterion Percentage Tested Met Criterion Image: Criterion Image: Criterion Image: Criterion Image: Criterion Image: Criterion Image: Criterion Image: Criterion Image: Criterion Image: Criterion Image: Criterion Image: Criterion Image: Criterion Image: Criterion Image: Criterion Image: Criterion Image: Criterion Image: Criterion Image: Criter	Met Status Percentage Tested Met Criterion Performance Index V 100% V 197 V 100% V 197 Image: Construction of the state of the	Net Criterion Percentage Tested Met Criterion Performance Index Effective AMO Image: Criterion Image: Criterion Image: Criterion Image: Criterion Effective AMO Image: Criterion Image: Criterion Image: Criterion Image: Criterion Effective AMO Image: Criterion Image: Criterion Image: Criterion Image: Criterion Image: Criterion Effective AMO Image: Criterion Image: Criterion Image: Criterion Image: Criterion Image: Criterion Effective AMO Image: Criterion Effective AMO Image: Criterion Image: Criterion	Met Status Percentage (riterion Met rested Performance (riterion Effective (ndex Safe Harbo (AMO) V 100% V 197 174 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	

used on this page.

NOTE: See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels

Symbols

Made AYP

- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 12th Graders/Fewer Than 30 Cohort
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

Graduation Rate

Accountability Status for Th Indicator (2011–12)	is 🗖	Good Standing
Accountability Measures		Student groups making AYP in graduation rate
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2012-13. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Graduati	on	Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Graduation	State	Progress Target
(2006 Graduation-Rate Total Cohort)	AYP	Criterion	Rate	Standard	2010–11
Accountability Groups					
All Students (359)	~	 ✓ 	99%	80%	
Ethnicity					
American Indian or Alaska Native (0)					
Black or African American (11)	••••••	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino (7)		_	-	-	••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (40)	••••••	✓	100%	80%	••••
White (295)	••••••	✓	99%	80%	••••
Multiracial (6)	••••••	-	-	-	
Other Groups					
Students with Disabilities (33)		 Image: A start of the start of	97%	80%	
Limited English Proficient (3)	••••••	-	-	-	
Economically Disadvantaged (0)	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 of 1	_			
Non-Accountability Groups					
Female (173)			100%	80%	
Male (186)	••••••		99%	80%	
Migrant (0)	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••	

Symbols

Made AYP

X Did not make AYP

NOTE: See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

Fewer than 30 Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

Aspirational Goal

The Board of Regents has set an aspirational goal that 95% of students in each public school and school district will graduate within five years of first entry into grade 9. The graduation rate for the 2006 total cohort through June 2011 (after 5 years) for this district is **99%** and, therefore, this district **did** meet this goal. The aspirational goal does not impact accountability.

2011–12 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2011–12 accountability status.

In Good Standing

7 schools identified 100% of total

EDGEWOOD SCHOOL FOX MEADOW SCHOOL GREENACRES SCHOOL HEATHCOTE SCHOOL QUAKER RIDGE SCHOOL SCARSDALE MIDDLE SCHOOL SCARSDALE SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL

Summary of 2010–11 District Performance

4

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

		age of stuc at or above	Total Tested	
English Language Arts	0%		50%	100%
Grade 3	88%			361
Grade 4	89%			375
Grade 5	82%			381
Grade 6	87% 🗖			401
Grade 7	88%			365
Grade 8	87% 🗖			404
Mathematics				
Grade 3	91%			363
Grade 4	92%			380
Grade 5	93%			389
Grade 6	89% 🗖			406
Grade 7	90% 🗖			368
Grade 8	92%			403
Science				
Grade 4	98%			377
Grade 8	96%			398
		age of stuc at or above		2007 Total Cohort
Secondary Level	0%		50%	100%
English	98%			374
Mathematics	97% 🗖			374

District ID 66-20-01-03-0000

About the Performance Level Descriptors

English Language Arts

Level 1: Below Standard

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level.

Level 2: Meets Basic Standard

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level.

Level 3: Meets Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level.

Level 4: Exceeds Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level.

Mathematics

Level 1: Below Standard

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

Level 2: Meets Basic Standard

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

Level 3: Meets Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

Level 4: Exceeds Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.p12.nysed.gov/irs**.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

This District's N/RC Category:

Low Need Districts

This is a school district with low student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Public				
		Percentage sco	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3–4	4		
2011 Mean Score: 678	*Range:	644-780	663-780	694-780					
2010 Mean Score: 684	100%	98% 96%	88% 78%		87% 86%	56% 55%			
2010-11				35%		_			
2009-10				15%			17% 5%		
Number of Tested Students:		354 361	318 291	54 131					

Results by	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	361	98%	88%	15%	375	96%	78%	35%
Female	173	99%	94%	21%	201	97%	83%	40%
Male	188	97%	83%	10%	174	96%	72%	29%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	15	-	-	-	15	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	- 59	98%	86%	22%	56	98%	82%	34%
White	269	98%	87%	13%	281	96%	75%	33%
Multiracial	16	100%	100%	38%	22	95%	91%	50%
Small Group Totals	17	94%	94%	6%	16	100%	88%	44%
General-Education Students	330	99%	93%	16%	352	99%	82%	37%
Students with Disabilities	31	84%	35%	0%	23	52%	17%	4%
English Proficient	352	98%	89%	15%	367	97%	79%	35%
Limited English Proficient	9	100%	56%	0%	8	75%	25%	13%
Economically Disadvantaged								
Not Disadvantaged	361	98%	88%	15%	375	96%	78%	35%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	361	98%	88%	15%	375	96%	78%	35%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010–11 data only. Ranges for the 2009–10 data are available in the 2009–10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11	School Year			2009–10 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Languag Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	e 2	N/A	N/A	N/A	5	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 3	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	4	N/A	N/A	N/A

⁺ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. April 20, 2012

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Pub	lic		
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2011 Mean Score: 704	*Range:	662-770	684-770	707-770				
2010 Mean Score: 702 2010–11 2009–10	100%	99% 98%	91% 83%	43% 33%	91% 91%	60% 59%	24% 13%	
Number of Tested Students:		359 372	330 313	155 124				

Resultsby	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	363	99%	91%	43%	379	98%	83%	33%
Female	175	99%	93%	37%	202	99%	81%	33%
Male	188	98%	89%	48%	177	98%	84%	33%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	15	-	-	–	15	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	r 60	98%	97%	57%	58	98%	91%	47%
White	270	99%	90%	40%	283	98%	81%	28%
Multiracial	16	100%	100%	44%	22	100%	86%	50%
Small Group Totals	17	100%	71%	29%	16	100%	81%	38%
General-Education Students	332	100%	95%	46%	356	99%	85%	35%
Students with Disabilities	31	87%	48%	6%	23	91%	43%	4%
English Proficient	352	99%	91%	43%	367	98%	83%	33%
Limited English Proficient	11	100%	100%	18%	12	92%	75%	17%
Economically Disadvantaged								
Not Disadvantaged	363	99%	91%	43%	379	98%	83%	33%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	363	99%	91%	43%	379	98%	83%	33%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010–11 data only. Ranges for the 2009–10 data are available in the 2009–10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11	School Year		2009–10 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pub	olic	
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):		Percentage sc		
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2011 Mean Score: 694	*Range:	637-775	671-775	722-775			
2010 Mean Score: 691	100%	98% 100%	89% 85%		92% 92%	57% 57%	
2010-11 2009-10				9% 11%	н.		<u>2%</u> 6%
Number of Tested Students:		368 379	335 323	35 42			

Results by	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	375	98%	89 %	9 %	380	100%	85%	11%
Female	200	99%	91%	14%	202	100%	87%	13%
Male	175	98%	87%	5%	178	100%	83%	8%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	19	-	_	-	13	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	57	96%	91%	16%	55	100%	87%	15%
White	278	99%	89%	8%	283	100%	84%	11%
Multiracial	20	95%	85%	20%	27	100%	85%	11%
Small Group Totals	20	100%	90%	5%	15	100%	87%	0%
General-Education Students	351	100%	94%	10%	353	100%	88%	12%
Students with Disabilities	24	75%	21%	0%	27	96%	48%	0%
English Proficient	369	98%	90%	9%	374	100%	86%	11%
Limited English Proficient	6	83%	33%	0%	6	100%	50%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged								
Not Disadvantaged	375	98%	89%	9%	380	100%	85%	11%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	375	98%	89%	9%	380	100%	85%	11%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010–11 data only. Ranges for the 2009–10 data are available in the 2009–10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11 S	ichool Year			2009–10 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4 Tested		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	5	N/A	N/A	N/A	3	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 4	5	N/A	N/A	N/A	3	N/A	N/A	N/A

⁺ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. April 20, 2012

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Pub	lic		
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2011 Mean Score: 716	*Range:	636-800	676-800	707-800				
2010 Mean Score: 712 2010-11 2009-10	100%	99% 99%	92% 93%	67% 59%	94% 95%	67% 64%	27% 26%	
Number of Tested Students:		375 381	350 358	256 225				

Results by	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	380	99%	92%	67%	383	99%	93%	59%
Female	204	100%	92%	67%	203	100%	93%	55%
Male	176	98%	93%	68%	180	99%	94%	63%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	20	100%	80%	75%	13	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	61	100%	98%	85%	57	100%	100%	79%
White	278	99%	92%	62%	284	99%	93%	54%
Multiracial	20	-	-	–	27	100%	93%	74%
Small Group Totals	21	95%	90%	76%	15	100%	87%	53%
General-Education Students	356	100%	96%	71%	356	100%	97%	62%
Students with Disabilities	24	79%	38%	8%	27	93%	52%	22%
English Proficient	369	99%	92%	68%	374	99%	94%	59%
Limited English Proficient	11	91%	91%	45%	9	100%	89%	33%
Economically Disadvantaged								
Not Disadvantaged	380	99%	92%	67%	383	99%	93%	59%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	380	99%	92%	67%	383	99%	93%	59%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010–11 data only. Ranges for the 2009–10 data are available in the 2009–10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11	School Year			2009–10 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	1	-	-	_	0			

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science

		This District			NY State Pub	lic		
		Percentage sco	oring at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3–4	4	
2011 Mean Score: 89	Range:	45-100	65-100	85-100				
2010 Mean Score: 90 2010-11 2009-10	100%	100% 99%	98% 98%	81% 83%	98% 97%	88% 88%	52% 55%	
Number of Tested Students:		376 377	369 373	304 314				

Results by	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	le scoring a	t level(s):
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	377	100%	98%	81%	379	99%	98%	83%
Female	203	100%	99%	80%	200	100%	99%	81%
Male	174	99%	97%	82%	179	99%	98%	85%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	20	100%	100%	85%	13	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	61	100%	98%	89%	57	100%	100%	88%
White	276	100%	98%	79%	280	99%	98%	81%
Multiracial	19	-	-	-	27	100%	96%	93%
Small Group Totals	20	100%	95%	80%	15	100%	100%	80%
General-Education Students	353	100%	100%	84%	353	99%	99%	86%
Students with Disabilities	24	96%	67%	29%	26	100%	88%	42%
English Proficient	366	100%	98%	81%	370	99%	98%	83%
Limited English Proficient	11	100%	100%	55%	9	100%	100%	67%
Economically Disadvantaged								
Not Disadvantaged	377	100%	98%	81%	379	99%	98%	83%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	377	100%	98%	81%	379	99%	98%	83%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2010-11 \$	School Year		2009–10 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	1	-	_	-	0			

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pul	olic	
		Percentage sco	oring at level(s):		Percentage sc		
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2011 Mean Score: 679	*Range:	648-795	668-795	700-795			
2010 Mean Score: 693	100%	98% 99%	82% 81%		89% 88%	54% 52%	
2010-11				30%			
2009-10				10%			4% 13%
Number of Tested Students:		373 385	311 316	40 118			

Resultsby	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
All Students	381	98%	82%	10%	389	99 %	81%	30%	
Female	205	98%	86%	13%	199	98%	83%	30%	
Male	176	98%	77%	8%	190	99%	79%	31%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	4	-	-	-	6	100%	83%	33%	
Hispanic or Latino	16	-	-	-	11	100%	55%	18%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	52	96%	88%	12%	61	95%	80%	38%	
White	284	98%	80%	10%	298	100%	83%	29%	
Multiracial	25	100%	88%	20%	13	100%	69%	31%	
Small Group Totals	20	100%	75%	5%					
General-Education Students	355	99%	85%	11%	374	99%	83%	32%	
Students with Disabilities	26	81%	35%	0%	15	93%	47%	0%	
English Proficient	377	_	_	-	382	99%	82%	31%	
Limited English Proficient	4	-	-	-	7	71%	57%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged									
Not Disadvantaged	381	98%	82%	10%	389	99%	81%	30%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	381	98%	82%	10%	389	99%	81%	30%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010–11 data only. Ranges for the 2009–10 data are available in the 2009–10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11 S	chool Year			2009–10 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				1	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	6	N/A	N/A	N/A	4	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 5	6	N/A	N/A	N/A	3	N/A	N/A	N/A

⁺ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. April 20, 2012

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Pub	lic		
		Percentage scor	ring at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2011 Mean Score: 706	*Range:	640-780	676-780	707-780				
2010 Mean Score: 700 ■ 2010-11 ■ 2009-10	100%	99% 99%	93% _{87%}	50% 38%	94% 94%	66% 65%	23% 24%	
Number of Tested Students:		386 390	362 341	194 150				

Results by	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009-10	2009–10 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	389	99%	93%	50%	392	99%	87%	38%	
Female	210	99%	92%	49%	201	99%	87%	36%	
Male	179	99%	94%	51%	191	100%	87%	41%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	4	-	-	–	6	100%	67%	17%	
Hispanic or Latino	16	-	-	–	11	91%	64%	18%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	- 59	100%	100%	59%	64	98%	84%	48%	
White	285	99%	91%	47%	298	100%	89%	37%	
Multiracial	25	100%	96%	72%	13	100%	92%	46%	
Small Group Totals	20	100%	95%	35%					
General-Education Students	363	100%	97%	53%	377	100%	89%	40%	
Students with Disabilities	26	92%	42%	8%	15	93%	47%	0%	
English Proficient	377	99%	93%	50%	382	100%	87%	38%	
Limited English Proficient	12	100%	92%	50%	10	90%	90%	50%	
Economically Disadvantaged									
Not Disadvantaged	389	99%	93%	50%	392	99%	87%	38%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	389	99%	93%	50%	392	99%	87%	38%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11 9	School Year		2009–10 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				1	-	-	-

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

	This	5 District			NY State	NY State Public				
	Perc	entage sco	ring at level(s):		Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
	2-4	Ļ	3-4	4	2-4	3–4	4			
2011 Mean Score: 675	*Range: 644	-785	662-785	694-785	i					
2010 Mean Score: 681	100%	6 98%	87% 86%		88% 89%	56% 54%				
2010-11 2009-10				_{12%} 16%			4% 7%			
Number of Tested Students:	399	359	348 314	48 59						

Resultsby	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
All Students	401	100%	87%	12%	366	98%	86%	16%	
Female	202	100%	87%	17%	174	99%	86%	17%	
Male	199	99%	86%	7%	192	97%	85%	15%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	6	100%	67%	0%	4	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino	21	100%	71%	24%	15	93%	67%	7%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	61	98%	80%	11%	45	98%	87%	24%	
White	299	100%	90%	12%	292	99%	86%	15%	
Multiracial	14	100%	86%	0%	10	-	-	-	
Small Group Totals	•••••				14	93%	93%	21%	
General-Education Students	376	100%	90%	13%	346	100%	89%	17%	
Students with Disabilities	25	96%	36%	0%	20	65%	25%	0%	
English Proficient	398	-	-	-	359	98%	86%	16%	
Limited English Proficient	3	-	-	-	7	86%	57%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged									
Not Disadvantaged	401	100%	87%	12%	366	98%	86%	16%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	401	100%	87%	12%	366	98%	86%	16%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11 S	ichool Year			2009–10 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	6	N/A	N/A	N/A	3	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 6	6	N/A	N/A	N/A	3	N/A	N/A	N/A

⁺ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. April 20, 2012

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

	This Distric	t		NY State Pub	olic		
	Percentage s	coring at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
	2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3–4	4	
2011 Mean Score: 703	*Range: 640-780	674-780	700-780				
2010 Mean Score: 695 2010-11 2009-10	100% 100% 99%	89% 83%	54%	92% 92%	63% 61%	26% 27%	
Number of Tested Students:	404 369	363 309	220 152				

Results by	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	406	100%	89%	54%	372	99%	83%	41%
Female	207	100%	86%	49%	178	99%	79%	36%
Male	199	99%	92%	60%	194	99%	87%	45%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	6	100%	83%	17%	4	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	22	95%	73%	32%	15	100%	73%	33%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	65	100%	89%	68%	48	100%	85%	63%
White	299	100%	91%	54%	295	99%	83%	38%
Multiracial	14	100%	93%	57%	10	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	•••••				14	100%	79%	36%
General-Education Students	381	99%	92%	57%	352	100%	87%	43%
Students with Disabilities	25	100%	52%	8%	20	85%	15%	0%
English Proficient	397	99%	90%	55%	362	99%	83%	41%
Limited English Proficient	9	100%	78%	22%	10	100%	70%	40%
Economically Disadvantaged								
Not Disadvantaged	406	100%	89%	54%	372	99%	83%	41%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	406	100%	89%	54%	372	99%	83%	41%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010–11 data only. Ranges for the 2009–10 data are available in the 2009–10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other Assessments	2010-11	School Year			2009–10 School Year			
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	1	-	_	_	3	-	-	_

This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pub	lic		
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3–4	4	
2011 Mean Score: 679	*Range:	642-790	665-790	698-790				
2010 Mean Score: 694	100%	98% 100%	88% 87%		91% 90%	48% 50%		
2010-11				33%				
2009-10				12%			4% 11%	
Number of Tested Students:		359 389	320 341	44 129				

Results by	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	365	98 %	88%	12%	390	100%	87%	33%
Female	177	99%	88%	16%	190	100%	91%	37%
Male	188	98%	88%	8%	200	100%	84%	30%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	5	80%	60%	0%	4	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	20	100%	75%	5%	15	93%	67%	13%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	45	100%	82%	18%	48	100%	92%	40%
White	284	98%	90%	12%	314	100%	88%	32%
Multiracial	11	100%	82%	9%	9	-	-	-
Small Group Totals					13	100%	92%	46%
General-Education Students	343	100%	91%	13%	368	100%	91%	35%
Students with Disabilities	22	73%	32%	0%	22	95%	32%	0%
English Proficient	363	_	_	-	390	100%	87%	33%
Limited English Proficient	2	-	-	-				
Economically Disadvantaged								
Not Disadvantaged	365	98%	88%	12%	390	100%	87%	33%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	365	98%	88%	12%	390	100%	87%	33%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010–11 data only. Ranges for the 2009–10 data are available in the 2009–10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11 \$	School Year		-	2009–10 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	5	5	4	4	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	3	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Total				Total				
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 7	3	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	

⁺ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. April 20, 2012

This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Pub	lic		
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2011 Mean Score: 697	*Range:	639-800	670-800	694-800				
2010 Mean Score: 685	100%	99% 99%	90% 78%	60%	92% 92%	65% 62%	30% 29%	
2009-10								
Number of Tested Students:		363 390	331 307	219 139				

Resultsby	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	368	99%	90%	60%	395	99%	78%	35%
Female	179	98%	90%	54%	193	99%	77%	31%
Male	189	99%	90%	65%	202	99%	79%	39%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	5	80%	60%	20%	4	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	20	95%	90%	50%	16	88%	63%	6%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	48	100%	94%	71%	48	100%	92%	54%
White	284	99%	90%	59%	316	99%	76%	33%
Multiracial	11	100%	73%	55%	11	-	-	-
Small Group Totals					15	100%	87%	53%
General-Education Students	346	100%	94%	63%	373	100%	82%	37%
Students with Disabilities	22	77%	32%	5%	22	82%	9%	0%
English Proficient	363	99%	90%	60%	394	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	5	100%	80%	20%	1	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged								
Not Disadvantaged	368	99%	90%	60%	395	99%	78%	35%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	368	99%	90%	60%	395	99%	78%	35%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11	School Year		2009–10 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	5	5	4	4

This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

	This District	1		NY State Public				
	Percentage so	coring at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):				
	2–4	3-4	4	2-4	3–4	4		
2011 Mean Score: 676	*Range: 628–790	658-790	699-790					
2010 Mean Score: 687	100% 100%100%	87% 88%		92% 91%	47% 51%			
2009-10			28%			2% 8%		
Number of Tested Students:	402 332	350 293	33 92					

Resultsby	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
All Students	404	100%	87%	8%	333	100%	88%	28%	
Female	197	100%	90%	11%	180	100%	91%	33%	
Male	207	99%	83%	6%	153	99%	84%	22%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	4	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino	22	95%	68%	0%	15	-	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	49	100%	86%	16%	37	100%	95%	43%	
White	319	100%	88%	7%	260	100%	87%	26%	
Multiracial	10	-	-	-	18	100%	94%	11%	
Small Group Totals	14	100%	86%	21%	18	94%	78%	33%	
General-Education Students	372	100%	92%	9%	314	100%	92%	29%	
Students with Disabilities	32	94%	25%	0%	19	95%	26%	0%	
English Proficient	404	100%	87%	8%	330	-	-	-	
Limited English Proficient	•••••			•••••	3	-	-	-	
Economically Disadvantaged									
Not Disadvantaged	404	100%	87%	8%	333	100%	88%	28%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	404	100%	87%	8%	333	100%	88%	28%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010–11 data only. Ranges for the 2009–10 data are available in the 2009–10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11 \$	School Year		,	2009–10 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	2-4 3-4 4		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	6	5	5	5	6	6	3	3	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	4	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Total				Total				
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 8	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	4	N/A	N/A	N/A	

⁺ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. April 20, 2012

This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Pub	lic		
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2011 Mean Score: 706	*Range:	639-775	674-775	704-775				
2010 Mean Score: 693	100%	99% 100%	92% 80%	58%	91% 91%	60% _{55%}		
2009-10							18% 18%	
Number of Tested Students:		397 337	371 269	233 110				

Resultsby	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Studentoroup	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
All Students	403	99 %	92%	58%	338	100%	80%	33%	
Female	198	98%	92%	55%	182	100%	78%	32%	
Male	205	99%	92%	61%	156	99%	81%	33%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	4	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino	22	91%	77%	36%	16	-	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	50	100%	96%	68%	40	100%	90%	58%	
White	317	99%	92%	57%	261	100%	81%	29%	
Multiracial	10	-	-	-	18	100%	67%	39%	
Small Group Totals	14	100%	100%	71%	19	95%	53%	26%	
General-Education Students	372	100%	97%	62%	319	100%	84%	34%	
Students with Disabilities	31	81%	32%	3%	19	100%	5%	0%	
English Proficient	402	-	-	-	331	100%	80%	33%	
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-	7	86%	57%	29%	
Economically Disadvantaged									
Not Disadvantaged	403	99%	92%	58%	338	100%	80%	33%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	403	99%	92%	58%	338	100%	80%	33%	

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2010–11 data only. Ranges for the 2009–10 data are available in the 2009–10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11	School Year		2009–10 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	6	5	5	5	6	6	6	4
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0	5	5	J	6	6	0	4

This District's Results in Grade 8 Science



Results by	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
All Students	398	100%	96%	48 %	335	100%	96%	56%	
Female	197	100%	96%	44%	181	99%	96%	52%	
Male	201	100%	96%	53%	154	100%	96%	61%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	4	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino	22	100%	95%	32%	16	-	_	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	50	100%	100%	56%	38	100%	97%	79%	
White	312	100%	95%	47%	260	100%	96%	53%	
Multiracial	10	-	-	-	18	100%	94%	67%	
Small Group Totals	14	100%	100%	71%	19	100%	89%	42%	
General-Education Students	369	100%	99%	52%	316	100%	98%	60%	
Students with Disabilities	29	97%	62%	3%	19	95%	63%	0%	
English Proficient	397	-	-	-	328	100%	96%	57%	
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-	7	100%	71%	29%	
Economically Disadvantaged									
Not Disadvantaged	398	100%	96%	48%	335	100%	96%	56%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	398	100%	96%	48%	335	100%	96%	56%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2010-11 S	ichool Year			2009–10 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	6	6	6	6	6	c	Λ	4	
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	O	O	o	O	6	6	4	4	
Regents Science	0				0				

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction

		This District			NY State Pub	lic	
		Percentage sco	oring at level(s):	Percentage s	(s):	
		2–4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
 2007 Cohort 2006 Cohort 	100%	99% 99%	98% 98%	86% 75%	83% 82%	80% 79%	35% 32%

Results by	2007 Coho i	rt		2006 Cohort**				
	Number of Students	Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group		2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	374	99%	98%	86%	359	99%	98%	75%
Female	184	99%	99%	90%	173	99%	99%	84%
Male	190	98%	97%	81%	186	99%	97%	67%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	9	100%	89%	56%	11	100%	100%	64%
Hispanic or Latino	13	100%	92%	69%	7	100%	100%	14%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	41	95%	95%	71%	40	100%	100%	75%
White	304	99%	99%	89%	295	99%	98%	77%
Multiracial	7	100%	100%	100%	6	100%	100%	83%
Small Group Totals	•••••			•••••				
General-Education Students	333	100%	100%	90%	327	100%	100%	81%
Students with Disabilities	41	88%	83%	49%	32	97%	84%	19%
English Proficient	370	-	-	-	356	-	_	-
Limited English Proficient	4	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged								
Not Disadvantaged	374	99%	98%	86%	359	99%	98%	75%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	374	99%	98%	86%	359	99%	98%	75%

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2006 cohort data are those reported in the 2009–10 Accountability and Overview Report.

This District's Total Cohort* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2007 Cohor	t		2006 Cohort**				
Student Group	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	374	98%	97%	75%	359	99%	99%	82%
Female	184	98%	97%	72%	173	99%	98%	83%
Male	190	98%	97%	78%	186	99%	99%	82%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	9	89%	78%	33%	11	100%	100%	55%
Hispanic or Latino	13	100%	92%	69%	7	100%	100%	57%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	41	93%	93%	68%	40	100%	100%	90%
White	304	99%	98%	77%	295	99%	98%	82%
Multiracial	7	100%	100%	100%	6	100%	100%	100%
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	•••••			••••••		••••••
General-Education Students	333	100%	100%	82%	327	100%	100%	87%
Students with Disabilities	41	83%	73%	22%	32	94%	84%	28%
English Proficient	370	-	-	_	356	-	-	-
imited English Proficient	4	–	-	-	3	–	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged								
Not Disadvantaged	374	98%	97%	75%	359	99%	99%	82%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	374	98%	97%	75%	359	99%	99%	82%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

** 2006 cohort data are those reported in the 2009–10 Accountability and Overview Report.