



# The New York State District Report Card

## Accountability and Overview Report 2010 – 11

District **WHITE PLAINS CITY SCHOOL  
DISTRICT**

District ID **66-22-00-01-0000**

Superintendent **CHRISTOPHER CLOUET**

Telephone **(914) 422-2019**

Grades **PK-12, UE, US**

## This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents' effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

### Use this report to:

- 1 Get District Profile information.**  
This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.
- 2 Review District Accountability Status.**  
This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies the district's accountability status.
- 3 View School Accountability Status.**  
This section lists all schools in your district by 2011–12 accountability status.
- 4 Review an Overview of District Performance.**  
This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science.

### For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services  
New York State Education Department  
Room 863 EBA  
Albany, NY 12234  
Email: [dataquest@mail.nysed.gov](mailto:dataquest@mail.nysed.gov)

District WHITE PLAINS CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 66-22-00-01-0000

## District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

### Enrollment

	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11
Pre-K	329	318	302
Kindergarten	573	591	548
Grade 1	502	566	593
Grade 2	517	496	552
Grade 3	506	520	497
Grade 4	479	516	519
Grade 5	522	477	502
Grade 6	477	534	473
Ungraded Elementary	16	3	13
Grade 7	491	479	520
Grade 8	523	492	495
Grade 9	595	565	537
Grade 10	567	581	597
Grade 11	546	547	536
Grade 12	498	510	541
Ungraded Secondary	39	29	31
<b>Total K-12</b>	<b>6851</b>	<b>6906</b>	<b>6954</b>

### Average Class Size

	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11
<b>Common Branch</b>	20	21	21
<b>Grade 8</b>			
English	21	22	22
Mathematics	20	20	20
Science	20	22	24
Social Studies	22	21	21
<b>Grade 10</b>			
English	19	22	20
Mathematics	18	22	20
Science	21	21	19
Social Studies	25	23	25

### Enrollment Information

*Enrollment* counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

### Average Class Size Information

*Average Class Size* is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1-6.

District WHITE PLAINS CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 66-22-00-01-0000

## Demographic Factors

	2008-09		2009-10		2010-11	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	2113	31%	2278	33%	2571	37%
Reduced-Price Lunch	557	8%	478	7%	504	7%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	906	13%	890	13%	928	13%
<b>Racial/Ethnic Origin</b>						
American Indian or Alaska Native	5	0%	5	0%	3	0%
Black or African American	1275	19%	1230	18%	1212	17%
Hispanic or Latino	3165	46%	3277	47%	3393	49%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	217	3%	224	3%	233	3%
White	2188	32%	2170	31%	2084	30%
Multiracial	1	0%	0	0%	29	0%

\* Available only at the school level.

## Attendance and Suspensions

	2007-08		2008-09		2009-10	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		96%		96%		96%
Student Suspensions	312	5%	277	4%	169	2%

## Demographic Factors Information

*Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch* percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. *Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient* counts are used to determine *Similar Schools* groupings within a *Need/Resource Capacity* category.

## Attendance and Suspensions Information

*Annual Attendance Rate* is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. *Student Suspension* rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

District WHITE PLAINS CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 66-22-00-01-0000

## Teacher Qualifications

	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11
<b>Total Number of Teachers</b>	598	578	554
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	0%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	1%	0%	0%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	4%	3%	3%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	63%	65%	66%
<b>Total Number of Core Classes</b>	1727	1567	1558
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers in This District	0%	0%	0%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified in High-Poverty Schools Statewide	8%	6%	5%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified in Low-Poverty Schools Statewide	1%	1%	0%
<b>Total Number of Classes</b>	2111	2037	1983
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	1%	1%	0%

## Teacher Turnover Rate

	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	26%	24%	40%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	12%	11%	20%

## Staff Counts

	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11
Total Other Professional Staff	75	80	64
Total Paraprofessionals*	156	236	211
Assistant Principals	11	11	10
Principals	9	8	8

\* Not available at the school level.

## Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

*Core Classes* are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. A teacher who taught one class outside of the certification area(s) is counted as Highly Qualified provided that 1) the teacher had been determined by the school or district through the HOUSSE process or other state-accepted methods to have demonstrated acceptable subject knowledge and teaching skills and 2) the class in question was not the sole assignment reported. Credit for incidental teaching does not extend beyond a single assignment. Independent of Highly Qualified Teacher status, any assignment for which a teacher did not hold a valid certificate still registers as teaching out of certification. High-poverty and low-poverty schools are those schools in the upper and lower quartiles, respectively, for percentage of students eligible for a free or reduced-price lunch.

## Teacher Turnover Rate Information

*Teacher Turnover Rate* for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

## Staff Counts Information

*Other Professionals* includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

## Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2010–11, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: <http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irs/accountability/>.



### 1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

#### A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2010–11 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

#### B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2007 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

### 2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

### 3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

**Elementary/Middle-Level Science:** To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

#### A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

#### B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group, if it has 30 or more students, must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the group must meet both the participation criterion and the performance criterion in science.

**Secondary-Level Graduation Rate:** For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2006 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2010 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (80%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2006 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2010 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (80%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

## Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

### 12<sup>th</sup> Graders

The count of 12<sup>th</sup> graders enrolled during the 2010–11 school year used to determine the Percentage Tested for the Participation part of the AYP determination for secondary-level ELA and mathematics. These are the first numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the secondary-level ELA and mathematics pages.

### 2007 Cohort

The count of students in the 2007 accountability cohort used to determine the Performance Index for the Test Performance part of the AYP determination for secondary-level ELA and mathematics. These are the second numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the secondary-level ELA and mathematics pages.

### Accountability Cohort for English and Mathematics

The accountability cohort is used to determine if a school or district met the performance criterion in secondary-level ELA and mathematics. The 2007 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2007–08 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2007–08 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2010 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2011, are not included in the 2007 school accountability cohort. The 2007 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

### Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

### Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p) (14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

### Continuous Enrollment

The count of continuously enrolled tested students used to determine the Performance Index for the Test Performance part of the AYP determination for elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science. These are the second numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science pages.

### Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

### Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make AYP. The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at [www.p12.nysed.gov/irs](http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irs).

### Graduation Rate

The Graduation Rate on the Graduation Rate page is the percentage of the 2006 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2010.

### Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

The Graduation-Rate Total Cohort, shown on the Graduation Rate page, is used to determine if a school or district made AYP in graduation rate. For the 2010–11 school year, this cohort is the 2006 graduation-rate total cohort. The 2006 total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2006–07 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2006–07 school year, and who were enrolled in the school/district for five months or longer or who were enrolled in the school/district for less than five months but were previously enrolled in the same school/district for five months or longer between the date they first entered Grade 9 and the date they last ended enrollment. A more detailed definition of graduation-rate cohort can be found in the *SIRS Manual* at [www.p12.nysed.gov/irs](http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irs).

For districts and schools with fewer than 30 graduation-rate total cohort members in the All Students group in 2010–11, data for 2009–10 and 2010–11 for accountability groups were combined to determine counts and graduation rates. Groups with fewer than 30 students in the graduation-rate total cohort are not required to meet the graduation-rate criterion.

### Limited English Proficient

For all accountability measures, if the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

### Non-Accountability Groups

Female, Male, and Migrant groups are not part of the AYP determination for any measure.

## Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability (continued)

### Participation

Accountability groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period (for elementary/middle-level ELA, math, and science) or fewer than 40 12<sup>th</sup> graders (for secondary-level ELA and mathematics) are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the Percentage Tested for an accountability group fell below 95 percent for ELA and math or 80 percent for science in 2010–11, the participation enrollment (“Total” or “12<sup>th</sup> Graders”) shown in the tables is the sum of 2009–10 and 2010–11 participation enrollments and the “Percentage Tested” shown is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

### Performance Index (PI)

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[ \frac{\text{Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{the Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students}} \right]$$

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[ \frac{\text{Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{the Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Cohort Members}} \right]$$

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at [www.p12.nysed.gov/irs](http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irs).

### Progress Targets

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making AYP or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year’s performance.

*Science:* The current year’s Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the previous year’s Performance Index (PI). Example: The 2010–11 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2009–10 PI.

*Graduation Rate:* The Graduation-rate Progress Target is calculated by determining a 20% gap reduction between the rate of the previous year’s graduation-rate cohort and the state standard. Example: The 2010–11 Graduation-Rate Progress Target =  $[(80 - \text{percentage of the 2005 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009}) \times 0.20] + \text{percentage of the 2005 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009}$ .

Progress Targets are provided for groups whose PI (for science) or graduation rate (for graduation rate) is below the State Standard.

### Safe Harbor Targets

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate AYP for accountability groups that do not achieve their EAMOs in English or mathematics. The 2010–11 safe harbor targets are calculated using the following equation:  
2009–10 PI + (200 – the 2009–10 PI) × 0.10

Safe Harbor Targets are provided for groups whose PI is less than the EAMO.

### Safe Harbor Qualification (\*)

On the science page, if the group met both the participation and the performance criteria for science, the Safe Harbor Qualification column will show “Qualified.” If the group did not meet one or more criteria, the column will show “Did not qualify.” A “\*” symbol after the 2010–11 Safe Harbor Target on the elementary/middle- or secondary-level ELA or mathematics page indicates that the student group did not make AYP in science (elementary/middle level) or graduation rate (secondary level) and; therefore, the group did not qualify for Safe Harbor in ELA or mathematics.

### State Standard

The criterion value that represents minimally satisfactory performance (for science) or a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma (for graduation rate). In 2010–11, the State Science Standard is a Performance Index of 100; the State Graduation-Rate Standard is 80%. The Commissioner may raise the State Standard at his discretion in future years.

### Students with Disabilities

For all measures, if the count of students with disabilities is equal to or greater than 30, former students with disabilities are also included in the performance calculations.

### Test Performance

For districts and schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students (for elementary/middle-level ELA, math, and science) or fewer than 30 students in the 2007 cohort (for secondary-level ELA and mathematics) in the All Students group in 2010–11, data for 2009–10 and 2010–11 for accountability groups were combined to determine counts and Performance Indices. For districts and schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students/2007 cohort members in the All Students group in 2010–11, student groups with fewer than 30 members are not required to meet the performance criterion. This is indicated by a “—” in the Test Performance column in the table.

### Total

The count of students enrolled during the test administration period used to determine the Percentage Tested for the Participation part of the AYP determination for elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science. These are the first numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science pages. For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons in accordance with federal NCLB guidance are not included in the count.

## Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: <http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irs/accountability/>.

### Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

#### ▲ District in Good Standing

■ A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.

#### ▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

#### ▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 2)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

#### ▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 3)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

#### ▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 4)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

#### ▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

### New York State Status

(Applies to New York State districts)

#### ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

#### ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

#### ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

#### ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

#### ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

**Pending** – A district's status is "Pending" if the district requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.



## Summary

### Overall Accountability Status (2011–12)

#### Improvement (Year 1)

ELA	Improvement (Year 1)	Science	Good Standing
Math	Good Standing	Graduation Rate	Good Standing

### Title I Part A Funding

#### Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding

2009–10	2010–11	2011–12
YES	YES	YES

### On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

Student Groups	Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Level		
	English			English		
	Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
<b>All Students</b>	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
<b>Ethnicity</b>						
American Indian or Alaska Native	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American	✓	✓	—	✓	✓	—
Hispanic or Latino	✓	✓	—	✓	✓	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	✓	✓	—	—	—	—
White	✓	✓	—	✓	✓	—
Multiracial	—	—	—	—	—	—
<b>Other Groups</b>						
Students with Disabilities	✗	✗	—	✗	✗	—
Limited English Proficient	✗	✗	—	✓	✓	—
Economically Disadvantaged	✓	✓	—	✓	✓	—
<b>Student groups making AYP in each subject</b>	✗ 6 of 8	✗ 6 of 8	✓ 1 of 1	✗ 6 of 7	✗ 6 of 7	✓ 1 of 1

#### AYP Status


- ✓ Made AYP
- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- ✗ Did not make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

#### Accountability Status Levels

- | Federal                               | State  |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| Good Standing                         | Good Standing                                |
| Improvement (Year 1)                  | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)         |
| Improvement (Year 2)                  | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)         |
| Improvement (Year 3)                  | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)         |
| Improvement (Year 4)                  | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)         |
| Improvement (Year 5 & Above)          | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 & Above) |
| Pending – Requires Special Evaluation |  |


























## Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

**Accountability Status**  Improvement (Year 1)  
**for This Subject**  
**(2011–12)**

**Accountability Measures** 6 of 8 Student groups making AYP in English language arts  
 Did not make AYP

**Prospective Status** To be removed from improvement status in English language arts, this district must make AYP in this measure at the elementary/middle or secondary level for two consecutive years. If this district fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2011-12, the district will be In Need of Improvement (Year 2) in 2012-13. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or secondary level in 2011-12, the district will remain In Need of Improvement (Year 1) in 2012-13. [206]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment)	AYP	Participation		Test Performance		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2010–11    2011–12
<b>Accountability Groups</b>							
<b>All Students</b> (3064:2961)			100%		147	120	
<b>Ethnicity</b>							
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (523:506)			99%		136	117	
Hispanic or Latino (1510:1450)			100%		131	119	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (96:92)			100%		187	112	
White (918:897)			100%		175	118	
Multiracial (16:15)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
<b>Other Groups</b>							
Students with Disabilities (407:401)			99%		81	117	95    93
Limited English Proficient (377:468)			100%		94	117	106    105
Economically Disadvantaged (1579:1518)			100%		128	119	
<b>Final AYP Determination</b>	 6 of 8						
<b>Non-Accountability Groups</b>							
Female (1553:1509)			100%		153	119	
Male (1511:1452)			99%		140	119	
Migrant (0:0)							


#### Symbols

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30 Continuous Enrollment
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

**NOTE:** See *Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability* for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

## Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics


























**Accountability Status**  Good Standing  
**for This Subject**  
**(2011–12)**

**Accountability Measures** 6 of 8 Student groups making AYP in mathematics  
 Did not make AYP




### Prospective Status

A district that fails to make AYP in mathematics at the elementary/middle and secondary levels for two consecutive years is placed in improvement status. If this district fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2011-12, the district will be District In Need of Improvement (Year 1) in 2012-13. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or secondary level in 2011-12, the district will be in good standing in 2012-13. [202]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment)	AYP	Participation		Test Performance		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2010–11    2011–12
<b>Accountability Groups</b>							
<b>All Students</b> (3065:2976)			100%		162	135	
<b>Ethnicity</b>							
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Black or African American (523:507)			100%		144	132	
Hispanic or Latino (1509:1460)			100%		153	134	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (96:94)			100%		194	127	
White (920:899)			100%		184	133	
Multiracial (16:15)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
<b>Other Groups</b>							
Students with Disabilities (407:404)			100%		112	132	115    121
Limited English Proficient (376:480)			100%		124	132	132    132
Economically Disadvantaged (1579:1529)			100%		149	134	
<b>Final AYP Determination</b>	 6 of 8						
<b>Non-Accountability Groups</b>							
Female (1554:1512)			100%		163	134	
Male (1511:1464)			100%		161	134	
Migrant (0:0)							

#### Symbols

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30 Continuous Enrollment
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

**NOTE:** See *Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability* for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

















## Elementary/Middle-Level Science

**Accountability Status**  Good Standing  
**for This Subject**  
**(2011–12)**

**Accountability Measures** 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in science  
 Made AYP

**Prospective Status** This district will be in good standing in 2012-13. [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment)	AYP		Participation		Test Performance		Performance Objectives		
	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress Target 2010–11	2011–12
<b>Accountability Groups</b>									
<b>All Students</b> (1034:995)		Qualified		99%		183	100		
<b>Ethnicity</b>									
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Black or African American (176:168)		Qualified		99%		177	100		
Hispanic or Latino (510:489)		Qualified		99%		177	100		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (27:26)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
White (315:306)		Qualified		100%		196	100		
Multiracial (5:5)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
<b>Other Groups</b>									
Students with Disabilities (137:136)		Qualified		99%		161	100		
Limited English Proficient (111:124)		Qualified		96%		158	100		
Economically Disadvantaged (504:480)		Qualified		99%		175	100		
<b>Final AYP Determination</b>		1 of 1							
<b>Non-Accountability Groups</b>									
Female (515:493)				100%		184	100		
Male (519:502)				99%		183	100		
Migrant (0:0)									


#### Symbols

-  Made AYP
-  Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30 Continuous Enrollment

**NOTE:** See *Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability* for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

## Secondary-Level English Language Arts






















**Accountability Status**  Improvement (Year 1)  
**for This Subject**  
**(2011–12)**

**Accountability Measures** 6 of 7 Student groups making AYP in English language arts  
 Did not make AYP




### Prospective Status

To be removed from improvement status in English language arts, this district must make AYP in this measure at the elementary/middle or secondary level for two consecutive years. If this district fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2011-12, the district will be In Need of Improvement (Year 2) in 2012-13. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or secondary level in 2011-12, the district will remain In Need of Improvement (Year 1) in 2012-13. [206]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English language arts accountability measures?

Student Group (12th Graders: 2007 Cohort)	AYP	Participation		Test Performance		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2010–11    2011–12
<b>Accountability Groups</b>							
<b>All Students</b> (554:531)			100%		190	178	
<b>Ethnicity</b>							
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Black or African American (123:111)			100%		187	173	
Hispanic or Latino (239:232)			100%		187	176	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (12:12)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
White (177:175)			100%		196	175	
Multiracial (2:0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
<b>Other Groups</b>							
Students with Disabilities (66:68)			100%		156	171	162    160
Limited English Proficient (27:44)		–	–		184	168	
Economically Disadvantaged (206:205)			100%		189	175	
<b>Final AYP Determination</b>	 6 of 7						
<b>Non-Accountability Groups</b>							
Female (263:263)			100%		190	176	
Male (291:268)			100%		190	176	
Migrant (0:0)							


#### Symbols

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 12<sup>th</sup> Graders/Fewer Than 30 Cohort
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

**NOTE:** See *Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability* for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

## Secondary-Level Mathematics






















**Accountability Status**  Good Standing  
**for This Subject**  
**(2011–12)**

**Accountability Measures** 6 of 7 Student groups making AYP in mathematics  
 Did not make AYP




### Prospective Status

A district that fails to make AYP in mathematics at the elementary/middle and secondary levels for two consecutive years is placed in improvement status. If this district fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2011-12, the district will be District In Need of Improvement (Year 1) in 2012-13. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or secondary level in 2011-12, the district will be in good standing in 2012-13. [202]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group (12th Graders: 2007 Cohort)	AYP	Participation		Test Performance		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2010–11    2011–12
<b>Accountability Groups</b>							
<b>All Students</b> (554:531)			100%		193	175	
<b>Ethnicity</b>							
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Black or African American (123:111)			100%		188	170	
Hispanic or Latino (239:232)			100%		191	173	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (12:12)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
White (177:175)			100%		197	172	
Multiracial (2:0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
<b>Other Groups</b>							
Students with Disabilities (66:68)			100%		165	168	166    169
Limited English Proficient (27:44)		–	–		191	165	
Economically Disadvantaged (206:205)			100%		194	172	
<b>Final AYP Determination</b>	 6 of 7						
<b>Non-Accountability Groups</b>							
Female (263:263)			100%		195	173	
Male (291:268)			100%		191	173	
Migrant (0:0)							

#### Symbols

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 12<sup>th</sup> Graders/Fewer Than 30 Cohort
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

**NOTE:** See *Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability* for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.










## Graduation Rate

**Accountability Status for This Indicator (2011–12)**  Good Standing



**Accountability Measures** 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in graduation rate  
 Made AYP

**Prospective Status** This district will be in good standing in 2012-13. [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

Student Group (2006 Graduation-Rate Total Cohort)	Graduation			Objectives	
	AYP	Met Criterion	Graduation Rate	State Standard	Progress Target 2010–11
<b>Accountability Groups</b>					
<b>All Students</b> (532)			83%	80%	
<b>Ethnicity</b>					
American Indian or Alaska Native (0)					
Black or African American (115)			76%	80%	72%
Hispanic or Latino (213)			75%	80%	74%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (22)		—	—	—	
White (181)			95%	80%	
Multiracial (1)		—	—	—	
<b>Other Groups</b>					
Students with Disabilities (64)			58%	80%	57%
Limited English Proficient (61)			59%	80%	59%
Economically Disadvantaged (171)			78%	80%	80%
<b>Final AYP Determination</b>	 1 of 1				
<b>Non-Accountability Groups</b>					
Female (252)			87%	80%	
Male (280)			79%	80%	
Migrant (0)					

#### Symbols

-  Made AYP
-  Did not make AYP
- Fewer than 30 Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

**NOTE:** See *Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability* for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

#### Aspirational Goal

The Board of Regents has set an aspirational goal that 95% of students in each public school and school district will graduate within five years of first entry into grade 9. The graduation rate for the 2006 total cohort through June 2011 (after 5 years) for this district is **87%** and, therefore, this district **did not** meet this goal. The aspirational goal does not impact accountability.

## 2011–12 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2011–12 accountability status.

---

**In Good Standing**

3 schools identified 38% of total

CHURCH STREET SCHOOL  
MAMARONECK AVENUE SCHOOL  
NEW YORK HOSPITAL ANNEX

---

**Improvement (year 1) Focused**

3 schools identified 38% of total

GEORGE WASHINGTON SCHOOL  
RIDGEWAY SCHOOL  
WHITE PLAINS MIDDLE SCHOOL

---

**Improvement (year 2) Focused**

1 school identified 13% of total

POST ROAD SCHOOL

---

**Restructuring (year 1) Focused**

1 school identified 13% of total

WHITE PLAINS SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL

---



# 4 Overview of District Performance

District **WHITE PLAINS CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **66-22-00-01-0000**

## Summary of 2010–11 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

English Language Arts	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			Total Tested
	0%	50%	100%	
Grade 3	57%			487
Grade 4	56%			513
Grade 5	52%			495
Grade 6	59%			473
Grade 7	52%			523
Grade 8	52%			494

Mathematics	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			Total Tested
	0%	50%	100%	
Grade 3	58%			492
Grade 4	66%			519
Grade 5	60%			500
Grade 6	69%			479
Grade 7	70%			529
Grade 8	71%			504

Science	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			Total Tested
	0%	50%	100%	
Grade 4	89%			520
Grade 8	78%			497

Secondary Level	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			2007 Total Cohort
	0%	50%	100%	
English	88%			569
Mathematics	90%			569

### About the Performance Level Descriptors

#### English Language Arts

##### Level 1: Below Standard

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level.

##### Level 2: Meets Basic Standard

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level.

##### Level 3: Meets Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level.

##### Level 4: Exceeds Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level.

#### Mathematics

##### Level 1: Below Standard

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

##### Level 2: Meets Basic Standard

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

##### Level 3: Meets Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

##### Level 4: Exceeds Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

### How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at [www.p12.nysed.gov/irs](http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irs).

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

### This District's N/RC Category:

#### Average Need Districts


This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

# 4 Overview of District Performance

District **WHITE PLAINS CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **66-22-00-01-0000**

## This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts



### Results by Student Group

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>487</b>	<b>85%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>514</b>	<b>84%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>14%</b>
Female	243	86%	60%	7%	241	88%	56%	14%
Male	244	84%	54%	4%	273	81%	51%	14%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	75	83%	52%	1%	89	80%	47%	7%
Hispanic or Latino	259	80%	46%	1%	263	79%	41%	8%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	16	100%	88%	25%	15	-	-	-
White	131	94%	79%	15%	146	95%	77%	25%
Multiracial	6	100%	67%	0%	1	-	-	-
Small Group Totals					16	100%	81%	50%
General-Education Students	433	90%	63%	6%	457	91%	59%	16%
Students with Disabilities	54	48%	11%	0%	57	33%	11%	2%
English Proficient	416	89%	64%	6%	445	88%	60%	16%
Limited English Proficient	71	61%	17%	0%	69	59%	14%	1%
Economically Disadvantaged	283	81%	44%	1%	271	77%	36%	7%
Not Disadvantaged	204	92%	75%	11%	243	92%	73%	23%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	487	85%	57%	6%	514	84%	54%	14%

#### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

### Other Assessments

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	7	N/A	N/A	N/A	7	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Total</b>					<b>Total</b>			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 3	6	N/A	N/A	N/A	7	N/A	N/A	N/A


† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

# 4 Overview of District Performance

District **WHITE PLAINS CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **66-22-00-01-0000**

## This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics



### Results by Student Group

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>492</b>	<b>93%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>12%</b>	<b>520</b>	<b>91%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>27%</b>
Female	247	94%	56%	12%	248	91%	56%	22%
Male	245	92%	60%	12%	272	90%	64%	32%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	75	96%	51%	8%	90	86%	49%	21%
Hispanic or Latino	261	90%	46%	6%	267	89%	52%	18%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	17	100%	82%	41%	16	-	-	-
White	133	97%	81%	21%	146	96%	80%	45%
Multiracial	6	100%	83%	33%	1	-	-	-
Small Group Totals					17	100%	88%	41%
General-Education Students	438	96%	63%	13%	463	94%	66%	30%
Students with Disabilities	54	74%	19%	2%	57	63%	16%	2%
English Proficient	415	96%	65%	14%	444	94%	66%	31%
Limited English Proficient	77	77%	18%	0%	76	72%	28%	5%
Economically Disadvantaged	285	91%	46%	6%	274	87%	48%	16%
Not Disadvantaged	207	96%	75%	19%	246	94%	75%	39%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	492	93%	58%	12%	520	91%	61%	27%

#### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

### Other Assessments


	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	3	-	-	-

# 4 Overview of District Performance

District **WHITE PLAINS CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **66-22-00-01-0000**

## This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts



### Results by Student Group

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>513</b>	<b>91%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>497</b>	<b>91%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>5%</b>
Female	244	94%	60%	4%	256	93%	54%	6%
Male	269	89%	53%	0%	241	89%	50%	5%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	86	88%	53%	1%	99	90%	36%	1%
Hispanic or Latino	265	89%	43%	0%	229	88%	38%	2%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	15	-	-	-	15	-	-	-
White	144	97%	78%	4%	153	95%	80%	12%
Multiracial	3	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	18	100%	89%	11%	16	100%	88%	19%
General-Education Students	450	97%	63%	2%	444	96%	57%	6%
Students with Disabilities	63	54%	11%	0%	53	51%	11%	0%
English Proficient	451	94%	63%	2%	426	95%	59%	6%
Limited English Proficient	62	71%	5%	0%	71	69%	10%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	263	87%	41%	0%	264	88%	36%	3%
Not Disadvantaged	250	96%	72%	4%	233	94%	71%	8%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	513	91%	56%	2%	497	91%	52%	5%

#### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

### Other Assessments

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	7	7	7	6
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	3	N/A	N/A	N/A	6	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Total</b>					<b>Total</b>			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 4	3	N/A	N/A	N/A	6	N/A	N/A	N/A


† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

# 4 Overview of District Performance

District **WHITE PLAINS CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **66-22-00-01-0000**

## This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics



### Results by Student Group

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>519</b>	<b>94%</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>28%</b>	<b>504</b>	<b>94%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>21%</b>
Female	246	94%	63%	24%	258	96%	58%	20%
Male	273	94%	70%	31%	246	92%	62%	22%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	86	91%	50%	15%	100	91%	46%	12%
Hispanic or Latino	271	93%	60%	17%	234	94%	48%	11%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	15	-	-	-	15	-	-	-
White	144	98%	83%	50%	154	96%	85%	39%
Multiracial	3	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	18	100%	100%	61%	16	94%	69%	50%
General-Education Students	453	97%	73%	31%	450	97%	65%	23%
Students with Disabilities	66	74%	23%	2%	54	65%	13%	0%
English Proficient	453	96%	72%	32%	426	96%	68%	24%
Limited English Proficient	66	82%	27%	0%	78	85%	15%	3%
Economically Disadvantaged	269	91%	54%	15%	268	93%	46%	9%
Not Disadvantaged	250	97%	80%	41%	236	94%	75%	34%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	519	94%	66%	28%	504	94%	60%	21%

#### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

### Other Assessments


	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	7	7	7	6

# 4 Overview of District Performance

District **WHITE PLAINS CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **66-22-00-01-0000**

## This District's Results in Grade 4 Science



### Results by Student Group

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>520</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>89%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>502</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>86%</b>	<b>48%</b>
Female	247	98%	89%	45%	256	97%	89%	50%
Male	273	98%	89%	54%	246	98%	83%	46%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	88	97%	83%	32%	98	96%	83%	29%
Hispanic or Latino	271	97%	86%	41%	234	97%	83%	38%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	15	-	-	-	16	-	-	-
White	143	99%	98%	75%	153	100%	93%	74%
Multiracial	3	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	18	100%	100%	78%	17	100%	94%	76%
General-Education Students	456	99%	92%	54%	450	99%	91%	52%
Students with Disabilities	64	89%	69%	23%	52	88%	42%	13%
English Proficient	455	98%	92%	55%	424	99%	91%	55%
Limited English Proficient	65	98%	68%	14%	78	90%	60%	9%
Economically Disadvantaged	270	97%	83%	35%	267	97%	83%	33%
Not Disadvantaged	250	99%	96%	66%	235	99%	90%	66%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	520	98%	89%	50%	502	98%	86%	48%

#### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

### Other Assessments


	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	7	7	7	6

# 4 Overview of District Performance

District **WHITE PLAINS CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **66-22-00-01-0000**

## This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts



### Results by Student Group

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>495</b>	<b>89%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>464</b>	<b>88%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>16%</b>
Female	251	91%	60%	5%	235	93%	60%	18%
Male	244	87%	45%	4%	229	83%	48%	14%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	96	84%	44%	0%	82	83%	44%	6%
Hispanic or Latino	234	88%	41%	2%	226	85%	42%	9%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	16	-	-	-	15	-	-	-
White	148	94%	72%	9%	139	96%	77%	32%
Multiracial	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	17	100%	88%	29%	17	94%	88%	24%
General-Education Students	434	97%	59%	5%	410	93%	60%	18%
Students with Disabilities	61	38%	7%	0%	54	52%	11%	2%
English Proficient	430	93%	60%	5%	402	93%	61%	19%
Limited English Proficient	65	65%	2%	0%	62	53%	8%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	268	85%	40%	2%	244	83%	40%	7%
Not Disadvantaged	227	94%	67%	7%	220	93%	70%	27%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	495	89%	52%	4%	464	88%	54%	16%

#### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

### Other Assessments

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	7	7	7	6	6	6	5	5
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	5	N/A	N/A	N/A	7	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Total</b>					<b>Total</b>			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 5	5	N/A	N/A	N/A	6	N/A	N/A	N/A


† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

# 4 Overview of District Performance

District **WHITE PLAINS CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **66-22-00-01-0000**

## This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics



### Results by Student Group

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>500</b>	<b>93%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>22%</b>	<b>471</b>	<b>92%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>24%</b>
Female	253	95%	60%	24%	240	93%	67%	25%
Male	247	91%	60%	19%	231	91%	60%	24%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	97	92%	41%	4%	83	84%	45%	10%
Hispanic or Latino	237	91%	51%	12%	229	92%	53%	14%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	16	-	-	-	15	-	-	-
White	149	97%	83%	45%	142	96%	88%	47%
Multiracial	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	17	100%	88%	53%	17	100%	94%	35%
General-Education Students	439	97%	66%	25%	417	95%	69%	27%
Students with Disabilities	61	62%	15%	0%	54	70%	24%	4%
English Proficient	430	96%	67%	25%	402	95%	70%	28%
Limited English Proficient	70	77%	16%	0%	69	80%	25%	3%
Economically Disadvantaged	272	91%	46%	11%	250	89%	47%	12%
Not Disadvantaged	228	96%	76%	34%	221	96%	82%	38%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	500	93%	60%	22%	471	92%	64%	24%

#### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

### Other Assessments

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	7	7	7	5	6	6	5	5




# 4 Overview of District Performance

District **WHITE PLAINS CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **66-22-00-01-0000**

## This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts



### Results by Student Group

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>473</b>	<b>92%</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>516</b>	<b>91%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>8%</b>
Female	236	94%	63%	9%	281	96%	57%	11%
Male	237	89%	55%	7%	235	86%	51%	4%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	84	86%	43%	1%	88	89%	39%	3%
Hispanic or Latino	225	91%	49%	3%	242	87%	40%	3%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	14	-	-	-	20	-	-	-
White	149	96%	80%	17%	164	99%	79%	16%
Multiracial	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	15	100%	93%	33%	22	95%	86%	18%
General-Education Students	417	97%	66%	9%	448	95%	60%	9%
Students with Disabilities	56	57%	9%	0%	68	69%	15%	0%
English Proficient	424	95%	65%	9%	461	95%	60%	9%
Limited English Proficient	49	65%	4%	0%	55	60%	5%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	245	89%	45%	3%	259	87%	39%	2%
Not Disadvantaged	228	96%	74%	13%	257	95%	69%	14%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	473	92%	59%	8%	516	91%	54%	8%

#### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

### Other Assessments

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	7	7	6	6	7	7	7	7
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	7	N/A	N/A	N/A	8	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Total</b>					<b>Total</b>			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 6	7	N/A	N/A	N/A	7	N/A	N/A	N/A


† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

# 4 Overview of District Performance

District **WHITE PLAINS CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **66-22-00-01-0000**

## This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics



### Results by Student Group

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>479</b>	<b>95%</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>32%</b>	<b>524</b>	<b>95%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>27%</b>
Female	238	97%	70%	34%	289	97%	66%	29%
Male	241	92%	69%	30%	235	94%	57%	24%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	84	87%	51%	10%	88	89%	45%	10%
Hispanic or Latino	232	97%	63%	22%	249	95%	49%	15%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	14	-	-	-	20	-	-	-
White	148	96%	87%	55%	165	99%	86%	48%
Multiracial	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	15	100%	93%	80%	22	100%	86%	68%
General-Education Students	424	98%	73%	35%	456	98%	66%	30%
Students with Disabilities	55	71%	38%	11%	68	79%	29%	4%
English Proficient	424	96%	75%	36%	462	97%	68%	31%
Limited English Proficient	55	82%	27%	5%	62	82%	13%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	251	94%	59%	19%	265	95%	47%	12%
Not Disadvantaged	228	95%	81%	47%	259	95%	77%	42%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	479	95%	69%	32%	524	95%	62%	27%

#### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

### Other Assessments


	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	7	7	6	6	7	7	7	7

# 4 Overview of District Performance

District **WHITE PLAINS CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **66-22-00-01-0000**

## This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts



### Results by Student Group

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>523</b>	<b>94%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>475</b>	<b>93%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>11%</b>
Female	286	96%	58%	6%	254	97%	63%	11%
Male	237	91%	46%	3%	221	88%	52%	10%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	89	88%	44%	2%	79	90%	42%	5%
Hispanic or Latino	249	92%	36%	1%	218	92%	43%	3%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	20	-	-	-	12	-	-	-
White	162	99%	80%	11%	164	97%	84%	23%
Multiracial	3	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	23	96%	70%	13%	14	79%	64%	21%
General-Education Students	450	97%	59%	6%	418	97%	63%	12%
Students with Disabilities	73	71%	14%	0%	57	63%	18%	2%
English Proficient	472	96%	57%	6%	437	95%	62%	11%
Limited English Proficient	51	73%	6%	0%	38	63%	5%	3%
Economically Disadvantaged	253	91%	35%	1%	224	88%	41%	3%
Not Disadvantaged	270	96%	69%	9%	251	97%	72%	18%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	523	94%	52%	5%	475	93%	57%	11%

#### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

### Other Assessments

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	8	8	8	8	5	5	5	4
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	5	N/A	N/A	N/A	8	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Total</b>					<b>Total</b>			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 7	5	N/A	N/A	N/A	7	N/A	N/A	N/A


† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

# 4 Overview of District Performance

District **WHITE PLAINS CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **66-22-00-01-0000**

## This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics



### Results by Student Group

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>529</b>	<b>96%</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>34%</b>	<b>485</b>	<b>96%</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>32%</b>
Female	288	98%	73%	36%	256	97%	68%	34%
Male	241	94%	66%	32%	229	95%	71%	31%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	90	93%	54%	16%	81	95%	47%	12%
Hispanic or Latino	253	94%	60%	20%	225	96%	60%	21%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	20	-	-	-	13	-	-	-
White	163	99%	91%	62%	164	97%	90%	56%
Multiracial	3	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	23	100%	91%	70%	15	100%	87%	53%
General-Education Students	456	98%	75%	39%	427	98%	75%	36%
Students with Disabilities	73	82%	40%	7%	58	83%	26%	7%
English Proficient	473	98%	76%	38%	439	97%	72%	35%
Limited English Proficient	56	80%	18%	0%	46	85%	39%	4%
Economically Disadvantaged	257	95%	59%	17%	233	96%	58%	19%
Not Disadvantaged	272	97%	80%	51%	252	96%	80%	45%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	529	96%	70%	34%	485	96%	69%	32%

#### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

### Other Assessments


	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	8	8	8	8	5	5	4	4

# 4 Overview of District Performance

District **WHITE PLAINS CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **66-22-00-01-0000**

## This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts



### Results by Student Group

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>494</b>	<b>96%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>492</b>	<b>96%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>9%</b>
Female	261	98%	56%	3%	241	95%	63%	12%
Male	233	94%	48%	3%	251	97%	50%	6%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	82	98%	44%	0%	81	91%	38%	4%
Hispanic or Latino	229	93%	37%	1%	231	96%	46%	3%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	11	-	-	-	15	93%	80%	7%
White	169	99%	76%	7%	165	99%	78%	20%
Multiracial	2	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	14	100%	71%	7%				
General-Education Students	430	99%	58%	3%	438	99%	62%	10%
Students with Disabilities	64	80%	11%	0%	54	74%	15%	0%
English Proficient	460	98%	56%	3%	457	97%	60%	10%
Limited English Proficient	34	74%	0%	0%	35	77%	6%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	221	93%	35%	0%	211	94%	44%	2%
Not Disadvantaged	273	99%	66%	5%	281	97%	66%	15%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	494	96%	52%	3%	492	96%	57%	9%

#### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

### Other Assessments

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	5	5	5	5	9	9	8	8
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	10	N/A	N/A	N/A	6	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Total</b>					<b>Total</b>			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 8	10	N/A	N/A	N/A	6	N/A	N/A	N/A


† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement.

# 4 Overview of District Performance

District **WHITE PLAINS CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **66-22-00-01-0000**

## This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics



### Results by Student Group

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>504</b>	<b>96%</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>21%</b>	<b>498</b>	<b>96%</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>26%</b>
Female	266	97%	71%	22%	241	96%	74%	29%
Male	238	95%	71%	20%	257	96%	67%	22%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	83	94%	54%	12%	81	88%	57%	10%
Hispanic or Latino	237	95%	67%	9%	237	97%	63%	17%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	12	-	-	-	15	100%	93%	73%
White	169	98%	84%	40%	165	98%	86%	41%
Multiracial	2	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	15	100%	87%	47%				
General-Education Students	440	98%	78%	24%	444	98%	76%	28%
Students with Disabilities	64	83%	23%	0%	54	74%	28%	7%
English Proficient	460	97%	73%	23%	457	97%	73%	27%
Limited English Proficient	44	84%	50%	5%	41	83%	41%	5%
Economically Disadvantaged	231	94%	66%	8%	216	95%	64%	14%
Not Disadvantaged	273	98%	75%	32%	282	96%	76%	34%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	504	96%	71%	21%	498	96%	71%	26%

#### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 *Accountability and Overview Reports*.

### Other Assessments


	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	5	5	5	5	9	9	9	9

# 4 Overview of District Performance

District **WHITE PLAINS CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **66-22-00-01-0000**

## This District's Results in Grade 8 Science



### Results by Student Group

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>497</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>78%</b>	<b>27%</b>	<b>495</b>	<b>99%</b>	<b>84%</b>	<b>36%</b>
Female	263	98%	77%	23%	239	99%	84%	38%
Male	234	98%	79%	30%	256	99%	84%	35%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	82	98%	71%	16%	81	96%	70%	11%
Hispanic or Latino	234	97%	68%	12%	235	99%	79%	24%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	11	-	-	-	15	100%	93%	87%
White	167	99%	95%	50%	164	100%	96%	62%
Multiracial	2	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	14	100%	86%	50%				
General-Education Students	433	98%	81%	29%	442	99%	88%	39%
Students with Disabilities	64	97%	58%	13%	53	94%	51%	15%
English Proficient	456	100%	82%	29%	454	99%	87%	39%
Limited English Proficient	41	83%	34%	2%	41	93%	49%	5%
Economically Disadvantaged	228	97%	67%	11%	215	98%	78%	21%
Not Disadvantaged	269	99%	87%	40%	280	99%	88%	48%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	497	98%	78%	27%	495	99%	84%	36%

#### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

### Other Assessments

	2010-11 School Year				2009-10 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	5	5	5	5	9	9	9	9
Regents Science	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-

# 4 Overview of District Performance

District **WHITE PLAINS CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**


District ID **66-22-00-01-0000**

## This District's Total Cohort\* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction

### This District

Percentage scoring at level(s):


2-4      3-4      4



### NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4      3-4      4



■ 2007 Cohort  
■ 2006 Cohort

## Results by Student Group

	2007 Cohort				2006 Cohort**			
	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>569</b>	<b>91%</b>	<b>88%</b>	<b>38%</b>	<b>531</b>	<b>89%</b>	<b>87%</b>	<b>37%</b>
Female	276	93%	90%	43%	251	91%	90%	41%
Male	293	89%	87%	33%	280	88%	85%	34%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	123	88%	84%	18%	114	88%	85%	24%
Hispanic or Latino	250	90%	86%	23%	213	84%	80%	20%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	15	-	-	-	22	-	-	-
White	179	95%	94%	70%	181	96%	96%	64%
Multiracial	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	17	88%	88%	59%	23	96%	96%	57%
General-Education Students	495	94%	93%	42%	470	92%	91%	41%
Students with Disabilities	74	69%	58%	9%	61	70%	57%	5%
English Proficient	529	92%	90%	40%	488	92%	90%	40%
Limited English Proficient	40	75%	73%	8%	43	53%	49%	2%
Economically Disadvantaged	211	94%	91%	19%	171	88%	85%	25%
Not Disadvantaged	358	89%	87%	49%	360	90%	88%	43%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	569	91%	88%	38%	531	89%	87%	37%

### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

\*\* 2006 cohort data are those reported in the 2009-10 *Accountability and Overview Report*.




# 4 Overview of District Performance

District **WHITE PLAINS CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District ID **66-22-00-01-0000**

## This District's Total Cohort\* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction



### Results by Student Group

	2007 Cohort				2006 Cohort**			
	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>569</b>	<b>93%</b>	<b>90%</b>	<b>21%</b>	<b>531</b>	<b>90%</b>	<b>87%</b>	<b>29%</b>
Female	276	96%	93%	22%	251	94%	90%	28%
Male	293	90%	86%	19%	280	87%	85%	30%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	123	89%	84%	6%	114	86%	82%	15%
Hispanic or Latino	250	92%	89%	7%	213	86%	82%	15%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	15	-	-	-	22	-	-	-
White	179	97%	95%	46%	181	97%	95%	51%
Multiracial	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	17	88%	88%	59%	23	96%	96%	65%
General-Education Students	495	96%	95%	24%	470	94%	91%	33%
Students with Disabilities	74	72%	57%	0%	61	66%	59%	3%
English Proficient	529	93%	91%	22%	488	93%	90%	32%
Limited English Proficient	40	83%	78%	5%	43	65%	56%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	211	97%	93%	7%	171	91%	87%	19%
Not Disadvantaged	358	90%	87%	29%	360	90%	87%	34%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	569	93%	90%	21%	531	90%	87%	29%

#### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

\*\* 2006 cohort data are those reported in the 2009-10 *Accountability and Overview Report*.