

The New York State District Report Card

District ELLENVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT District ID 62-20-02-06-0000 Superintendent LISA WILES Telephone (845) 647-0100 Grades PK-12, UE, US

Comprehensive Information Report 2010 – 11

Regents Exams

•		All Stu	All Students				General-Education Students				Students with Disabilities			
		Total	Percentag	e of stude	nts	Total	Percentag	e of studer	nts	Total	Percentag	e of studer	nts	
		Tested	scoring at	or above:		Tested	scoring at	or above:		Tested	scoring at or above:			
			55	65	85		55	65	85		55	65	85	
Comprehensive English	2010-11	122	94%	86%	39%	102	95%	94%	45%	20	90%	45%	5%	
	2009-10	134	93%	86%	25%	124	95%	90%	27%	10	70%	40%	0%	
	2008–09	132	92%	84%	23%	120	93%	87%	25%	12	75%	58%	0%	
Integrated Algebra	2010-11	172	83%	65%	9%	147	87%	70%	10%	25	56%	36%	0%	
	2009-10	230	73%	50%	3%	212	76%	53%	3%	18	33%	11%	0%	
	2008–09	133	83%	62%	8%	125	85%	64%	9%	8	63%	38%	0%	
Geometry	2010-11	90	89%	74%	12%	87	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	
	2009-10	66	89%	74%	12%	65	-	_	_	1	-	_	-	
Machra 2 /Trigonomotry	2008–09	79	89%	76%	15%	77	_	_	_	2	_	_	-	
Algebra 2/Trigonometry	2010-11	61	66%	49%	5%	61	66%	49%	5%	0				
	2009-10	61	79%	64%	13%	61	79%	64%	13%	0				
	2008–09	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Global History	2010-11	173	85%	66%	15%	152	87%	70%	17%	21	71%	38%	0%	
and Geography	2009-10	149	80%	71%	23%	128	85%	77%	27%	21	48%	38%	0%	
	2008–09	180	81%	68%	29%	169	83%	71%	31%	11	55%	27%	0%	
U.S. History	2010-11	122	95%	85%	45%	100	99%	95%	53%	22	77%	41%	9%	
and Government	2009-10	126	94%	92%	62%	118	95%	93%	65%	8	88%	75%	13%	
	2008–09	120	95%	89%	50%	108	97%	93%	53%	12	75%	58%	25%	
Living Environment	2010-11	162	79%	68%	19%	139	81%	71%	21%	23	65%	52%	9%	
	2009-10	180	89%	74%	21%	161	93%	78%	24%	19	63%	47%	0%	
	2008–09	149	88%	78%	18%	137	87%	79%	18%	12	100%	67%	17%	
Physical Setting/	2010-11	125	77%	63%	19%	123	-	_	-	2	-	_	-	
Earth Science	2009-10	89	92%	85%	26%	86	-	_	_	3	_	_	-	
	2008–09	106	92%	75%	25%	102	-	-	-	4	-	_	-	
Physical Setting/Chemistry	2010-11	52	98%	75%	17%	51	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
	2009-10	55	84%	56%	5%	54	-	_	-	1	-	-	-	
	2008–09	47	98%	64%	6%	47	98%	64%	6%	0				
Physical Setting/Physics	2010-11	10	100%	80%	0%	10	100%	80%	0%	0				
	2009-10	11	91%	73%	9%	11	91%	73%	9%	0				
	2008–09	11	73%	45%	18%	10	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	

NOTE

 $The-symbol\ indicates\ that\ data\ for\ a\ group\ of\ students\ have\ been\ suppressed.\ If\ a\ group\ has\ fewer\ than\ five\ students,$

Regents Exams

		All Stu	udents			Gener	al-Educa	ation St	udents	Students with Disabilities			
		Total Tested	Percentage of students d scoring at or above:		Total Tested	5			Total Tested	Percentage of students scoring at or above:			
			55	65	85		55	65	85		55	65	85
Comprehensive French	2010-11	0				0				0			
	2009-10	0				0				0			
	2008–09	0				0				0			
Comprehensive Italian	2010-11	0				0				0			
	2009-10	0				0				0			
	2008–09	0				0				0			
Comprehensive Spanish	2010-11	54	98%	98%	54%	54	98%	98%	54%	0			
	2009-10	51	100%	94%	37%	51	100%	94%	37%	0			
	2008–09	51	96%	96%	57%	51	96%	96%	57%	0			

ΝΟΤΕ

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

Regents Competency Tests

		All Students	;	General-Ed	ucation Students	Students with Disabilities		
		Total Tested	Percent Passing:	Total Tested	Percent Passing:	Total Tested	Percent Passing:	
Mathematics	2010-11	27	33%	1	-	26	-	
	2009-10	19	32%	1	-	18	-	
	2008-09	28	64%	5	80%	23	61%	
Science	2010-11	29	59%	2	-	27	-	
	2009-10	18	33%	3	-	15	-	
	2008-09	14	50%	1	-	13	-	
Reading	2010-11	6	50%	2	-	4	-	
	2009-10	5	40%	1	-	4	-	
	2008–09	7	57%	2	-	5	-	
Writing	2010-11	3	-	1	-	2	-	
	2009-10	6	83%	1	-	5	-	
	2008–09	1	-	1	-	0		
Global Studies	2010-11	11	27%	1	-	10	-	
	2009-10	12	17%	1	-	11	-	
	2008–09	11	45%	3	-	8	-	
U.S. History	2010-11	6	50%	1	-	5	-	
and Government	2009-10	5	40%	1	-	4	-	
	2008–09	2	-	0		2	-	

NOTE

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)

Listening and Speaking (Grades K-1) 2009-10 13 15% 31% 31% 23% 11 - - - 4 - - - - - 2 - - - - 2 - - - - - 2 - <			All St	All Students				Genei	ral-Edu	cation	Stude	nts	Students with Disabilities				
Listening and Speaking (Grades K-1) 2010-11 12 8% 8% 83% 0% 8 - - - - 4 -							5					5					5
and Speaking (Grades K-1) 2009-10 13 15% 31% 23% 11 - - - - 2 - - - Reading and Writing (Grades K-1) 2009-10 13 33% 37% 17% 8 - - - - 4 - - - Reading and Writing (Grades K-1) 2009-10 13 31% 15% 23% 11 - - - - 4 -				Begin.	Interm.	Adv.	Prof.		Begin.	Interm.	Adv.	Prof.		Begin.	Interm.	Adv.	Prof.
(Grades K-1) 2008-09 17 6% 24% 29% 41% 13 - - - 4 - - - Reading and Writing (Grades K-1) 2009-10 13 31% 15% 23% 11 - - - - 4 - <td>-</td> <td>2010-11</td> <td>12</td> <td>8%</td> <td>8%</td> <td>83%</td> <td>0%</td> <td>8</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>4</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td>	-	2010-11	12	8%	8%	83%	0%	8	-	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	-
2008-09 17 6% 24% 29% 41% 13 - - - - 4 -		2009-10	13	15%	31%	31%	23%	11	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-
and Writing (Grades K-1) 2009-10 13 31% 31% 15% 23% 11 - - - 2 - - - - - 2 - - - - - 4 - - - - - - 4 - <td>(Grades K-1)</td> <td>2008–09</td> <td>17</td> <td>6%</td> <td>24%</td> <td>29%</td> <td>41%</td> <td>13</td> <td>-</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>4</td> <td>-</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td>	(Grades K-1)	2008–09	17	6%	24%	29%	41%	13	-	_	_	_	4	-	_	_	_
(Grades K-1) 2009-10 13 51.0 170 180 290 11 - - 4 - <t< td=""><td>Reading</td><td>2010-11</td><td>12</td><td>33%</td><td>33%</td><td>17%</td><td>17%</td><td>8</td><td>-</td><td>_</td><td>_</td><td>_</td><td>4</td><td>_</td><td>_</td><td>-</td><td>_</td></t<>	Reading	2010-11	12	33%	33%	17%	17%	8	-	_	_	_	4	_	_	-	_
Listening and Speaking (Grades 2-4) 2010-11 18 0% 17% 50% 33% 10 0% 60% 60% 40% 8 0% 38% 38% and Speaking (Grades 2-4) 2009-10 16 0% 13% 50% 38% 9 0% 60% 40% 8 0% 28% 57% Reading and Writing 2010-11 18 17% 44% 28% 11% 10 0% 50% 40% 44% 56% 7 0% 28% 13% and Writing 2009-10 16 6% 25% 50% 19% 9 0% 60% 40% 8 38% 38% 13% and Speaking 2010-11 6 0% 50% 17% 33% 3 - - - 2 - - - - 2 - - - - 2 - - - - 2 - - - - 2 - - - - 2 - -		2009-10	13	31%	31%	15%	23%	11	-	_	_	_	2	-	_	_	-
and Speaking (Grades 2-4) 2009-10 16 0% 13% 50% 38% 9 0% 0% 44% 56% 7 0% 29% 57% Reading and Writing (Grades 2-4) 2010-11 18 17% 44% 28% 11% 10 0% 50% 40% 10% 88 38% 38% 13% and Writing (Grades 2-4) 2009-10 16 6% 25% 50% 19% 9 0% 0% 40% 10% 88 38% 38% 13% 2008-09 17 0% 12% 29% 59% 15 - - - 20 - - - 20 - - - 20 - - - 20 -	(Grades K-1)	2008–09	17	24%	29%	18%	29%	13	-	_	_	_	4	-	_	-	-
(Grades 2-4) 2008-09 17 0% 0% 6% 94% 15 - - - - 2 - - - - - - 2 -<	Listening	2010-11	18	0%	17%	50%	33%	10	0%	0%	60%	40%	8	0%	38%	38%	25%
Production 2008-09 17 0% 0% 6% 94% 15 - - - - 2 - - - - - 2 - - - - - 2 - - - - - 2 - <td></td> <td>2009-10</td> <td>16</td> <td>0%</td> <td>13%</td> <td>50%</td> <td>38%</td> <td>9</td> <td>0%</td> <td>0%</td> <td>44%</td> <td>56%</td> <td>7</td> <td>0%</td> <td>29%</td> <td>57%</td> <td>14%</td>		2009-10	16	0%	13%	50%	38%	9	0%	0%	44%	56%	7	0%	29%	57%	14%
and Writing (Grades 2-4) 2009-10 16 6% 25% 50% 19% 9 0% 0% 67% 33% 7 14% 57% 29% Listening (Grades 2-4) 2008-09 17 0% 12% 29% 59% 15 - - - - 2 -	(Grades 2–4)	2008–09	17	0%	0%	6%	94%	15	-	_	_	_	2	-	_	_	_
(Grades 2-4) 2008-09 17 0% 12% 29% 59% 15 - - - 2 -	Reading	2010-11	18	17%	44%	28%	11%	10	0%	50%	40%	10%	8	38%	38%	13%	13%
2008-09 17 0% 12% 29% 59% 15 - - - 2 - - - - - 2 - - - - - 2 - - - - - 2 -	and Writing	2009-10	16	6%	25%	50%	19%	9	0%	0%	67%	33%	7	14%	57%	29%	0%
and Speaking (Grades 5-6) 2009-10 3 - - - 2 - - - 1 - - - - - - 1 - - - - - - 1 - - - - - 1 - - - - - 2 - - - - 2 - 2 - - - 2 - - - 2 - - - - - - - - 2 - - - 2 - - - 2 - - - 2 - - - 2 - - - - 2 - - - 2 - - - 2 - - - 2	(Grades 2-4) 200	2008–09	17	0%	12%	29%	59%	15	_	_	_	_	2	_	_	_	_
(Grades 5-6) 2008-09 6 0% 17% 33% 50% 4 - - - 2 -<	Listening	2010-11	6	0%	50%	17%	33%	3	_	_	_	_	3	_	_	_	_
2008-09 6 0% 17% 33% 50% 4 - - - 2 -		2009-10	3	_	_	_	_	2	_	_	_	_	1	_	_	_	_
and Writing (Grades 5-6) 2009-10 3 - - - - 2 - - - 1 - - - - - 2 - - - 1 - - - - - - 1 - <	(Grades 5–6)	2008–09	6	0%	17%	33%	50%	4	_	_	_	_	2	_	_	_	_
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Reading	2010-11	6	33%	50%	17%	0%	3	-	_	_	_	3	-	_	-	_
Listening 2010-11 5 0% 1/% 33% 50% 4 - - - 2 - - - - 2 - - - - 2 - - - - 2 - - - - - 2 - 1 - <td></td> <td>2009-10</td> <td>3</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>2</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>1</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td>		2009-10	3	_	_	_	_	2	_	_	_	_	1	_	_	_	_
and Speaking (Grades 7-8) 2009-10 3 - - - - 2 - - - 1 - - - - Reading and Writing (Grades 7-8) 2010-11 5 0% 20% 20% 60% 3 - - - - - - 1 - - - - Reading (Grades 7-8) 2009-10 3 - - - 2 - 200 0	(Grades 5–6)	2008–09	6	0%	17%	33%	50%	4	_	_	_	_	2	_	_	_	_
(Grades 7-8) 2008-09 6 0% 17% 50% 33% 5 - - - 1 - - - - - 1 - - - - - - - - 1 - - - - - - 1 -<	Listening	2010-11	5	0%	20%	20%	60%	3	-	_	_	_	2	-	_	-	_
Reading and Writing (Grades 7-8) 2010-11 5 0% 20% 60% 60% 33% 5 - - - - 1 - <		2009-10	3	_	_	_	_	2	_	_	_	_	1	_	_	_	_
and Writing (Grades 7-8) 2009-10 3 - - - - 2 - - - 1 - - - - 2008-09 6 17% 33% 33% 17% 5 - - - 1 - <t< td=""><td>(Grades 7–8)</td><td>2008–09</td><td>6</td><td>0%</td><td>17%</td><td>50%</td><td>33%</td><td>5</td><td>_</td><td>_</td><td>_</td><td>_</td><td>1</td><td>_</td><td>_</td><td>_</td><td>_</td></t<>	(Grades 7–8)	2008–09	6	0%	17%	50%	33%	5	_	_	_	_	1	_	_	_	_
(Grades 7-8) 2008-09 6 17% 33% 33% 17% 5 - - - 1 - - - - - - 1 -	Reading	2010-11	5	0%	20%	20%	60%	3	-	_	_	_	2	-	_	_	_
Listening and Speaking (Grades 9–12) 2010–11 13 0% 15% 46% 38% 11 - - - 1 - - - - - 1 - - - - 1 - - - - 1 - - - - 1 - - - - 1 - - - - 1 - - - 1 - - - 1 - - - - 1 1 - - - - 1 1 - - - - 1 1 - - - - - - 1 1 - - - - - - 2 - - - - - 2 - - - - - 1 3	and Writing	2009-10	3	_	_	_	_	2	_	_	_	_	1	_	_	_	_
and Speaking (Grades 9-12) 2009-10 13 0% 8% 85% 13 0% 8% 85% 0 Reading 2010-11 13 8% 62% 23% 8% 11 0% 8% 85% 0 0	(Grades 7–8)	2008–09	6	17%	33%	33%	17%	5	_	_	_	_	1	_	_	_	_
(Grades 9-12) 2008-09 14 0% 21% 14% 64% 14 0% 21% 14% 64% 0 Reading 2010-11 13 8% 62% 23% 8% 11 - - - 2 - <td>Listening</td> <td>2010-11</td> <td>13</td> <td>0%</td> <td>15%</td> <td>46%</td> <td>38%</td> <td>11</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>_</td> <td>-</td> <td>2</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td>	Listening	2010-11	13	0%	15%	46%	38%	11	-	-	_	-	2	_	_	_	_
Reading 2010-11 13 8% 62% 23% 8% 11 - - - 2 - <td></td> <td>2009-10</td> <td>13</td> <td>0%</td> <td>8%</td> <td>8%</td> <td>85%</td> <td>13</td> <td>0%</td> <td>8%</td> <td>8%</td> <td>85%</td> <td>0</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		2009-10	13	0%	8%	8%	85%	13	0%	8%	8%	85%	0				
	(Grades 9-12)	2008–09	14	0%	21%	14%	64%	14	0%	21%	14%	64%	0				
	Reading	2010-11	13	8%	62%	23%	8%	11	_	_	_	_	2	_	_	_	_
and Writing 2009–10 13 8% 54% 15% 23% 13 8% 54% 15% 23% 0	and Writing	2009-10	13	8%	54%	15%	23%	13	8%	54%	15%	23%	0				
(Grades 9–12) 2008–09 14 7% 50% 14% 29% 14 7% 50% 14% 29% 0	(Grades 9–12)	0		7%	50%	14%	29%	14	7%	50%	14%	29%	0				

NOTE

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

Statewide 2010–11 Results on the National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP)

	% Below Basic	% Basic	% Proficient	% Advanced
Grade 4 Reading	32%	33%	26%	9%
Grade 8 Reading	24%	41%	31%	4%
Grade 4 Mathematics	20%	44%	31%	5%
Grade 8 Mathematics	30%	40%	23%	7%

Statewide 2010–11 NAEP Participation Rates for LEP Students and Students with Disabilities

	Participation Rate
Grade 4 Reading	
Limited English Proficient	84%
Students with Disabilities	85%
Grade 8 Reading	
Limited English Proficient	77%
Students with Disabilities	84%
Grade 4 Mathematics	
Limited English Proficient	91%
Students with Disabilities	90%
Grade 8 Mathematics	
Limited English Proficient	92%
Students with Disabilities	91%

NOTE

The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), developed in 1969, is a nationally representative assessment of the performance of United States' students in mathematics, reading, science, writing, the arts, civics, economics, geography, and U.S. history. Teachers, principals, parents, policymakers, and researchers use NAEP results to assess progress and develop ways to improve education in the United States.

2007 Total Cohort Performance on Regents Exams After Four Years

	All St	tudents			Gene	eral-Educa	ation St	udents	Stud	Students with Disabilities			
						Percentage of Students scoring:				لت ب ب م ب م ب م ب م ب م ب ب ب ب ب م ب ب م ب م ب م ب م ب م ب م ب م ب م ب م ب م			
		55–64	65-84	85-100		55-64	65–84	85-100		55-64	65-84	85-100	
Global History and Geography	148	5%	49%	31%	123	2%	54%	37%	25	20%	24%	0%	
U.S. History and Government	148	5%	28%	49%	123	4%	28%	58%	25	12%	24%	4%	
Science	148	7%	57%	21%	123	6%	63%	25%	25	12%	28%	0%	

New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2010–11

	All Students										
	Total Tested	Number at Level:		nts scorir	ıg						
		1	2	3	4						
Secondary Level											
English Language Arts	3	-	-	-	-						
Mathematics	3	-	-	-	-						
Social Studies	3	-	-	-	-						
Science	3	-	-	-	_						

The New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) is for students with severe cognitive disabilities. Results for students taking the NYSAA in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels are available in the Accountability and Overview Report part of The New York State Report Card.

NOTE

 ${\sf The-symbol}\ indicates\ that\ data\ for\ a\ group\ of\ students\ have\ been\ suppressed.\ If\ a\ group\ has\ fewer\ than\ five\ students,$

High School Completers

		All Students		General-Educ	ation Students	Students with Disabilities		
		Number of Students	Percentage of Graduates	Number of Students	Percentage of Graduates	Number of Students	Percentage of Graduates	
Total Graduates	2010-11	116		104		12		
	2009-10	120		108		12		
acolving a Pagante Dinlama	2008–09	115		104		11		
Receiving a Regents Diploma	2010-11	92	79%	89	86%	3	25%	
	2009-10	96	80%	91	84%	5	42%	
	2008–09	76	66%	74	71%	2	18%	
Receiving a Regents Diploma	2010-11	28	24%	28	27%	0	0%	
with Advanced Designation	2009-10	25	21%	25	23%	0	0%	
	2008–09	18	16%	18	17%	0	0%	
Receiving an	2010-11	0		0		0		
Individualized Education	2009-10	6	N/A	0		6	N/A	
Program (IEP) Diploma	2008–09	3	N/A	0		3	N/A	

NOTE Students receiving Regents diplomas and Regents diplomas with advanced designation are considered graduates; recipients of IEP diplomas are not.

High School Noncompleters

		All Students		General-Educa	ation Students	Students with Disabilities		
		Number of Students	Percentage of Students	Number of Students	Percentage of Students	Number of Students	Percentage of Students	
Dropped Out	2010-11	23	3%	14	3%	9	8%	
	2009–10	21	3%	14	2%	7	7%	
	2008–09	32	5%	20	3%	12	12%	
Entered Approved High School	2010-11	2	0%	1	0%	1	1%	
Equivalency Preparation	2009-10	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Program	2008–09	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Total Noncompleters	2010-11	25	4%	15	3%	10	8%	
	2009-10	21	3%	14	2%	7	7%	
	2008–09	32	5%	20	3%	12	12%	

Post-secondary Plans of 2010–11 Completers

			-			
	All Students		General-Edu	cation Students	Students wit	h Disabilities
	Number of Students	Percentage of Students	Number of Students	Percentage of Students	Number of Students	Percentage of Students
To 4-year College	35	30%	35	34%	0	0%
To 2-year College	48	41%	44	42%	4	33%
To Other Post-secondary	2	2%	1	1%	1	8%
To the Military	2	2%	2	2%	0	0%
To Employment	10	9%	8	8%	2	17%
To Adult Services	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
To Other Known Plans	1	1%	1	1%	0	0%
Plan Unknown	18	16%	13	13%	5	42%