

# The New York State Accountability Report 2011-12

SCHOOL:	SILVER CREEK HIGH SCHOOL
SCHOOL ID:	061501040001
DISTRICT:	SILVER CREEK CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT
DISTRICT ID:	061501040000
PRINCIPAL:	JAMES KLUBEK
SUPERINTENDENT:	DAVID KURZAWA
PHONE:	716-934-2603

Data in this report are those reported by districts in the Student Information Repository System as of August 24, 2012.

On May 29, 2012, the United States Department of Education (USDE) approved New York's Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) waiver. This waiver provides New York with increased flexibility to help close the achievement gap and assist students in becoming college and career ready. New York's waiver introduced a system of identifying districts and/or schools as **Reward, Focus,** or **Priority**, based on performance in English language arts (ELA), mathematics, and science at the elementary/middle level and in ELA, mathematics, and graduation rate at the secondary level. More information on the waiver is available at http://www.p12.nysed.gov/esea-waiver/.

Data in The New York State Accountability Report are those used to determine **Performance Indices (PIs)** and make **Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)** determinations which, in turn, are used to assist in identifying districts and schools as Reward, Focus, and Priority. Explanations of how the data are used to make the determinations are available at http://www.p12.nysed.gov/accountability/ESEAMaterials.html.

More Information: Office of Accountability New York State Education Department 55 Hanson Place Brooklyn, NY 11217 Email: accountinfo@mail.nysed.gov

# **Adequate Yearly Progress and Performance Indices**

Performance Indices (PIs) and Unweighted Combined PIs are used to determine if a district or school is Priority or Focus. Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups (all students, racial/ethnic groups, students with disabilities, limited English proficient students, and economically disadvantaged students) and PIs for accountability groups and non-AYP groups (non-racial/ethnic groups, general-education students, English proficient students, not economically disadvantaged students, male and female students, and migrant and non-migrant students) are used to determine if a district or school is Reward or a school requires a Local Assistance Plan. For more information, see http://www.p12.nysed.gov/accountability/documents/NYSESEAFlexibilityWaiver\_REVISED.pdf

# **Adequate Yearly Progress**

In accordance with federal and State laws, the New York State Education Department must determine and report on the performance of students in the following areas:

- 1) Elementary/middle-level (grades 3 8) English Language Arts (ELA)
- 2) Elementary/middle-level (grades 3 8) Mathematics
- 3) Elementary/middle-level (grades 4 and 8) Science
- 4) Secondary-level (grades 9-12) ELA
- 5) Secondary-level (grades 9-12) Mathematics
- 6) Graduation Rate

This performance is determined by identifying whether or not a district or school made **"Adequate Yearly Progress"** (AYP). AYP indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students. In ELA, mathematics, and science, AYP is determined by comparing the percentage of students tested and the performance of tested students against defined standards. In graduation rate, AYP is determined by comparing the percentage of students graduating against defined standards.

# Standards for English Language Arts, Mathematics, and Science

Participation: In ELA and mathematics, 95% of students in each accountability group with 40 or more members must be tested to meet the participation criterion. In science, 80% must be tested.
 Performance: In ELA and mathematics, a calculated measure of performance called the "Performance Index" (PI) for each accountability group with 30 or more members must equal or exceed a preset objective called an "Effective Annual Measurable Objective" (EAMO) or a safety net objective called a "Safe Harbor Target." In science, the criterion for performance is the same but the safety net is referred to as a "Progress Target."

Districts and schools must meet both the participation and performance criteria for all groups with sufficient numbers to make AYP.

# **Standards for Graduation Rate**

Districts and schools with secondary-level grades are also held to certain standards for the percentage of students who graduated. To make AYP in graduation rate, every accountability group with 30 or more members must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, the graduation rate of the 4-year graduation-rate total cohort or the 5-year graduation-rate total cohort must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard of 80% or the group's Progress Target. A cohort is a group of students who entered grade 9 anywhere in a particular school year. Graduation rates for these cohorts are then determined 4 and 5 years after the students first enter grade 9.

For more information on how AYP and PIs are determined, see "Determining AYP in 2011-12" under Understanding Accountability in New York State at http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irs/accountability/.

# **Secondary-Level ELA: AYP**

# SCHOOL: SILVER CREEK HIGH SCHOOL

#### SCHOOL ID: 061501040001 DISTRICT: SILVER CREEK CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Adequate Yearly Progress: In secondary-level English language arts (ELA), districts and schools must meet both the participation and performance criteria to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

**Participation:** To meet the participation criterion, at least 95% of students in each accountability group with 40 or more members must be tested on an appropriate ELA assessment.

**Performance:** To meet the performance criterion, the Performance Index (PI) of students in each accountability group with 30 or more members must be equal to or greater than the Effective Annual Measurable Objective (EAMO) or the Safe Harbor Target.

# All accountability groups met the participation and performance criteria (made AYP): YES

Student Group	Made AYP
All Students	<ul> <li>Image: A second s</li></ul>
American Indian or Alaska Native	
Black or African American	_
Hispanic or Latino	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	—
White	<ul> <li>Image: A second s</li></ul>
Multiracial	-
Students With Disabilities	_
Limited English Proficient	_
Economically Disadvantaged	<ul> <li>Image: A start of the start of</li></ul>

Made AYP

X Did not make AYP

There were not enough students to make an AYP determination

# **Secondary-Level ELA: Participation**

# SCHOOL: SILVER CREEK HIGH SCHOOL

# SCHOOL ID: 061501040001 DISTRICT: SILVER CREEK CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

# All accountability groups with 40 or more members tested at least 95% of 12th graders: YES

# Secondary-Level English Language Arts (ELA) Participation Results

Student Group	Tested 95%	12th Graders	Percent of 12th Graders with Valid Test Scores
All Students	1	67	99%
American Indian or Alaska Native	—	8	—
Black or African American	—	3	—
Hispanic or Latino	—	2	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	—	0	—
White	1	52	98%
Multiracial	—	2	—
Students With Disabilities	—	6	—
Limited English Proficient	_	0	—
Economically Disadvantaged	—	30	—

✓ At least 95% of 12th graders were tested.

**X** Less than 95% of 12th graders were tested.

- There were fewer than 40 12th graders in the group.

# **Secondary-Level ELA: Performance**

## SCHOOL: SILVER CREEK HIGH SCHOOL

## SCHOOL ID: 061501040001 DISTRICT: SILVER CREEK CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

# All accountability groups with 30 or more members had a PI greater than or equal to the EAMO or Safe Harbor Target: YES

# Secondary-Level English Language Arts (ELA) Performance Results

	PI >= EAMO or	2008 Accountability		Objectives	
Student Group	Safe Harbor Target	Cohort Members	PI	EAMO	Safe Harbor Target
All Students	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	62	169	147	147
American Indian or Alaska Native	—	6	—	_	—
Black or African American	—	2	—	—	—
Hispanic or Latino	—	2	-	—	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	—	0	—	—	—
White	1	51	167	161	161
Multiracial	_	1	_	_	—
Students With Disabilities	_	6	_	—	—
Limited English Proficient	_	0	_	_	_
Economically Disadvantaged	1	31	161	124	124

✓ Performance Index is equal to or greater than Effective Annual Measurable Objective.

X Performance Index is less than Effective Annual Measurable Objective and Safe Harbor Target.

- There were fewer than 30 tested students in the 2008 accountability cohort.

**2008 Accountability Cohort:** The 2008 Accountability Cohort consists of all students who first entered grade 9 anywhere between July 1, 2008 and June 30, 2009 or, in the case of ungraded students with disabilities, reached their seventeenth birthday during the 2008-09 school year. Results for these students are captured as of June 30, 2012. A more detailed definition of this cohort is available in "Secondary-Level Cohort Definitions" at http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irs/sirs/.

**Performance Index (PI):** A PI is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative). Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. A PI is calculated using the levels and the following equation: ([(Count at Level 2) + 2(Count at Level 3) + 2(Count at Level 4)] ÷ [Count of Cohort Members]) × 100

**Effective Annual Measurable Objective (EAMO):** The EAMO is the PI value that each accountability group of a given size within a district or school is expected to achieve to meet the performance criterion. The EAMO table is available at http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irs/accountability/ under the header "Annual Measurable Objectives."

**Safe Harbor Target:** Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to meet the performance criterion for accountability groups whose PIs are less than their EAMOs. The 2011-12 Safe Harbor Target is calculated using the following formula: 2010-11 PI + (200 - the 2010-11 PI)  $\times$  0.10

# Secondary-Level ELA: Non-AYP Groups

# SCHOOL: SILVER CREEK HIGH SCHOOL

# SCHOOL ID: 061501040001 DISTRICT: SILVER CREEK CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

# Participation and performance for the following groups are *NOT* used to determine AYP.

# Participation

Student Group	12th Graders	Percent of 12th Graders with Valid Test Scores
Not American Indian or Alaska Native	59	98%
Not Black or African American	64	98%
Not Hispanic or Latino	65	98%
Not Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	67	99%
Not White	15	_
Not Multiracial	65	98%
General Education	61	98%
English Proficient	67	99%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	37	_
Male	37	_
Female	30	_
Migrant	0	_
Not Migrant	67	99%

— There were fewer than 40 12th graders in the group.

# Performance

Student Group	2008 Accountability Cohort Members	PI
Not American Indian or Alaska Native	56	170
Not Black or African American	60	168
Not Hispanic or Latino	60	168
Not Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	62	169
Not White	11	—
Not Multiracial	61	169
General Education	56	177
English Proficient	62	169
Not Economically Disadvantaged	31	177
Male	34	156
Female	28	—
Migrant	0	—
Not Migrant	62	169

— There were fewer than 30 students in the cohort.

# **Secondary-Level Math: AYP**

# SCHOOL: SILVER CREEK HIGH SCHOOL

### SCHOOL ID: 061501040001 DISTRICT: SILVER CREEK CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

**Adequate Yearly Progress:** In secondary-level mathematics, districts and schools must meet both the participation and performance criteria to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

**Participation:** To meet the participation criterion, at least 95% of students in each accountability group with 40 or more members must be tested on an appropriate math assessment.

**Performance:** To meet the performance criterion, the Performance Index (PI) of students in each accountability group with 30 or more members must be equal to or greater than the Effective Annual Measurable Objective (EAMO) or the Safe Harbor Target.

# All accountability groups met the participation and performance criteria (made AYP): YES

Student Group	Made AYP
All Students	<ul> <li>Image: A second s</li></ul>
American Indian or Alaska Native	—
Black or African American	—
Hispanic or Latino	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	—
White	<ul> <li>Image: A second s</li></ul>
Multiracial	—
Students With Disabilities	—
Limited English Proficient	_
Economically Disadvantaged	<ul> <li>Image: A start of the start of</li></ul>

Made AYP

X Did not make AYP

There were not enough students to make an AYP determination

# **Secondary-Level Math: Participation**

# SCHOOL: SILVER CREEK HIGH SCHOOL

# SCHOOL ID: 061501040001 DISTRICT: SILVER CREEK CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

# All accountability groups with 40 or more members tested at least 95% of 12th graders: YES

# Secondary-Level Math Participation Results

Student Group	Tested 95%	12th Graders	Percent of 12th Graders with Valid Test Scores
All Students	1	67	96%
American Indian or Alaska Native	—	8	—
Black or African American	—	3	—
Hispanic or Latino	—	2	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	—	0	—
White	1	52	96%
Multiracial	—	2	—
Students With Disabilities	—	6	—
Limited English Proficient	_	0	—
Economically Disadvantaged	—	30	—

✓ At least 95% of 12th graders were tested.

**X** Less than 95% of 12th graders were tested.

- There were fewer than 40 12th graders in the group.

# **Secondary-Level Math: Performance**

### SCHOOL: SILVER CREEK HIGH SCHOOL

### SCHOOL ID: 061501040001 DISTRICT: SILVER CREEK CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

# All accountability groups with 30 or more members had a PI greater than or equal to the EAMO or Safe Harbor Target: YES

### **Secondary-Level Math Performance Results**

	PI >= EAMO or	2008 Accountability		Objectives	
Student Group	Student Group Safe Harbor Cohort Members		PI	EAMO	Safe Harbor Target
All Students	<ul> <li>Image: A set of the set of the</li></ul>	62	165	124	124
American Indian or Alaska Native	—	6	—	-	—
Black or African American	—	2	—		—
Hispanic or Latino	—	2	—	—	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	—	0	—	—	—
White	1	51	161	141	141
Multiracial	—	1	—	—	—
Students With Disabilities	—	6	—	—	—
Limited English Proficient	—	0	_	_	_
Economically Disadvantaged	1	31	161	98	98

✓ Performance Index is equal to or greater than Effective Annual Measurable Objective.

X Performance Index is less than Effective Annual Measurable Objective and Safe Harbor Target.

- There were fewer than 30 tested students in the 2008 accountability cohort.

**2008 Accountability Cohort:** The 2008 Accountability Cohort consists of all students who first entered grade 9 anywhere between July 1, 2008 and June 30, 2009 or, in the case of ungraded students with disabilities, reached their seventeenth birthday during the 2008-09 school year. Results for these students are captured as of June 30, 2012. A more detailed definition of this cohort is available in "Secondary-Level Cohort Definitions" at http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irs/sirs/.

**Performance Index (PI):** A PI is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative). Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. A PI is calculated using the levels and the following equation: ([(Count at Level 2) + 2(Count at Level 3) + 2(Count at Level 4)] ÷ [Count of Cohort Members]) × 100

**Effective Annual Measurable Objective (EAMO):** The EAMO is the PI value that each accountability group of a given size within a district or school is expected to achieve to meet the performance criterion. The EAMO table is available at http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irs/accountability/ under the header "Annual Measurable Objectives."

**Safe Harbor Target:** Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to meet the performance criterion for accountability groups whose PIs are less than their EAMOs. The 2011-12 Safe Harbor Target is calculated using the following formula: 2010-11 PI + (200 - the 2010-11 PI)  $\times$  0.10

# Secondary-Level Math: Non-AYP Groups

# SCHOOL: SILVER CREEK HIGH SCHOOL

# SCHOOL ID: 061501040001 DISTRICT: SILVER CREEK CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

# Participation and performance for the following groups are *NOT* used to determine AYP.

# Participation

Student Group	12th Graders	Percent of 12th Graders with Valid Test Scores
Not American Indian or Alaska Native	59	95%
Not Black or African American	64	97%
Not Hispanic or Latino	65	95%
Not Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	67	96%
Not White	15	—
Not Multiracial	65	95%
General Education	61	95%
English Proficient	67	96%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	37	—
Male	37	—
Female	30	—
Migrant	0	_
Not Migrant	67	96%

— There were fewer than 40 12th graders in the group.

# Performance

Student Group	2008 Accountability Cohort Members	PI
Not American Indian or Alaska Native	56	163
Not Black or African American	60	163
Not Hispanic or Latino	60	165
Not Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	62	165
Not White	11	—
Not Multiracial	61	164
General Education	56	171
English Proficient	62	165
Not Economically Disadvantaged	31	168
Male	34	150
Female	28	_
Migrant	0	—
Not Migrant	62	165

— There were fewer than 30 students in the cohort.

# SCHOOL: SILVER CREEK HIGH SCHOOL

### SCHOOL ID: 061501040001 DISTRICT: SILVER CREEK CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **Unweighted Combined ELA and Math Performance Indices (PIs)**

The unweighted combined ELA and math Performance Index (PI) is the sum of the PIs for all measures for which the school/district has 30 or more students in the group divided by the number of measures for which the school/district has 30 or more students in the group. For example, EM ELA = 150, EM Math = 180, HS ELA = 140, HS Math = 160:  $(150 + 180 + 140 + 160) \div 4 = 157.5 = 158$ .

Student Group	Elementary/ Middle-Level ELA PI	Elementary/ Middle-Level Math Pl	Secondary-Level ELA Pl	Secondary-Level Math Pl	Unweighted Combined Pl
All Students	—	—	169	165	167
American Indian or Alaska Native	_	_	_	_	—
Black or African American	—	—	—	—	—
Hispanic or Latino	_	—	—	_	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	_	_	_	_	_
White	_	—	167	161	164
Multiracial	_	—	—	_	—
Students With Disabilities	_	—	—	_	—
Limited English Proficient	—	—	—	—	—
Economically Disadvantaged	—	_	161	161	161

- There was not enough students to determine a Performance Index.

# SCHOOL: SILVER CREEK HIGH SCHOOL

#### SCHOOL ID: 061501040001 DISTRICT: SILVER CREEK CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

**Adequate Yearly Progress:** To make AYP in graduation rate, every accountability group with 30 or more members must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, the graduation rate of the 4-year graduation-rate total cohort <u>OR</u> the 5-year graduation-rate total cohort must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard of 80% or the group's Progress Target.

# All accountability groups made AYP: YES

Student Group	Made AYP
All Students	<ul> <li>Image: A set of the set of the</li></ul>
American Indian or Alaska Native	—
Black or African American	—
Hispanic or Latino	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	—
White	<ul> <li>Image: A second s</li></ul>
Multiracial	—
Students With Disabilities	—
Limited English Proficient	—
Economically Disadvantaged	1

✓ Made AYP

X Did not make AYP

There were not enough students to make an AYP determination

determination

# **Graduation Rate: 4-Year Graduation-Rate Total Cohort**

### SCHOOL: SILVER CREEK HIGH SCHOOL

## SCHOOL ID: 061501040001 DISTRICT: SILVER CREEK CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

# All accountability groups with 30 or more members met the graduation-rate criterion for the four-year graduation-rate total cohort: YES

## Four-Year Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

Student Group	Met Graduation- Rate Criterion	2007 Four- Year Graduation- Rate Total Cohort	Graduation Rate	State Standard	Progress Target
All Students	1	102	82%	80%	80%
American Indian or Alaska Native	—	10	—	—	—
Black or African American	—	2	—	—	—
Hispanic or Latino	—	1	—	—	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	—	0	—	—	—
White	1	86	85%	80%	80%
Multiracial	—	3	—	—	—
Students With Disabilities	—	17	—	—	—
Limited English Proficient	_	0	_	_	_
Economically Disadvantaged	1	43	86%	80%	8%

✓ Graduation rate is equal to or greater than the State Standard or the group's Progress Target.

**X** Graduation rate is less than the State Standard and the group's Progress Target.

- There were fewer than 30 students in the cohort.

#### 2007 Four-Year Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

The 2007 four-year graduation-rate total cohort consists of all students who first entered grade 9 anywhere between July 1, 2007 and June 30, 2008. Four-year graduation-rate results for these students are captured as of August 31, 2011.

#### **Graduation Rate**

The graduate rate for the 2007 four-year graduation-rate total cohort is the percentage of cohort members who earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2011.

#### **Progress Target**

The Progress Target for the 2007 four-year graduation-rate total cohort is a 10% gap reduction in the graduation rate of the 2006 four-year graduation-rate total cohort as of August 31, 2010. The following equation is used to determine the 2007 four-year graduation-rate total cohort progress target:

[(80 - the graduation rate of the 2006 four-year graduation-rate total cohort) x 0.1] + the graduation rate of the 2006 four-year graduation-rate total cohort

# **Graduation Rate: 5-Year Graduation-Rate Total Cohort**

### SCHOOL: SILVER CREEK HIGH SCHOOL

## SCHOOL ID: 061501040001 DISTRICT: SILVER CREEK CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

# All accountability groups with 30 or more members met the graduation-rate criterion for the five-year graduation-rate total cohort: YES

#### **Five-Year Graduation-Rate Total Cohort**

Student Group	Met Graduation- Rate Criterion	2006 Five- Year Graduation- Rate Total Cohort	Graduation Rate	State Standard	Progress Target
All Students	1	94	85%	80%	80%
American Indian or Alaska Native	—	13	—	—	—
Black or African American	—	1	—	—	—
Hispanic or Latino	—	2	—	—	—
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	—	1	—	—	—
White	1	76	84%	80%	80%
Multiracial	—	1	—	—	—
Students With Disabilities	—	15	—	—	—
Limited English Proficient	_	0	_	_	_
Economically Disadvantaged	_	26	_	_	_

Graduation rate is equal to or greater than the State Standard or the group's Progress Target.

**X** Graduation rate is less than the State Standard and the group's Progress Target.

- There were fewer than 30 students in the cohort.

#### 2006 Five-Year Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

The 2006 five-year graduation-rate total cohort consists of all students who first entered grade 9 anywhere between July 1, 2006 and June 30, 2007. Five-year graduation-rate results for these students are captured as of August 31, 2011.

#### **Graduation Rate**

The graduate rate for the 2006 five-year graduation-rate total cohort is the percentage of cohort members who earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2011.

#### **Progress Target**

The Progress Target for the 2006 five-year graduation-rate total cohort is a 20% gap reduction in the graduation rate of the 2005 five-year graduation-rate total cohort as of June 30, 2010. The following equation is used to determine the 2006 five-year graduation-rate total cohort progress target:

[(80 - the graduation rate of the 2005 five-year graduation-rate total cohort) x 0.2] + the graduation rate of the 2005 five-year graduation-rate total cohort

# SCHOOL: SILVER CREEK HIGH SCHOOL

## SCHOOL ID: 061501040001 DISTRICT: SILVER CREEK CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

# Graduation Rates for the following groups are *NOT* used to determine AYP.

	Four-Year Gradu Coh	ation-Rate Total lort	Five-Year Graduation-Rate Total Cohort		
Student Group	2007 Four- Year Graduation- Rate Total Cohort	Graduation Rate	2006 Five- Year Graduation- Rate Total Cohort	Graduation Rate	
Not American Indian or Alaska Native	92	84%	81	83%	
Not Black or African American	100	82%	93	85%	
Not Hispanic or Latino	101	83%	92	87%	
Not Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	102	82%	93	85%	
Not White	16	—	18	_	
Not Multiracial	99	83%	93	85%	
General Education	85	85%	79	89%	
English Proficient	102	82%	94	85%	
Not Economically Disadvantaged	59	80%	68	85%	
Male	58	84%	45	82%	
Female	44	80%	49	88%	
Migrant	0	_	0	_	
Not Migrant	102	82%	94	85%	

- There were fewer than 30 students in the cohort.

# **Graduation Rates for Select Diploma Types**

#### **Regents with Advanced Designation**

The percentage of 2007 Graduation-Rate Total Cohort members who graduated as of August 31, 2011 with a Regents Diploma with an Advanced Designation is 41%, which exceeded the State average of 31%.

#### **Regents with CTE Endorsement**

The percentage of 2007 Graduation-Rate Total Cohort members who graduated as of August 31, 2011 with a Regents Diploma with CTE Endorsement is 0%, which did not exceed the State average of 3%.