

The New York State Report Card 2011–12 School GLOBAL MEDIA ARTS HS AT FRANKLIN School ID 26-16-00-01-0084 District ROCHESTER CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT Principal BEVERLY PRINGLE Telephone (585) 324-3720 Grades 9-12

The New York State Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents' effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on school/district enrollment and staff, student performance, and other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school's or district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic supports.

# This report includes:

### **1** Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's or district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, attendance and suspensions, and staff.

### **2** Student Performance

This section shows student performance on standardized assessments at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels.

### **3** Student Outcomes

This section shows outcomes for graduates and noncompleters, including postgraduation plans of completers.

For more information: Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: dataquest@mail.nysed.gov 1 **Profile** 

School GLOBAL MEDIA ARTS HS AT FRANKLIN School ID 26-16-00-01-0084

# Enrollment

	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12
Pre-K	0	0	
Kindergarten	0	0	0
Grade 1	0	0	0
Grade 2	0	0	0
Grade 3	0	0	0
Grade 4	0	0	0
Grade 5	0	0	0
Grade 6	0	0	0
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Grade 7	100	31	0
Grade 8	67	62	0
Grade 9	104	3	30
Grade 10	43	140	26
Grade 11	81	45	40
Grade 12	56	33	34
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K–12	451	314	130

# **Average Class Size**

	2009–10	2010-11	2011-12
Common Branch			
Grade 8			
English	19	22	19
Mathematics	20	21	
Science	18	21	
Social Studies	20	21	
Grade 10			
English	21	23	
Mathematics		19	
Science	23	30	
Social Studies	18	26	

District ROCHESTER CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Enrollment Information**

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a school's and district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a school's or district's enrollment. The state public enrollment includes public school districts, charter schools, and NYSED-operated programs. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts. Kindergarten and Pre-K counts include halfand full-day students.

# Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. Common Branch refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

# 1 Profile

School GLOBAL MEDIA ARTS HS AT FRANKLIN School ID 26-16-00-01-0084

# **Demographic Factors**

	200	9–10	2010-11		2011-12	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	338	75%	236	75%	86	66%
Reduced Price Lunch	27	6%	18	6%	5	4%
Limited English Proficient	47	10%	32	10%	14	11%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black or African American	287	64%	194	62%	87	67%
Hispanic or Latino	140	31%	106	34%	36	28%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	6	1%	3	1%	2	2%
White	18	4%	11	4%	5	4%
Multiracial	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

## **Attendance and Suspensions**

	200	2008-09		2009-10		.0-11
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		87%		86%		80%
Student Suspensions	14	3%	31	7%	36	11%

District ROCHESTER CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

## Demographic Factors Information

*Eligible for Free Lunch* and *Reduced-Price Lunch* percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12.

## Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school's (or district's) total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A school's (or district's) actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the school (or district's schools) was open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day the school (or schools) was open during the school year. The state's Annual Attendance Rate is a weighted average of all districtlevel attendance rates.

Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including inschool suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

# 1 Profile

School GLOBAL MEDIA ARTS HS AT FRANKLIN School ID 26-16-00-01-0084

# **Teacher Qualifications**

	2009–10	2010-11	2011-12
Total Number of Teachers	40	29	4
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	3%	3%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	10%	7%	0%
Percent with Fewer than Three Years of Experience	18%	14%	0%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	13%	21%	25%
Total Number of Core Classes	168	82	7
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers in This School*	8%	10%	0%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers in This District**	3%	4%	3%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers in High-Poverty Schools Statewide	6%	5%	4%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers in Low-Poverty Schools Statewide	1%	0%	1%
Total Number of Classes	214	135	20
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	9%	8%	0%

\*Not available at the district or statewide level.

\*\*Not available for charter schools or at the statewide level.

# **Teacher Turnover Rate**

	2008–09	2009–10	2010-11
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	50%	64%	N/A
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	33%	48%	82%

# **Staff Counts**

	2009–10	2010-11	2011-12
Total Other Professional Staff	5	1	0
Total Paraprofessionals*	N/A	N/A	N/A
Assistant Principals	2	2	1
Principals	1	1	0

\*Not available at the school level.

District ROCHESTER CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

### Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* for public schools is the percent doing so on more than an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K–6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area or otherwise in accordance with state standards, and show subject matter competency.

In public schools, a teacher who taught one class outside of the certification area(s) is counted as *Highly Qualified* provided that 1) the teacher had been determined by the school or district through the HOUSSE process or other state-accepted methods to have demonstrated acceptable subject knowledge and teaching skills and 2) the class in question was not the sole assignment reported. Credit for incidental teaching does not extend beyond a single assignment. Independent of *Highly Qualified Teacher* status, any assignment for which a teacher did not hold a valid certificate still registers as teaching out of certification.

In charter schools, a teacher is counted as *Highly Qualified* if the teacher has at least a Bachelor's degree, is certified to teach, and shows subject matter competency. Enabling legislation considers charter school teachers to be certified if they hold any valid teaching certificate. Enabling legislation also permits up to 30 percent (with a maximum of five) of charter school teachers to be without certification and to be considered *Highly Qualified* if they meet all remaining criteria.

*High-poverty* and *low-poverty* schools are those schools in the upper and lower quartiles, respectively, for percentage of students eligible for a free or reduced-price lunch.

### Teacher Turnover Rate Information

*Teacher Turnover Rate* for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

### **Staff Counts Information**

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to nonteaching duties.

# **2** Student Performance

District ROCHESTER CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

School GLOBAL MEDIA ARTS HS AT FRANKLIN School ID 26-16-00-01-0084

This section contains annual assessment data for students at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels as well as the performance of secondary-level cohorts on standardized achievement assessments.

### New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) Assessments

The New York State Testing Program assessments are administered in English language arts (ELA) and mathematics in grades 3 through 8. The Performance Level Descriptors for these assessments are provided below:

### **English Language Arts**

Level 1: Below Standard

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level.

### Level 2: Meets Basic Standard

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level. **Level 3: Meets Proficiency Standard** 

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level. **Level 4: Exceeds Proficiency Standard** 

# Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level.

### **Mathematics**

Level 1: Below Standard

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

Level 2: Meets Basic Standard

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

Level 3: Meets Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

#### Level 4: Exceeds Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

### New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA)

The New York State Alternate Assessments are administered in English language arts (ELA) and mathematics to ungraded students with severe cognitive disabilities whose ages are equivalent to graded students in grades 3 through 8 and secondary level. They are administered in science to students with disabilities age equivalent to graded students in grades 4, 8, and secondary level. And they are administered in social studies at the secondary level only.

### New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT)

The New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests are administered in grades K through 12 to limited English proficient students.

### **Secondary-Level Cohorts**

A secondary-level cohort consists of all students who first entered grade 9 anywhere or, in the case of ungraded students with disabilities, reached their seventeenth birthday in a particular year. The 2008 cohort consists of all students who first entered grade 9 anywhere or, in the case of ungraded students with disabilities, reached their seventeenth birthday between July 1, 2008 and June 30, 2009. The 2007 cohort consists of all students who first entered grade 9 anywhere or, in the case of ungraded students of all students who first entered grade 9 anywhere or, in the case of ungraded students with disabilities, reached their seventeenth birthday between July 1, 2008 and June 30, 2009. The 2007 cohort consists of all students who first entered grade 9 anywhere or, in the case of ungraded students with disabilities, reached their seventeenth birthday between July 1, 2007 and June 30, 2008. For more detailed information on cohort definitions, see *Secondary-Level Cohort Definitions* at <a href="http://www.pl2.nysed.gov/irs/sirs/">http://www.pl2.nysed.gov/irs/sirs/</a>.

### District ROCHESTER CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts**

		This Scho	ool		School D	District		NY Sta	<b>NY State Public</b> Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Percenta				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
	*Range	:642-790	665-790	698-790								
2011 Mean Score: 641												
	100%											
								91%	6			
2011-12		60%			76%							
		60%							48%			
2010-11												
			10%			21%						
			10 /8	0%			1%			4%		
Number of Tested Students:		6	1	0	1619	439	13					

Results by	2011-12	School Ye	ar	2010–11 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4
All Students					10	60%	10%	0%
Female				-	5	40%	20%	0%
Male		••••••	•••••	••••••	5	80%	0%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American			••••••		5	80%	20%	0%
Hispanic or Latino		•••••		••••••	5	40%	0%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaijan/Other Pacific Islander								
White								
Multiracial							•••••	
Small Group Totals		•••••		••••••			••••••	
General-Education Students					7	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities		•••••	•••••	••••••	3	-		
English Proficient					9	-	-	-
_imited English Proficient		•••••	•••••	••••••	1	-		
Economically Disadvantaged					10	60%	10%	0%
Not Disadvantaged		•••••	•••••	••••••			••••••	
Migrant								
Not Migrant		••••		••••••	10	60%	10%	0%

#### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2011-12 data only. Ranges for 2010-11 data are available in the 2010-11 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2011-12	School Ye	ar		2010–11 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number	scoring at I	evel(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

<sup>+</sup> These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. July 31, 2013

### District ROCHESTER CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **Results in Grade 7 Mathematics**

		This Scho	ool	This School			School District			NY State Public			
		Percentage	e scoring at l	level(s):	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Percenta	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4			
	*Range	e:639-800	670-800	694-800									
2011 Mean Score: 625													
	100%							92%					
2011-12					74%				65%				
2010-11		40%				30%				309			
			10%	0%		3070	6%			507			
Number of Tested Students:		4	1	0	1595	641	127						

Results by	2011-12	School Ye	ar	2010–11 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percenta	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4
All Students					10	40%	10%	0%
Female				•	5	20%	20%	0%
Male		••••••	•••••		5	60%	0%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American					5	40%	20%	0%
Hispanic or Latino		•••••	•••••		5	40%	0%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander								
White								•••••
Multiracial						•••••		
Small Group Totals		•••••	•••••					
General-Education Students					7	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities		•••••	•••••		3	-		
English Proficient					9	-	-	-
_imited English Proficient		•••••	•••••		1	-		
Economically Disadvantaged					10	40%	10%	0%
Not Disadvantaged		•••••	•••••					
Migrant								
Not Migrant			•••••		10	40%	10%	0%

#### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2011-12 data only. Ranges for 2010-11 data are available in the 2010-11 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2011-12	School Ye	ar		2010–11 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				0				

### District ROCHESTER CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts**

		This Scho	ool		School [	District		NY State Public			
		Percentage scoring at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s)				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
	*Range	e:628-790	658-790	699-790							
2011 Mean Score: 630											
	100%										
								929	6		
2011-12		60%			72%						
2010-11		0070							47	%	
						4 70/					
			3%	0%		17%	0%			2%	
					15.00					270	
Number of Tested Students:		43	2	0	1563	360	4				

Results by	2011-12	School Ye	ar		2010–11 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percenta	ge scoring a	t level(s):	Total	Percenta	ge scoring a	t level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	
All Students					72	60%	3%	0%	
Female					33	64%	0%	0%	
Male					39	56%	5%	0%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American					43	58%	0%	0%	
Hispanic or Latino		•••••••			26	-	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Is	lander	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		••••••	1	-			
White					2	-		-	
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals					29	62%	7%	0%	
General-Education Students					60	68%	3%	0%	
Students with Disabilities					12	17%	0%	0%	
English Proficient					62	61%	3%	0%	
_imited English Proficient					10	50%	0%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged					69	-	-	-	
Not Disadvantaged					3	-	-	-	
Vigrant									
Not Migrant					72	60%	3%	0%	

#### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2011-12 data only. Ranges for 2010-11 data are available in the 2010-11 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2011-12	School Ye	ear		2010–11 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Total				Total				
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

<sup>+</sup> These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. July 31, 2013

### District ROCHESTER CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **Results in Grade 8 Mathematics**

		This Scho	bol		School [	District		NY Sta	te Public		
		Percentage scoring at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percenta	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
	*Range	e:639-775	674-775	704-775							
2011 Mean Score: 636											
	100%										
								91%			
2011-12		58%			66%				60%		
2010-11											
						20%				18%	
			4%	0%			1%				
Number of Tested Students:		41	3	0	1439	428	30	Ļ			

Results by	2011-12	School Ye	ar		2010–11 School Year				
-	Total	Percenta	ge scoring a	t level(s):	Total	Percenta	ge scoring a	at level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	
All Students					71	58%	4%	0%	
Female			•	-	33	64%	0%	0%	
Male					38	53%	8%	0%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American				••••••	42	55%	2%	0%	
Hispanic or Latino		••••••			26	-	–	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Isl	ander				1	-	-	-	
White					2	-	–	-	
Multiracial								• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Small Group Totals					29	62%	7%	0%	
General-Education Students					59	63%	5%	0%	
Students with Disabilities		•••••			12	33%	0%	0%	
English Proficient					61	59%	2%	0%	
Limited English Proficient					10	50%	20%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged					68	-	-	-	
Not Disadvantaged					3	_	_	_	
Migrant									
Not Migrant					71	58%	4%	0%	

#### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2011-12 data only. Ranges for 2010-11 data are available in the 2010-11 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2011-12	School Ye	ar		2010–11 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				0				

### District ROCHESTER CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **Results in Grade 8 Science**

	This Sch	This School			istrict		<b>NY State Public</b> Percentage scoring at level(s):			
	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage	e scoring a	t level(s):				
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
100% 2011-12 2010-11	72%	16%	0%	74%	31%	3%	94	% 72%	28%	
Number of Tested Students:	49	11	0	1520	647	62				

Results by	2011-12	School Ye	ear		2010–11 School Year				
-	Total	Percenta	ge scoring a	t level(s):	Total	Percenta	ge scoring a	at level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	
All Students					68	72%	16%	0%	
Female			•	-	31	71%	13%	0%	
Male		••••••••			37	73%	19%	0%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American					39	67%	10%	0%	
Hispanic or Latino		•••••			26	-	-	_	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Isl					1	-	-	-	
White					2	-	–	-	
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals					29	79%	24%	0%	
General-Education Students					56	77%	20%	0%	
Students with Disabilities		•••••••			12	50%	0%	0%	
English Proficient					58	76%	17%	0%	
_imited English Proficient		••••••••••			10	50%	10%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged					66	-	-	-	
Not Disadvantaged					2		_	_	
Migrant									
Not Migrant					68	72%	16%	0%	

#### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2011-12	School Ye	ar		2010–11 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				0				
Regents Science	0				0				

# **Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level English Language Arts after Four Years of Instruction**

	This S	chool		School	District		NY Stat	e Public		
	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4			Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
<ul> <li>2008 Cohort</li> <li>2007 Cohort</li> </ul>	49%	<sup>%</sup> 46% <sup>53%</sup>	3% 11%	61% 60%	9 56% 55%	10% 10%	84% 83%	82% 80%	38% 35%	

Deculte by	2008 <b>Cohor</b>	2007 Cohort						
Results by Student Crown	Number	Percenta	age scoring	at level(s):	Number	Percenta	age scoring	at level(s):
Student Group	of Students	2–4	3–4	4	of Students	2–4	3–4	4
All Students	72	<b>49</b> %	<b>46</b> %	3%	88	60%	53%	11%
Female	32	56%	50%	0%	42	79%	71%	14%
Male	40	43%	43%	5%	46	43%	37%	9%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	51	55%	51%	0%	59	54%	47%	10%
Hispanic or Latino	17	-	-	-	24	71%	63%	8%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander								
White	4	_	—	-	5	80%	80%	40%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	21	33%	33%	10%				
General-Education Students	51	61%	57%	2%	59	71%	68%	15%
Students with Disabilities	21	19%	19%	5%	29	38%	24%	3%
English Proficient	69	-	-	-	86	-	-	_
_imited English Proficient	3	-	-		2	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	56	46%	43%	2%	62	66%	60%	13%
Not Disadvantaged	16	56%	56%	6%	26	46%	38%	8%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	72	49%	46%	3%	88	60%	53%	11%

#### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

# **Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction**

	This Se	This School Percentage scoring at level(s):			School District Percentage scoring at level(s):			NY State Public		
	Percenta							Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
<ul> <li>2008 Cohort</li> <li>2007 Cohort</li> </ul>	61% 660	% 43% 44%	0% 3%	66% 64%	56% 55%	2% 3%	87% 86%	9 82% 81%	22% 25%	

Deculto by	2008 <b>Cohor</b>	2007 Cohort						
Results by Student Group	Number	Percenta	age scoring a	at level(s):	Number	Percenta	age scoring a	at level(s):
Student Group	of Students	2–4 3–4		4	of Students	2–4	3–4	4
All Students	72	61%	43%	0%	88	66%	44%	3%
Female	32	69%	41%	0%	42	83%	50%	7%
Male	40	55%	45%	0%	46	50%	39%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	51	65%	45%	0%	59	58%	41%	5%
Hispanic or Latino	17	-	-	-	24	83%	50%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander								
White	4	-	_	-	5	80%	60%	0%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	21	52%	38%	0%				
General-Education Students	51	73%	57%	0%	59	75%	58%	5%
Students with Disabilities	21	33%	10%	0%	29	48%	17%	0%
English Proficient	69	-	-	-	86	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	3	-	–	-	2	-	–	-
Economically Disadvantaged	56	59%	45%	0%	62	74%	50%	5%
Not Disadvantaged	16	69%	38%	0%	26	46%	31%	0%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	72	61%	43%	0%	88	66%	44%	3%

#### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

### 2008 Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level Global History and Geography, U.S. History and Government, and Science after Four Years of Instruction

	All Stud	All Students			General-Education Students				Students with Disabilities			
	Cohort Enrollment		Percentage of students scoring:			Percentage of students scoring:			לב די שי קסי ארט פי ערט פי ארט פי ארט ארט פי ארט ארט ארט ארט ארט ארט ארט ארט ארט ארט			dents
		55-64	65-84	85-100		55-64	65-84	85-100		55-64	65-84	85-100
Global History and Geography	72	13%	28%	0%	51	12%	37%	0%	21	14%	5%	0%
U.S. History and Government	72	4%	36%	3%	51	6%	41%	4%	21	0%	24%	0%
Science	72	11%	43%	1%	51	12%	53%	2%	21	10%	19%	0%

### New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2011-12

	All Stud	All Students							
	Total Tested		er of studer g at Level:	nts					
Secondary Level		1	2	3	4				
English Language Arts	0								
Mathematics	0								
Social Studies	0								
Science	0								

#### NOTES

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

District ROCHESTER CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Regents Exams**

-		All Stu	dents			General-Education Students				Students with Disabilities			
		Total Tested		age of stue at or abov		Total Tested		age of stu at or abo		Total Tested		age of stu at or abov	
			55	65	85		55	65	85		55	65	85
Comprehensive English	2011-12	53	75%	58%	6%	44	80%	64%	7%	9	56%	33%	0%
	2010-11	63	81%	71%	5%	49	90%	84%	4%	14	50%	29%	7%
	2009-10	84	77%	62%	12%	66	83%	68%	15%	18	56%	39%	0%
Integrated Algebra	2011-12	45	91%	60%	0%	41	-	-	_	4	-	-	-
	2010-11	82	65%	27%	0%	67	72%	31%	0%	15	33%	7%	0%
	2009-10	133	56%	26%	0%	106	63%	30%	0%	27	30%	11%	0%
Geometry	2011-12	22	27%	14%	0%	20	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
	2010-11	48	35%	10%	0%	43	35%	12%	0%	5	40%	0%	0%
	2009-10	25	28%	0%	0%	22	-	-	_	3	-	_	-
Algebra 2/Trigonometry	2011-12	6	17%	0%	0%	6	17%	0%	0%	0			
	2010-11	15	0%	0%	0%	15	0%	0%	0%	0			
	2009-10	0				0				0			
Global History and Geography	2011-12	55	64%	35%	0%	50	62%	38%	0%	5	80%	0%	0%
	2010-11	103	52%	30%	2%	82	57%	37%	2%	21	33%	5%	0%
	2009-10	81	40%	23%	0%	57	46%	30%	0%	24	25%	8%	0%
U.S. History and Government	2011-12	73	66%	44%	3%	64	69%	47%	3%	9	44%	22%	0%
	2010-11	60	58%	42%	2%	49	53%	41%	2%	11	82%	45%	0%
	2009-10	102	70%	48%	1%	83	75%	52%	0%	19	47%	32%	5%
Living Environment	2011-12	29	69%	52%	0%	28	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
-	2010-11	50	48%	30%	0%	43	51%	33%	0%	7	29%	14%	0%
	2009-10	99	62%	45%	2%	86	66%	50%	2%	13	31%	15%	0%
Physical Setting/Earth Science	2011-12	14	64%	36%	0%	14	64%	36%	0%	0			
	2010-11	77	29%	13%	1%	68	29%	15%	1%	9	22%	0%	0%
	2009-10	67	40%	15%	1%	57	44%	16%	2%	10	20%	10%	0%
Physical Setting/Chemistry	2011-12	0				0				0			
- •	2010-11	33	64%	12%	0%	31	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
	2009-10	51	22%	4%	0%	46	24%	4%	0%	5	0%	0%	0%
Physical Setting/Physics	2011-12	1	-	_	-	1	-	-	-	0	-	-	-
	2010-11	0				0				0			
	2009-10	12	0%	0%	0%	10	-	-	_	2	-	-	-

#### NOTE

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five

students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

#### District ROCHESTER CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Regents Competency Tests**

		All Stude	nts	General-E	ducation Students	Students with Disabilities		
		Total Tested	Percent Passing:	Total Tested	Percent Passing:	Total Tested	Percent Passing:	
Mathematics	2011-12	7	29%	1	-	6	-	
	2010-11	9	11%	1	-	8	-	
	2009-10	15	40%	0		15	40%	
Science	2011-12	11	45%	0		11	45%	
	2010-11	8	38%	0		8	38%	
	2009-10	17	35%	0		17	35%	
Reading	2011-12	5	20%	0		5	20%	
Ũ	2010-11	6	17%	0		6	17%	
	2009-10	13	54%	0		13	54%	
Writing	2011-12	3	-	0		3	-	
	2010-11	4	-	0		4	-	
	2009-10	8	100%	1	-	7	-	
Global Studies	2011-12	14	29%	2	-	12	-	
	2010-11	11	9%	1	-	10	-	
	2009-10	14	29%	1	-	13	-	
U.S. History and Government	2011-12	5	20%	0		5	20%	
	2010-11	4	-	0		4	-	
	2009-10	7	14%	0		7	14%	

### NOTE

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

# New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)

Total Tested       Percent of students scoring in each performance level:       Total Tested       Percent of students scoring in each performance level:       Total Tested       Percent of students scoring in each performance level:       Total Tested       Percent of students scoring in each performance level:       Total Tested       Percent of students scoring in each performance level:       Total Tested       Percent of students scoring in each performance level:       Total Tested       Percent of students scoring in each performance level:       Total Tested       Percent of students scoring in each performance level:       Total Tested       Percent of students scoring in each performance level:       Total Tested       Percent of students scoring in each performance level:       Total Tested       Percent of students scoring in each performance level:       Total Tested       Percent of students scoring in each performance level:       Total Tested       Percent of students scoring in each performance level:       Total Tested       Percent of students scoring in each performance level:       Total Tested       Percent of students scoring in each performance level:       Total Tested       Percent of students scoring in each performance level:       Total Tested       Percent of students scoring in each performance level:       Total Tested       Percent of students scoring in each performance level:       Total Tested       Percent of students scoring in each performance level:       Total Tested       Total Tested       Total Tested       Total Tested       Total Tested       Total Tested<	nance lev	-
Listening and Speaking (Grades K-1)         2011-12         0	Adv.	Prof.
Speaking (Grades K-1)         2010-11         0         0         0           2009-10         0         0         0         0           Reading and Writing (Grades K-1)         2011-12         0         0         0           2010-11         0         0         0         0           (Grades K-1)         2010-11         0         0         0           Listening and Speaking         2011-12         0         0         0           Listening and Speaking         2010-11         0         0         0           (Grades 2-4)         2010-11         0         0         0		
(Grades K-1)       2010-11       0       0       0         2009-10       0       0       0       0         Reading and Writing       2011-12       0       0       0         (Grades K-1)       2009-10       0       0       0         (Grades K-1)       2009-10       0       0       0         Listening and Speaking       2010-11       0       0       0         (Grades 2-4)       2010-11       0       0       0		
2009-10         0         0         0           Reading and Writing         2011-12         0         0         0         0           (Grades K-1)         2010-11         0		
Writing (Grades K-1)         2010-11         0         0         0         0           2009-10         0         0         0         0         0         0           Listening and Speaking (Grades 2-4)         2010-11         0         0         0         0         0		
(Grades K-1)         2010-11         0         0         0         0           2009-10         0         0         0         0         0           Listening and Speaking (Grades 2-4)         2010-11         0         0         0         0		
2009-10         0         0         0           Listening and Speaking         2011-12         0         0         0         0           (Grades 2-4)         0 </td <td></td> <td></td>		
Speaking 2010-11 0 0 0		
(Grades 2–4)		
2009–10 0 0 0		
Reading and         2011–12         0         0         0		
Writing 2010-11 0 0 0		
2009–10 0 0 0		
Listening and 2011–12 0 0 0		
Speaking 2010-11 0 0 0		
2009–10 0 0 0		
Reading and         2011–12         0         0         0		
Writing         2010-11         0         0         0           (Grades 5-6)         0         0         0         0		
2009-10 0 0 0		
Listening and 2011–12 0 0 0		
Speaking 2010-11 11 0% 0% 36% 64% 7 4 (Grades 7-8)	-	-
2009-10 30 20% 17% 30% 33% 23 26% 22% 30% 22% 7 0% 0%	29%	71%
Reading and         2011–12         0         0         0		
Writing 2010-11 11 9% 55% 27% 9% 7 4 (Grades 7-8)	-	-
2009-10 30 43% 30% 23% 3% 23 48% 30% 22% 0% 7 29% 29%	29%	14%
Listening and 2011–12 7 0% 0% 29% 71% 6 1	-	-
Speaking         2010-11         14         0%         29%         29%         43%         12         -         -         -         2         -         -         -         2         -         -         -         2         -         -         -         2         -         -         -         2         -         -         -         2         -         -         -         2         -         -         -         2         -         -         -         2         -         -         -         2         -         -         -         2         -         -         -         2         -         -         -         2         -         -         -         2         -         -         -         2         -         -         -         2         -         -         -         2         -         -         -         2         -         -         -         2         -         -         2         -         -         2         -         -         2         -         -         2         -         -         2         -         -         2         2         3 <th2< th="">         3</th2<>	-	-
2009-10 16 19% 25% 13% 44% 13 3	-	_
Reading and         2011–12         7         0%         43%         29%         29%         6         -         -         -         1         -         -	-	-
Writing (Grades 9–12)         2010–11         14         21%         50%         21%         7%         12         -         -         -         2         -         -         -         2         -         -         -         2         -         -         -         2         -         -         -         2         -         -         -         2         -         -         -         2         -         -         -         2         -         -         -         2         -         -         -         2         -         -         -         2         -         -         -         2         -         -         -         2         -         -         2         -         -         2         -         -         2         -         -         2         -         -         2         -         -         2         -         -         2         -         -         2         -         -         2         -         -         2         -         -         2         -         -         2         -         -         2         -         -         2         2         2 <th2< th=""></th2<>	-	-
2009-10 16 31% 63% 6% 0% 13 3	-	-

#### NOTE

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

### **High School Completers**

		All Students		General-Edu	cation Students	Students with Disabilities		
		Number of Students	Percentage of Graduates	Number of Students	Percentage of Graduates	Number of Students	Percentage of Graduates	
Total Graduates	2011-12	40		35		5		
	2010-11	50		40		10		
	2009-10	55		48		7		
Receiving a Regents	2011-12	28	70%	27	77%	1	20%	
Diploma	2010-11	28	56%	28	70%	0	0%	
	2009-10	18	33%	17	35%	1	14%	
Receiving a Regents	2011-12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Diploma with Advanced	2010-11	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Designation	2009-10	1	2%	1	2%	0	0%	
Receiving an	2011-12	0		0		0		
Individualized Education	2010-11	1	N/A	0		1	N/A	
Program (IEP) Diploma	2009-10	3	N/A	0		3	N/A	

#### NOTE

Students receiving Regents diplomas and Regents diplomas with advanced designation are considered graduates; recipients of IEP diplomas are not.

### **High School Non-completers**

		All Student	s	General-Edu	cation Students	Students with Disabilities		
		Number of Students	Percentage of Students	Number of Students	Percentage of Students	Number of Students	Percentage of Students	
Dropped Out	2011-12	44	34%	30	28%	14	58%	
	2010-11	11	4%	7	3%	4	6%	
	2009-10	36	10%	26	10%	10	14%	
Entered Approved High	2011-12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
School Equivalency	2010-11	1	0%	1	0%	0	0%	
Preparation Program	2009-10	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Total Non-completers	2011-12	44	34%	30	28%	14	58%	
	2010-11	12	4%	8	4%	4	6%	
	2009-10	36	10%	26	10%	10	14%	

### Post-secondary Plans of 2011–12 Completers

	All Student	s	General-Edu	cation Students	Students with Disabilities		
	Number of Students	Percentage of Students	Number of Students	Percentage of Students	Number of Students	Percentage of Students	
To 4-year College	1	3%	1	3%	0	0%	
To 2-year College	37	93%	32	91%	5	100%	
To Other Post-secondary	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
To the Military	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
To Employment	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
To Adult Services	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
To Other Known Plans	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Plan Unknown	2	5%	2	6%	0	0%	