

The New York State Report Card 2011–12 School FLOYD S WINSLOW ELEMENTARY SCHOOL School ID 26-17-01-06-0006 District RUSH-HENRIETTA CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT Principal ANDREA HYATT Telephone (585) 359-5098 Grades K-5

The New York State Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents' effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on school/district enrollment and staff, student performance, and other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school's or district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic supports.

This report includes:

1 Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's or district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, attendance and suspensions, and staff.

2 Student Performance

This section shows student performance on standardized assessments at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels.

3 Student Outcomes

This section shows outcomes for graduates and noncompleters, including postgraduation plans of completers.

For more information: Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234

Email: dataquest@mail.nysed.gov

1 **Profile**

School FLOYD S WINSLOW ELEMENTARY SCHOOL School ID 26-17-01-06-0006

Enrollment

	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12
Pre-K	0	0	
Kindergarten	62	94	73
Grade 1	88	62	99
Grade 2	81	79	65
Grade 3	79	85	78
Grade 4	84	79	88
Grade 5	78	79	79
Grade 6	0	0	0
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Grade 7	0	0	0
Grade 8	0	0	0
Grade 9	0	0	0
Grade 10	0	0	0
Grade 11	0	0	0
Grade 12	0	0	0
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12	472	478	482

Average Class Size

	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12
Common Branch	15	15	16
Grade 8			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			
Grade 10			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			

District RUSH-HENRIETTA CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a school's and district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a school's or district's enrollment. The state public enrollment includes public school districts, charter schools, and NYSED-operated programs. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts. Kindergarten and Pre-K counts include halfand full-day students.

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. Common Branch refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

1 Profile

School FLOYD S WINSLOW ELEMENTARY SCHOOL School ID 26-17-01-06-0006

Demographic Factors

	200	9-10	2010-11		2011-12	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	113	27%	136	35%	159	39%
Reduced Price Lunch	29	7%	32	8%	24	6%
Limited English Proficient	29	6%	23	5%	28	6%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	1%	2	0%	1	0%
Black or African American	81	17%	79	17%	86	18%
Hispanic or Latino	9	2%	15	3%	23	5%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	52	11%	50	10%	47	10%
White	327	69%	323	68%	316	66%
Multiracial	0	0%	9	2%	9	2%

Attendance and Suspensions

	200	8-09	200	9–10	201	0-11
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		97%		96%		97%
Student Suspensions	0	0%	5	1%	2	0%

District RUSH-HENRIETTA CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and *Reduced-Price Lunch* percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school's (or district's) total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A school's (or district's) actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the school (or district's schools) was open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day the school (or schools) was open during the school year. The state's Annual Attendance Rate is a weighted average of all districtlevel attendance rates.

Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including inschool suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

1 Profile

School FLOYD S WINSLOW ELEMENTARY SCHOOL School ID 26-17-01-06-0006

Teacher Qualifications

	2009–10	2010-11	2011-12
Total Number of Teachers	42	43	42
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	0%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	0%	0%	0%
Percent with Fewer than Three Years of Experience	7%	2%	0%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	10%	9%	7%
Total Number of Core Classes	58	54	42
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers in This School*	0%	0%	0%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers in This District**	0%	0%	0%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers in High-Poverty Schools Statewide	6%	5%	4%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers in Low-Poverty Schools Statewide	1%	0%	1%
Total Number of Classes	87	84	89
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	0%	0%	0%

*Not available at the district or statewide level.

**Not available for charter schools or at the statewide level.

Teacher Turnover Rate

	2008–09	2009–10	2010-11
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	0%	17%	25%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	3%	5%	7%

Staff Counts

	2009–10	2010-11	2011-12
Total Other Professional Staff	5	5	3
Total Paraprofessionals*	N/A	N/A	N/A
Assistant Principals	0	0	0
Principals	1	1	1

*Not available at the school level.

District RUSH-HENRIETTA CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* for public schools is the percent doing so on more than an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K–6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area or otherwise in accordance with state standards, and show subject matter competency.

In public schools, a teacher who taught one class outside of the certification area(s) is counted as *Highly Qualified* provided that 1) the teacher had been determined by the school or district through the HOUSSE process or other state-accepted methods to have demonstrated acceptable subject knowledge and teaching skills and 2) the class in question was not the sole assignment reported. Credit for incidental teaching does not extend beyond a single assignment. Independent of *Highly Qualified Teacher* status, any assignment for which a teacher did not hold a valid certificate still registers as teaching out of certification.

In charter schools, a teacher is counted as *Highly Qualified* if the teacher has at least a Bachelor's degree, is certified to teach, and shows subject matter competency. Enabling legislation considers charter school teachers to be certified if they hold any valid teaching certificate. Enabling legislation also permits up to 30 percent (with a maximum of five) of charter school teachers to be without certification and to be considered *Highly Qualified* if they meet all remaining criteria.

High-poverty and *low-poverty* schools are those schools in the upper and lower quartiles, respectively, for percentage of students eligible for a free or reduced-price lunch.

Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to nonteaching duties.

2 Student Performance

School FLOYD S WINSLOW ELEMENTARY SCHOOL School ID 26-17-01-06-0006 District RUSH-HENRIETTA CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This section contains annual assessment data for students at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels as well as the performance of secondary-level cohorts on standardized achievement assessments.

New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) Assessments

The New York State Testing Program assessments are administered in English language arts (ELA) and mathematics in grades 3 through 8. The Performance Level Descriptors for these assessments are provided below:

English Language Arts

Level 1: Below Standard

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level.

Level 2: Meets Basic Standard

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level. **Level 3: Meets Proficiency Standard**

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level. **Level 4: Exceeds Proficiency Standard**

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level.

Mathematics

Level 1: Below Standard

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

Level 2: Meets Basic Standard

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

Level 3: Meets Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

Level 4: Exceeds Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA)

The New York State Alternate Assessments are administered in English language arts (ELA) and mathematics to ungraded students with severe cognitive disabilities whose ages are equivalent to graded students in grades 3 through 8 and secondary level. They are administered in science to students with disabilities age equivalent to graded students in grades 4, 8, and secondary level. And they are administered in social studies at the secondary level only.

New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT)

The New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests are administered in grades K through 12 to limited English proficient students.

Secondary-Level Cohorts

A secondary-level cohort consists of all students who first entered grade 9 anywhere or, in the case of ungraded students with disabilities, reached their seventeenth birthday in a particular year. The 2008 cohort consists of all students who first entered grade 9 anywhere or, in the case of ungraded students with disabilities, reached their seventeenth birthday between July 1, 2008 and June 30, 2009. The 2007 cohort consists of all students who first entered grade 9 anywhere or, in the case of ungraded students of all students who first entered grade 9 anywhere or, in the case of ungraded students with disabilities, reached their seventeenth birthday between July 1, 2008 and June 30, 2009. The 2007 cohort consists of all students who first entered grade 9 anywhere or, in the case of ungraded students with disabilities, reached their seventeenth birthday between July 1, 2007 and June 30, 2008. For more detailed information on cohort definitions, see *Secondary-Level Cohort Definitions* at http://www.pl2.nysed.gov/irs/sirs/.

Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

	This Scho	ool		School	District		NY Stat	e Public	
	Percentage	e scoring at l	level(s):	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2012 Mean Score: 668 2011 Mean Score: 666	*Range: 644–780	663-780	694-780						
2011–12 2010–11	100% 92% 91%	59% 64%	^{9%} 4%	91% 91%	65% 64%	9% 8%	86% 87%	56% 56%	7% 5%
Number of Tested Students:	73 77	47 54	73	397 368	284 260	39 33			

Results by	2011-12	School Y	ear		2010–11 School Year			
-	Total	Percenta	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	d 2–4	3–4	4
All Students	79	92%	59%	9 %	85	91 %	64%	4%
Female	32	84%	59%	13%	41	93%	73%	7%
Male	47	98%	60%	6%	44	89%	55%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	_	_	_
Black or African American	7	71%	43%	0%	19	84%	37%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	5	80%	60%	0%	2	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	11	91%	45%	0%	9	-		
White	56	96%	64%	13%	54	93%	74%	2%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals					12	92%	58%	17%
General-Education Students	78	-	_	-	81	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	1				4	-	-	
English Proficient	73	93%	63%	10%	81	-	-	-
imited English Proficient	6	83%	17%	0%	4	-		
Economically Disadvantaged	28	82%	39%	7%	28	86%	46%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	51	98%	71%	10%	57	93%	72%	5%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	79	92%	59%	9%	85	91%	64%	4%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2011-12 data only. Ranges for 2010-11 data are available in the 2010-11 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2011-12	School Ye	ar	,	2010–11 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number	scoring at le	evel(s):
	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

⁺ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. July 31, 2013

District RUSH-HENRIETTA CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

	This Sch	This School			School District			NY State Public		
	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2012 Mean Score: 694 2011 Mean Score: 689	*Range: 662-770	684-770	707-770							
2011–12 2010–11	100% 99% 94%	70% 66%	23%	93% 94%	71% 74%	18% 24%	91% 91%	61% 60%	13% 139	
Number of Tested Students:	78 80	55 56	18 8	407 381	310 300	77 99				

Results by	2011-12	School Y	ear		2010–11 School Year			
•	Total	Percenta	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4
All Students	79	99%	70%	23%	85	94%	66%	9%
Female	32	97%	56%	16%	41	98%	71%	10%
Male	47	100%	79%	28%	44	91%	61%	9%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	_	_	_
Black or African American	7	86%	14%	14%	19	84%	42%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	5	100%	40%	0%	2	-	_	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	11	100%	64%	18%	9	-	-	-
White	56	100%	80%	27%	54	98%	76%	13%
Multiracial		•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
Small Group Totals					12	92%	58%	8%
General-Education Students	78	-	_	_	81	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	1			–	4	-		
English Proficient	73	99%	70%	25%	81	-	-	-
imited English Proficient	6	100%	67%	0%	4	-	-	
Economically Disadvantaged	28	96%	54%	4%	28	86%	46%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	51	100%	78%	33%	57	98%	75%	14%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	79	99%	70%	23%	85	94%	66%	9%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2011-12 data only. Ranges for 2010-11 data are available in the 2010-11 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2011-12	School Ye	ar		2010–11 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				0				

Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

	This Sch	ool		School	District		NY Stat	e Public	
	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2012 Mean Score: 679 2011 Mean Score: 680	*Range: 637-775	671-775	722-775						
2011–12 2010–11	100% 95% 98%	67% 70%	6% 6%	95% 94%	67% 69%	7% 3%	91% 92%	59% 57%	5% 2%
Number of Tested Students:	79 78	56 56	5 5	388 369	275 271	30 12			

Results by	2011-12	School Y	ear		2010–11 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage scoring at level(s)			
Student Group	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	
All Students	83	95%	67%	6 %	80	98%	70%	6%	
Female	40	95%	70%	10%	39	100%	82%	8%	
Male	43	95%	65%	2%	41	95%	59%	5%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	_	_	_					
Black or African American	19	84%	63%	0%	14	93%	43%	0%	
Hispanic or Latino	1	-	-	-	1	-	–	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	9	-	-	-	7	-	-	-	
White	53	98%	70%	8%	58	98%	78%	7%	
Multiracial		••••••							
Small Group Totals	11	100%	64%	9%	8	100%	63%	13%	
General-Education Students	79	-	-	-	79	-	-	-	
Students with Disabilities	4				1	-			
English Proficient	79	-	-	-	76	-	-	-	
_imited English Proficient	4			-	4	-	-		
Economically Disadvantaged	30	90%	53%	7%	22	95%	50%	0%	
Not Disadvantaged	53	98%	75%	6%	58	98%	78%	9%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	83	95%	67%	6%	80	98%	70%	6%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2011-12 data only. Ranges for 2010-11 data are available in the 2010-11 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2011-12	School Ye	ar	,	2010–11 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Total				Total				
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 4	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

⁺ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. July 31, 2013

District RUSH-HENRIETTA CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Results in Grade 4 Mathematics

	This Sc	hool		School	District		NY State Public			
	Percenta	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2012 Mean Score: 692 2011 Mean Score: 703	*Range: 636-80	0 676-800	707-800							
2011–12 2010–11	100% 96% 989	78% 85%	46%	96% 979	% 79% 83%	43% 48%	95% 94%	69% 67%	30% 27%	
Number of Tested Students:	82 78	66 68	26 37	394 383	3 324 326	177 191				

Results by	2011-12	School Y	ear		2010–11 School Year				
-	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage scoring at level(s)			
Student Group	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	
All Students	85	96%	78%	31%	80	98%	85%	46 %	
Female	41	98%	78%	22%	39	97%	90%	51%	
Male	44	95%	77%	39%	41	98%	80%	41%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	_	_	_					
Black or African American	19	89%	47%	11%	14	93%	79%	7%	
Hispanic or Latino	1	-	-	–	1	-	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	10	-	-	–	7	-	-	-	
White	54	98%	85%	39%	58	100%	90%	55%	
Multiracial		••••••							
Small Group Totals	12	100%	92%	25%	8	88%	63%	50%	
General-Education Students	81	-	-	_	79	-	-	-	
Students with Disabilities	4			—	1	-	-	-	
English Proficient	79	96%	77%	32%	76	-	-	-	
_imited English Proficient	6	100%	83%	17%	4	-	-	-	
Economically Disadvantaged	32	94%	66%	9%	22	95%	68%	23%	
Not Disadvantaged	53	98%	85%	43%	58	98%	91%	55%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	85	96%	78%	31%	80	98%	85%	46%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2011-12 data only. Ranges for 2010-11 data are available in the 2010-11 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2011-12	School Ye	ar		2010–11 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0				

District RUSH-HENRIETTA CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Results in Grade 4 Science

		This Sch	ool	This School				NY State Public		
		Percentage scoring at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2012 Mean Score: 85 2011 Mean Score: 86	Range:	45-100	65-100	85-100						
2011–12 2010–11	100%	96% 100%	89% 94%	71% 73%	99% 100	[%] 92% 94%	70% 68%	97% 98%	89% 88%	57% 52
Number of Tested Students:	L	82 80	76 75	60 58	405 390	377 366	289 264			

Results by	2011-12	School Ye	ear		2010–11 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percenta	ige scoring	at level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	
All Students	85	96%	89%	71%	80	100%	94%	73%	
Female	42	98%	95%	74%	39	100%	95%	82%	
Male	43	95%	84%	67%	41	100%	93%	63%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	_	_	_					
Black or African American	19	84%	74%	58%	14	100%	79%	57%	
Hispanic or Latino	1	-		–	1	-	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	10	-	-	–	7	-	-	-	
White	54	100%	94%	72%	58	100%	98%	79%	
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals	12	100%	92%	83%	8	100%	88%	50%	
General-Education Students	81	-	_	-	79	-	_	-	
Students with Disabilities	4			–	1	-		-	
English Proficient	78	96%	90%	74%	76	-	-	-	
Limited English Proficient	7	100%	86%	29%	4	-			
Economically Disadvantaged	33	91%	85%	61%	22	100%	82%	50%	
Not Disadvantaged	52	100%	92%	77%	58	100%	98%	81%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	85	96%	89%	71%	80	100%	94%	73%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments	2011-12	School Ye	ar		2010–11 School Year				
	Total	Number	scoring at le	vel(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0				

Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

	This Sch	ool		School	District		NY State Public			
	Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2012 Mean Score: 677 2011 Mean Score: 669	*Range:648-795	668-795	700-795							
 2011-12 2010-11 	100% 97% 95%	63%	12%	93% 93%	63%	7% 4%	89% 89%	58% 54%	5% 4%	
Number of Tested Students:	76 77	58 51	9 2	370 390	282 266	29 17				

Results by	2011-12	School Y	ear		2010–11 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	
All Students	78	97%	74%	12%	81	95%	63%	2%	
Female	38	100%	84%	21%	41	100%	73%	5%	
Male	40	95%	65%	3%	40	90%	53%	0%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	12	92%	67%	0%	14	86%	50%	0%	
Hispanic or Latino	4	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	6	100%	67%	17%	12	-	-	-	
White	55	98%	80%	15%	52	100%	71%	4%	
Multiracial	1	-		-					
Small Group Totals	5	100%	40%	0%	15	87%	47%	0%	
General-Education Students	76	-	_	-	79	-	_	-	
Students with Disabilities	2		_	-	2	-	-		
English Proficient	77	-	-	-	76	97%	67%	3%	
imited English Proficient	1	-	-		5	60%	0%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	22	95%	50%	0%	29	90%	38%	0%	
Not Disadvantaged	56	98%	84%	16%	52	98%	77%	4%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	78	97%	74%	12%	81	95%	63%	2%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2011-12 data only. Ranges for 2010-11 data are available in the 2010-11 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2011-12	School Ye	ear		2010–11 School Year					
Assessments	Total	Number	scoring at I	evel(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):				
	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				0					
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A		
	Total				Total					
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A		

⁺ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. July 31, 2013

District RUSH-HENRIETTA CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

	This Scho	ool		School I	District		NY State Public			
	Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):			je scoring at	level(s):	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2012 Mean Score: 697 2011 Mean Score: 696	*Range: 640-780	676-780	707-780							
2011–12 2010–11	100% 100% 98%	74% 83%	32% 33%	95% 98%	78% 80%	34% 38%	93% 94%	67% 66%	28% 23%	
Number of Tested Students:	78 79	58 67	25 27	380 414	309 339	136 160				

Results by	2011-12	School Y	ear		2010–11 School Year					
Student Group	Total	Percenta	age scoring	at level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Group	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4		
All Students	78	100%	74%	32%	81	98 %	83%	33%		
Female	38	100%	82%	32%	41	100%	90%	39%		
Male	40	100%	68%	33%	40	95%	75%	28%		
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	12	100%	58%	0%	14	86%	71%	14%		
Hispanic or Latino	4	-	-	–	3	-	-	-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	6	100%	67%	50%	12	-	-	-		
White	55	100%	82%	38%	52	100%	90%	44%		
Multiracial	1	-		_			•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Small Group Totals	5	100%	40%	20%	15	100%	67%	13%		
General-Education Students	76	-	-	_	79	-	-	-		
Students with Disabilities	2				2	-				
English Proficient	77	-	-	-	76	97%	87%	36%		
imited English Proficient	1			—	5	100%	20%	0%		
Economically Disadvantaged	22	100%	55%	9%	29	93%	55%	14%		
Not Disadvantaged	56	100%	82%	41%	52	100%	98%	44%		
Migrant										
Not Migrant	78	100%	74%	32%	81	98%	83%	33%		

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2011-12 data only. Ranges for 2010-11 data are available in the 2010-11 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2011-12	School Ye	ar		2010–11 School Year					
Assessments	Total	Number	scoring at le	vel(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):				
	Tested	2–4	4 3–4 4		Tested	2–4	3–4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				0					

New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)

	All Students					Genera	I-Educati	on Stude	Students with Disabilities						
	Total Tested	Percent of students scoring in each performance level:			Total Tested						TotalPercent of students scoringTestedin each performance level:				
		Begin.	Interm.	.vbA	Prof.		Begin.	Interm.	Adv.	Prof.		Begin.	Interm.	Adv.	Prof.
2011-12	9	0%	22%	44%	33%	9	0%	22%	44%	33%	0				
2010-11	8	0%	13%	25%	63%	8	0%	13%	25%	63%	0				
2009-10	10	0%	10%	30%	60%	10	0%	10%	30%	60%	0				
2011-12	9	44%	44%	11%	0%	9	44%	44%	11%	0%	0				
2010-11	8	38%	38%	25%	0%	8	38%	38%	25%	0%	0				
2009-10	10	20%	30%	20%	30%	10	20%	30%	20%	30%	0				
2011-12	14	0%	7%	21%	71%	14	0%	7%	21%	71%	0				
2010-11	12	0%	0%	8%	92%	12	0%	0%	8%	92%	0				
2009-10	17	0%	0%	18%	82%	17	0%	0%	18%	82%	0				
2011-12	14	0%	21%	50%	29%	14	0%	21%	50%	29%	0				
2010-11	12	0%	0%	75%	25%	12	0%	0%	75%	25%	0				
2009-10	17	0%	29%	35%	35%	17	0%	29%	35%	35%	0				
2011-12	1	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	0				
2010-11	5	0%	0%	20%	80%	5	0%	0%	20%	80%	0				
2009-10	3	_	-	-	-	3	-	-	_	-	0				
2011-12	1	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	0				
2010-11	5	0%	0%	40%	60%	5	0%	0%	40%	60%	0				
2009-10	3	—	-	-	-	3	-	_	-	-	0				
2011-12	0					0					0				
2010-11	0					0					0				
2009-10	0					0					0				
2011-12	0					0					0				
2010-11	0					0					0				
2009-10	0					0					0				
2011-12	0					0					0				
2010-11	0					0					0				
2009-10	0					0					0				
2011-12	0					0					0				
2010-11	0					0					0				
2009–10	0					0					0				
	2010-11 2009-10 2011-12 2010-11 2009-10 2011-12 2010-11 2009-10 2011-12 2010-11 2009-10 2011-12 2010-11 2009-10 2011-12 2010-11 2009-10 2011-12 2010-11 2009-10 2011-12 2010-11 2009-10 2011-12 2010-11	Total Tested 2011-12 9 2010-11 8 2009-10 10 2011-12 9 2010-11 8 2009-10 10 2011-12 14 2010-11 12 2009-10 17 2011-12 14 2010-11 12 2009-10 17 2011-12 14 2010-11 12 2009-10 17 2011-12 14 2010-11 12 2009-10 33 2011-12 1 2010-11 5 2009-10 3 2010-11 0 2010-11 0 2010-11 0 2010-11 0 2010-11 0 2010-11 0 2010-11 0 2010-11 0 2010-11 0 2010-11 0 2010-1<	Total TestedPercent in each in each 	Total TotalPercent of studer in each performant in ea	Total Percent of students score Tested second second second 2011-12 9 0% 22% 44% 2010-11 88 0% 13% 25% 2009-10 10 0% 10% 30% 2011-12 9 44% 44% 11% 2010-11 88 38% 38% 25% 2010-11 8 38% 38% 25% 2010-11 18 38% 38% 25% 2010-11 12 0% 0% 8% 2010-11 12 0% 0% 8% 2010-11 12 0% 0% 20% 2010-11 12 0% 0% 20% 2010-11 12 0% 0% 20% 2010-11 1 - - - 2010-11 5 0% 0% 40% 2009-10 3 - -	Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: 2011-12 9 0% 22% 44% 33% 2010-11 8 0% 13% 25% 63% 2009-10 10 0% 10% 30% 60% 2010-11 8 38% 11% 0% 2010-11 8 38% 25% 0% 2010-11 8 38% 20% 30% 2010-11 8 38% 38% 20% 30% 2010-11 8 38% 38% 20% 30% 2010-11 12 0% 0% 18% 82% 2010-11 12 0% 0% 18% 82% 2010-11 12 0% 0% 35% 35% 2010-11 12 0% 0% 35% 35% 2010-11 14 0% 20% 35% 35% 2010-11 5 0% <t< td=""><td>Total Tested Percent of students scoring treatment level: Total Tested Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Tested 2011-12 9 0% 22% 44% 33% 9 2010-11 8 0% 13% 25% 63% 8 2009-10 10 0% 10% 30% 60% 10 2011-12 9 44% 44% 11% 0% 9 2010-11 8 38% 38% 25% 0% 8 2009-10 10 20% 30% 20% 30% 10 2011-12 14 0% 7% 21% 7% 14 2010-11 12 0% 0% 35% 35% 12 2009-10 17 0% 21% 50% 29% 14 2010-11 16 0% 0% 35% 35% 17 2010-11 17</td><td>Total Percent stucents scoring in each performance level:Total neach performance level:Percent of ineach performance level:2011-1290%22%44%33%90%2010-1180%13%25%63%880%2009-10100%10%30%60%1000%2010-11838%38%25%0%8838%2009-101020%30%20%30%10020%2010-11838%38%25%0%8838%2009-101020%30%20%30%10020%2010-11120%7%21%1440%20%2009-10170%21%50%29%1140%2010-11120%0%15%25%120%2010-11110%20%35%35%170%2010-11140%20%35%35%10%142010-11150%0%20%80%500%2010-110102010-110002010-110002010-110002010-110002010-110</td><td>Total rested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total rested Percent of students in each performance level: 2011-12 9 0% 22% 44% 33% 9 0% 22% 2010-11 8 0% 13% 25% 63% 88 0% 13% 2009-10 10 0% 10% 60% 60% 88 0% 13% 2010-11 8 0% 13% 25% 6% 88 0% 13% 2010-11 8 38% 38% 25% 0% 88 38% 20% 0% 0% 2010-11 1 0% 21%</td><td>Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total rested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: 2011-12 9 0% 22% 44% 33% 9 0% 22% 44% 2010-11 8 0% 13% 25% 63% 8 0% 13% 25% 2009-10 10 0% 10% 30% 60% 10 0% 10% 30% 2011-12 9 44% 44% 11% 0% 9 44% 44% 11% 2010-11 8 38% 38% 25% 0% 8 38% 38% 25% 2009-10 10 20% 30% 20% 10 20% 30% 20% 2011-12 14 0% 7% 21% 71% 14 0% 21% 50% 2011-12 14 0% 21% 50% 29% 14 0% 20% 35%</td><td>Total rescue of sub-erformance level: Total rescue of sub-erformance level: Total rescue of sub-erformance level: Total rescue of sub-erformance level: 2011-12 9 0% 22% 44% 33% 9 0% 22% 44% 33% 2010-11 8 0% 13% 25% 63% 88 0% 13% 25% 63% 2010-11 8 0% 13% 25% 63% 88 0% 13% 60% 2011-12 9 44% 44% 11% 0% 9 44% 44% 11% 0% 2001-11 8 38% 25% 0% 30% 100 0% 30% 20% 30% 20% 30% 20% 30% 20% 30% 20% 30% 20% 30% 20% 30% 20% 30% 20% 30% 20% 30% 20% 30% 20% 30% 20% 20% 20%</td><td>Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total field Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Tested Total in each performance level: Total field Total Tested Total in each performance level: Total field Total Tested 2011-12 9 0% 22% 44% 33% 9 0% 22% 44% 33% 0 2010-11 8 0% 10% 20% 60% 10 0% 10% 0% 0 2011-12 9 44% 44% 11% 0% 0</td><td>Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total result Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total result Percent of in each performance level: 2011-12 9 0% 13% 25% 0% 88 38% 38% 25% 0% 10% 0% 0% 0% 2010-11 8 38% 38% 25% 0% 11% 0%</td><td>Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total neach performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total neach performance level: Total neach performance level: Total neach performance level: Total neach performance level: Percent of students in each performance level: Total neach performance level: Percent of students in each performance level: Total neach performance level: Percent of students in each performance level: Total neach performance level: Percent of students in each performance level: Total neach performance level: Percent of students in each performance level: Total neach performance level: Percent of students 2011-12 9 0% 22% 44% 10% 0%<td>Total Total in each performance level Total in each performance level Percent of students scoring in each performance level Total in each performance level Percent of students scoring in each performance level 2011-12 9 0% 22% 44% 33% 0</td></td></t<>	Total Tested Percent of students scoring treatment level: Total Tested Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Tested 2011-12 9 0% 22% 44% 33% 9 2010-11 8 0% 13% 25% 63% 8 2009-10 10 0% 10% 30% 60% 10 2011-12 9 44% 44% 11% 0% 9 2010-11 8 38% 38% 25% 0% 8 2009-10 10 20% 30% 20% 30% 10 2011-12 14 0% 7% 21% 7% 14 2010-11 12 0% 0% 35% 35% 12 2009-10 17 0% 21% 50% 29% 14 2010-11 16 0% 0% 35% 35% 17 2010-11 17	Total Percent stucents scoring in each performance level:Total neach performance level:Percent of ineach performance level:2011-1290%22%44%33%90%2010-1180%13%25%63%880%2009-10100%10%30%60%1000%2010-11838%38%25%0%8838%2009-101020%30%20%30%10020%2010-11838%38%25%0%8838%2009-101020%30%20%30%10020%2010-11120%7%21%1440%20%2009-10170%21%50%29%1140%2010-11120%0%15%25%120%2010-11110%20%35%35%170%2010-11140%20%35%35%10%142010-11150%0%20%80%500%2010-110102010-110002010-110002010-110002010-110002010-110	Total rested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total rested Percent of students in each performance level: 2011-12 9 0% 22% 44% 33% 9 0% 22% 2010-11 8 0% 13% 25% 63% 88 0% 13% 2009-10 10 0% 10% 60% 60% 88 0% 13% 2010-11 8 0% 13% 25% 6% 88 0% 13% 2010-11 8 38% 38% 25% 0% 88 38% 20% 0% 0% 2010-11 1 0% 21%	Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total rested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: 2011-12 9 0% 22% 44% 33% 9 0% 22% 44% 2010-11 8 0% 13% 25% 63% 8 0% 13% 25% 2009-10 10 0% 10% 30% 60% 10 0% 10% 30% 2011-12 9 44% 44% 11% 0% 9 44% 44% 11% 2010-11 8 38% 38% 25% 0% 8 38% 38% 25% 2009-10 10 20% 30% 20% 10 20% 30% 20% 2011-12 14 0% 7% 21% 71% 14 0% 21% 50% 2011-12 14 0% 21% 50% 29% 14 0% 20% 35%	Total rescue of sub-erformance level: Total rescue of sub-erformance level: Total rescue of sub-erformance level: Total rescue of sub-erformance level: 2011-12 9 0% 22% 44% 33% 9 0% 22% 44% 33% 2010-11 8 0% 13% 25% 63% 88 0% 13% 25% 63% 2010-11 8 0% 13% 25% 63% 88 0% 13% 60% 2011-12 9 44% 44% 11% 0% 9 44% 44% 11% 0% 2001-11 8 38% 25% 0% 30% 100 0% 30% 20% 30% 20% 30% 20% 30% 20% 30% 20% 30% 20% 30% 20% 30% 20% 30% 20% 30% 20% 30% 20% 30% 20% 30% 20% 20% 20%	Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total field Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Tested Total in each performance level: Total field Total Tested Total in each performance level: Total field Total Tested 2011-12 9 0% 22% 44% 33% 9 0% 22% 44% 33% 0 2010-11 8 0% 10% 20% 60% 10 0% 10% 0% 0 2011-12 9 44% 44% 11% 0% 0	Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total result Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total result Percent of in each performance level: 2011-12 9 0% 13% 25% 0% 88 38% 38% 25% 0% 10% 0% 0% 0% 2010-11 8 38% 38% 25% 0% 11% 0%	Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total neach performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total neach performance level: Total neach performance level: Total neach performance level: Total neach performance level: Percent of students in each performance level: Total neach performance level: Percent of students in each performance level: Total neach performance level: Percent of students in each performance level: Total neach performance level: Percent of students in each performance level: Total neach performance level: Percent of students in each performance level: Total neach performance level: Percent of students 2011-12 9 0% 22% 44% 10% 0% <td>Total Total in each performance level Total in each performance level Percent of students scoring in each performance level Total in each performance level Percent of students scoring in each performance level 2011-12 9 0% 22% 44% 33% 0</td>	Total Total in each performance level Total in each performance level Percent of students scoring in each performance level Total in each performance level Percent of students scoring in each performance level 2011-12 9 0% 22% 44% 33% 0

NOTE

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.