

The New York State Report Card 2011–12 School NEW VISIONS ELEMENTARY SCHOOL School ID 28-02-09-03-0009 District FREEPORT UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT Principal RENEE CRUMP Telephone (516) 867-5390 Grades K-4, UE

The New York State Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents' effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on school/district enrollment and staff, student performance, and other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school's or district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic supports.

This report includes:

1 Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's or district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, attendance and suspensions, and staff.

2 Student Performance

This section shows student performance on standardized assessments at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels.

3 Student Outcomes

This section shows outcomes for graduates and noncompleters, including postgraduation plans of completers.

For more information: Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: dataquest@mail.nysed.gov 1 **Profile**

School NEW VISIONS ELEMENTARY SCHOOL School ID 28-02-09-03-0009

Enrollment

	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	
Pre-K	0	0		
Kindergarten	44	48	48	
Grade 1	92	89	124	
Grade 2	106	115	89	
Grade 3	110	108	108	
Grade 4	92	102	116	
Grade 5	0	0	0	
Grade 6	0	0	0	
Ungraded Elementary	11	0	3	
Grade 7	0	0	0	
Grade 8	0	0	0	
Grade 9	0	0	0	
Grade 10	0	0	0	
Grade 11	0	0	0	
Grade 12	0	0	0	
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0	
Total K-12	455	462	488	

Average Class Size

	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12
Common Branch	18	20	21
Grade 8			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			
Grade 10			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			

District FREEPORT UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a school's and district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a school's or district's enrollment. The state public enrollment includes public school districts, charter schools, and NYSED-operated programs. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts. Kindergarten and Pre-K counts include halfand full-day students.

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. Common Branch refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

1 Profile

School NEW VISIONS ELEMENTARY SCHOOL School ID 28-02-09-03-0009

Demographic Factors

	2009-10		2010-11		2011-12	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	218	48%	191	41%	221	45%
Reduced Price Lunch	63	14%	39	8%	48	10%
Limited English Proficient	105	23%	105	23%	102	21%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0%	1	0%	1	0%
Black or African American	165	36%	144	31%	130	27%
Hispanic or Latino	239	53%	266	58%	277	57%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	13	3%	11	2%	14	3%
White	38	8%	40	9%	62	13%
Multiracial	0	0%	0	0%	4	1%

Attendance and Suspensions

	200	8-09	200	9–10	201	0-11
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		96%		96%		96%
Student Suspensions	21	5%	11	2%	14	3%

District FREEPORT UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and *Reduced-Price Lunch* percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school's (or district's) total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A school's (or district's) actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the school (or district's schools) was open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day the school (or schools) was open during the school year. The state's Annual Attendance Rate is a weighted average of all districtlevel attendance rates.

Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including inschool suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

1 Profile

School NEW VISIONS ELEMENTARY SCHOOL School ID 28-02-09-03-0009

Teacher Qualifications

	2009–10	2010-11	2011-12
Total Number of Teachers	38	38	37
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	0%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	0%	0%	0%
Percent with Fewer than Three Years of Experience	0%	0%	0%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	58%	58%	51%
Total Number of Core Classes	64	43	43
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers in This School*	0%	0%	0%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers in This District**	0%	0%	0%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers in High-Poverty Schools Statewide	6%	5%	4%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers in Low-Poverty Schools Statewide	1%	0%	1%
Total Number of Classes	83	73	69
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	0%	0%	0%

*Not available at the district or statewide level.

**Not available for charter schools or at the statewide level.

Teacher Turnover Rate

	2008–09	2009–10	2010-11
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	33%	0%	N/A
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	3%	3%	8%

Staff Counts

	2009–10	2010-11	2011-12
Total Other Professional Staff	4	4	4
Total Paraprofessionals*	N/A	N/A	N/A
Assistant Principals	1	1	1
Principals	1	1	1

*Not available at the school level.

District FREEPORT UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* for public schools is the percent doing so on more than an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K–6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area or otherwise in accordance with state standards, and show subject matter competency.

In public schools, a teacher who taught one class outside of the certification area(s) is counted as *Highly Qualified* provided that 1) the teacher had been determined by the school or district through the HOUSSE process or other state-accepted methods to have demonstrated acceptable subject knowledge and teaching skills and 2) the class in question was not the sole assignment reported. Credit for incidental teaching does not extend beyond a single assignment. Independent of *Highly Qualified Teacher* status, any assignment for which a teacher did not hold a valid certificate still registers as teaching out of certification.

In charter schools, a teacher is counted as *Highly Qualified* if the teacher has at least a Bachelor's degree, is certified to teach, and shows subject matter competency. Enabling legislation considers charter school teachers to be certified if they hold any valid teaching certificate. Enabling legislation also permits up to 30 percent (with a maximum of five) of charter school teachers to be without certification and to be considered *Highly Qualified* if they meet all remaining criteria.

High-poverty and *low-poverty* schools are those schools in the upper and lower quartiles, respectively, for percentage of students eligible for a free or reduced-price lunch.

Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to nonteaching duties.

2 Student Performance

School NEW VISIONS ELEMENTARY SCHOOL School ID 28-02-09-03-0009

This section contains annual assessment data for students at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels as well as the performance of secondary-level cohorts on standardized achievement assessments.

New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) Assessments

The New York State Testing Program assessments are administered in English language arts (ELA) and mathematics in grades 3 through 8. The Performance Level Descriptors for these assessments are provided below:

English Language Arts

Level 1: Below Standard

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level.

Level 2: Meets Basic Standard

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level. **Level 3: Meets Proficiency Standard**

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level. Level 4: Exceeds Proficiency Standard

Level 4: Exceeds Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level.

Mathematics

Level 1: Below Standard

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

Level 2: Meets Basic Standard

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

Level 3: Meets Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

Level 4: Exceeds Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA)

The New York State Alternate Assessments are administered in English language arts (ELA) and mathematics to ungraded students with severe cognitive disabilities whose ages are equivalent to graded students in grades 3 through 8 and secondary level. They are administered in science to students with disabilities age equivalent to graded students in grades 4, 8, and secondary level. And they are administered in social studies at the secondary level only.

New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT)

The New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests are administered in grades K through 12 to limited English proficient students.

Secondary-Level Cohorts

A secondary-level cohort consists of all students who first entered grade 9 anywhere or, in the case of ungraded students with disabilities, reached their seventeenth birthday in a particular year. The 2008 cohort consists of all students who first entered grade 9 anywhere or, in the case of ungraded students with disabilities, reached their seventeenth birthday between July 1, 2008 and June 30, 2009. The 2007 cohort consists of all students who first entered grade 9 anywhere or, in the case of ungraded students of all students who first entered grade 9 anywhere or, in the case of ungraded students with disabilities, reached their seventeenth birthday between July 1, 2008 and June 30, 2009. The 2007 cohort consists of all students who first entered grade 9 anywhere or, in the case of ungraded students with disabilities, reached their seventeenth birthday between July 1, 2007 and June 30, 2008. For more detailed information on cohort definitions, see *Secondary-Level Cohort Definitions* at http://www.pl2.nysed.gov/irs/sirs/.

District FREEPORT UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

	This Sch	ool		School	District		NY State	e Public		
	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Percenta	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2012 Mean Score: 669 2011 Mean Score: 662	*Range:644-780	663-780	694-780							
2011-12 2010-11	100% 94% 89%	62% 55%	9% 2%	90% 94%	53% 60%	<u>6% 2%</u>	86% 87%	56% 56%	7% 5%	
Number of Tested Students:	101 99	66 61	10 2	381 441	223 283	25 11				

Results by	2011-12	School Y	ear		2010–11 School Year			
-	Total	Percenta	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4
All Students	107	94%	62%	9 %	111	89%	55%	2%
Female	51	98%	65%	14%	51	90%	53%	2%
Male	56	91%	59%	5%	60	88%	57%	2%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	39	95%	56%	8%	37	89%	62%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	60	95%	62%	3%	64	89%	48%	2%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	-		-	2	-		
White	4	-		-	8	-		
Multiracial		••••••						
Small Group Totals	8	88%	88%	63%	10	90%	70%	10%
General-Education Students	95	98%	66%	11%	83	99%	66%	2%
Students with Disabilities	12	67%	25%	0%	28	61%	21%	0%
English Proficient	86	94%	66%	12%	85	94%	64%	2%
Limited English Proficient	21	95%	43%	0%	26	73%	27%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	69	91%	58%	4%	65	91%	49%	2%
Not Disadvantaged	38	100%	68%	18%	46	87%	63%	2%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	107	94%	62%	9%	111	89%	55%	2%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2011-12 data only. Ranges for 2010-11 data are available in the 2010-11 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2011-12	School Ye	ar		2010–11 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number	scoring at I	evel(s):	Total	Number	scoring at le	evel(s):
	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 3	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

⁺ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. July 31, 2013

District FREEPORT UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

	This Sch	ool		School	District		NY Stat	e Public		
	Percentage	rcentage scoring at level(s):		Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4 4		
2012 Mean Score: 697 2011 Mean Score: 690	*Range: 662-770	684-770	707-770							
2011–12 2010–11	100% 99% 95%	81%	26%	94% 96%	61%	11% 12%	91% 91%	61% 60%	13%	
Number of Tested Students:	107 106	88 76	28 15	400 457	262 342	46 57				

Results by	2011-12	School Y	ear		2010-11	2010–11 School Year			
-	Total	Percenta	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	
All Students	108	99%	81%	26 %	112	95%	68%	13%	
Female	51	100%	80%	24%	52	92%	62%	8%	
Male	57	98%	82%	28%	60	97%	73%	18%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	39	100%	79%	18%	37	97%	65%	14%	
Hispanic or Latino	61	98%	82%	26%	65	92%	66%	11%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	-	-	–	2	-	-	-	
White	4	-		-	8	-	-	-	
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals	8	100%	88%	63%	10	100%	90%	30%	
General-Education Students	96	99%	82%	28%	84	99%	77%	17%	
Students with Disabilities	12	100%	75%	8%	28	82%	39%	4%	
English Proficient	86	99%	81%	27%	85	100%	76%	18%	
Limited English Proficient	22	100%	82%	23%	27	78%	41%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	70	99%	79%	26%	65	94%	66%	12%	
Not Disadvantaged	38	100%	87%	26%	47	96%	70%	15%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	108	99%	81%	26%	112	95%	68%	13%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2011-12 data only. Ranges for 2010-11 data are available in the 2010-11 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2011-12	School Ye	ar		2010–11 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number	scoring at le	vel(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	0				

District FREEPORT UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

	This Sch	This School			District		NY State Public				
	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):				
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2012 Mean Score: 675 2011 Mean Score: 680	*Range:637-775	671-775	722-775								
	100% _{95%} 98%			96% 96%	1		91% 92%				
2011-12 2010-11		74% 61%	4% 1%	н	61% 62%	3% 2%		59% 57%	5% _{2%}		
Number of Tested Students	: 109 99	70 75	5 1	441 441	283 287	15 9					

Results by	2011-12	School Y	ear		2010-11	2010–11 School Year					
-	Total	Percenta	age scoring	at level(s):	Total	Percenta	ge scoring a	at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4			
All Students	115	95%	61%	4%	101	98%	74%	1%			
Female	53	94%	62%	2%	56	100%	80%	0%			
Male	62	95%	60%	6%	45	96%	67%	2%			
American Indian or Alaska Native											
Black or African American	35	97%	57%	3%	34	100%	82%	0%			
Hispanic or Latino	66	94%	59%	3%	58	98%	69%	2%			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-		-	1	-	–	–			
White	12	-		-	8	-	–	-			
Multiracial											
Small Group Totals	14	93%	79%	14%	9	89%	78%	0%			
General-Education Students	88	100%	72%	6%	91	99%	77%	1%			
Students with Disabilities	27	78%	26%	0%	10	90%	50%	0%			
English Proficient	95	97%	66%	4%	75	99%	77%	1%			
Limited English Proficient	20	85%	35%	5%	26	96%	65%	0%			
Economically Disadvantaged	70	96%	56%	3%	66	98%	65%	0%			
Not Disadvantaged	45	93%	69%	7%	35	97%	91%	3%			
Migrant											
Not Migrant	115	95%	61%	4%	101	98%	74%	1%			

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2011-12 data only. Ranges for 2010-11 data are available in the 2010-11 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2011-12	School Ye	ar		2010–11 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number	scoring at I	evel(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Total				Total				
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 4	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	

⁺ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. July 31, 2013

District FREEPORT UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Results in Grade 4 Mathematics

	This Sch	ool		School	District		NY State Public			
	Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):			ige scoring a	t level(s):	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2012 Mean Score: 694 2011 Mean Score: 693	*Range:636-800	676-800	707-800							
 2011-12 2010-11 	100% 97% 99%	74% 79%	32% 24%	97% 97%	75% 70%	31% 22%	95% 94%	69% 67%	30% 27%	
Number of Tested Students:	112 101	85 81	37 24	457 452	354 327	145 101				

Results by	2011-12	School Ye	ear		2010–11 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percenta	ige scoring	at level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	
All Students	115	97%	74%	32%	102	99%	79 %	24%	
Female	54	94%	67%	22%	56	100%	84%	27%	
Male	61	100%	80%	41%	46	98%	74%	20%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	35	97%	71%	26%	34	100%	79%	24%	
Hispanic or Latino	66	97%	73%	30%	59	98%	76%	19%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	–	1	-	-	-	
White	12	-	-	-	8	-	-	–	
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals	14	100%	86%	57%	9	100%	100%	56%	
General-Education Students	89	98%	78%	35%	92	99%	78%	25%	
Students with Disabilities	26	96%	62%	23%	10	100%	90%	10%	
English Proficient	94	99%	79%	37%	75	100%	79%	28%	
Limited English Proficient	21	90%	52%	10%	27	96%	81%	11%	
Economically Disadvantaged	69	97%	71%	28%	66	100%	71%	20%	
Not Disadvantaged	46	98%	78%	39%	36	97%	94%	31%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	115	97%	74%	32%	102	99%	79%	24%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2011-12 data only. Ranges for 2010-11 data are available in the 2010-11 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2011-12	School Ye	ar		2010–11 School Year					
Assessments	Total	Number	scoring at le	vel(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):				
	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0					

District FREEPORT UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Results in Grade 4 Science

	This Sch	ool		School	District		NY State Public			
	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			ge scoring at	level(s):	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2012 Mean Score: 87 2011 Mean Score: 87	Range: 45–100	65-100	85-100							
 2011-12 2010-11 	100% 100%100%	97% 97%	73% 72%	100% 99%	96% 95%	68%	97% 98%	89% 88%	57% _{52%}	
Number of Tested Students:	116 102	113 99	85 73	466 461	450 440	317 250				

Results by	2011-12	School Y	ear		2010-11	2010–11 School Year					
Student Group	Total	Percenta	age scoring	at level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):					
Student Group	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4			
All Students	116	100%	97%	73%	102	100%	97%	72%			
Female	54	100%	94%	65%	56	100%	96%	71%			
Male	62	100%	100%	81%	46	100%	98%	72%			
American Indian or Alaska Native											
Black or African American	35	100%	100%	74%	34	100%	97%	71%			
Hispanic or Latino	67	100%	96%	72%	59	100%	97%	69%			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-			
White	12		-	-	8	-		-			
Multiracial							•••••				
Small Group Totals	14	100%	100%	79%	9	100%	100%	89%			
General-Education Students	89	100%	99%	80%	92	100%	98%	71%			
Students with Disabilities	27	100%	93%	52%	10	100%	90%	80%			
English Proficient	95	100%	99%	79%	75	100%	96%	69%			
Limited English Proficient	21	100%	90%	48%	27	100%	100%	78%			
Economically Disadvantaged	70	100%	99%	71%	66	100%	95%	67%			
Not Disadvantaged	46	100%	96%	76%	36	100%	100%	81%			
Migrant											
Not Migrant	116	100%	97%	73%	102	100%	97%	72%			

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments	2011-12	School Ye	ar		2010–11 School Year				
	Total	Number	scoring at le	vel(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0				

New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)

	All Stu	All Students					al-Educati	on Stude	ents	Students with Disabilities					
	Total Tested				•	Total Tested			Total Tested				0		
		Begin.	Interm.	Adv.	Prof.		Begin.	Interm.	.vbA	Prof.		Begin.	Interm.	Adv.	Prof.
2011-12	32	0%	31%	38%	31%	28	-	_	_	-	4	-	-	_	
2010-11	31	13%	13%	58%	16%	29	-	_	_	_	2	-	_	_	-
2009-10	38	0%	24%	50%	26%	32	0%	19%	53%	28%	6	0%	50%	33%	17%
2011-12	32	31%	31%	25%	13%	28	_	_	_	_	4	-	_	-	-
2010-11	31	29%	35%	26%	10%	29	_	_	_	_	2	_	_	_	_
2009-10	38	24%	37%	11%	29%	32	16%	38%	13%	34%	6	67%	33%	0%	0%
2011-12	65	2%	5%	22%	72%	50	2%	4%	20%	74%	15	0%	7%	27%	67%
2010-11	68	1%	7%	38%	53%	45	2%	7%	40%	51%	23	0%	9%	35%	57%
2009-10	68	4%	1%	32%	62%	44	7%	2%	27%	64%	24	0%	0%	42%	58%
2011-12	65	11%	15%	60%	14%	50	6%	18%	58%	18%	15	27%	7%	67%	0%
2010-11	68	15%	22%	47%	16%	45	9%	22%	51%	18%	23	26%	22%	39%	13%
2009-10	68	12%	31%	37%	21%	44	9%	25%	41%	25%	24	17%	42%	29%	13%
2011-12	0					0					0				
2010-11	0					0					0				
2009-10	0					0					0				
2011-12	0					0					0				
2010-11	0					0					0				
2009-10	0					0					0				
2011-12	0					0					0				
2010-11	0					0					0				
2009-10	0					0					0				
2011-12	0					0					0				
2010-11	0					0					0				
2009-10	0					0					0				
2011-12	0					0					0				
2010-11	0					0					0				
2009-10	0					0					0				
2011-12	0					0					0				
2010-11	0					0					0				
2009-10	0					0					0				
	2010-11 2009-10 2011-12 2010-11 2009-10 2011-12 2010-11 2009-10 2011-12 2010-11 2009-10 2011-12 2010-11 2009-10 2011-12 2010-11 2009-10 2011-12 2010-11 2009-10 2011-12 2010-11 2009-10 2011-12	Total Tested 2011-12 32 2010-11 31 2009-10 38 2011-12 32 2010-11 31 2009-10 38 2011-12 65 2010-11 68 2009-10 68 2009-10 68 2010-11 68 2010-11 68 2010-11 00 2010-11 00 2010-11 00 2010-11 00 2010-11 00 2010-11 00 2010-11 00 2010-11 00 2010-11 00 2010-11 00 2010-11 00 2010-11 00 2010-11 00 2010-11 00 2010-11 00 2010-11 00 2010-11 00 2010-11 00 2010-11 00	Total Tested Percent in each in in in in in in in in in in in in in	Total Tested Percent of studer in each performant in each performant	Total Percent of students score in each performance level 2011-12 32 0% 31% 38% 2010-11 31 13% 13% 58% 2009-10 38 0% 24% 50% 2011-12 32 31% 31% 25% 2010-11 31 29% 35% 26% 2011-12 32 31% 31% 25% 2010-11 31 29% 35% 26% 2010-11 65 2% 37% 11% 2010-11 68 1% 7% 38% 2009-10 68 1% 1% 32% 2010-11 68 15% 22% 47% 2010-11 0 22% 31% 37% 2010-11 0 20% 20% 20% 2010-11 0 20% 20% 20% 2010-11 0 20% 20% 20% 2010-11	Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total in each performance level: Second in each performance level: 2011-12 322 0% 31% 38% 31% 2010-11 31 13% 13% 58% 16% 2009-10 38 0% 24% 50% 26% 2010-11 31 29% 35% 26% 10% 2010-11 31 29% 35% 26% 10% 2010-11 31 29% 35% 26% 10% 2010-11 65 2% 5% 22% 72% 2010-11 68 1% 7% 38% 53% 2010-11 68 15% 22% 47% 16% 2010-11 68 12% 31% 37% 21% 2010-11 0 2 2 2 2 2 2010-11 0 2 2 2 2 2	Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Tested 2011-12 32 0% 31% 38% 31% 28 2010-11 31 13% 13% 58% 16% 29 2009-10 38 0% 24% 50% 26% 32 2011-12 32 31% 31% 25% 13% 28 2010-11 31 29% 35% 26% 10% 29 2009-10 38 24% 37% 11% 29% 32 2010-11 65 2% 5% 22% 40 32 2010-11 68 1% 7% 38% 53% 45 2009-10 68 12% 31% 37% 16% 44 2010-11 68 12% 31% 37% 16% 45 2010-11 0 15% 22% 47% 16% 00 2010-11 0	Total TestedPercent in each performance level:Total in each performance level:Percent in each performance level:2011-12320%31%38%31%2882010-113113%13%58%16%2992009-10380%24%50%26%3200%2011-123231%31%25%13%2882010-113129%35%26%10%2992009-103824%37%11%29%32216%2011-12652%5%26%10%26%26%2010-11681%7%38%53%4452%2009-10681%15%20%14%9%20%2010-116815%22%47%16%4449%2010-116815%22%47%16%4449%2010-116812%31%37%11%4449%2010-1160	Total rested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total in each performance level: $1201-12$ 32 0% 31% 38% 31% 28 $ 2011-12$ 32 0% 31% 38% 31% 28% $ 2009-10$ 38 0% 24% 50% 26% 32 0% 19% $201-12$ 32 31% 33% 58% 16% 29 $ 200-11$ 31 29% 31% 25% 13% 28 $ 200-11$ 31 29% 50% 22% 72% 50 2% 4% $2010-11$ 68 1% 7% 38% 53% 45 2% 7% $2009-10$ 68 1% 1% 3% 14% 9% 22% $200-1-12$ 0 15% 60%	Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: 2011-12 32 0% 31% 38% 31% 28 - - 2010-11 31 13% 13% 58% 16% 29 - - - 2009-10 38 0% 24% 50% 26% 322 0% 19% 53% 2010-11 31 29% 35% 26% 10% 29 - - - 2010-11 31 29% 35% 26% 10% 29 - - - 2010-11 65 2% 5% 2% 7% 30 31% 33% 13% 2011-12 65 11% 7% 38% 53% 445 2% 7% 40% 2010-11 68 15% 22% 41% 5% 2% 5% 2010-11 68 12	Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total reskd Percent of students scoring in each performance level: 2011-12 32 0% 31% 38% 31% 28 - - - - 2010-11 31 13% 13% 58% 16% 29 - </td <td>Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total field Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total rested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Tested Total rested 2011-12 32 0% 31% 58% 16% 29 - - - - 4 2010-11 31 29% 35% 13% 28 - - - - 2 2009-10 38 24% 37% 11% 29% 32 16% 38% 13% 24% 2010-11 68 1% 7% 36% 14% 5% 2% 1% 1% 2010-11 6</td> <td>Total Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: 2011-12 32 0% 13% 25% 13% 28 6</td> <td>Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total fested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total result Percent of students scoring in each performance level: 2011-12 32 0% 31% 38% 16% 22% 7 4 7 7 2011-12 65 2% 5% 2% 72% 50 2% 4% 10% 10% 0% 10% 20% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10%</td> <td>Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level Total in each performance level Percent of students scoring in each performance level Total in each performance level Percent of students scoring in each performance level Total in each performance level Percent of students scoring 2011-12 32 0% 31% 32% 28 - - - 4 - - - 2010-11 31 13% 13% 58% 16% 29 - - - 4 6 - - 2010-11 31 13% 13% 58% 16% 29 - - - 4 6 -</td>	Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total field Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total rested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Tested Total rested 2011-12 32 0% 31% 58% 16% 29 - - - - 4 2010-11 31 29% 35% 13% 28 - - - - 2 2009-10 38 24% 37% 11% 29% 32 16% 38% 13% 24% 2010-11 68 1% 7% 36% 14% 5% 2% 1% 1% 2010-11 6	Total Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: 2011-12 32 0% 13% 25% 13% 28 6	Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total fested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total result Percent of students scoring in each performance level: 2011-12 32 0% 31% 38% 16% 22% 7 4 7 7 2011-12 65 2% 5% 2% 72% 50 2% 4% 10% 10% 0% 10% 20% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10%	Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level Total in each performance level Percent of students scoring in each performance level Total in each performance level Percent of students scoring in each performance level Total in each performance level Percent of students scoring 2011-12 32 0% 31% 32% 28 - - - 4 - - - 2010-11 31 13% 13% 58% 16% 29 - - - 4 6 - - 2010-11 31 13% 13% 58% 16% 29 - - - 4 6 -

NOTE

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.