

The New York State Report Card 2011–12 School PS 58 School ID 32-09-00-01-0058 District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT # 9 Principal VELMA GUNN Telephone (718) 583-6866 Grades K-5

The New York State Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents' effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on school/district enrollment and staff, student performance, and other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school's or district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic supports.

This report includes:

1 Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's or district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, attendance and suspensions, and staff.

2 Student Performance

This section shows student performance on standardized assessments at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels.

3 Student Outcomes

This section shows outcomes for graduates and noncompleters, including postgraduation plans of completers.

For more information: Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: dataquest@mail.nysed.gov 1 **Profile**

School **PS 58** School ID **32-09-00-01-0058**

Enrollment

	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12
Pre-K	0	0	
Kindergarten	52	51	57
Grade 1	64	62	60
Grade 2	77	70	58
Grade 3	110	101	89
Grade 4	104	97	90
Grade 5	79	83	87
Grade 6	58	0	0
Ungraded Elementary	3	1	0
Grade 7	0	0	0
Grade 8	0	0	0
Grade 9	0	0	0
Grade 10	0	0	0
Grade 11	0	0	0
Grade 12	0	0	0
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12	547	465	441

Average Class Size

	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12
Common Branch	23	22	21
Grade 8			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			
Grade 10			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #

Enrollment Information

9

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a school's and district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a school's or district's enrollment. The state public enrollment includes public school districts, charter schools, and NYSED-operated programs. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts. Kindergarten and Pre-K counts include halfand full-day students.

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. Common Branch refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

Demographic Factors

	2009-10		2010-11		2011-12	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	482	88%	394	85%	431	98%
Reduced Price Lunch	11	2%	17	4%	9	2%
Limited English Proficient	140	26%	117	25%	120	27%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	1%	1	0%	0	0%
Black or African American	164	30%	130	28%	115	26%
Hispanic or Latino	367	67%	327	70%	316	72%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	1%	0	0%	3	1%
White	10	2%	7	2%	6	1%
Multiracial	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%

Attendance and Suspensions

	200	8-09	200	9–10	201	0-11
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		90%		91%		88%
Student Suspensions	33	7%	23	4%	24	5%

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT # 9

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and *Reduced-Price Lunch* percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school's (or district's) total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A school's (or district's) actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the school (or district's schools) was open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day the school (or schools) was open during the school year. The state's Annual Attendance Rate is a weighted average of all districtlevel attendance rates.

Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including inschool suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

Teacher Qualifications

	2009–10	2010-11	2011-12
Total Number of Teachers	44	44	41
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	0%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	0%	5%	7%
Percent with Fewer than Three Years of Experience	18%	2%	2%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	27%	41%	51%
Total Number of Core Classes	48	42	52
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers in This School*	0%	2%	19%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers in This District**	10%	6%	8%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers in High-Poverty Schools Statewide	6%	5%	4%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers in Low-Poverty Schools Statewide	1%	0%	1%
Total Number of Classes	61	48	56
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	0%	4%	20%

*Not available at the district or statewide level.

**Not available for charter schools or at the statewide level.

Teacher Turnover Rate

	2008–09	2009–10	2010-11
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	40%	20%	11%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	24%	7%	14%

Staff Counts

	2009–10	2010-11	2011-12
Total Other Professional Staff	7	7	8
Total Paraprofessionals*	N/A	N/A	N/A
Assistant Principals	2	2	2
Principals	1	1	1

*Not available at the school level.

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT # 9

Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* for public schools is the percent doing so on more than an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K–6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area or otherwise in accordance with state standards, and show subject matter competency.

In public schools, a teacher who taught one class outside of the certification area(s) is counted as *Highly Qualified* provided that 1) the teacher had been determined by the school or district through the HOUSSE process or other state-accepted methods to have demonstrated acceptable subject knowledge and teaching skills and 2) the class in question was not the sole assignment reported. Credit for incidental teaching does not extend beyond a single assignment. Independent of *Highly Qualified Teacher* status, any assignment for which a teacher did not hold a valid certificate still registers as teaching out of certification.

In charter schools, a teacher is counted as *Highly Qualified* if the teacher has at least a Bachelor's degree, is certified to teach, and shows subject matter competency. Enabling legislation considers charter school teachers to be certified if they hold any valid teaching certificate. Enabling legislation also permits up to 30 percent (with a maximum of five) of charter school teachers to be without certification and to be considered *Highly Qualified* if they meet all remaining criteria.

High-poverty and *low-poverty* schools are those schools in the upper and lower quartiles, respectively, for percentage of students eligible for a free or reduced-price lunch.

Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to nonteaching duties.

2 Student Performance

School **PS 58** School ID **32-09-00-01-0058**

This section contains annual assessment data for students at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels as well as the performance of secondary-level cohorts on standardized achievement assessments.

New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) Assessments

The New York State Testing Program assessments are administered in English language arts (ELA) and mathematics in grades 3 through 8. The Performance Level Descriptors for these assessments are provided below:

English Language Arts

Level 1: Below Standard

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level.

Level 2: Meets Basic Standard

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level. **Level 3: Meets Proficiency Standard**

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level. **Level 4: Exceeds Proficiency Standard**

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level.

Mathematics

Level 1: Below Standard

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

Level 2: Meets Basic Standard

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

Level 3: Meets Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

Level 4: Exceeds Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA)

The New York State Alternate Assessments are administered in English language arts (ELA) and mathematics to ungraded students with severe cognitive disabilities whose ages are equivalent to graded students in grades 3 through 8 and secondary level. They are administered in science to students with disabilities age equivalent to graded students in grades 4, 8, and secondary level. And they are administered in social studies at the secondary level only.

New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT)

The New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests are administered in grades K through 12 to limited English proficient students.

Secondary-Level Cohorts

A secondary-level cohort consists of all students who first entered grade 9 anywhere or, in the case of ungraded students with disabilities, reached their seventeenth birthday in a particular year. The 2008 cohort consists of all students who first entered grade 9 anywhere or, in the case of ungraded students with disabilities, reached their seventeenth birthday between July 1, 2008 and June 30, 2009. The 2007 cohort consists of all students who first entered grade 9 anywhere or, in the case of ungraded students of all students who first entered grade 9 anywhere or, in the case of ungraded students with disabilities, reached their seventeenth birthday between July 1, 2008 and June 30, 2009. The 2007 cohort consists of all students who first entered grade 9 anywhere or, in the case of ungraded students with disabilities, reached their seventeenth birthday between July 1, 2007 and June 30, 2008. For more detailed information on cohort definitions, see *Secondary-Level Cohort Definitions* at http://www.pl2.nysed.gov/irs/sirs/.

Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

	This Sch	ool		School	District		NY Stat	te Public		
	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2012 Mean Score: 647 2011 Mean Score: 649	*Range: 644-780	663-780	694-780							
2011-12 2010-11	100% 62% 67%	24% 27%	1% 0%	70% 74%	28% 31%	2 <u>%</u> 1%	86% 87%	56% 56%	7% 5%	
Number of Tested Students:	52 60	20 24	1 0	19662134	790 899	43 16				

Results by	2011-12	School Y	ear		2010–11 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percenta	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4
All Students	84	62%	24%	1%	90	67 %	27%	0%
Female	36	69%	31%	3%	31	84%	39%	0%
Male	48	56%	19%	0%	59	58%	20%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	20	-	-	–	24	79%	17%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	63	62%	22%	2%	66	62%	30%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	–		•••••		
White		••••••						
Multiracial		••••••						
Small Group Totals	21	62%	29%	0%				
General-Education Students	63	71%	29%	2%	61	84%	36%	0%
Students with Disabilities	21	33%	10%	0%	29	31%	7%	0%
English Proficient	62	71%	29%	2%	64	75%	27%	0%
imited English Proficient	22	36%	9%	0%	26	46%	27%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	80	-	-	-	86	-	-	-
Not Disadvantaged	4	-		–	4	-	_	-
Migrant								
Not Migrant	84	62%	24%	1%	90	67%	27%	0%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2011-12 data only. Ranges for 2010-11 data are available in the 2010-11 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2011-12	School Ye	ear	,	2010–11 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number	scoring at I	Total	Number	scoring at le	evel(s):	
	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	3	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 3	3	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	N/A

⁺ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. July 31, 2013

Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

		This Sch	ool		School District			NY State Public			
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2012 Mean Score: 676 2011 Mean Score: 678	*Range:	662-770	684-770	707-770							
	100%	81% 81%			80% 82%			91% 91%			
2011-12 2010-11			38% 36%	1% 3%		36% 39%	5% 4%		61% 60%	13% 13%	
Number of Tested Students:		70 74	33 33	1 3	23222438	1049 1144	133 121				

Results by	2011-12	School Y	ear		2010-11	2010–11 School Year			
-	Total	Percenta	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	
All Students	86	81%	38%	1%	91	81%	36%	3%	
Female	37	81%	32%	3%	31	94%	35%	0%	
Male	49	82%	43%	0%	60	75%	37%	5%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	20	-	-	-	24	88%	33%	4%	
Hispanic or Latino	65	82%	38%	2%	67	79%	37%	3%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	–					
White									
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals	21	81%	38%	0%					
General-Education Students	65	86%	46%	2%	62	92%	42%	5%	
Students with Disabilities	21	67%	14%	0%	29	59%	24%	0%	
English Proficient	61	85%	44%	2%	64	84%	38%	5%	
imited English Proficient	25	72%	24%	0%	27	74%	33%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	82	-	_	_	87	-	-	-	
Not Disadvantaged	4	-	-	-	4	-	–	-	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	86	81%	38%	1%	91	81%	36%	3%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2011-12 data only. Ranges for 2010-11 data are available in the 2010-11 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2011-12	School Ye	ar		2010–11 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				0				

Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

	This Sch	ool		School	District		NY Stat	e Public		
	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			ge scoring a	t level(s):	Percentage scoring at level(s)			
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2012 Mean Score: 651 2011 Mean Score: 654	*Range: 637-775	671-775	722-775							
2011-12 2010-11	100%	25% 32%	0% 1%	83% 85%	35% 33%	1% 1%	91% 92%	59% 57%	5% 2%	
Number of Tested Students:	62 70	20 27	0 1	22882344	959 903	26 16				

Results by	2011-12	School Ye	ear	2010–11 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percenta	ige scoring a	at level(s):	Total	Percenta	ge scoring a	at level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4
All Students	80	78%	25%	0%	85	82 %	32%	1%
Female	35	80%	29%	0%	44	86%	30%	0%
Male	45	76%	22%	0%	41	78%	34%	2%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	19	89%	26%	0%	28	-	–	-
Hispanic or Latino	61	74%	25%	0%	56	82%	27%	2%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander		•••••						
White		••••••		••••••	1	-	–	-
Multiracial		•••••	•••••					
Small Group Totals		••••••			29	83%	41%	0%
General-Education Students	58	91%	31%	0%	64	91%	39%	2%
Students with Disabilities	22	41%	9%	0%	21	57%	10%	0%
English Proficient	57	86%	33%	0%	57	84%	37%	2%
Limited English Proficient	23	57%	4%	0%	28	79%	21%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	78	_	_	-	84	-	-	-
Not Disadvantaged	2	-	_	-	1	-	_	-
Migrant								
Not Migrant	80	78%	25%	0%	85	82%	32%	1%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2011-12 data only. Ranges for 2010-11 data are available in the 2010-11 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2011-12	School Ye	ar	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	2010–11 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number	scoring at I	evel(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Total				Total				
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 4	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	

⁺ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. July 31, 2013

Results in Grade 4 Mathematics

	1	'his Sch	nool		School	District		NY Stat	e Public	
	F	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2	-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2012 Mean Score: 668 2011 Mean Score: 669	*Range:6	36-800	676-800	707-800						
2011-12 2010-11	100% 9	0% 88%	35% 41%	10% 3%	90% 88%	47% 43%	13% 10%	95% 94%	69% 67%	30% _{27%}
Number of Tested Students:	7	4 76	29 35	8 3	2562 2519	1333 1223	369 279			

Results by	2011-12	School Y	ear		2010–11 School Year				
-	Total	Percenta	age scoring	at level(s):	Total	Percenta	ge scoring a	at level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	
All Students	82	90%	35%	10%	86	88%	41%	3%	
Female	36	94%	31%	6%	44	91%	32%	0%	
Male	46	87%	39%	13%	42	86%	50%	7%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	19	95%	16%	11%	28	-	–	-	
Hispanic or Latino	63	89%	41%	10%	57	91%	35%	4%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander		••••••	•••••						
White		••••••			1	-	–	-	
Multiracial		••••••							
Small Group Totals					29	83%	52%	3%	
General-Education Students	60	97%	38%	10%	65	97%	49%	5%	
Students with Disabilities	22	73%	27%	9%	21	62%	14%	0%	
English Proficient	57	89%	35%	11%	56	84%	50%	5%	
Limited English Proficient	25	92%	36%	8%	30	97%	23%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	80	-	-	_	85	-	-	-	
Not Disadvantaged	2			_	1	-	-	-	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	82	90%	35%	10%	86	88%	41%	3%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2011-12 data only. Ranges for 2010-11 data are available in the 2010-11 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2011-12	School Ye	ar		2010–11 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0				

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT # 9

School **PS 58** School ID **32-09-00-01-0058**

Results in Grade 4 Science

		This Sch	ool		School District			NY State	NY State Public		
		Percentag	je scoring at	g at level(s): Percentage scoring at level(s): Per			Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2012 Mean Score: 70 2011 Mean Score: 69	Range:	45-100	65-100	85-100							
2011–12 2010–11	100%	93% 93%	66% 64%	22% 18%	92% 92%	72% 71%	27% 23%	97% 98%	89% 88%	57% 52%	
Number of Tested Students:		77 79	55 54	18 15	2604 2591	2031 2008	766 639				

Results by	2011-12	School Y	ear	2010–11 School Year				
•	Total	Percenta	age scoring	at level(s):	Total	Percenta	ge scoring	at level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4
All Students	83	93%	66%	22%	85	93%	64%	18%
Female	36	92%	78%	22%	45	96%	60%	13%
Male	47	94%	57%	21%	40	90%	68%	23%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	19	84%	68%	26%	27	–	–	–
Hispanic or Latino	64	95%	66%	20%	57	93%	65%	19%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander		••••••	•••••					
White		•••••••			1	-	-	-
Multiracial		•••••	••••••					
Small Group Totals		••••••			28	93%	61%	14%
General-Education Students	61	93%	74%	25%	66	97%	67%	21%
Students with Disabilities	22	91%	45%	14%	19	79%	53%	5%
English Proficient	58	93%	74%	26%	56	95%	64%	23%
_imited English Proficient	25	92%	48%	12%	29	90%	62%	7%
Economically Disadvantaged	80	-	-	_	84	-	-	-
Not Disadvantaged	3			–	1	-	-	-
Migrant								
Not Migrant	83	93%	66%	22%	85	93%	64%	18%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments	2011-12	School Ye	ar		2010–11 School Year				
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0				

Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

		This Sc	:hool		School I	District		NY Stat	e Public			
		Percenta	ercentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2012 Mean Score: 661 2011 Mean Score: 657	*Range	:648-79	5 668-795	700-795								
2011–12 2010–11	100%	79% 779	% 32% 20%	1% 0%	79% 79%	32% 29%	1% 1%	89% 89%	58% 54%	5% 4%		
Number of Tested Students:	l	61 65	25 17	1 0	2103 2107	856 780	23 30					

Results by	2011-12	School Ye	ear		2010–11 School Year				
-	Total	Percenta	age scoring a	at level(s):	Total	Percenta	ge scoring a	at level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	
All Students	77	79 %	32%	1%	84	77%	20%	0%	
Female	42	79%	29%	0%	39	87%	21%	0%	
Male	35	80%	37%	3%	45	69%	20%	0%	
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	_	_	_	
Black or African American	20	-	-	–	24	-	–	-	
Hispanic or Latino	54	76%	28%	2%	57	68%	12%	0%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	–		•••••			
White	1	-	-	–	2	-	–	-	
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals	23	87%	43%	0%	27	96%	37%	0%	
General-Education Students	60	85%	38%	2%	66	85%	23%	0%	
Students with Disabilities	17	59%	12%	0%	18	50%	11%	0%	
English Proficient	54	87%	43%	2%	62	90%	27%	0%	
Limited English Proficient	23	61%	9%	0%	22	41%	0%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	76	-	_	-	84	77%	20%	0%	
Not Disadvantaged	1	-		–					
Migrant									
Not Migrant	77	79%	32%	1%	84	77%	20%	0%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2011-12 data only. Ranges for 2010-11 data are available in the 2010-11 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2011-12	School Ye	ar	,	2010–11 School Year					
Assessments	Total	Number	scoring at I	evel(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):				
	Tested	2–4	2–4 3–4		Tested	2–4	3–4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				0					
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	3	N/A	N/A	N/A	4	N/A	N/A	N/A		
	Total				Total					
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 5	3	N/A	N/A	N/A	4	N/A	N/A	N/A		

t These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. July 31, 2013

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT # 9

School **PS 58** School ID **32-09-00-01-0058**

Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

	This School				School	District		NY State Public				
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentag	je scoring at	level(s):	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2012 Mean Score: 666 2011 Mean Score: 659	*Range	2:640-780	676-780	707-780								
2011-12 2010-11	100%	84% 84%	46%	<mark>9%</mark> 2%	86% 88%	46% 45%	14% 9%	93% 94%	67% 66%	^{28%} 23%		
Number of Tested Students:		67 74	37 19	72	23612409	1268 1241	394 260					

Results by	2011-12	School Y	ear	2010–11 School Year					
-	Total	Percenta	age scoring	at level(s):	Total	Percenta	ge scoring a	at level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	
All Students	80	84%	46 %	9 %	88	84%	22%	2%	
Female	43	81%	49%	7%	39	87%	21%	3%	
Male	37	86%	43%	11%	49	82%	22%	2%	
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	_	_	_	
Black or African American	20	-	-	–	24	-	–	-	
Hispanic or Latino	57	84%	46%	5%	61	80%	20%	2%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-					
White	1	-			2	-	–	-	
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals	23	83%	48%	17%	27	93%	26%	4%	
General-Education Students	63	86%	52%	10%	70	93%	27%	3%	
Students with Disabilities	17	76%	24%	6%	18	50%	0%	0%	
English Proficient	54	81%	46%	13%	62	90%	27%	3%	
_imited English Proficient	26	88%	46%	0%	26	69%	8%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	79	-	-	-	88	84%	22%	2%	
Not Disadvantaged	1	-							
Migrant									
Not Migrant	80	84%	46%	9%	88	84%	22%	2%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2011-12 data only. Ranges for 2010-11 data are available in the 2010-11 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2011-12	School Ye	ar		2010–11 School Year					
Assessments	Total	Number	scoring at le	vel(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):				
	Tested	2–4	2–4 3–4 4		Tested	2–4	3–4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				0					

New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)

	All Students					Genera	I-Educati	Students with Disabilities												
	Total Tested	Percent of students scoring in each performance level:			Total Tested		Total Percent of students scoring Tested in each performance level:													
		Begin.	Interm.	.vbA	Prof.		Begin.	Interm.	.vbA	Prof.		Begin.	Interm.	Adv.	Prof.					
2011-12	27	4%	11%	41%	44%	25	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-					
2010-11	22	5%	27%	32%	36%	17	6%	24%	24%	47%	5	0%	40%	60%	0%					
2009-10	22	0%	18%	45%	36%	15	0%	20%	40%	40%	7	0%	14%	57%	29%					
2011-12	27	44%	30%	15%	11%	25	-	-	-	-	2	-	_	-	-					
2010-11	22	68%	18%	9%	5%	17	65%	24%	6%	6%	5	80%	0%	20%	0%					
2009-10	22	45%	45%	9%	0%	15	40%	53%	7%	0%	7	57%	29%	14%	0%					
2011-12	62	10%	6%	27%	56%	40	15%	5%	18%	63%	22	0%	9%	45%	45%					
2010-11	78	3%	9%	31%	58%	55	4%	9%	25%	62%	23	0%	9%	43%	48%					
2009-10	83	2%	7%	27%	64%	63	3%	10%	22%	65%	20	0%	0%	40%	60%					
2011-12	62	27%	32%	35%	5%	40	28%	23%	43%	8%	22	27%	50%	23%	0%					
2010-11	78	18%	40%	36%	6%	55	9%	40%	45%	5%	23	39%	39%	13%	9%					
2009-10	83	24%	47%	24%	5%	63	19%	46%	29%	6%	20	40%	50%	10%	0%					
2011-12	26	0%	8%	38%	54%	22	-	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	-					
2010-11	26	15%	4%	46%	35%	20	20%	5%	45%	30%	6	0%	0%	50%	50%					
2009-10	47	13%	9%	38%	40%	42	14%	10%	36%	40%	5	0%	0%	60%	40%					
2011-12	26	4%	15%	42%	38%	22	-	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	-					
2010-11	26	27%	35%	35%	4%	20	30%	30%	35%	5%	6	17%	50%	33%	0%					
2009-10	47	17%	32%	40%	11%	42	14%	31%	43%	12%	5	40%	40%	20%	0%					
2011-12	0					0					0									
2010-11	0					0					0									
2009-10	0					0					0									
2011-12	0					0					0									
2010-11	0					0					0									
2009-10	0					0					0									
2011-12	0					0					0									
2010-11	0					0					0									
2009-10	0					0					0									
2011-12	0					0					0									
2010-11	0					0					0									
2009–10	0					0					0									
	2010-11 2009-10 2011-12 2010-11 2009-10 2011-12 2010-11 2009-10 2011-12 2010-11 2009-10 2011-12 2010-11 2009-10 2011-12 2010-11 2009-10 2011-12 2010-11 2009-10 2011-12 2010-11 2009-10 2011-12 2010-11	Total Tested 2011-12 27 2010-11 22 2011-12 27 2010-11 22 2010-11 22 2010-11 22 2010-11 22 2009-10 22 2010-11 78 2009-10 83 2011-12 62 2010-11 78 2009-10 83 2011-12 26 2010-11 26 2010-11 26 2010-11 26 2010-11 26 2010-11 26 2010-11 0 2010-11 0 2010-11 0 2010-11 0 2010-11 0 2010-11 0 2010-11 0 2010-11 0 2010-11 0 2010-11 0 2010-11 0 2010-11 0 20	Total TestedPercent in each in each in each2011-12274%2010-11225%2009-10220%2011-122744%2010-112268%2009-102245%2010-112268%2010-11783%2010-117818%2010-117818%2010-117818%2010-11260%2010-112615%2010-11264%2010-11264%2010-11264%2010-11264%2010-11264%2010-11017%2010-1101 <tr <td="">2<td>Total TotalPercent studer in each performant in each performant in each performant in each performant in each performant in each</br></br></br></br></td><td>Total Percent of students score in each performance level 2011-12 27 4% 11% 41% 2010-11 227 4% 11% 41% 2009-10 222 5% 27% 32% 2009-10 222 0% 18% 45% 2011-12 27 44% 30% 15% 2011-12 27 44% 30% 15% 2010-11 222 68% 18% 9% 2010-11 22 45% 45% 9% 2010-11 622 10% 64% 31% 2010-11 78 3% 9% 31% 2009-10 83 24% 47% 24% 2010-11 78 18% 40% 36% 2010-11 26 0% 8% 38% 2010-11 26 15% 44% 36% 2010-11 26 27% 35% 35% 2010-11</td><td>Total Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Percent of students scoring in each performance level: 2011-12 27 4% 11% 41% 44% 2010-11 22 5% 27% 32% 36% 2009-10 22 0% 18% 45% 36% 2010-11 22 68% 18% 9% 5% 2010-11 22 68% 18% 9% 5% 2010-11 22 68% 18% 9% 5% 2010-11 22 45% 45% 9% 6% 2010-11 78 3% 9% 31% 5% 2010-11 78 18% 40% 36% 6% 2010-11 78 18% 40% 36% 6% 2010-11 78 18% 40% 36% 6% 2010-11 26 17% 32% 36% 4% 2010-11 26</td><td>Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Tested Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Tested 2011-12 27 4% 11% 41% 44% 25 2010-11 22 5% 27% 32% 36% 117 2009-10 22 0% 18% 45% 36% 155 2010-11 22 68% 18% 9% 5% 17 2009-10 22 45% 45% 9% 0% 155 2010-11 22 68% 18% 9% 5% 17 2009-10 22 45% 45% 9% 0% 155 2010-11 78 3% 9% 31% 5% 40 2010-11 78 18% 40% 36% 5% 63 2010-11 26 0% 8% 38% 54% 22 2010-11</td><td>Total Percent students scoring in each performance level: Total neach performance level: 2011-12 27 44% 2010-11 22 9% 116 00% 2010-11 22 44% 5% 117 65% 2010-11 22 44% 2010-11 25 40% 2010-11 26 0 15% 40% 2010-11 26 26% 26% 2010-11 20% <td <="" colspan="5" td=""><td>Total rested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total rested Percent of students in each performance level: 2011-12 27 4% 11% 41% 44% 25 $-$ 2011-12 27 4% 11% 41% 44% 25 - - 2010-11 22 5% 27% 32% 36% 17 6% 24% 2009-10 22 0% 18% 45% 36% 15 0% 24% 2010-11 22 68% 18% 9% 0% 15 40% 53% 2010-11 22 45% 45% 9% 0% 15 40% 53% 2010-11 78 3% 9% 31% 58% 55 4% 9% 2010-11 78 18% 40% 36% 55 9% 40% 2010-11 83 24% 47% 24% 5% 20</td><td>Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: 2011-12 27 4% 11% 41% 44% 25 - - - 2010-11 22 5% 27% 32% 36% 117 6% 24% 24% 2009-10 22 0% 18% 45% 36% 155 0% 20% 40% 2011-12 27 44% 30% 15% 11% 25 - - - 2010-11 22 68% 18% 9% 5% 17 65% 24% 6% 2009-10 22 45% 45% 9% 0% 155 40% 55% 18% 2010-11 78 3% 9% 31% 58% 55 4% 9% 26% 2011-12 62 7% 35% 5% 40% 28% 20% 20% 20%</td><td>Total resch of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch op erformance level: Total resch performance level: Total resch op erformance level: 2011-12 27 4% 11% 41% 44% 25 - - - - 2010-11 22 5% 27% 32% 36% 117 6% 24% 47% 2001-12 27 44% 30% 15% 114 25 - - - - 2011-12 27 44% 30% 15% 114 25 - - - - 2011-12 27 44% 30% 15% 114 25 - - - - 2010-11 22 68% 15% 96% 116 5% 26% 66% 66% 20% 10% 66% 66% 20% 10% 66% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26%</td><td>Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total field Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total field Total in each performance level: Total field 2011-12 27 4% 11% 41% 44% 30% 15% 0</td><td>Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total in each perfor</td><td>Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total rested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: 2011-12 27 74 44% 30% 11% 55% 44% 95% 56% 20 0% 0% 2010-11 78 32% 75% 56% 40% 25% 65% 20%</td><td>Total Tested Percent of students scoring Total n each performance level Percent of students scoring Total n each performance level Percent of students scoring Total n each performance level Percent of students scoring v <</td></td></td></tr>	Total TotalPercent studer in each performant in each performant 	Total Percent of students score in each performance level 2011-12 27 4% 11% 41% 2010-11 227 4% 11% 41% 2009-10 222 5% 27% 32% 2009-10 222 0% 18% 45% 2011-12 27 44% 30% 15% 2011-12 27 44% 30% 15% 2010-11 222 68% 18% 9% 2010-11 22 45% 45% 9% 2010-11 622 10% 64% 31% 2010-11 78 3% 9% 31% 2009-10 83 24% 47% 24% 2010-11 78 18% 40% 36% 2010-11 26 0% 8% 38% 2010-11 26 15% 44% 36% 2010-11 26 27% 35% 35% 2010-11	Total Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Percent of students scoring in each performance level: 2011-12 27 4% 11% 41% 44% 2010-11 22 5% 27% 32% 36% 2009-10 22 0% 18% 45% 36% 2010-11 22 68% 18% 9% 5% 2010-11 22 68% 18% 9% 5% 2010-11 22 68% 18% 9% 5% 2010-11 22 45% 45% 9% 6% 2010-11 78 3% 9% 31% 5% 2010-11 78 18% 40% 36% 6% 2010-11 78 18% 40% 36% 6% 2010-11 78 18% 40% 36% 6% 2010-11 26 17% 32% 36% 4% 2010-11 26	Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Tested Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Tested 2011-12 27 4% 11% 41% 44% 25 2010-11 22 5% 27% 32% 36% 117 2009-10 22 0% 18% 45% 36% 155 2010-11 22 68% 18% 9% 5% 17 2009-10 22 45% 45% 9% 0% 155 2010-11 22 68% 18% 9% 5% 17 2009-10 22 45% 45% 9% 0% 155 2010-11 78 3% 9% 31% 5% 40 2010-11 78 18% 40% 36% 5% 63 2010-11 26 0% 8% 38% 54% 22 2010-11	Total Percent students scoring in each performance level: Total neach performance level: 2011-12 27 44% 2010-11 22 9% 116 00% 2010-11 22 44% 5% 117 65% 2010-11 22 44% 2010-11 25 40% 2010-11 26 0 15% 40% 2010-11 26 26% 26% 2010-11 20% <td <="" colspan="5" td=""><td>Total rested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total rested Percent of students in each performance level: 2011-12 27 4% 11% 41% 44% 25 $-$ 2011-12 27 4% 11% 41% 44% 25 - - 2010-11 22 5% 27% 32% 36% 17 6% 24% 2009-10 22 0% 18% 45% 36% 15 0% 24% 2010-11 22 68% 18% 9% 0% 15 40% 53% 2010-11 22 45% 45% 9% 0% 15 40% 53% 2010-11 78 3% 9% 31% 58% 55 4% 9% 2010-11 78 18% 40% 36% 55 9% 40% 2010-11 83 24% 47% 24% 5% 20</td><td>Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: 2011-12 27 4% 11% 41% 44% 25 - - - 2010-11 22 5% 27% 32% 36% 117 6% 24% 24% 2009-10 22 0% 18% 45% 36% 155 0% 20% 40% 2011-12 27 44% 30% 15% 11% 25 - - - 2010-11 22 68% 18% 9% 5% 17 65% 24% 6% 2009-10 22 45% 45% 9% 0% 155 40% 55% 18% 2010-11 78 3% 9% 31% 58% 55 4% 9% 26% 2011-12 62 7% 35% 5% 40% 28% 20% 20% 20%</td><td>Total resch of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch op erformance level: Total resch performance level: Total resch op erformance level: 2011-12 27 4% 11% 41% 44% 25 - - - - 2010-11 22 5% 27% 32% 36% 117 6% 24% 47% 2001-12 27 44% 30% 15% 114 25 - - - - 2011-12 27 44% 30% 15% 114 25 - - - - 2011-12 27 44% 30% 15% 114 25 - - - - 2010-11 22 68% 15% 96% 116 5% 26% 66% 66% 20% 10% 66% 66% 20% 10% 66% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26%</td><td>Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total field Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total field Total in each performance level: Total field 2011-12 27 4% 11% 41% 44% 30% 15% 0</td><td>Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total in each perfor</td><td>Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total rested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: 2011-12 27 74 44% 30% 11% 55% 44% 95% 56% 20 0% 0% 2010-11 78 32% 75% 56% 40% 25% 65% 20%</td><td>Total Tested Percent of students scoring Total n each performance level Percent of students scoring Total n each performance level Percent of students scoring Total n each performance level Percent of students scoring v <</td></td>	<td>Total rested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total rested Percent of students in each performance level: 2011-12 27 4% 11% 41% 44% 25 $-$ 2011-12 27 4% 11% 41% 44% 25 - - 2010-11 22 5% 27% 32% 36% 17 6% 24% 2009-10 22 0% 18% 45% 36% 15 0% 24% 2010-11 22 68% 18% 9% 0% 15 40% 53% 2010-11 22 45% 45% 9% 0% 15 40% 53% 2010-11 78 3% 9% 31% 58% 55 4% 9% 2010-11 78 18% 40% 36% 55 9% 40% 2010-11 83 24% 47% 24% 5% 20</td> <td>Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: 2011-12 27 4% 11% 41% 44% 25 - - - 2010-11 22 5% 27% 32% 36% 117 6% 24% 24% 2009-10 22 0% 18% 45% 36% 155 0% 20% 40% 2011-12 27 44% 30% 15% 11% 25 - - - 2010-11 22 68% 18% 9% 5% 17 65% 24% 6% 2009-10 22 45% 45% 9% 0% 155 40% 55% 18% 2010-11 78 3% 9% 31% 58% 55 4% 9% 26% 2011-12 62 7% 35% 5% 40% 28% 20% 20% 20%</td> <td>Total resch of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch op erformance level: Total resch performance level: Total resch op erformance level: 2011-12 27 4% 11% 41% 44% 25 - - - - 2010-11 22 5% 27% 32% 36% 117 6% 24% 47% 2001-12 27 44% 30% 15% 114 25 - - - - 2011-12 27 44% 30% 15% 114 25 - - - - 2011-12 27 44% 30% 15% 114 25 - - - - 2010-11 22 68% 15% 96% 116 5% 26% 66% 66% 20% 10% 66% 66% 20% 10% 66% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26%</td> <td>Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total field Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total field Total in each performance level: Total field 2011-12 27 4% 11% 41% 44% 30% 15% 0</td> <td>Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total in each perfor</td> <td>Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total rested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: 2011-12 27 74 44% 30% 11% 55% 44% 95% 56% 20 0% 0% 2010-11 78 32% 75% 56% 40% 25% 65% 20%</td> <td>Total Tested Percent of students scoring Total n each performance level Percent of students scoring Total n each performance level Percent of students scoring Total n each performance level Percent of students scoring v <</td>					Total rested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total rested Percent of students in each performance level: 2011-12 27 4% 11% 41% 44% 25 $-$ 2011-12 27 4% 11% 41% 44% 25 - - 2010-11 22 5% 27% 32% 36% 17 6% 24% 2009-10 22 0% 18% 45% 36% 15 0% 24% 2010-11 22 68% 18% 9% 0% 15 40% 53% 2010-11 22 45% 45% 9% 0% 15 40% 53% 2010-11 78 3% 9% 31% 58% 55 4% 9% 2010-11 78 18% 40% 36% 55 9% 40% 2010-11 83 24% 47% 24% 5% 20	Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: 2011-12 27 4% 11% 41% 44% 25 - - - 2010-11 22 5% 27% 32% 36% 117 6% 24% 24% 2009-10 22 0% 18% 45% 36% 155 0% 20% 40% 2011-12 27 44% 30% 15% 11% 25 - - - 2010-11 22 68% 18% 9% 5% 17 65% 24% 6% 2009-10 22 45% 45% 9% 0% 155 40% 55% 18% 2010-11 78 3% 9% 31% 58% 55 4% 9% 26% 2011-12 62 7% 35% 5% 40% 28% 20% 20% 20%	Total resch of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch op erformance level: Total resch performance level: Total resch op erformance level: 2011-12 27 4% 11% 41% 44% 25 - - - - 2010-11 22 5% 27% 32% 36% 117 6% 24% 47% 2001-12 27 44% 30% 15% 114 25 - - - - 2011-12 27 44% 30% 15% 114 25 - - - - 2011-12 27 44% 30% 15% 114 25 - - - - 2010-11 22 68% 15% 96% 116 5% 26% 66% 66% 20% 10% 66% 66% 20% 10% 66% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26%	Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total field Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total field Total in each performance level: Total field 2011-12 27 4% 11% 41% 44% 30% 15% 0	Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total in each perfor	Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total rested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: 2011-12 27 74 44% 30% 11% 55% 44% 95% 56% 20 0% 0% 2010-11 78 32% 75% 56% 40% 25% 65% 20%	Total Tested Percent of students scoring Total n each performance level Percent of students scoring Total n each performance level Percent of students scoring Total n each performance level Percent of students scoring v <
Total TotalPercent studer in each performant in each performant 	Total Percent of students score in each performance level 2011-12 27 4% 11% 41% 2010-11 227 4% 11% 41% 2009-10 222 5% 27% 32% 2009-10 222 0% 18% 45% 2011-12 27 44% 30% 15% 2011-12 27 44% 30% 15% 2010-11 222 68% 18% 9% 2010-11 22 45% 45% 9% 2010-11 622 10% 64% 31% 2010-11 78 3% 9% 31% 2009-10 83 24% 47% 24% 2010-11 78 18% 40% 36% 2010-11 26 0% 8% 38% 2010-11 26 15% 44% 36% 2010-11 26 27% 35% 35% 2010-11	Total Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Percent of students scoring in each performance level: 2011-12 27 4% 11% 41% 44% 2010-11 22 5% 27% 32% 36% 2009-10 22 0% 18% 45% 36% 2010-11 22 68% 18% 9% 5% 2010-11 22 68% 18% 9% 5% 2010-11 22 68% 18% 9% 5% 2010-11 22 45% 45% 9% 6% 2010-11 78 3% 9% 31% 5% 2010-11 78 18% 40% 36% 6% 2010-11 78 18% 40% 36% 6% 2010-11 78 18% 40% 36% 6% 2010-11 26 17% 32% 36% 4% 2010-11 26	Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Tested Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Tested 2011-12 27 4% 11% 41% 44% 25 2010-11 22 5% 27% 32% 36% 117 2009-10 22 0% 18% 45% 36% 155 2010-11 22 68% 18% 9% 5% 17 2009-10 22 45% 45% 9% 0% 155 2010-11 22 68% 18% 9% 5% 17 2009-10 22 45% 45% 9% 0% 155 2010-11 78 3% 9% 31% 5% 40 2010-11 78 18% 40% 36% 5% 63 2010-11 26 0% 8% 38% 54% 22 2010-11	Total Percent students scoring in each performance level: Total neach performance level: 2011-12 27 44% 2010-11 22 9% 116 00% 2010-11 22 44% 5% 117 65% 2010-11 22 44% 2010-11 25 40% 2010-11 26 0 15% 40% 2010-11 26 26% 26% 2010-11 20% <td <="" colspan="5" td=""><td>Total rested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total rested Percent of students in each performance level: 2011-12 27 4% 11% 41% 44% 25 $-$ 2011-12 27 4% 11% 41% 44% 25 - - 2010-11 22 5% 27% 32% 36% 17 6% 24% 2009-10 22 0% 18% 45% 36% 15 0% 24% 2010-11 22 68% 18% 9% 0% 15 40% 53% 2010-11 22 45% 45% 9% 0% 15 40% 53% 2010-11 78 3% 9% 31% 58% 55 4% 9% 2010-11 78 18% 40% 36% 55 9% 40% 2010-11 83 24% 47% 24% 5% 20</td><td>Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: 2011-12 27 4% 11% 41% 44% 25 - - - 2010-11 22 5% 27% 32% 36% 117 6% 24% 24% 2009-10 22 0% 18% 45% 36% 155 0% 20% 40% 2011-12 27 44% 30% 15% 11% 25 - - - 2010-11 22 68% 18% 9% 5% 17 65% 24% 6% 2009-10 22 45% 45% 9% 0% 155 40% 55% 18% 2010-11 78 3% 9% 31% 58% 55 4% 9% 26% 2011-12 62 7% 35% 5% 40% 28% 20% 20% 20%</td><td>Total resch of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch op erformance level: Total resch performance level: Total resch op erformance level: 2011-12 27 4% 11% 41% 44% 25 - - - - 2010-11 22 5% 27% 32% 36% 117 6% 24% 47% 2001-12 27 44% 30% 15% 114 25 - - - - 2011-12 27 44% 30% 15% 114 25 - - - - 2011-12 27 44% 30% 15% 114 25 - - - - 2010-11 22 68% 15% 96% 116 5% 26% 66% 66% 20% 10% 66% 66% 20% 10% 66% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26%</td><td>Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total field Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total field Total in each performance level: Total field 2011-12 27 4% 11% 41% 44% 30% 15% 0</td><td>Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total in each perfor</td><td>Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total rested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: 2011-12 27 74 44% 30% 11% 55% 44% 95% 56% 20 0% 0% 2010-11 78 32% 75% 56% 40% 25% 65% 20%</td><td>Total Tested Percent of students scoring Total n each performance level Percent of students scoring Total n each performance level Percent of students scoring Total n each performance level Percent of students scoring v <</td></td>	<td>Total rested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total rested Percent of students in each performance level: 2011-12 27 4% 11% 41% 44% 25 $-$ 2011-12 27 4% 11% 41% 44% 25 - - 2010-11 22 5% 27% 32% 36% 17 6% 24% 2009-10 22 0% 18% 45% 36% 15 0% 24% 2010-11 22 68% 18% 9% 0% 15 40% 53% 2010-11 22 45% 45% 9% 0% 15 40% 53% 2010-11 78 3% 9% 31% 58% 55 4% 9% 2010-11 78 18% 40% 36% 55 9% 40% 2010-11 83 24% 47% 24% 5% 20</td> <td>Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: 2011-12 27 4% 11% 41% 44% 25 - - - 2010-11 22 5% 27% 32% 36% 117 6% 24% 24% 2009-10 22 0% 18% 45% 36% 155 0% 20% 40% 2011-12 27 44% 30% 15% 11% 25 - - - 2010-11 22 68% 18% 9% 5% 17 65% 24% 6% 2009-10 22 45% 45% 9% 0% 155 40% 55% 18% 2010-11 78 3% 9% 31% 58% 55 4% 9% 26% 2011-12 62 7% 35% 5% 40% 28% 20% 20% 20%</td> <td>Total resch of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch op erformance level: Total resch performance level: Total resch op erformance level: 2011-12 27 4% 11% 41% 44% 25 - - - - 2010-11 22 5% 27% 32% 36% 117 6% 24% 47% 2001-12 27 44% 30% 15% 114 25 - - - - 2011-12 27 44% 30% 15% 114 25 - - - - 2011-12 27 44% 30% 15% 114 25 - - - - 2010-11 22 68% 15% 96% 116 5% 26% 66% 66% 20% 10% 66% 66% 20% 10% 66% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26%</td> <td>Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total field Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total field Total in each performance level: Total field 2011-12 27 4% 11% 41% 44% 30% 15% 0</td> <td>Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total in each perfor</td> <td>Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total rested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: 2011-12 27 74 44% 30% 11% 55% 44% 95% 56% 20 0% 0% 2010-11 78 32% 75% 56% 40% 25% 65% 20%</td> <td>Total Tested Percent of students scoring Total n each performance level Percent of students scoring Total n each performance level Percent of students scoring Total n each performance level Percent of students scoring v <</td>					Total rested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total rested Percent of students in each performance level: 2011-12 27 4% 11% 41% 44% 25 $-$ 2011-12 27 4% 11% 41% 44% 25 - - 2010-11 22 5% 27% 32% 36% 17 6% 24% 2009-10 22 0% 18% 45% 36% 15 0% 24% 2010-11 22 68% 18% 9% 0% 15 40% 53% 2010-11 22 45% 45% 9% 0% 15 40% 53% 2010-11 78 3% 9% 31% 58% 55 4% 9% 2010-11 78 18% 40% 36% 55 9% 40% 2010-11 83 24% 47% 24% 5% 20	Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: 2011-12 27 4% 11% 41% 44% 25 - - - 2010-11 22 5% 27% 32% 36% 117 6% 24% 24% 2009-10 22 0% 18% 45% 36% 155 0% 20% 40% 2011-12 27 44% 30% 15% 11% 25 - - - 2010-11 22 68% 18% 9% 5% 17 65% 24% 6% 2009-10 22 45% 45% 9% 0% 155 40% 55% 18% 2010-11 78 3% 9% 31% 58% 55 4% 9% 26% 2011-12 62 7% 35% 5% 40% 28% 20% 20% 20%	Total resch of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch op erformance level: Total resch performance level: Total resch op erformance level: 2011-12 27 4% 11% 41% 44% 25 - - - - 2010-11 22 5% 27% 32% 36% 117 6% 24% 47% 2001-12 27 44% 30% 15% 114 25 - - - - 2011-12 27 44% 30% 15% 114 25 - - - - 2011-12 27 44% 30% 15% 114 25 - - - - 2010-11 22 68% 15% 96% 116 5% 26% 66% 66% 20% 10% 66% 66% 20% 10% 66% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26%	Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total field Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total field Total in each performance level: Total field 2011-12 27 4% 11% 41% 44% 30% 15% 0	Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total in each perfor	Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total rested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: 2011-12 27 74 44% 30% 11% 55% 44% 95% 56% 20 0% 0% 2010-11 78 32% 75% 56% 40% 25% 65% 20%	Total Tested Percent of students scoring Total n each performance level Percent of students scoring Total n each performance level Percent of students scoring Total n each performance level Percent of students scoring v <				

NOTE

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.