

The New York State Report Card 2011–12 School PS 116 ELIZABETH L FARRELL School ID 33-32-00-01-0116 District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #32 Principal SEIW KONG Telephone (718) 821-4623 Grades K-5

The New York State Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents' effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on school/district enrollment and staff, student performance, and other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school's or district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic supports.

This report includes:

1 Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's or district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, attendance and suspensions, and staff.

2 Student Performance

This section shows student performance on standardized assessments at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels.

3 Student Outcomes

This section shows outcomes for graduates and noncompleters, including postgraduation plans of completers.

For more information: Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: dataquest@mail.nysed.gov **1 Profile**

School **PS 116 ELIZABETH L FARRELL** School ID **33-32-00-01-0116**

Enrollment

	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12
Pre-K	0	0	
Kindergarten	90	75	104
Grade 1	83	96	81
Grade 2	96	83	85
Grade 3	88	87	83
Grade 4	81	82	93
Grade 5	71	82	77
Grade 6	0	0	0
Ungraded Elementary	3	2	0
Grade 7	0	0	0
Grade 8	0	0	0
Grade 9	0	0	0
Grade 10	0	0	0
Grade 11	0	0	0
Grade 12	0	0	0
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K–12	512	507	523

Average Class Size

	2009–10	2010-11	2011-12
Common Branch	20	20	19
Grade 8			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			
Grade 10			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #32

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a school's and district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a school's or district's enrollment. The state public enrollment includes public school districts, charter schools, and NYSED-operated programs. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts. Kindergarten and Pre-K counts include halfand full-day students.

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. Common Branch refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

1 Profile

School PS 116 ELIZABETH L FARRELL School ID 33-32-00-01-0116

Demographic Factors

	2009-10		2010-11		2011-12	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	504	98%	499	98%	515	98%
Reduced Price Lunch	2	0%	3	1%	2	0%
Limited English Proficient	185	36%	175	35%	176	34%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black or African American	34	7%	31	6%	27	5%
Hispanic or Latino	453	88%	450	89%	473	90%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	14	3%	13	3%	13	2%
White	11	2%	13	3%	10	2%
Multiracial	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

Attendance and Suspensions

	200	8-09	200	9–10	201	0-11
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		92%		93%		93%
Student Suspensions	8	2%	1	0%	2	0%

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #32

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and *Reduced-Price Lunch* percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school's (or district's) total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A school's (or district's) actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the school (or district's schools) was open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day the school (or schools) was open during the school year. The state's Annual Attendance Rate is a weighted average of all districtlevel attendance rates.

Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including inschool suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

1 Profile

School PS 116 ELIZABETH L FARRELL School ID 33-32-00-01-0116

Teacher Qualifications

	2009–10	2010-11	2011-12
Total Number of Teachers	45	41	40
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	2%	2%	3%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	9%	15%	3%
Percent with Fewer than Three Years of Experience	13%	2%	10%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	29%	29%	45%
Total Number of Core Classes	40	32	30
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers in This School*	8%	16%	3%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers in This District**	8%	5%	5%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers in High-Poverty Schools Statewide	6%	5%	4%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers in Low-Poverty Schools Statewide	1%	0%	1%
Total Number of Classes	47	42	40
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	9%	14%	3%

*Not available at the district or statewide level.

**Not available for charter schools or at the statewide level.

Teacher Turnover Rate

	2008–09	2009–10	2010-11
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	8%	10%	33%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	9%	18%	20%

Staff Counts

	2009–10	2010-11	2011-12
Total Other Professional Staff	6	6	6
Total Paraprofessionals*	N/A	N/A	N/A
Assistant Principals	2	2	2
Principals	1	1	1

*Not available at the school level.

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #32

Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* for public schools is the percent doing so on more than an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K–6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area or otherwise in accordance with state standards, and show subject matter competency.

In public schools, a teacher who taught one class outside of the certification area(s) is counted as *Highly Qualified* provided that 1) the teacher had been determined by the school or district through the HOUSSE process or other state-accepted methods to have demonstrated acceptable subject knowledge and teaching skills and 2) the class in question was not the sole assignment reported. Credit for incidental teaching does not extend beyond a single assignment. Independent of *Highly Qualified Teacher* status, any assignment for which a teacher did not hold a valid certificate still registers as teaching out of certification.

In charter schools, a teacher is counted as *Highly Qualified* if the teacher has at least a Bachelor's degree, is certified to teach, and shows subject matter competency. Enabling legislation considers charter school teachers to be certified if they hold any valid teaching certificate. Enabling legislation also permits up to 30 percent (with a maximum of five) of charter school teachers to be without certification and to be considered *Highly Qualified* if they meet all remaining criteria.

High-poverty and *low-poverty* schools are those schools in the upper and lower quartiles, respectively, for percentage of students eligible for a free or reduced-price lunch.

Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to nonteaching duties.

2 Student Performance

School **PS 116 ELIZABETH L FARRELL** School ID **33-32-00-01-0116**

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #32

This section contains annual assessment data for students at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels as well as the performance of secondary-level cohorts on standardized achievement assessments.

New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) Assessments

The New York State Testing Program assessments are administered in English language arts (ELA) and mathematics in grades 3 through 8. The Performance Level Descriptors for these assessments are provided below:

English Language Arts

Level 1: Below Standard

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level.

Level 2: Meets Basic Standard

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level. **Level 3: Meets Proficiency Standard**

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level. **Level 4: Exceeds Proficiency Standard**

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level.

Mathematics

Level 1: Below Standard

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

Level 2: Meets Basic Standard

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

Level 3: Meets Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

Level 4: Exceeds Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA)

The New York State Alternate Assessments are administered in English language arts (ELA) and mathematics to ungraded students with severe cognitive disabilities whose ages are equivalent to graded students in grades 3 through 8 and secondary level. They are administered in science to students with disabilities age equivalent to graded students in grades 4, 8, and secondary level. And they are administered in social studies at the secondary level only.

New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT)

The New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests are administered in grades K through 12 to limited English proficient students.

Secondary-Level Cohorts

A secondary-level cohort consists of all students who first entered grade 9 anywhere or, in the case of ungraded students with disabilities, reached their seventeenth birthday in a particular year. The 2008 cohort consists of all students who first entered grade 9 anywhere or, in the case of ungraded students with disabilities, reached their seventeenth birthday between July 1, 2008 and June 30, 2009. The 2007 cohort consists of all students who first entered grade 9 anywhere or, in the case of ungraded students of all students who first entered grade 9 anywhere or, in the case of ungraded students with disabilities, reached their seventeenth birthday between July 1, 2008 and June 30, 2009. The 2007 cohort consists of all students who first entered grade 9 anywhere or, in the case of ungraded students with disabilities, reached their seventeenth birthday between July 1, 2007 and June 30, 2008. For more detailed information on cohort definitions, see *Secondary-Level Cohort Definitions* at http://www.pl2.nysed.gov/irs/sirs/.

Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

	This Sch	ool		School	District		NY Stat	te Public	
	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2012 Mean Score: 658 2011 Mean Score: 657	*Range: 644–780	663-780	694-780						
2011-12 2010-11	100%	48%	3% 0%	80% 82%	39% 40%	<u>2%</u> 0%	86% 87%	56% 56%	7% 5%
Number of Tested Students:	64 72	38 31	2 0	1014 1011	497 488	24 6			

Results by	2011-12	School Y	ear		2010–11 School Year			
-	Total	Percenta	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4
All Students	80	80%	48 %	3%	85	85%	36%	0%
Female	49	86%	53%	4%	53	83%	34%	0%
Male	31	71%	39%	0%	32	88%	41%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	2	-	-	–	3	-	–	-
Hispanic or Latino	74	82%	49%	3%	77	86%	32%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	–	2	-	–	-
White	2	-	-	–	3	-	–	-
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	6	50%	33%	0%	8	75%	75%	0%
General-Education Students	63	89%	57%	3%	73	90%	40%	0%
Students with Disabilities	17	47%	12%	0%	12	50%	17%	0%
English Proficient	60	87%	58%	3%	54	91%	48%	0%
_imited English Proficient	20	60%	15%	0%	31	74%	16%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	80	80%	48%	3%	85	85%	36%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	•••••	••••••	•••••					
Migrant								
Not Migrant	80	80%	48%	3%	85	85%	36%	0%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2011-12 data only. Ranges for 2010-11 data are available in the 2010-11 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2011-12	School Ye	ar		2010–11 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number	scoring at I	evel(s):	Total	Number	scoring at le	vel(s):
	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 3	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

⁺ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. July 31, 2013

Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

	٦	This Sch	ool		School [District		NY State	e Public	
	F	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2012 Mean Score: 681 2011 Mean Score: 684	*Range:6	62-770	684-770	707-770						
2011–12 2010–11	100% 8	6%	43% 48%	2% 6%	86% 87%	44% 44%	6% 7%	91% 91%	61% 60%	13% 13%
Number of Tested Students:	7	0 83	35 42	25	1106 1103	568 564	77 86			

Results by	2011-12	School Y	ear		2010–11 School Year			
-	Total	Percenta	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4
All Students	81	86%	43%	2 %	87	95%	48 %	6 %
Female	50	88%	46%	4%	54	94%	39%	4%
Male	31	84%	39%	0%	33	97%	64%	9%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	2	-	-	–	3	-	–	-
Hispanic or Latino	75	87%	44%	3%	79	97%	48%	5%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	–	2	-	–	-
White	2	-	-	—	3	-	-	-
Multiracial		••••••						• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Small Group Totals	6	83%	33%	0%	8	75%	50%	13%
General-Education Students	64	95%	52%	3%	75	96%	55%	7%
Students with Disabilities	17	53%	12%	0%	12	92%	8%	0%
English Proficient	60	92%	50%	3%	56	95%	52%	9%
imited English Proficient	21	71%	24%	0%	31	97%	42%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	81	86%	43%	2%	87	95%	48%	6%
Not Disadvantaged	•••••	•••••••	•••••					•••••
Migrant								
Not Migrant	81	86%	43%	2%	87	95%	48%	6%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2011-12 data only. Ranges for 2010-11 data are available in the 2010-11 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2011-12	School Ye	ar	2010–11 School Year					
Assessments	Total	Number	scoring at le	vel(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				0				

Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

	This S	chool		School	District		NY Sta	te Public	
	Percent	age scoring at	level(s):	Percenta	ige scoring a	t level(s):	Percenta	ige scoring at	level(s):
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2012 Mean Score: 664 2011 Mean Score: 651	*Range:637-7	75 671-775	722-775						
2011–12 2010–11	100% 93% 79	% 38% 28%	0% 0%	88% 86%	41% 38%	<u>1%</u> 0%	91% 92%	59% 57%	5% 2%
Number of Tested Students:	85 60	35 21	0 0	1067 111	7 501 499	11 4			

Results by	2011-12	School Y	ear		2010–11 School Year					
Student Group	Total	Percenta	age scoring a	at level(s):	Total	Percenta	ge scoring a	at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2–4	2–4 3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4		
All Students	91	93%	38%	0%	76	79 %	28%	0%		
Female	55	96%	42%	0%	31	81%	29%	0%		
Male	36	89%	33%	0%	45	78%	27%	0%		
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	2	-	-	–	4	-	–	–		
Hispanic or Latino	83	93%	37%	0%	68	76%	24%	0%		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	–	1	–	-	-		
White	4	-	-		3	-	-	-		
Multiracial		•••••					•••••			
Small Group Totals	8	100%	50%	0%	8	100%	63%	0%		
General-Education Students	78	94%	44%	0%	59	88%	36%	0%		
Students with Disabilities	13	92%	8%	0%	17	47%	0%	0%		
English Proficient	63	97%	48%	0%	50	98%	40%	0%		
Limited English Proficient	28	86%	18%	0%	26	42%	4%	0%		
Economically Disadvantaged	91	93%	38%	0%	76	79%	28%	0%		
Not Disadvantaged		•••••	•••••	••••••			•••••			
Migrant										
Not Migrant	91	93%	38%	0%	76	79%	28%	0%		

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2011-12 data only. Ranges for 2010-11 data are available in the 2010-11 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2011-12	School Ye	ar		2010–11 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number	scoring at I	evel(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	3	N/A	N/A	N/A	5	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Total				Total				
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 4	3	N/A	N/A	N/A	5	N/A	N/A	N/A	

⁺ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. July 31, 2013 School PS 116 ELIZABETH L FARRELL School ID 33-32-00-01-0116

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #32

Results in Grade 4 Mathematics

	This Sch	ool		School	District		NY State Public Percentage scoring at level(s):			
	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Percentag	ge scoring a	t level(s):				
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2012 Mean Score: 695 2011 Mean Score: 676	*Range: 636-800	676-800	707-800							
2011–12 2010–11	100% 99% 90%	51%	26% 19%	93% 90%	56% 48%	18% 9%	95% 94%	69% 67%	30% ₂₇₉	
Number of Tested Students:	93 76	81 43	24 16	1153 1207	697 640	222 122			_	

Results by	2011-12	School Y	ear		2010–11 School Year					
Student Group	Total	Percenta	age scoring	at level(s):	Total	Percenta	ge scoring	at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4		
All Students	94	99%	86%	26%	84	90%	51%	19%		
Female	57	98%	82%	23%	33	94%	48%	15%		
Male	37	100%	92%	30%	51	88%	53%	22%		
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	2	-	-	–	4	-	-	-		
Hispanic or Latino	86	99%	86%	24%	76	89%	49%	16%		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	_	-	_	1	-	-	-		
White	4	_		—	3	-		-		
Multiracial							••••••			
Small Group Totals	8	100%	88%	38%	8	100%	75%	50%		
General-Education Students	81	99%	86%	25%	67	96%	57%	24%		
Students with Disabilities	13	100%	85%	31%	17	71%	29%	0%		
English Proficient	63	100%	89%	32%	52	100%	71%	27%		
imited English Proficient	31	97%	81%	13%	32	75%	19%	6%		
Economically Disadvantaged	94	99%	86%	26%	84	90%	51%	19%		
Not Disadvantaged		•••••••	•••••				••••••			
Migrant										
Not Migrant	94	99%	86%	26%	84	90%	51%	19%		

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2011-12 data only. Ranges for 2010-11 data are available in the 2010-11 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2011-12	School Ye	ar	2010–11 School Year					
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
45565511161115	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0				

School **PS 116 ELIZABETH L FARRELL** School ID **33-32-00-01-0116**

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #32

Results in Grade 4 Science

		This	Sche	ool				Sc	hool	District			NY	Stat	e Public	
		Perce	entage	e scor	ing at	level	(s):	Pe	rcenta	ge scoring	at level	(s):	Percentage scoring at level(s):			at level(s):
		2-4		3-4		4		2-4	1	3-4	4		2-4		3-4	4
2012 Mean Score: 80 2011 Mean Score: 76	Range:	45-1	.00	65-	·100	85-	-100									
 2011-12 2010-11 	100%	98%	95%	88%	76%	44%	⁶ 36%	96%	6 96%	83% 78		² 31%	97%	98%	89% 889	57% 52%
Number of Tested Students:		91	79	82	63	41	30	1173	31268	1011103	6 452	410				

Results by	2011-12	School Y	ear		2010–11 School Year					
Student Group	Total	Percenta	age scoring	at level(s):	Total	Percenta	age scoring	at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4		
All Students	93	98%	88%	44%	83	95%	76%	36%		
Female	57	96%	88%	35%	33	97%	70%	33%		
Male	36	100%	89%	58%	50	94%	80%	38%		
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	2	-	-	-	4	-	-	–		
Hispanic or Latino	85	98%	87%	44%	75	95%	73%	32%		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	–	1	-	-	-		
White	4	-	_	–	3	-		-		
Multiracial		••••••								
Small Group Totals	8	100%	100%	50%	8	100%	100%	75%		
General-Education Students	81	98%	89%	48%	66	97%	82%	44%		
Students with Disabilities	12	100%	83%	17%	17	88%	53%	6%		
English Proficient	62	100%	95%	52%	51	100%	94%	51%		
Limited English Proficient	31	94%	74%	29%	32	88%	47%	13%		
Economically Disadvantaged	93	98%	88%	44%	83	95%	76%	36%		
Not Disadvantaged										
Migrant										
Not Migrant	93	98%	88%	44%	83	95%	76%	36%		

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2011-12	School Ye	ar		2010–11 School Year					
Assessments	Total	Number	scoring at le	vel(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):				
	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0					

Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

		This Scho	ool		School	District		NY Stat	e Public	
		Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Percentag	je scoring a	t level(s):	Percentag	ge scoring at	level(s):
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2012 Mean Score: 659 2011 Mean Score: 669	*Range	:648-795	668-795	700-795						
2011-12 2010-11	100%	91%	56% 32%	0% 4%	86% 86%	45% 42%	2% 4%	89% 89%	58% 54%	<u>5%</u> 4%
Number of Tested Students:	L	56 74	24 45	0 3	1109 1115	576 546	31 46			

Results by	2011-12	School Y	ear		2010–11 School Year					
-	Total	Percenta	age scoring a	at level(s):	Total	Percenta	ge scoring a	at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4		
All Students	74	76%	32%	0%	81	91 %	56%	4%		
Female	28	89%	43%	0%	36	97%	56%	3%		
Male	46	67%	26%	0%	45	87%	56%	4%		
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	4	-	-	–	3	-	–	-		
Hispanic or Latino	66	73%	32%	0%	75	91%	53%	4%		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	–	3	-	–	–		
White	3	-	-	–						
Multiracial		••••••								
Small Group Totals	8	100%	38%	0%	6	100%	83%	0%		
General-Education Students	56	79%	43%	0%	62	97%	61%	5%		
Students with Disabilities	18	67%	0%	0%	19	74%	37%	0%		
English Proficient	49	88%	49%	0%	55	98%	69%	5%		
Limited English Proficient	25	52%	0%	0%	26	77%	27%	0%		
Economically Disadvantaged	74	76%	32%	0%	81	91%	56%	4%		
Not Disadvantaged			•••••							
Migrant										
Not Migrant	74	76%	32%	0%	81	91%	56%	4%		

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2011-12 data only. Ranges for 2010-11 data are available in the 2010-11 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2011-12	School Ye	ar		2010–11 School Year					
Assessments	Total	Number	scoring at I	evel(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):				
	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				0					
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A		
	Total				Total					
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 5	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A		

t These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. July 31, 2013

Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

	This Sc	hool		School I	District		NY State Public				
	Percenta	ge scoring at	level(s):	Percentag	je scoring at	level(s):	Percentag	ge scoring at	level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2012 Mean Score: 686 2011 Mean Score: 693	*Range: 640-780	0 676-780	707-780								
2011–12 2010–11	100% 97% 98%	67%	29% 28%	91% 90%	55% 53%	15% 11%	93% 94%	67% 66%	28% 23%		
Number of Tested Students:	74 80	51 62	22 23	1197 1191	732 701	203 149					

Results by	2011-12	School Y	ear		2010–11 School Year					
•	Total	Percenta	age scoring	at level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Group	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4 76%	4		
All Students	76	97%	67%	29 %	82	98%		28%		
Female	29	97%	72%	28%	36	100%	78%	22%		
Male	47	98%	64%	30%	46	96%	74%	33%		
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	4	-	-	–	3	-	-	-		
Hispanic or Latino	68	97%	63%	28%	76	97%	76%	26%		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	–	3	-	-	-		
White	3	-	-	—						
Multiracial		••••••••								
Small Group Totals	8	100%	100%	38%	6	100%	67%	50%		
General-Education Students	58	97%	74%	38%	63	100%	84%	35%		
Students with Disabilities	18	100%	44%	0%	19	89%	47%	5%		
English Proficient	49	100%	78%	39%	56	100%	82%	39%		
imited English Proficient	27	93%	48%	11%	26	92%	62%	4%		
Economically Disadvantaged	76	97%	67%	29%	82	98%	76%	28%		
Not Disadvantaged		•••••••								
Migrant										
Not Migrant	76	97%	67%	29%	82	98%	76%	28%		

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2011-12 data only. Ranges for 2010-11 data are available in the 2010-11 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2011-12	School Ye	ar	2	2010–11 School Year					
Assessments	Total	Number	scoring at le	vel(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):				
	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				0					

School PS 116 ELIZABETH L FARRELL School ID 33-32-00-01-0116

New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)

| | All Students |
 | |

 |
 | Genera | I-Educati | Students with Disabilities | | |
 | | |
 | |
|---------|---
--
--|---
--
--
--
---|--|---|--|---|--
---|---|---
--|--|
| | Total
Tested | Percent of students scoring
in each performance level:
 | |

 | Total
Tested
 | | TotalPercent of students scoringTestedin each performance level: | | | |
 | | |
 | |
| | | Begin.
 | Interm. | Adv.

 | Prof.
 | | Begin. | Interm. | Adv. | Prof. |
 | Begin. | Interm. | Adv.
 | Prof. |
| 2011-12 | 68 | 4%
 | 28% | 41%

 | 26%
 | 56 | 4% | 29% | 41% | 27% | 12
 | 8% | 25% | 42%
 | 25% |
| 2010-11 | 62 | 6%
 | 21% | 53%

 | 19%
 | 55 | 7% | 20% | 53% | 20% | 7
 | 0% | 29% | 57%
 | 14% |
| 2009-10 | 56 | 0%
 | 32% | 52%

 | 16%
 | 46 | 0% | 30% | 50% | 20% | 10
 | 0% | 40% | 60%
 | 0% |
| 2011-12 | 68 | 24%
 | 28% | 25%

 | 24%
 | 56 | 25% | 29% | 29% | 18% | 12
 | 17% | 25% | 8%
 | 50% |
| 2010-11 | 62 | 23%
 | 45% | 10%

 | 23%
 | 55 | 24% | 44% | 9% | 24% | 7
 | 14% | 57% | 14%
 | 14% |
| 2009-10 | 56 | 54%
 | 25% | 7%

 | 14%
 | 46 | 48% | 28% | 9% | 15% | 10
 | 80% | 10% | 0%
 | 10% |
| 2011-12 | 81 | 0%
 | 4% | 37%

 | 59%
 | 68 | 0% | 4% | 35% | 60% | 13
 | 0% | 0% | 46%
 | 54% |
| 2010-11 | 87 | 2%
 | 10% | 39%

 | 48%
 | 65 | 3% | 11% | 38% | 48% | 22
 | 0% | 9% | 41%
 | 50% |
| 2009–10 | 105 | 4%
 | 5% | 44%

 | 48%
 | 74 | 5% | 7% | 36% | 51% | 31
 | 0% | 0% | 61%
 | 39% |
| 2011-12 | 81 | 5%
 | 37% | 44%

 | 14%
 | 68 | 6% | 35% | 43% | 16% | 13
 | 0% | 46% | 54%
 | 0% |
| 2010-11 | 87 | 14%
 | 36% | 36%

 | 15%
 | 65 | 15% | 29% | 37% | 18% | 22
 | 9% | 55% | 32%
 | 5% |
| 2009-10 | 105 | 17%
 | 39% | 26%

 | 18%
 | 74 | 14% | 39% | 28% | 19% | 31
 | 26% | 39% | 19%
 | 16% |
| 2011-12 | 27 | 0%
 | 11% | 22%

 | 67%
 | 15 | 0% | 13% | 20% | 67% | 12
 | 0% | 8% | 25%
 | 67% |
| 2010-11 | 26 | 4%
 | 4% | 27%

 | 65%
 | 16 | 6% | 0% | 19% | 75% | 10
 | 0% | 10% | 40%
 | 50% |
| 2009-10 | 28 | 11%
 | 7% | 29%

 | 54%
 | 22 | 14% | 9% | 23% | 55% | 6
 | 0% | 0% | 50%
 | 50% |
| 2011-12 | 27 | 19%
 | 33% | 30%

 | 19%
 | 15 | 20% | 27% | 33% | 20% | 12
 | 17% | 42% | 25%
 | 17% |
| 2010-11 | 26 | 12%
 | 12% | 27%

 | 50%
 | 16 | 6% | 6% | 25% | 63% | 10
 | 20% | 20% | 30%
 | 30% |
| 2009-10 | 28 | 11%
 | 7% | 32%

 | 50%
 | 22 | 14% | 9% | 18% | 59% | 6
 | 0% | 0% | 83%
 | 17% |
| 2011-12 | 0 |
 | |

 |
 | 0 | | | | | 0
 | | |
 | |
| 2010-11 | 0 |
 | |

 |
 | 0 | | | | | 0
 | | |
 | |
| 2009-10 | 0 |
 | |

 |
 | 0 | | | | | 0
 | | |
 | |
| 2011-12 | 0 |
 | |

 |
 | 0 | | | | | 0
 | | |
 | |
| 2010-11 | 0 |
 | |

 |
 | 0 | | | | | 0
 | | |
 | |
| 2009-10 | 0 |
 | |

 |
 | 0 | | | | | 0
 | | |
 | |
| 2011-12 | 0 |
 | |

 |
 | 0 | | | | | 0
 | | |
 | |
| 2010-11 | 0 |
 | |

 |
 | 0 | | | | | 0
 | | |
 | |
| 2009-10 | 0 |
 | |

 |
 | 0 | | | | | 0
 | | |
 | |
| 2011-12 | 0 |
 | |

 |
 | 0 | | | | | 0
 | | |
 | |
| 2010-11 | 0 |
 | |

 |
 | 0 | | | | | 0
 | | |
 | |
| 2009–10 | 0 |
 | |

 |
 | 0 | | | | | 0
 | | |
 | |
| | 2010-11
2009-10
2011-12
2010-11
2009-10
2011-12
2010-11
2009-10
2011-12
2010-11
2009-10
2011-12
2010-11
2009-10
2011-12
2010-11
2009-10
2011-12
2010-11
2009-10
2011-12
2010-11
2009-10
2011-12
2010-11 | Total
Tested 2011-12 68 2010-11 62 2009-10 56 2011-12 68 2010-11 62 2009-10 56 2011-12 81 2010-11 87 2009-10 105 2011-12 81 2010-11 87 2009-10 105 2011-12 21 2010-11 87 2010-11 26 2009-10 105 2011-12 27 2010-11 26 2009-10 28 2011-12 0 2010-11 0 2010-11 0 2010-11 0 2010-11 0 2010-11 0 2010-11 0 2010-11 0 2010-11 0 2010-11 0 2010-11 0 2010-11 0 <td< td=""><td>Total
TestedPercent
in each
in each
in each
in each
i</br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></td><td>Total
TotalPercent studer
in each performant
in each performant
in each performant
in each performant2011-12684%28%2010-11626%21%2009-10560%32%2011-126824%28%2010-116223%45%2010-116223%45%2010-11810%4%2010-11872%10%2010-118714%36%2010-118714%36%2010-11264%4%2010-11264%4%2010-112612%12%2010-112612%12%2010-112612%12%2010-11012%12%2010-110112010-110112010-110112010-110112010-110112010-110112010-110112010-110112010-110112010-110112010-110112010-110112010-110112010-110112010-110112010-110112010-1101<!--</td--><td>Total Percent of students score Tested neach performance level 2011-12 68 4% 28% 41% 2010-11 62 6% 21% 53% 2009-10 56 0% 32% 52% 2011-12 68 24% 28% 25% 2010-11 62 23% 45% 10% 2010-12 68 24% 28% 25% 2010-11 62 23% 45% 10% 2009-10 56 54% 25% 7% 2010-11 87 2% 10% 39% 2009-10 105 4% 36% 36% 2010-11 87 14% 36% 36% 2010-11 26 4% 4% 27% 2010-11 26 4% 4% 27% 2010-11 26 12% 12% 27% 2010-11 26 12% 12% <t< td=""><td>Total Percent of students scoring
in each performance level: Total Percent of students scoring
in each performance level: 2011-12 68 4% 28% 41% 26% 2010-11 62 6% 21% 53% 19% 2009-10 56 0% 32% 52% 16% 2010-11 62 23% 45% 10% 23% 2010-11 62 23% 45% 10% 23% 2010-11 62 23% 45% 10% 23% 2010-11 62 23% 45% 10% 23% 2010-11 81 0% 4% 37% 48% 2010-11 87 2% 10% 39% 48% 2010-11 87 14% 36% 36% 15% 2010-11 27 0% 11% 22% 67% 2010-11 26 12% 14% 50% 20% 2010-11 2</td><td>Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Tested Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Tested 2011-12 68 4% 28% 41% 26% 56 2010-11 62 6% 21% 53% 19% 555 2009-10 56 0% 32% 52% 16% 466 2011-12 68 24% 28% 25% 24% 55 2009-10 56 54% 25% 10% 466 2011-12 81 0% 4% 37% 59% 68 2010-11 87 2% 10% 39% 48% 65 2009-10 105 4% 36% 15% 65 2010-11 87 14% 36% 36% 16 2010-11 26 4% 4% 27% 65% 16 2010-11 26 4% 4%</td><td>Total
TestedPercent of students storing
in each performance level:Total
in each performance level:Percent of
in each performance level:2011-12684%28%41%26%564%2010-11626%21%53%19%5557%2009-10560%32%52%16%4660%2010-116223%45%10%23%55524%2009-105654%25%7%14%46648%2010-116223%45%10%23%55524%2009-105654%25%7%14%4686682010-11810%4%37%59%6680%2010-11815%37%44%48%745%2010-11815%37%44%48%7414%2010-11815%37%44%14%6686%2010-118114%36%36%15%15%16%2010-11264%4%27%50%1666%2010-112612%12%27%50%16%6%2010-112612%12%27%50%16%6%2010-112612%12%27%50%16%6%2010-110</td><td>Total Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Percent of students in each performance level: 2011-12 68 4% 28% 41% 26% 56 4% 29% 2010-11 62 6% 21% 53% 19% 55 7% 20% 2010-11 62 6% 21% 53% 19% 466 0% 30% 2011-12 68 24% 28% 25% 24% 668 29% 2010-11 62 23% 45% 10% 23% 55 24% 44% 2010-11 62 23% 45% 14% 466 48% 28% 2010-11 62 23% 10% 39% 48% 655 3% 11% 2010-11 87 2% 10% 39% 48% 655 3% 11% 2010-11 81 5% 37% 44% 14% 668 6% 3%</td><td>Total
Tested Percent of students scoring
in each performance level: Total
Tested Percent of students scoring
in each performance level: 2011-12 68 4% 28% 41% 26% 56 4% 29% 41% 2010-11 62 6% 21% 53% 19% 55 7% 20% 53% 2009-10 56 0% 32% 52% 16% 466 0% 30% 50% 2010-11 68 24% 28% 25% 24% 56 25% 29% 29% 2010-11 62 23% 45% 10% 23% 55 24% 44% 9% 2011-12 81 0% 4% 37% 59% 68 0% 4% 35% 2011-12 81 5% 37% 14% 48% 74 48% 36% 2011-12 81 5% 37% 14% 14% 39% 28% 2011-12 8</td><td>Total
Tested Percent of students scoring
in each performance level: Total
Tested Percent of students scoring
in each performance level: 2011-12 68 4% 28% 41% 26% 56 4% 29% 41% 27% 2010-11 62 6% 21% 53% 19% 55 7% 20% 53% 20% 2010-11 62 6% 21% 53% 19% 55 7% 20% 53% 20% 2011-12 68 24% 28% 10% 23% 55 24% 44% 9% 24% 2001-13 67 24% 28% 10% 23% 59% 668 0% 44% 60% 36% 15% 2010-11 87 2% 10% 39% 48% 665 3% 11% 36% 16% 2010-11 81 5% 37% 44% 14% 68% 16% 36% 16% 2010-11 <t< td=""><td>Total
Tested Percent of students scoring
in each performance level: Total
Tested Percent of students scoring
in each performance level: Total
Tested Total
in each performance level: Total
Tested Total
in each performance level: Total
Tested 2011-12 68 4% 28% 41% 26% 56 4% 29% 41% 27% 12 2010-11 62 6% 21% 53% 19% 55 7% 20% 53% 20% 10 2011-12 68 24% 25% 16% 46% 0% 30% 50%
 20% 108 12 2010-11 62 23% 45% 10% 22% 52 24% 44% 9% 24% 7 2009-10 56 54% 25% 7% 14% 66 8% 35% 43% 122 2010-11 81 5% 37% 44% 14% 5% 35% 43% 122 2010-11 81 5%<</td><td>Total Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: 2011-12 68 4% 28% 21% 52% 16% 66 36% 26%</td><td>Total
Tested Percent of students scoring
in each performance level: Total
rested Percent of students scoring
in each performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: 2011-12 68 44% 25% 26% 26% 46% 65% 35% 10% 10% 0% 10% 2011-</td><td>Total
Tested Percent of student's scoring
in each performance level: Total
in each performance level: Total
in each performance level: Total
in each performance level: Percent of student's scoring
in each performance level: Total
in each performance level: Percent of student's scoring
in each performance level: Total
in each performance level: Percent of student's scoring in each performance level: Total
in each performance level: Percent of student's scoring in each performance level: 2011-12 68 4% 28% 52% 56 4% 29% 20% 10 0% 29% 20% 2010-11 68 24% 28% 25% 24% 56 29% 29% 29% 28% 10 0% 40% 57% 2010-11 68 24% 25% 7% 14% 68 0% 48% 69% 108 108 10%</td></t<></td></t<></td></td></td<> | Total
TestedPercent
in each
in each
 | Total
TotalPercent studer
in each performant
in each performant
in each performant
in each performant2011-12684%28%2010-11626%21%2009-10560%32%2011-126824%28%2010-116223%45%2010-116223%45%2010-11810%4%2010-11872%10%2010-118714%36%2010-118714%36%2010-11264%4%2010-11264%4%2010-112612%12%2010-112612%12%2010-112612%12%2010-11012%12%2010-110112010-110112010-110112010-110112010-110112010-110112010-110112010-110112010-110112010-110112010-110112010-110112010-110112010-110112010-110112010-110112010-1101 </td <td>Total Percent of students score Tested neach performance level 2011-12 68 4% 28% 41% 2010-11 62 6% 21% 53% 2009-10 56 0% 32% 52% 2011-12 68 24% 28% 25% 2010-11 62 23% 45% 10% 2010-12 68 24% 28% 25% 2010-11 62 23% 45% 10% 2009-10 56 54% 25% 7% 2010-11 87 2% 10% 39% 2009-10 105 4% 36% 36% 2010-11 87 14% 36% 36% 2010-11 26 4% 4% 27% 2010-11 26 4% 4% 27% 2010-11 26 12% 12% 27% 2010-11 26 12% 12% <t< td=""><td>Total Percent of students scoring
in each performance level: Total Percent of students scoring
in each performance level: 2011-12 68 4% 28% 41% 26% 2010-11 62 6% 21% 53% 19% 2009-10 56 0% 32% 52% 16% 2010-11 62 23% 45% 10% 23% 2010-11 62 23% 45% 10% 23% 2010-11 62 23% 45% 10% 23% 2010-11 62 23% 45% 10% 23% 2010-11 81 0% 4% 37% 48% 2010-11 87 2% 10% 39% 48% 2010-11 87 14% 36% 36% 15% 2010-11 27 0% 11% 22% 67% 2010-11 26 12% 14% 50% 20% 2010-11 2</td><td>Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Tested Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Tested 2011-12 68 4% 28% 41% 26% 56 2010-11 62 6% 21% 53% 19% 555 2009-10 56 0% 32% 52% 16% 466 2011-12 68 24% 28% 25% 24% 55 2009-10 56 54% 25% 10% 466 2011-12 81 0% 4% 37% 59% 68 2010-11 87 2% 10% 39% 48% 65 2009-10 105 4% 36% 15% 65 2010-11 87 14% 36% 36% 16 2010-11 26 4% 4% 27% 65% 16 2010-11 26 4% 4%</td><td>Total
TestedPercent of students storing
in each performance level:Total
in each performance level:Percent of
in each performance level:2011-12684%28%41%26%564%2010-11626%21%53%19%5557%2009-10560%32%52%16%4660%2010-116223%45%10%23%55524%2009-105654%25%7%14%46648%2010-116223%45%10%23%55524%2009-105654%25%7%14%4686682010-11810%4%37%59%6680%2010-11815%37%44%48%745%2010-11815%37%44%48%7414%2010-11815%37%44%14%6686%2010-118114%36%36%15%15%16%2010-11264%4%27%50%1666%2010-112612%12%27%50%16%6%2010-112612%12%27%50%16%6%2010-112612%12%27%50%16%6%2010-110</td><td>Total Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Percent of students in each performance level: 2011-12 68 4% 28% 41% 26% 56 4% 29% 2010-11 62 6% 21% 53% 19% 55 7% 20% 2010-11 62 6% 21% 53% 19% 466 0% 30% 2011-12 68 24% 28% 25% 24% 668 29% 2010-11 62 23% 45% 10% 23% 55 24% 44% 2010-11 62 23% 45% 14% 466 48% 28% 2010-11 62 23% 10% 39% 48% 655 3% 11% 2010-11 87 2% 10% 39% 48% 655 3% 11% 2010-11 81 5% 37% 44% 14% 668 6% 3%</td><td>Total
Tested Percent of students scoring
in each performance level: Total
Tested Percent of students scoring
in each performance level: 2011-12 68 4% 28% 41% 26% 56 4% 29% 41% 2010-11 62 6% 21% 53% 19% 55 7% 20% 53% 2009-10 56 0% 32% 52% 16% 466 0% 30% 50% 2010-11 68 24% 28% 25% 24% 56 25% 29% 29% 2010-11 62 23% 45% 10%
 23% 55 24% 44% 9% 2011-12 81 0% 4% 37% 59% 68 0% 4% 35% 2011-12 81 5% 37% 14% 48% 74 48% 36% 2011-12 81 5% 37% 14% 14% 39% 28% 2011-12 8</td><td>Total
Tested Percent of students scoring
in each performance level: Total
Tested Percent of students scoring
in each performance level: 2011-12 68 4% 28% 41% 26% 56 4% 29% 41% 27% 2010-11 62 6% 21% 53% 19% 55 7% 20% 53% 20% 2010-11 62 6% 21% 53% 19% 55 7% 20% 53% 20% 2011-12 68 24% 28% 10% 23% 55 24% 44% 9% 24% 2001-13 67 24% 28% 10% 23% 59% 668 0% 44% 60% 36% 15% 2010-11 87 2% 10% 39% 48% 665 3% 11% 36% 16% 2010-11 81 5% 37% 44% 14% 68% 16% 36% 16% 2010-11 <t< td=""><td>Total
Tested Percent of students scoring
in each performance level: Total
Tested Percent of students scoring
in each performance level: Total
Tested Total
in each performance level: Total
Tested Total
in each performance level: Total
Tested 2011-12 68 4% 28% 41% 26% 56 4% 29% 41% 27% 12 2010-11 62 6% 21% 53% 19% 55 7% 20% 53% 20% 10 2011-12 68 24% 25% 16% 46% 0% 30% 50% 20% 108 12 2010-11 62 23% 45% 10% 22% 52 24% 44% 9% 24% 7 2009-10 56 54% 25% 7% 14% 66 8% 35% 43% 122 2010-11 81 5% 37% 44% 14% 5% 35% 43% 122 2010-11 81 5%<</td><td>Total Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: 2011-12 68 4% 28% 21% 52% 16% 66 36% 26%</td><td>Total
Tested Percent of students scoring
in each performance level: Total
rested Percent of students scoring
in each performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: 2011-12 68 44% 25% 26% 26% 46% 65% 35% 10% 10% 0% 10% 2011-</td><td>Total
Tested Percent of student's scoring
in each performance level: Total
in each performance level: Total
in each performance level: Total
in each performance level: Percent of student's scoring
in each performance level: Total
in each performance level: Percent of student's scoring
in each performance level: Total
in each performance level: Percent of student's scoring in each performance level: Total
in each performance level: Percent of student's scoring in each performance level: 2011-12 68 4% 28% 52% 56 4% 29% 20% 10 0% 29% 20% 2010-11 68 24% 28% 25% 24% 56 29% 29% 29% 28% 10 0% 40% 57% 2010-11 68 24% 25% 7% 14% 68 0% 48% 69% 108 108 10%</td></t<></td></t<></td> | Total Percent of students score Tested neach performance level 2011-12 68 4% 28% 41% 2010-11 62 6% 21% 53% 2009-10 56 0% 32% 52% 2011-12 68 24% 28% 25% 2010-11 62 23% 45% 10% 2010-12 68 24% 28% 25% 2010-11 62 23% 45% 10% 2009-10 56 54% 25% 7% 2010-11 87 2% 10% 39% 2009-10 105 4% 36% 36% 2010-11 87 14% 36% 36% 2010-11 26 4% 4% 27% 2010-11 26 4% 4% 27% 2010-11 26 12% 12% 27% 2010-11 26 12% 12% <t< td=""><td>Total Percent of students scoring
in each performance level: Total Percent of students scoring
in each performance level: 2011-12 68 4% 28% 41% 26% 2010-11 62 6% 21% 53% 19% 2009-10 56 0% 32% 52% 16% 2010-11 62 23% 45% 10% 23% 2010-11 62 23% 45% 10% 23% 2010-11 62 23% 45% 10% 23% 2010-11 62 23% 45% 10% 23% 2010-11 81 0% 4% 37% 48% 2010-11 87 2% 10% 39% 48% 2010-11 87 14% 36% 36% 15% 2010-11 27 0% 11% 22% 67% 2010-11 26 12% 14% 50% 20% 2010-11 2</td><td>Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Tested Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Tested 2011-12 68 4% 28% 41% 26% 56 2010-11 62 6% 21% 53% 19% 555 2009-10 56 0% 32% 52% 16% 466 2011-12 68 24% 28% 25% 24% 55 2009-10 56 54% 25% 10% 466 2011-12 81 0% 4% 37% 59% 68 2010-11 87 2% 10% 39% 48% 65 2009-10 105 4% 36% 15% 65 2010-11 87 14% 36% 36% 16 2010-11 26 4% 4% 27% 65% 16 2010-11 26 4% 4%</td><td>Total
TestedPercent of students storing
in each performance level:Total
in each performance level:Percent of
in each performance level:2011-12684%28%41%26%564%2010-11626%21%53%19%5557%2009-10560%32%52%16%4660%2010-116223%45%10%23%55524%2009-105654%25%7%14%46648%2010-116223%45%10%23%55524%2009-105654%25%7%14%4686682010-11810%4%37%59%6680%2010-11815%37%44%48%745%2010-11815%37%44%48%7414%2010-11815%37%44%14%6686%2010-118114%36%36%15%15%16%2010-11264%4%27%50%1666%2010-112612%12%27%50%16%6%2010-112612%12%27%50%16%6%2010-112612%12%27%50%16%6%2010-110</td><td>Total Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Percent of students
in each performance level: 2011-12 68 4% 28% 41% 26% 56 4% 29% 2010-11 62 6% 21% 53% 19% 55 7% 20% 2010-11 62 6% 21% 53% 19% 466 0% 30% 2011-12 68 24% 28% 25% 24% 668 29% 2010-11 62 23% 45% 10% 23% 55 24% 44% 2010-11 62 23% 45% 14% 466 48% 28% 2010-11 62 23% 10% 39% 48% 655 3% 11% 2010-11 87 2% 10% 39% 48% 655 3% 11% 2010-11 81 5% 37% 44% 14% 668 6% 3%</td><td>Total
Tested Percent of students scoring
in each performance level: Total
Tested Percent of students scoring
in each performance level: 2011-12 68 4% 28% 41% 26% 56 4% 29% 41% 2010-11 62 6% 21% 53% 19% 55 7% 20% 53% 2009-10 56 0% 32% 52% 16% 466 0% 30% 50% 2010-11 68 24% 28% 25% 24% 56 25% 29% 29% 2010-11 62 23% 45% 10% 23% 55 24% 44% 9% 2011-12 81 0% 4% 37% 59% 68 0% 4% 35% 2011-12 81 5% 37% 14% 48% 74 48% 36% 2011-12 81 5% 37% 14% 14% 39% 28% 2011-12 8</td><td>Total
Tested Percent of students scoring
in each performance level: Total
Tested Percent of students scoring
in each performance level: 2011-12 68 4% 28% 41% 26% 56 4% 29% 41% 27% 2010-11 62 6% 21% 53% 19% 55 7% 20% 53% 20% 2010-11 62 6% 21% 53% 19% 55 7% 20% 53% 20% 2011-12 68 24% 28% 10% 23% 55 24% 44% 9% 24% 2001-13 67 24% 28% 10% 23% 59% 668 0% 44% 60% 36% 15% 2010-11 87 2% 10% 39% 48% 665 3% 11% 36% 16% 2010-11 81 5% 37% 44% 14% 68% 16% 36% 16% 2010-11 <t< td=""><td>Total
Tested Percent of students scoring
in each performance level: Total
Tested Percent of students scoring
in each performance level: Total
Tested Total
in each performance level: Total
Tested Total
in each performance level: Total
Tested 2011-12 68 4% 28% 41% 26% 56 4% 29% 41% 27% 12 2010-11 62 6% 21% 53% 19% 55 7% 20% 53% 20% 10 2011-12 68 24% 25% 16% 46% 0% 30% 50% 20% 108 12 2010-11 62 23% 45% 10% 22% 52 24% 44% 9% 24% 7 2009-10 56 54% 25% 7% 14% 66 8% 35% 43% 122 2010-11 81 5% 37% 44% 14% 5% 35% 43% 122 2010-11 81 5%<</td><td>Total Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: 2011-12 68 4% 28% 21% 52% 16% 66 36% 26%</td><td>Total
Tested Percent of students scoring
in each performance level: Total
rested Percent of students scoring
in each performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: 2011-12 68 44% 25% 26% 26% 46% 65% 35% 10% 10% 0% 10% 2011-</td><td>Total
Tested Percent of student's scoring
in each performance level: Total
in each performance level: Total
in each performance level: Total
in each performance level: Percent of student's scoring
in each performance level: Total
in each performance level: Percent of student's scoring
in each performance level: Total
in each performance level: Percent of student's scoring in each performance level: Total
in each performance level: Percent of student's scoring in each performance level: 2011-12 68 4% 28% 52% 56 4% 29% 20% 10 0% 29% 20% 2010-11 68 24% 28% 25% 24% 56 29% 29% 29% 28% 10 0% 40% 57% 2010-11 68 24% 25% 7% 14% 68 0% 48% 69% 108 108 10%</td></t<></td></t<> | Total Percent of students scoring
in each performance level: Total Percent of students scoring
in each performance level: 2011-12 68 4% 28% 41% 26% 2010-11 62 6% 21% 53% 19% 2009-10 56 0% 32% 52% 16% 2010-11 62 23% 45% 10% 23% 2010-11 62 23% 45% 10% 23% 2010-11 62 23% 45% 10% 23% 2010-11 62 23% 45% 10% 23% 2010-11 81 0% 4% 37% 48% 2010-11 87 2% 10% 39% 48% 2010-11 87 14% 36% 36% 15% 2010-11 27 0% 11% 22% 67% 2010-11 26 12% 14% 50% 20% 2010-11 2 | Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Tested Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Tested 2011-12 68 4% 28% 41% 26% 56 2010-11 62 6% 21% 53% 19% 555 2009-10 56 0% 32% 52% 16% 466 2011-12 68 24% 28% 25% 24% 55 2009-10 56 54% 25% 10% 466 2011-12 81 0% 4% 37% 59% 68 2010-11 87 2% 10% 39% 48% 65 2009-10 105 4% 36% 15% 65 2010-11 87 14% 36% 36% 16 2010-11 26 4% 4% 27% 65% 16 2010-11 26 4% 4%
 Total
TestedPercent of students storing
in each performance level:Total
in each performance level:Percent of
in each performance level:2011-12684%28%41%26%564%2010-11626%21%53%19%5557%2009-10560%32%52%16%4660%2010-116223%45%10%23%55524%2009-105654%25%7%14%46648%2010-116223%45%10%23%55524%2009-105654%25%7%14%4686682010-11810%4%37%59%6680%2010-11815%37%44%48%745%2010-11815%37%44%48%7414%2010-11815%37%44%14%6686%2010-118114%36%36%15%15%16%2010-11264%4%27%50%1666%2010-112612%12%27%50%16%6%2010-112612%12%27%50%16%6%2010-112612%12%27%50%16%6%2010-110 | Total Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Percent of students in each performance level: 2011-12 68 4% 28% 41% 26% 56 4% 29% 2010-11 62 6% 21% 53% 19% 55 7% 20% 2010-11 62 6% 21% 53% 19% 466 0% 30% 2011-12 68 24% 28% 25% 24% 668 29% 2010-11 62 23% 45% 10% 23% 55 24% 44% 2010-11 62 23% 45% 14% 466 48% 28% 2010-11 62 23% 10% 39% 48% 655 3% 11% 2010-11 87 2% 10% 39% 48% 655 3% 11% 2010-11 81 5% 37% 44% 14% 668 6% 3% | Total
Tested Percent of students scoring
in each performance level: Total
Tested Percent of students scoring
in each performance level: 2011-12 68 4% 28% 41% 26% 56 4% 29% 41% 2010-11 62 6% 21% 53% 19% 55 7% 20% 53% 2009-10 56 0% 32% 52% 16% 466 0% 30% 50% 2010-11 68 24% 28% 25% 24% 56 25% 29% 29% 2010-11 62 23% 45% 10% 23% 55 24% 44% 9% 2011-12 81 0% 4% 37% 59% 68 0% 4% 35% 2011-12 81 5% 37% 14% 48% 74 48% 36% 2011-12 81 5% 37% 14% 14% 39% 28% 2011-12 8 | Total
Tested Percent of students scoring
in each performance level: Total
Tested Percent of students scoring
in each performance level: 2011-12 68 4% 28% 41% 26% 56 4% 29% 41% 27% 2010-11 62 6% 21% 53% 19% 55 7% 20% 53% 20% 2010-11 62 6% 21% 53% 19% 55 7% 20% 53% 20% 2011-12 68 24% 28% 10% 23% 55 24% 44% 9% 24% 2001-13 67 24% 28% 10% 23% 59% 668 0% 44% 60% 36% 15% 2010-11 87 2% 10% 39% 48% 665 3% 11% 36% 16% 2010-11 81 5% 37% 44% 14% 68% 16% 36% 16% 2010-11 <t< td=""><td>Total
Tested Percent of students scoring
in each performance level: Total
Tested Percent of students scoring
in each performance level: Total
Tested Total
in each performance level: Total
Tested Total
in each performance level: Total
Tested 2011-12 68 4% 28% 41% 26% 56 4% 29% 41% 27% 12 2010-11 62 6% 21% 53% 19% 55 7% 20% 53% 20% 10 2011-12 68 24% 25% 16% 46% 0% 30% 50% 20% 108 12 2010-11 62 23% 45% 10% 22% 52 24% 44% 9% 24% 7 2009-10 56 54% 25% 7% 14% 66 8% 35% 43% 122 2010-11 81 5% 37% 44% 14% 5% 35% 43% 122 2010-11 81 5%<</td><td>Total Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: 2011-12 68 4% 28% 21% 52% 16% 66 36% 26%</td><td>Total
Tested Percent of students scoring
in each performance level: Total
rested Percent of students scoring
in each performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: 2011-12 68 44% 25% 26% 26% 46% 65% 35% 10% 10% 0% 10% 2011-</td><td>Total
Tested Percent of student's scoring
in each performance level: Total
in each performance level: Total
in each performance level: Total
in each performance level: Percent of student's scoring
in each performance level: Total
in each performance level: Percent of student's scoring
in each performance level: Total
in each performance level: Percent of student's scoring in each performance level: Total
in each performance level: Percent of student's scoring in each performance level: 2011-12 68 4% 28% 52% 56 4% 29% 20% 10 0% 29% 20% 2010-11 68 24% 28% 25% 24% 56 29% 29% 29% 28% 10 0% 40% 57% 2010-11 68 24% 25% 7% 14% 68 0% 48% 69% 108 108 10%</td></t<> | Total
Tested Percent of students scoring
in each performance level: Total
Tested Percent of students scoring
in each performance level: Total
Tested Total
in each performance level: Total
Tested Total
in each performance level: Total
Tested 2011-12 68 4% 28% 41% 26% 56 4% 29% 41% 27% 12 2010-11 62 6% 21% 53% 19% 55 7% 20% 53% 20% 10 2011-12 68 24% 25% 16% 46% 0% 30% 50% 20% 108 12 2010-11 62 23% 45% 10% 22% 52 24% 44% 9% 24% 7 2009-10 56 54% 25% 7% 14% 66 8% 35% 43% 122 2010-11 81 5% 37% 44% 14% 5% 35% 43% 122 2010-11 81 5%< | Total Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students
scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: 2011-12 68 4% 28% 21% 52% 16% 66 36% 26% | Total
Tested Percent of students scoring
in each performance level: Total
rested Percent of students scoring
in each performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: 2011-12 68 44% 25% 26% 26% 46% 65% 35% 10% 10% 0% 10% 2011- | Total
Tested Percent of student's scoring
in each performance level: Total
in each performance level: Total
in each performance level: Total
in each performance level: Percent of student's scoring
in each performance level: Total
in each performance level: Percent of student's scoring
in each performance level: Total
in each performance level: Percent of student's scoring in each performance level: Total
in each performance level: Percent of student's scoring in each performance level: 2011-12 68 4% 28% 52% 56 4% 29% 20% 10 0% 29% 20% 2010-11 68 24% 28% 25% 24% 56 29% 29% 29% 28% 10 0% 40% 57% 2010-11 68 24% 25% 7% 14% 68 0% 48% 69% 108 108 10% |

NOTE

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.