

The New York State Report Card 2011–12 School **PS 35 NATHANIEL WOODHULL** School ID **34-29-00-01-0035** District **NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #29** Principal **MARK DEMPSEY** Telephone **(718) 465-6820** Grades **K-5, UE** 

The New York State Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents' effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on school/district enrollment and staff, student performance, and other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school's or district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic supports.

### This report includes:

### **1** Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's or district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, attendance and suspensions, and staff.

### **2** Student Performance

This section shows student performance on standardized assessments at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels.

### **3** Student Outcomes

This section shows outcomes for graduates and noncompleters, including postgraduation plans of completers.

For more information: Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: dataquest@mail.nysed.gov 1 **Profile** 

School PS 35 NATHANIEL WOODHULL School ID 34-29-00-01-0035

### Enrollment

	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12
Pre-K	18	18	
Kindergarten	112	111	118
Grade 1	102	119	122
Grade 2	90	105	122
Grade 3	82	95	101
Grade 4	116	90	102
Grade 5	99	111	97
Grade 6	0	0	0
Ungraded Elementary	3	3	1
Grade 7	0	0	0
Grade 8	0	0	0
Grade 9	0	0	0
Grade 10	0	0	0
Grade 11	0	0	0
Grade 12	0	0	0
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12	604	634	663

### **Average Class Size**

	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12
Common Branch	24	24	26
Grade 8			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			
Grade 10			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #29

### **Enrollment Information**

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a school's and district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a school's or district's enrollment. The state public enrollment includes public school districts, charter schools, and NYSED-operated programs. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts. Kindergarten and Pre-K counts include halfand full-day students.

# Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. Common Branch refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

## 1 Profile

School PS 35 NATHANIEL WOODHULL School ID 34-29-00-01-0035

### **Demographic Factors**

	200	9–10	20:	10-11	2011-12		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Eligible for Free Lunch	333	55%	350	55%	366	55%	
Reduced Price Lunch	69	11%	73	12%	76	11%	
Limited English Proficient	70	12%	83	13%	97	15%	
Racial/Ethnic Origin							
American Indian or Alaska Native	7	1%	13	2%	20	3%	
Black or African American	263	44%	252	40%	266	40%	
Hispanic or Latino	175	29%	198	31%	195	29%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	145	24%	149	24%	155	23%	
White	14	2%	22	3%	27	4%	
Multiracial	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	

### **Attendance and Suspensions**

	200	8-09	200	9–10	201	0-11
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		92%		93%		93%
Student Suspensions	21	4%	0	0%	6	1%

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #29

### Demographic Factors Information

*Eligible for Free Lunch* and *Reduced-Price Lunch* percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12.

### Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school's (or district's) total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A school's (or district's) actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the school (or district's schools) was open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day the school (or schools) was open during the school year. The state's Annual Attendance Rate is a weighted average of all districtlevel attendance rates.

Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including inschool suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

## 1 Profile

School **PS 35 NATHANIEL WOODHULL** School ID **34-29-00-01-0035** 

### **Teacher Qualifications**

	2009–10	2010-11	2011-12
Total Number of Teachers	41	39	41
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	2%	3%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	2%	5%	2%
Percent with Fewer than Three Years of Experience	0%	3%	2%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	54%	51%	63%
Total Number of Core Classes	62	66	71
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers in This School*	2%	3%	1%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers in This District**	5%	6%	7%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers in High-Poverty Schools Statewide	6%	5%	4%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers in Low-Poverty Schools Statewide	1%	0%	1%
Total Number of Classes	69	89	84
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	1%	2%	1%

\*Not available at the district or statewide level.

\*\*Not available for charter schools or at the statewide level.

### **Teacher Turnover Rate**

	2008–09	2009-10	2010-11
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	14%	33%	0%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	14%	12%	5%

### **Staff Counts**

	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12
Total Other Professional Staff	3	3	4
Total Paraprofessionals*	N/A	N/A	N/A
Assistant Principals	1	1	1
Principals	1	1	1

\*Not available at the school level.

District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #29

### Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* for public schools is the percent doing so on more than an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K–6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area or otherwise in accordance with state standards, and show subject matter competency.

In public schools, a teacher who taught one class outside of the certification area(s) is counted as *Highly Qualified* provided that 1) the teacher had been determined by the school or district through the HOUSSE process or other state-accepted methods to have demonstrated acceptable subject knowledge and teaching skills and 2) the class in question was not the sole assignment reported. Credit for incidental teaching does not extend beyond a single assignment. Independent of *Highly Qualified Teacher* status, any assignment for which a teacher did not hold a valid certificate still registers as teaching out of certification.

In charter schools, a teacher is counted as *Highly Qualified* if the teacher has at least a Bachelor's degree, is certified to teach, and shows subject matter competency. Enabling legislation considers charter school teachers to be certified if they hold any valid teaching certificate. Enabling legislation also permits up to 30 percent (with a maximum of five) of charter school teachers to be without certification and to be considered *Highly Qualified* if they meet all remaining criteria.

*High-poverty* and *low-poverty* schools are those schools in the upper and lower quartiles, respectively, for percentage of students eligible for a free or reduced-price lunch.

### Teacher Turnover Rate Information

*Teacher Turnover Rate* for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

### **Staff Counts Information**

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to nonteaching duties.

## 2 Student Performance

School PS 35 NATHANIEL WOODHULL School ID 34-29-00-01-0035

#### District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #29

This section contains annual assessment data for students at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels as well as the performance of secondary-level cohorts on standardized achievement assessments.

#### New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) Assessments

The New York State Testing Program assessments are administered in English language arts (ELA) and mathematics in grades 3 through 8. The Performance Level Descriptors for these assessments are provided below:

#### **English Language Arts**

Level 1: Below Standard

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level.

#### Level 2: Meets Basic Standard

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level. **Level 3: Meets Proficiency Standard** 

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level. **Level 4: Exceeds Proficiency Standard** 

### Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level.

#### **Mathematics**

Level 1: Below Standard

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

Level 2: Meets Basic Standard

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

Level 3: Meets Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

#### Level 4: Exceeds Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

#### New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA)

The New York State Alternate Assessments are administered in English language arts (ELA) and mathematics to ungraded students with severe cognitive disabilities whose ages are equivalent to graded students in grades 3 through 8 and secondary level. They are administered in science to students with disabilities age equivalent to graded students in grades 4, 8, and secondary level. And they are administered in social studies at the secondary level only.

#### New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT)

The New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests are administered in grades K through 12 to limited English proficient students.

#### **Secondary-Level Cohorts**

A secondary-level cohort consists of all students who first entered grade 9 anywhere or, in the case of ungraded students with disabilities, reached their seventeenth birthday in a particular year. The 2008 cohort consists of all students who first entered grade 9 anywhere or, in the case of ungraded students with disabilities, reached their seventeenth birthday between July 1, 2008 and June 30, 2009. The 2007 cohort consists of all students who first entered grade 9 anywhere or, in the case of ungraded students of all students who first entered grade 9 anywhere or, in the case of ungraded students with disabilities, reached their seventeenth birthday between July 1, 2008 and June 30, 2009. The 2007 cohort consists of all students who first entered grade 9 anywhere or, in the case of ungraded students with disabilities, reached their seventeenth birthday between July 1, 2007 and June 30, 2008. For more detailed information on cohort definitions, see *Secondary-Level Cohort Definitions* at <a href="http://www.pl2.nysed.gov/irs/sirs/">http://www.pl2.nysed.gov/irs/sirs/</a>.

### **Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts**

		This Sch	ool		School	District		NY Stat	e Public	
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2012 Mean Score: 661 2011 Mean Score: 659	*Range	:644-780	663-780	694-780						
	100%	86% 87%			86% 87%			86% 87%		
2011-12 2010-11		86% 81%	39% 40%	6% 0%	88% 81%	50% 48%	<u>5% 2%</u>	88% 81%	56% 56%	7% 5%
Number of Tested Students:		83 68	38 31	60	2173 2268	1253 1259	114 57			

Results by	2011-12	School Y	ear		2010–11 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percenta	age scoring	at level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4
All Students	97	86%	39%	<b>6</b> %	78	87%	40%	0%
Female	55	85%	42%	4%	41	95%	49%	0%
Male	42	86%	36%	10%	37	78%	30%	0%
American Indian or Alaska Native	4	_	_	_				
Black or African American	35	86%	37%	3%	31	90%	35%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	21	86%	43%	10%	24	83%	29%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	32	88%	41%	9%	21	-	-	-
White	5	-		-	2	-	-	-
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	9	78%	33%	0%	23	87%	57%	0%
General-Education Students	87	87%	44%	7%	73	93%	42%	0%
Students with Disabilities	10	70%	0%	0%	5	0%	0%	0%
English Proficient	87	89%	43%	7%	71	93%	44%	0%
Limited English Proficient	10	60%	10%	0%	7	29%	0%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	97	86%	39%	6%	78	87%	40%	0%
Not Disadvantaged								
Migrant								
Not Migrant	97	86%	39%	6%	78	87%	40%	0%

#### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2011-12 data only. Ranges for 2010-11 data are available in the 2010-11 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2011-12	School Ye	ar		2010–11 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number	scoring at I	evel(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	3	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 3	3	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	N/A

<sup>+</sup> These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. July 31, 2013

### **Results in Grade 3 Mathematics**

	Th	is Sch	ool		School	District		NY State	e Public	
	Pe	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	Ļ	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2012 Mean Score: 680 2011 Mean Score: 680	*Range:66	2-770	684-770	707-770						
2011–12 2010–11	100%	6 86%	37% 44%	6% 5%	88% 89%	50% 49%	9% 7%	91% 91%	61% 60%	13% 13%
Number of Tested Students:	84	87	37 44	65	2243 2376	5 1268 1313	228 197			

Results by	2011-12	School Ye	ear		2010–11 School Year			
-	Total	Percenta	ige scoring	at level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4
All Students	99	85%	37%	<b>6</b> %	101	86%	44%	5%
Female	57	82%	32%	2%	51	92%	51%	2%
Male	42	88%	45%	12%	50	80%	36%	8%
American Indian or Alaska Native	4	_	_	_				
Black or African American	36	75%	22%	3%	36	89%	47%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	21	90%	57%	5%	36	75%	28%	3%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	33	91%	39%	12%	26	-	-	-
White	5	-	-		3	-	-	-
Multiracial		••••••					•••••	
Small Group Totals	9	89%	44%	0%	29	97%	59%	14%
General-Education Students	89	90%	42%	7%	88	92%	48%	6%
Students with Disabilities	10	40%	0%	0%	13	46%	15%	0%
English Proficient	86	86%	38%	7%	81	91%	53%	6%
_imited English Proficient	13	77%	31%	0%	20	65%	5%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	99	85%	37%	6%	101	86%	44%	5%
Not Disadvantaged		••••••	•••••				•••••	
Migrant								
Not Migrant	99	85%	37%	6%	101	86%	44%	5%

#### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2011-12 data only. Ranges for 2010-11 data are available in the 2010-11 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2011-12	School Ye	ar		2010–11 School Year					
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):				
	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				0					

### **Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts**

	This Sc	hool		School	District		NY Stat	e Public	
	Percenta	ge scoring at	level(s):	Percenta	ge scoring at	level(s):	Percentag	ge scoring at	level(s):
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2012 Mean Score: 674 2011 Mean Score: 675	*Range:637-77	5 671-775	722-775						
	100% 95% 95%	5		91% 91%	)		91% 92%		
2011-12 2010-11		60% <sub>57%</sub>	3% 3%		52% 51%	3% 1%		59% 57%	5% 2%
Number of Tested Students:	95 86	60 52	3 3	2346 2435	5 1344 1353	79 26			

Results by	2011-12	School Ye	ear		2010-11	School Y	ear	
Student Group	Total	Percenta	age scoring	at level(s):	Total	Percenta	ige scoring a	at level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4
All Students	100	95%	60%	<b>3</b> %	91	95%	57%	3%
Female	49	100%	67%	2%	54	98%	59%	4%
Male	51	90%	53%	4%	37	89%	54%	3%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	_	_	_	3	_	_	_
Black or African American	37	95%	68%	0%	42	95%	50%	5%
Hispanic or Latino	32	91%	53%	0%	22	95%	68%	5%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	27	-	-	-	20	95%	70%	0%
White	3	-	-		4	-	-	-
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	31	100%	58%	10%	7	86%	29%	0%
General-Education Students	85	100%	69%	4%	87	-	_	-
Students with Disabilities	15	67%	7%	0%	4	-	-	-
English Proficient	81	98%	67%	4%	80	98%	64%	4%
Limited English Proficient	19	84%	32%	0%	11	73%	9%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged Not Disadvantaged	100	95%	60%	3%	91	95%	57%	3%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	100	95%	60%	3%	91	95%	57%	3%

#### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2011-12 data only. Ranges for 2010-11 data are available in the 2010-11 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2011-12	School Ye	ar		2010–11 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Total Number scoring at level(s):				Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Total				Total				
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 4	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

<sup>+</sup> These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. July 31, 2013

### **Results in Grade 4 Mathematics**

	This	School		Schoo	School District			NY State Public		
	Perce	entage scori	ng at level(s):	Percen	tage scoring a	t level(s):	Percentag	Percentage scoring at le		
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2012 Mean Score: 690 2011 Mean Score: 693	*Range:636-	-800 676	-800 707-8	00						
2011–12 2010–11	100% 96%	98%	67% 26% 25	93% 93	% 57% 57%	19% 17%	95% 94%	69% 67%	30% 27%	
Number of Tested Students:	97	89 74	61 26 23	2436 253	L2 14991537	500 447				

Results by	2011-12	School Y	ear		2010-11	School Y	ear	
Student Group	Total	Percenta	age scoring	at level(s):	Total	Percenta	ge scoring	at level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4
All Students	101	96%	73%	<b>26</b> %	91	<b>98</b> %	67%	25%
Female	49	100%	76%	22%	54	96%	70%	15%
Male	52	92%	71%	29%	37	100%	62%	41%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	_	_	_	3	_	_	_
Black or African American	38	95%	68%	21%	42	95%	52%	17%
Hispanic or Latino	31	94%	61%	19%	22	100%	73%	27%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	28	-	-	–	20	100%	95%	45%
White	3	-		–	4	-	-	-
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	32	100%	91%	38%	7	100%	57%	14%
General-Education Students	86	100%	79%	30%	87	-	_	-
Students with Disabilities	15	73%	40%	0%	4			-
English Proficient	80	96%	78%	30%	80	99%	70%	29%
Limited English Proficient	21	95%	57%	10%	11	91%	45%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	101	96%	73%	26%	91	98%	67%	25%
Not Disadvantaged							••••••	
Migrant								
Not Migrant	101	96%	73%	26%	91	98%	67%	25%

#### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2011-12 data only. Ranges for 2010-11 data are available in the 2010-11 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2011-12	School Ye	ar	2	2010–11 School Year					
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):				
	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0					

#### District NEW YORK CITY GEOGRAPHIC DISTRICT #29

### **Results in Grade 4 Science**

		This Scl	nool				School	District			NY Sta	te Public	
	F	Percentage scoring at level(s):				Percentage scoring at level(s):				Percentage scoring at level(s):			
	2	2-4	3-4		4		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
2012 Mean Score: 85 2011 Mean Score: 81	Range: 4	5-100	65-3	100	85-	-100							
2011–12 2010–11	100% 10	00%100%	93%	88%	65%	49%	97% 97%	83% 83%	38%	36%	97% 98%	<sup>6</sup> 89% 88%	57% <sub>529</sub>
Number of Tested Students:	1	01 91	94	80	66	45	2433 2609	2087 2228	953	970			

Results by	2011-12	School Y	ear		2010-11	School Y	ear	
Student Group	Total	Percenta	age scoring	at level(s):	Total	Percenta	ge scoring	at level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4
All Students	101	100%	93%	65%	91	100%	88%	<b>49</b> %
Female	49	100%	98%	67%	54	100%	91%	46%
Male	52	100%	88%	63%	37	100%	84%	54%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	_	_	_	3	_	_	_
Black or African American	38	100%	92%	71%	42	100%	90%	43%
Hispanic or Latino	31	100%	90%	58%	22	100%	86%	45%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	28	-	-	-	20	100%	85%	70%
White	3	-		-	4	-	-	–
Multiracial		••••						
Small Group Totals	32	100%	97%	66%	7	100%	86%	43%
General-Education Students	86	100%	99%	74%	87	-	-	_
Students with Disabilities	15	100%	60%	13%	4	-	-	
English Proficient	80	100%	94%	75%	80	100%	94%	55%
Limited English Proficient	21	100%	90%	29%	11	100%	45%	9%
Economically Disadvantaged	101	100%	93%	65%	91	100%	88%	49%
Not Disadvantaged								
Migrant								
Not Migrant	101	100%	93%	65%	91	100%	88%	49%

#### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2011-12	School Ye	ar		2010–11 School Year					
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):				
	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0					

### **Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts**

		This Sch	ool		School	District		NY Stat	e Public	
		Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Percenta	ge scoring at	level(s):	Percentag	ge scoring at	level(s):
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2012 Mean Score: 670 2011 Mean Score: 663	*Range:	648-795	668-795	700-795						
	100%	92% 90%			90% 89%			89% 89%		
2011-12 2010-11			57% 39%	2% 3%		<sup>52%</sup> 45%	3% 3%		58% 54%	5% 4%
Number of Tested Students:		91 98	56 43	2 3	2376 2355	1363 1201	77 82			

Results by	2011-12	School Y	ear		2010-11	School Y	ear		
Student Group	Total	Percenta	age scoring	at level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	
All Students	99	92%	57%	2%	109	90%	39%	3%	
Female	55	96%	64%	0%	58	97%	45%	3%	
Male	44	86%	48%	5%	51	82%	33%	2%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	_	_	_	5	80%	0%	0%	
Black or African American	45	96%	58%	0%	41	90%	37%	2%	
Hispanic or Latino	26	88%	50%	0%	36	83%	31%	0%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	21	90%	71%	10%	27	100%	63%	7%	
White	4			-					
Multiracial		••••••							
Small Group Totals	7	86%	29%	0%					
General-Education Students	93	95%	60%	2%	96	95%	45%	3%	
Students with Disabilities	6	50%	0%	0%	13	54%	0%	0%	
English Proficient	86	95%	65%	2%	98	93%	43%	3%	
Limited English Proficient	13	69%	0%	0%	11	64%	9%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	99	92%	57%	2%	109	90%	39%	3%	
Not Disadvantaged		••••••					••••••		
Migrant									
Not Migrant	99	92%	57%	2%	109	90%	39%	3%	

#### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2011-12 data only. Ranges for 2010-11 data are available in the 2010-11 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2011-12	School Ye	ear		2010–11 School Year					
Assessments	Total	Number	scoring at l	evel(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):				
	Tested	2–4 3–4 4		Tested	2–4	3–4	4			
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				0					
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	N/A		
	Total				Total					
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 5	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	N/A	N/A	N/A		

t These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. July 31, 2013

### **Results in Grade 5 Mathematics**

	This Sch	ool		School	District		NY State Public				
	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentag	ge scoring at	at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2012 Mean Score: 676 2011 Mean Score: 679	*Range: 640–780	676-780	707-780								
	100% 90% <sup>95%</sup>			92% 92%			93% 94%				
2011-12 2010-11		54% 55%	18% 17%		60% <sub>55%</sub>	19% 15%		67% 66%	28% <sub>23%</sub>		
Number of Tested Students:	90 105	54 60	18 19	2454 2487	1590 1470	499 393					

Results by	2011-12	School Y	ear		2010–11 School Year					
Student Group	Total	Percenta	age scoring	at level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Group	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4		
All Students	100	90%	54%	18%	110	95%	55%	17%		
Female	56	89%	55%	13%	58	97%	60%	12%		
Male	44	91%	52%	25%	52	94%	48%	23%		
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	_	_	_	5	100%	40%	0%		
Black or African American	46	85%	39%	13%	41	98%	51%	12%		
Hispanic or Latino	26	92%	58%	19%	37	89%	38%	11%		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	21	95%	81%	33%	27	100%	85%	37%		
White	4	-		–						
Multiracial			•••••							
Small Group Totals	7	100%	57%	0%						
General-Education Students	94	91%	56%	19%	97	98%	61%	19%		
Students with Disabilities	6	67%	17%	0%	13	77%	8%	8%		
English Proficient	86	94%	60%	21%	98	98%	61%	19%		
_imited English Proficient	14	64%	14%	0%	12	75%	0%	0%		
Economically Disadvantaged	100	90%	54%	18%	110	95%	55%	17%		
Not Disadvantaged										
Migrant										
Not Migrant	100	90%	54%	18%	110	95%	55%	17%		

#### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2011-12 data only. Ranges for 2010-11 data are available in the 2010-11 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2011-12	School Ye	ar		2010–11 School Year					
Assessments	Total	Number	scoring at le	vel(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):				
	Tested	2–4	4 3–4 4		Tested	2–4	3–4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				0					

# New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)

	All Students					Genera	I-Educati	Students with Disabilities							
	Total Tested	Percent of students scoring in each performance level:			Total Tested		TotalPercent of students scoringTestedin each performance level:								
		Begin.	Interm.	Adv.	Prof.		Begin.	Interm.	Adv.	Prof.		Begin.	Interm.	Adv.	Prof.
2011-12	37	3%	59%	32%	5%	29	3%	59%	31%	7%	8	0%	63%	38%	0%
2010-11	36	8%	53%	36%	3%	29	10%	48%	41%	0%	7	0%	71%	14%	14%
2009-10	25	12%	32%	32%	24%	22	_	_	_	-	3	-	_	-	_
2011-12	37	30%	16%	19%	35%	29	28%	21%	17%	34%	8	38%	0%	25%	38%
2010-11	36	64%	19%	14%	3%	29	69%	17%	10%	3%	7	43%	29%	29%	0%
2009-10	25	56%	20%	8%	16%	22	_	_	_	-	3	_	_	_	_
2011-12	52	6%	10%	19%	65%	42	7%	12%	14%	67%	10	0%	0%	40%	60%
2010-11	47	0%	19%	28%	53%	38	0%	21%	26%	53%	9	0%	11%	33%	56%
2009-10	43	7%	2%	33%	58%	38	8%	3%	32%	58%	5	0%	0%	40%	60%
2011-12	52	17%	44%	25%	13%	42	19%	33%	31%	17%	10	10%	90%	0%	0%
2010-11	47	17%	34%	40%	9%	38	8%	37%	45%	11%	9	56%	22%	22%	0%
2009-10	43	12%	37%	33%	19%	38	11%	32%	37%	21%	5	20%	80%	0%	0%
2011-12	14	0%	14%	14%	71%	12	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-
2010-11	12	0%	8%	33%	58%	8	-	_	_	_	4	-	_	_	_
2009-10	16	0%	19%	13%	69%	13	-	_	_	_	3	-	_	_	_
2011-12	14	0%	21%	64%	14%	12	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-
2010-11	12	8%	42%	33%	17%	8	-	_	_	_	4	-	_	_	_
2009-10	16	6%	31%	56%	6%	13	-	_	-	-	3	-	-	-	-
2011-12	0					0					0				
2010-11	0					0					0				
2009-10	0					0					0				
2011-12	0					0					0				
2010-11	0					0					0				
2009-10	0					0					0				
2011-12	0					0					0				
2010-11	0					0					0				
2009-10	0					0					0				
2011-12	0					0					0				
2010-11	0					0					0				
2009-10	0					0					0				
	2010-11 2009-10 2011-12 2010-11 2009-10 2011-12 2010-11 2009-10 2011-12 2010-11 2009-10 2011-12 2010-11 2009-10 2011-12 2010-11 2009-10 2011-12 2010-11 2009-10 2011-12 2010-11 2009-10 2011-12	Total Tested   2011-12 37   2010-11 36   2009-10 25   2011-12 37   2010-11 36   2009-10 25   2011-12 37   2010-11 36   2009-10 25   2010-11 47   2009-10 43   2010-11 47   2009-10 43   2010-11 12   2010-11 12   2010-11 12   2009-10 16   2010-11 12   2009-10 16   2010-11 12   2009-10 16   2010-11 0   2010-11 0   2010-11 0   2010-11 0   2010-11 0   2010-11 0   2010-11 0   2010-11 0   2010-11 0   2010-11 0	Total TestedPercent in each in each 	Total TotalPercent studer in each performant in each performant in each performant in each performant2011-12373%59%2010-11368%53%2009-102512%32%2011-123730%16%2010-113664%19%2010-113664%19%2010-113664%10%2010-11470%19%2010-114717%34%2010-114717%34%2010-11120%8%2010-11120%8%2010-11120%31%2010-11128%42%2010-11128%42%2010-110112010-110112010-110112010-110112010-110112010-110112010-110112010-110112010-110112010-110112010-110112010-110112010-110112010-110112010-110112010-110112010-110112010-1101<	Total Percent of students score   Tested second second second   2011-12 37 3% 59% 32%   2010-11 36 8% 53% 36%   2009-10 25 12% 32% 32%   2011-12 37 30% 16% 19%   2010-11 36 64% 19% 14%   2010-12 37 30% 16% 19%   2010-11 36 64% 19% 14%   2009-10 25 56% 20% 8%   2010-11 47 0% 19% 28%   2009-10 43 7% 2% 33%   2010-11 17 34% 40% 33%   2010-11 12 0% 14% 33%   2010-11 12 8% 42% 33%   2010-11 12 8% 42% 33%   2010-11 0 14%	Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance levels   Percent in each performance levels   Image: Imag	Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Tested   2011-12 37 3% 59% 32% 5% 29   2010-11 36 8% 53% 36% 3% 29   2009-10 25 12% 32% 32% 24% 22   2011-12 37 30% 16% 19% 35% 29   2010-11 36 64% 19% 35% 29   2010-11 36 64% 19% 16% 29   2010-11 36 64% 19% 35% 29   2010-11 36 64% 19% 16% 22   2010-11 47 0% 19% 38 38   2009-10 43 12% 33% 58% 38   2010-11 12 37% 33% 19% 38   2010-11 12 0% 8% 33% 58% 38   2010-11 <td>Total Percent students scoring in each performance level: Total neach performance level:   2011-12 37 3% 5% 20   2011-12 37% 32% 29% 29%   2011-12 37% 32% 29% 29%   2011-12 37% 38% 29%   2011-12   29% 38% 38%                 <t< td=""><td>Total rested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total rested Percent of students in each performance level:   2011-12 37 3% 59% 32% 5% 29 3% 59%   2010-11 36 8% 53% 36% 5% 29 3% 59%   2010-11 36 8% 53% 36% 5% 29 3% 59%   2010-12 37 30% 16% 19% 35% 29 28% 21%   2010-11 36 64% 19% 14% 3% 29 69% 17%   2010-11 36 64% 19% 14% 3% 29 69% 17%   2010-11 36 64% 19% 16% 53% 38 0% 21%   2010-11 47 0% 19% 33% 58% 38 8% 37%   2010-11 47 17% 34% 14%</td></t<><td>Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total fested Percent of students scoring in each performance level:   2011-12 37 3% 59% 32% 5% 29 3% 59% 31%   2010-11 36 8% 53% 36% 3% 29 10% 48% 41%   2009-10 25 12% 32% 24% 22 - - -   2011-12 37 30% 16% 19% 35% 29 28% 21% 17%   2010-11 36 64% 19% 14% 3% 29 69% 17% 10%   2010-11 36 64% 19% 14% 3% 29 69% 17% 10%   2011-12 52 6% 10% 19% 58% 38 0% 21% 26%   2011-12 52 17% 44% 58% 38 8% 37% 31%   2011-12<!--</td--><td>Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level:   2011-12 37 3% 59% 32% 5% 29 3% 59% 31% 7%   2010-11 36 8% 53% 36% 3% 29 10% 48% 41% 0%   2010-11 36 8% 53% 36% 3% 29 10% 48% 41% 0%   2001-12 37 30% 16% 19% 35% 29 28% 21% 17% 34%   2001-12 37 30% 16% 19% 35% 29 69% 11% 34%   2009-10 25 56% 10% 19% 65% 42 7% 12% 14% 67%   2010-11 47 17% 34% 58% 38 8% 37% 38% 18%   2010-11 43 7% 33% 13%&lt;</td><td>Total rested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total rested   2011-12 37 3% 59% 32% 5% 29 3% 59% 31% 7% 8   2010-11 36 8% 53% 36% 3% 29 10% 48% 41% 0% 7   2001-12 37 30% 16% 19% 35% 29 28% 21% 17% 34% 68   2010-11 36 64% 19% 35% 29 28% 21% 17% 34% 65% 29 28% 17% 10% 34% 7   2009-10 25 56% 20% 85% 38 80% 11% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10%</td><td>Total Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level:   2011-12 37 3% 5% 32% 249 28 214 17% 34% 88   2010-11 36 64% 19% 35% 209 28% 14% 36 7 33% 36% 36% 36</td><td>Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total rested Percent of students scoring in each performance level:   2011-12 37 30% 16% 19% 35% 29 28% 21% 17% 44% 88% 0%   2011-12 52 66% 10% 19% 65% 38 0% 11% 10 0% 0%   2010-11 47 7% 2% 33% 58%</td><td>Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total neach performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total neach performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total neach performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total neach performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total neach performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total neach performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total neach performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total neach performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total in each performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level:   2011-12 37 30% 16% 19% 35% 29 20% 17% 14% 67% 18 14% 29% 20% 14% 14% 29% 20% 14% 14% 29% 20% 14% 14% 29% 14% 14% 14% 29% 14% 14% 14% 29% 14% 14% 14% 14% 14%</td></td></td>	Total Percent students scoring in each performance level: Total neach performance level:   2011-12 37 3% 5% 20   2011-12 37% 32% 29% 29%   2011-12 37% 32% 29% 29%   2011-12 37% 38% 29%   2011-12   29% 38% 38% <t< td=""><td>Total rested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total rested Percent of students in each performance level:   2011-12 37 3% 59% 32% 5% 29 3% 59%   2010-11 36 8% 53% 36% 5% 29 3% 59%   2010-11 36 8% 53% 36% 5% 29 3% 59%   2010-12 37 30% 16% 19% 35% 29 28% 21%   2010-11 36 64% 19% 14% 3% 29 69% 17%   2010-11 36 64% 19% 14% 3% 29 69% 17%   2010-11 36 64% 19% 16% 53% 38 0% 21%   2010-11 47 0% 19% 33% 58% 38 8% 37%   2010-11 47 17% 34% 14%</td></t<> <td>Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total fested Percent of students scoring in each performance level:   2011-12 37 3% 59% 32% 5% 29 3% 59% 31%   2010-11 36 8% 53% 36% 3% 29 10% 48% 41%   2009-10 25 12% 32% 24% 22 - - -   2011-12 37 30% 16% 19% 35% 29 28% 21% 17%   2010-11 36 64% 19% 14% 3% 29 69% 17% 10%   2010-11 36 64% 19% 14% 3% 29 69% 17% 10%   2011-12 52 6% 10% 19% 58% 38 0% 21% 26%   2011-12 52 17% 44% 58% 38 8% 37% 31%   2011-12<!--</td--><td>Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level:   2011-12 37 3% 59% 32% 5% 29 3% 59% 31% 7%   2010-11 36 8% 53% 36% 3% 29 10% 48% 41% 0%   2010-11 36 8% 53% 36% 3% 29 10% 48% 41% 0%   2001-12 37 30% 16% 19% 35% 29 28% 21% 17% 34%   2001-12 37 30% 16% 19% 35% 29 69% 11% 34%   2009-10 25 56% 10% 19% 65% 42 7% 12% 14% 67%   2010-11 47 17% 34% 58% 38 8% 37% 38% 18%   2010-11 43 7% 33% 13%&lt;</td><td>Total rested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total rested   2011-12 37 3% 59% 32% 5% 29 3% 59% 31% 7% 8   2010-11 36 8% 53% 36% 3% 29 10% 48% 41% 0% 7   2001-12 37 30% 16% 19% 35% 29 28% 21% 17% 34% 68   2010-11 36 64% 19% 35% 29 28% 21% 17% 34% 65% 29 28% 17% 10% 34% 7   2009-10 25 56% 20% 85% 38 80% 11% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10%</td><td>Total Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level:   2011-12 37 3% 5% 32% 249 28 214 17% 34% 88   2010-11 36 64% 19% 35% 209 28% 14% 36 7 33% 36% 36% 36</td><td>Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total rested Percent of students scoring in each performance level:   2011-12 37 30% 16% 19% 35% 29 28% 21% 17% 44% 88% 0%   2011-12 52 66% 10% 19% 65% 38 0% 11% 10 0% 0%   2010-11 47 7% 2% 33% 58%</td><td>Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total neach performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total neach performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total neach performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total neach performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total neach performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total neach performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total neach performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total neach performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total in each performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level:   2011-12 37 30% 16% 19% 35% 29 20% 17% 14% 67% 18 14% 29% 20% 14% 14% 29% 20% 14% 14% 29% 20% 14% 14% 29% 14% 14% 14% 29% 14% 14% 14% 29% 14% 14% 14% 14% 14%</td></td>	Total rested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total rested Percent of students in each performance level:   2011-12 37 3% 59% 32% 5% 29 3% 59%   2010-11 36 8% 53% 36% 5% 29 3% 59%   2010-11 36 8% 53% 36% 5% 29 3% 59%   2010-12 37 30% 16% 19% 35% 29 28% 21%   2010-11 36 64% 19% 14% 3% 29 69% 17%   2010-11 36 64% 19% 14% 3% 29 69% 17%   2010-11 36 64% 19% 16% 53% 38 0% 21%   2010-11 47 0% 19% 33% 58% 38 8% 37%   2010-11 47 17% 34% 14%	Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total fested Percent of students scoring in each performance level:   2011-12 37 3% 59% 32% 5% 29 3% 59% 31%   2010-11 36 8% 53% 36% 3% 29 10% 48% 41%   2009-10 25 12% 32% 24% 22 - - -   2011-12 37 30% 16% 19% 35% 29 28% 21% 17%   2010-11 36 64% 19% 14% 3% 29 69% 17% 10%   2010-11 36 64% 19% 14% 3% 29 69% 17% 10%   2011-12 52 6% 10% 19% 58% 38 0% 21% 26%   2011-12 52 17% 44% 58% 38 8% 37% 31%   2011-12 </td <td>Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level:   2011-12 37 3% 59% 32% 5% 29 3% 59% 31% 7%   2010-11 36 8% 53% 36% 3% 29 10% 48% 41% 0%   2010-11 36 8% 53% 36% 3% 29 10% 48% 41% 0%   2001-12 37 30% 16% 19% 35% 29 28% 21% 17% 34%   2001-12 37 30% 16% 19% 35% 29 69% 11% 34%   2009-10 25 56% 10% 19% 65% 42 7% 12% 14% 67%   2010-11 47 17% 34% 58% 38 8% 37% 38% 18%   2010-11 43 7% 33% 13%&lt;</td> <td>Total rested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total rested   2011-12 37 3% 59% 32% 5% 29 3% 59% 31% 7% 8   2010-11 36 8% 53% 36% 3% 29 10% 48% 41% 0% 7   2001-12 37 30% 16% 19% 35% 29 28% 21% 17% 34% 68   2010-11 36 64% 19% 35% 29 28% 21% 17% 34% 65% 29 28% 17% 10% 34% 7   2009-10 25 56% 20% 85% 38 80% 11% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10%</td> <td>Total Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level:   2011-12 37 3% 5% 32% 249 28 214 17% 34% 88   2010-11 36 64% 19% 35% 209 28% 14% 36 7 33% 36% 36% 36</td> <td>Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total rested Percent of students scoring in each performance level:   2011-12 37 30% 16% 19% 35% 29 28% 21% 17% 44% 88% 0%   2011-12 52 66% 10% 19% 65% 38 0% 11% 10 0% 0%   2010-11 47 7% 2% 33% 58%</td> <td>Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total neach performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total neach performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total neach performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total neach performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total neach performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total neach performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total neach performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total neach performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total in each performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level:   2011-12 37 30% 16% 19% 35% 29 20% 17% 14% 67% 18 14% 29% 20% 14% 14% 29% 20% 14% 14% 29% 20% 14% 14% 29% 14% 14% 14% 29% 14% 14% 14% 29% 14% 14% 14% 14% 14%</td>	Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level:   2011-12 37 3% 59% 32% 5% 29 3% 59% 31% 7%   2010-11 36 8% 53% 36% 3% 29 10% 48% 41% 0%   2010-11 36 8% 53% 36% 3% 29 10% 48% 41% 0%   2001-12 37 30% 16% 19% 35% 29 28% 21% 17% 34%   2001-12 37 30% 16% 19% 35% 29 69% 11% 34%   2009-10 25 56% 10% 19% 65% 42 7% 12% 14% 67%   2010-11 47 17% 34% 58% 38 8% 37% 38% 18%   2010-11 43 7% 33% 13%<	Total rested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total rested   2011-12 37 3% 59% 32% 5% 29 3% 59% 31% 7% 8   2010-11 36 8% 53% 36% 3% 29 10% 48% 41% 0% 7   2001-12 37 30% 16% 19% 35% 29 28% 21% 17% 34% 68   2010-11 36 64% 19% 35% 29 28% 21% 17% 34% 65% 29 28% 17% 10% 34% 7   2009-10 25 56% 20% 85% 38 80% 11% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10%	Total Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level:   2011-12 37 3% 5% 32% 249 28 214 17% 34% 88   2010-11 36 64% 19% 35% 209 28% 14% 36 7 33% 36% 36% 36	Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total rested Percent of students scoring in each performance level:   2011-12 37 30% 16% 19% 35% 29 28% 21% 17% 44% 88% 0%   2011-12 52 66% 10% 19% 65% 38 0% 11% 10 0% 0%   2010-11 47 7% 2% 33% 58%	Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total neach performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total neach performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total neach performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total neach performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total neach performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total neach performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total neach performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total neach performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total in each performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level:   2011-12 37 30% 16% 19% 35% 29 20% 17% 14% 67% 18 14% 29% 20% 14% 14% 29% 20% 14% 14% 29% 20% 14% 14% 29% 14% 14% 14% 29% 14% 14% 14% 29% 14% 14% 14% 14% 14%

#### NOTE

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.