

The New York State Report Card 2011–12 School MOUNT PLEASANT ELEMENTARY SCHOOL School ID 58-08-01-06-0005 District SMITHTOWN CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT Principal JOSEPH IERANO Telephone (631) 382-4355 Grades K-5

The New York State Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents' effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on school/district enrollment and staff, student performance, and other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school's or district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic supports.

This report includes:

1 Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's or district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, attendance and suspensions, and staff.

2 Student Performance

This section shows student performance on standardized assessments at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels.

3 Student Outcomes

This section shows outcomes for graduates and noncompleters, including postgraduation plans of completers.

For more information: Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: dataquest@mail.nysed.gov 1 **Profile**

School MOUNT PLEASANT ELEMENTARY SCHOOL School ID 58-08-01-06-0005

Enrollment

	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12
Pre-K	0	0	
Kindergarten	69	59	82
Grade 1	76	71	60
Grade 2	104	82	74
Grade 3	97	112	83
Grade 4	87	93	110
Grade 5	110	86	93
Grade 6	0	0	0
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Grade 7	0	0	0
Grade 8	0	0	0
Grade 9	0	0	0
Grade 10	0	0	0
Grade 11	0	0	0
Grade 12	0	0	0
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12	543	503	502

Average Class Size

	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12
Common Branch	22	22	23
Grade 8			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			
Grade 10			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			

District SMITHTOWN CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a school's and district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a school's or district's enrollment. The state public enrollment includes public school districts, charter schools, and NYSED-operated programs. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts. Kindergarten and Pre-K counts include halfand full-day students.

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. Common Branch refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

1 Profile

School MOUNT PLEASANT ELEMENTARY SCHOOL School ID 58-08-01-06-0005

Demographic Factors

	2009–10		2010-11		2011-12	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	10	2%	14	3%	9	2%
Reduced Price Lunch	4	1%	10	2%	10	2%
Limited English Proficient	4	1%	4	1%	5	1%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	0%	1	0%	1	0%
Black or African American	1	0%	3	1%	1	0%
Hispanic or Latino	20	4%	21	4%	26	5%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	28	5%	26	5%	34	7%
White	486	90%	448	89%	437	87%
Multiracial	7	1%	4	1%	3	1%

Attendance and Suspensions

	200	8-09	200	9–10	201	0-11
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		96%		97%		96%
Student Suspensions	0	0%	1	0%	1	0%

District SMITHTOWN CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and *Reduced-Price Lunch* percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school's (or district's) total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A school's (or district's) actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the school (or district's schools) was open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day the school (or schools) was open during the school year. The state's Annual Attendance Rate is a weighted average of all districtlevel attendance rates.

Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including inschool suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

Teacher Qualifications

	2009–10	2010-11	2011-12
Total Number of Teachers	33	32	28
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	0%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	0%	0%	0%
Percent with Fewer than Three Years of Experience	0%	0%	0%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	61%	63%	64%
Total Number of Core Classes	40	31	35
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers in This School*	0%	0%	0%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers in This District**	0%	0%	0%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers in High-Poverty Schools Statewide	6%	5%	4%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers in Low-Poverty Schools Statewide	1%	0%	1%
Total Number of Classes	65	56	51
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	0%	0%	0%

*Not available at the district or statewide level.

**Not available for charter schools or at the statewide level.

Teacher Turnover Rate

ewer than Five Years of Experience	2008–09	2009–10	2010-11
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	33%	0%	N/A
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	6%	18%	10%

Staff Counts

	2009–10	2010-11	2011-12
Total Other Professional Staff	0	2	2
Total Paraprofessionals*	N/A	N/A	N/A
Assistant Principals	0	0	0
Principals	1	1	1

*Not available at the school level.

District SMITHTOWN CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* for public schools is the percent doing so on more than an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K–6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area or otherwise in accordance with state standards, and show subject matter competency.

In public schools, a teacher who taught one class outside of the certification area(s) is counted as *Highly Qualified* provided that 1) the teacher had been determined by the school or district through the HOUSSE process or other state-accepted methods to have demonstrated acceptable subject knowledge and teaching skills and 2) the class in question was not the sole assignment reported. Credit for incidental teaching does not extend beyond a single assignment. Independent of *Highly Qualified Teacher* status, any assignment for which a teacher did not hold a valid certificate still registers as teaching out of certification.

In charter schools, a teacher is counted as *Highly Qualified* if the teacher has at least a Bachelor's degree, is certified to teach, and shows subject matter competency. Enabling legislation considers charter school teachers to be certified if they hold any valid teaching certificate. Enabling legislation also permits up to 30 percent (with a maximum of five) of charter school teachers to be without certification and to be considered *Highly Qualified* if they meet all remaining criteria.

High-poverty and *low-poverty* schools are those schools in the upper and lower quartiles, respectively, for percentage of students eligible for a free or reduced-price lunch.

Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to nonteaching duties.

This section contains annual assessment data for students at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels as well as the performance of secondary-level cohorts on standardized achievement assessments.

New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) Assessments

The New York State Testing Program assessments are administered in English language arts (ELA) and mathematics in grades 3 through 8. The Performance Level Descriptors for these assessments are provided below:

English Language Arts

Level 1: Below Standard

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level.

Level 2: Meets Basic Standard

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level. **Level 3: Meets Proficiency Standard**

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level. **Level 4: Exceeds Proficiency Standard**

Level 4: Exceeds Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level.

Mathematics

Level 1: Below Standard

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

Level 2: Meets Basic Standard

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

Level 3: Meets Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

Level 4: Exceeds Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA)

The New York State Alternate Assessments are administered in English language arts (ELA) and mathematics to ungraded students with severe cognitive disabilities whose ages are equivalent to graded students in grades 3 through 8 and secondary level. They are administered in science to students with disabilities age equivalent to graded students in grades 4, 8, and secondary level. And they are administered in social studies at the secondary level only.

New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT)

The New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests are administered in grades K through 12 to limited English proficient students.

Secondary-Level Cohorts

A secondary-level cohort consists of all students who first entered grade 9 anywhere or, in the case of ungraded students with disabilities, reached their seventeenth birthday in a particular year. The 2008 cohort consists of all students who first entered grade 9 anywhere or, in the case of ungraded students with disabilities, reached their seventeenth birthday between July 1, 2008 and June 30, 2009. The 2007 cohort consists of all students who first entered grade 9 anywhere or, in the case of ungraded students of all students who first entered grade 9 anywhere or, in the case of ungraded students with disabilities, reached their seventeenth birthday between July 1, 2008 and June 30, 2009. The 2007 cohort consists of all students who first entered grade 9 anywhere or, in the case of ungraded students with disabilities, reached their seventeenth birthday between July 1, 2007 and June 30, 2008. For more detailed information on cohort definitions, see *Secondary-Level Cohort Definitions* at http://www.pl2.nysed.gov/irs/sirs/.

Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

	This Sch	ool		School	District		NY Stat	e Public		
	Percentage	e scoring at	evel(s):	Percenta	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2012 Mean Score: 675 2011 Mean Score: 672	*Range: 644-780	663-780	694-780							
2011–12 2010–11	100% 96% 98%	81% 78%	11% 4%	97% 96%	80% 76%	11% 7%	86% 87%	56% 56%	7% 5%	
Number of Tested Students:	80 109	67 87	94	731 787	609 623	86 57				

Results by	2011-12	School Ye	ear		2010–11 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percenta	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4
All Students	83	96%	81%	11%	111	98%	78%	4%
Female	37	100%	86%	16%	48	98%	79%	4%
Male	46	93%	76%	7%	63	98%	78%	3%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American		••••••						
Hispanic or Latino	4	-	-	–	5	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	6	-	-	–	12	92%	83%	8%
White	73	97%	79%	10%	93	99%	78%	3%
Multiracial			••••••		1	-		-
Small Group Totals	10	90%	90%	20%	6	100%	67%	0%
General-Education Students	78	99%	86%	12%	97	98%	87%	4%
Students with Disabilities	5	60%	0%	0%	14	100%	21%	0%
English Proficient	82	-	-	-	109	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	1			—	2	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	6	100%	67%	0%	5	100%	100%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	77	96%	82%	12%	106	98%	77%	4%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	83	96%	81%	11%	111	98%	78%	4%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2011-12 data only. Ranges for 2010-11 data are available in the 2010-11 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2011-12	School Ye	ar	,	2010–11 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number	scoring at le	evel(s):
	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. July 31, 2013

District SMITHTOWN CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

	This Scho	This School			School District			NY State Public		
	Percentage	e scoring at l	evel(s):	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2012 Mean Score: 700 2011 Mean Score: 696	*Range: 662-770	684-770	707-770							
2011–12 2010–11	100% 99% 100%	86% 83%	28%	99% 99%	82% 81%	22% 26%	91% 91%	61% 60%	13% 139	
Number of Tested Students:	82 111	71 92	23 20	746 809	617 664	164 215				

Results by	2011-12	School Y	ear		2010–11 School Year			
•	Total	Percenta	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4
All Students	83	99%	86%	28%	111	100%	83%	18%
Female	37	100%	84%	22%	48	100%	75%	8%
Male	46	98%	87%	33%	63	100%	89%	25%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American		••••••					•••••	
Hispanic or Latino	4	-	-	–	5	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	6	-	-	–	12	100%	92%	42%
White	73	100%	85%	29%	93	100%	82%	15%
Multiracial		•••••			1	-		-
Small Group Totals	10	90%	90%	20%	6	100%	83%	17%
General-Education Students	78	99%	87%	29%	97	100%	86%	19%
Students with Disabilities	5	100%	60%	0%	14	100%	64%	14%
English Proficient	82	-	-	-	109	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	1		-		2	-	-	_
Economically Disadvantaged	6	100%	67%	0%	5	100%	80%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	77	99%	87%	30%	106	100%	83%	19%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	83	99%	86%	28%	111	100%	83%	18%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2011-12 data only. Ranges for 2010-11 data are available in the 2010-11 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2011-12	School Ye	ar		2010–11 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				0				

Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

	Т	'his Sch	ool		School	District		NY Stat	e Public	
	F	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s)		
	2	-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2012 Mean Score: 691 2011 Mean Score: 699	*Range:6	37-775	671-775	722-775						
 2011-12 2010-11 	100% g	9% 100%	86% 90%	9% 15%	98% 98%	85% 80%	13% 7%	91% 92%	59% 57%	5% 2%
Number of Tested Students:	11	LO 93	96 84	10 14	807 821	699 670	105 57			

Results by	2011-12	School Y	ear		2010–11 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	
All Students	111	99%	86%	9 %	93	100%	90%	15%	
Female	49	100%	84%	10%	50	100%	90%	18%	
Male	62	98%	89%	8%	43	100%	91%	12%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American					1	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino	5	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	12	92%	92%	25%	4	-		-	
White	93	100%	86%	8%	86	100%	90%	15%	
Multiracial	1								
Small Group Totals	6	100%	83%	0%	7	100%	100%	14%	
General-Education Students	97	99%	92%	10%	87	100%	92%	16%	
Students with Disabilities	14	100%	50%	0%	6	100%	67%	0%	
English Proficient	109	-	-	-	93	100%	90%	15%	
imited English Proficient	2								
Economically Disadvantaged	6	100%	100%	0%	1	-	-	-	
Not Disadvantaged	105	99%	86%	10%	92	-		-	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	111	99%	86%	9%	93	100%	90%	15%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2011–12 data only. Ranges for 2010–11 data are available in the 2010–11 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2011-12	School Ye	ear	,	2010–11 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number	scoring at I	evel(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Total				Total				
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

⁺ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. July 31, 2013

District SMITHTOWN CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Results in Grade 4 Mathematics

	This Sch	ool		School	District		NY Stat	e Public		
	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4 4		
2012 Mean Score: 709 2011 Mean Score: 716	*Range:636-800	676-800	707-800							
2011–12 2010–11	100% 100%100%	90%	53% 61%	99% 99%	88% 86%	48% 45%	95% 94%	69% 67% 30%	279	
Number of Tested Students:	110 93	99 88	58 57	815 826	722 715	391 373				

Results by	2011-12	2 School Y	ear		2010–11 School Year				
-	Total	Percent	age scoring	at level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	ested 2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	
All Students	110	100%	90%	53%	93	100%	95%	61%	
Female	49	100%	88%	49%	50	100%	96%	54%	
Male	61	100%	92%	56%	43	100%	93%	70%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American				•••••	1	–	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino	5	-	-	–	2	-	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	12	100%	100%	58%	4	-	-	-	
White	92	100%	88%	53%	86	100%	95%	59%	
Multiracial	1	-							
Small Group Totals	6	100%	100%	33%	7	100%	86%	86%	
General-Education Students	96	100%	93%	57%	87	100%	97%	64%	
Students with Disabilities	14	100%	71%	21%	6	100%	67%	17%	
English Proficient	108	-	-	-	93	100%	95%	61%	
Limited English Proficient	2						••••••	••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	6	100%	83%	33%	1	-	-	-	
Not Disadvantaged	104	100%	90%	54%	92	-	_	_	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	110	100%	90%	53%	93	100%	95%	61%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2011-12 data only. Ranges for 2010-11 data are available in the 2010-11 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2011-12	School Ye	ar	-	2010–11 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0				

District SMITHTOWN CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Results in Grade 4 Science

		This Sc	hool		Schoo	l District		NY Sta	te Public	
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s)		
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2012 Mean Score: 91 2011 Mean Score: 91	Range:	45-100	65-100	85-100						
 2011-12 2010-11 	100%	100%1009	6 100%100%	6 85% 88%	100%100	% 98% 98'	82% 77%	97% 98%	89% 88%	57% _{52%}
Number of Tested Students:		111 93	111 93	94 82	812 820	0 797 809	9 663 632			

Results by	2011-12	School Y	ear		2010–11 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percent	age scoring	at level(s):	Total	Percenta	age scoring	at level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	
All Students	111	100%	100%	85%	93	100%	100%	88%	
Female	49	100%	100%	86%	50	100%	100%	84%	
Male	62	100%	100%	84%	43	100%	100%	93%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American					1	-	–	–	
Hispanic or Latino	5	-	-	-	2	-	-	–	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	12	100%	100%	92%	4	-	–	-	
White	93	100%	100%	84%	86	100%	100%	88%	
Multiracial	1	-	-						
Small Group Totals	6	100%	100%	83%	7	100%	100%	86%	
General-Education Students	97	100%	100%	89%	87	100%	100%	91%	
Students with Disabilities	14	100%	100%	57%	6	100%	100%	50%	
English Proficient	109	-	-	-	93	100%	100%	88%	
imited English Proficient	2	-		–					
Economically Disadvantaged	6	100%	100%	67%	1	-	-	-	
Not Disadvantaged	105	100%	100%	86%	92	-	_	_	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	111	100%	100%	85%	93	100%	100%	88%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments	2011-12	School Ye	ar	2010–11 School Year				
	Total	Number	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0			

District SMITHTOWN CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

	This Sch	ool		School	District		NY Stat	e Public		
	Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2012 Mean Score: 686 2011 Mean Score: 680	*Range:648-795	668-795	700-795							
2011-12 2010-11	100% 99% 100%	88% 80%	20%	97% 97%	6 78% 80%	10% 10%	89% 89%	58% 54%	5% 4%	
Number of Tested Students:	91 84	81 67	18 8	806 838	648 687	87 87				

Results by	2011-12	School Y	ear		2010–11 School Year				
-	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percenta	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	
All Students	92	99%	88%	20%	84	100%	80%	10%	
Female	48	100%	92%	25%	49	100%	80%	6%	
Male	44	98%	84%	14%	35	100%	80%	14%	
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	_	_	_	
Black or African American					1	-	–	–	
Hispanic or Latino	2	-	-	_	3	-	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
White	86	99%	87%	20%	76	100%	80%	11%	
Multiracial		•••••••		••••••	2	-	-	-	
Small Group Totals	6	100%	100%	17%	8	100%	75%	0%	
General-Education Students	86	100%	93%	21%	70	100%	93%	11%	
Students with Disabilities	6	83%	17%	0%	14	100%	14%	0%	
English Proficient	92	99%	88%	20%	83	-	-	-	
Limited English Proficient		•••••••			1	-	_	-	
Economically Disadvantaged	2	-	-	-	8	100%	75%	0%	
Not Disadvantaged	90	-	_	-	76	100%	80%	11%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	92	99%	88%	20%	84	100%	80%	10%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2011-12 data only. Ranges for 2010-11 data are available in the 2010-11 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2011-12	School Ye	ar		2010–11 School Year						
Assessments	Total	Number	scoring at I	evel(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):					
	Tested	2–4 3–4 4		Tested	2–4	3–4	4				
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				0						
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	Total				Total						
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A			

⁺ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. July 31, 2013

District SMITHTOWN CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

	This Scho	ool		School I	District		NY State Public			
	Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):			je scoring at	level(s):	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2012 Mean Score: 717 2011 Mean Score: 705	*Range: 640-780	676-780	707-780							
2011–12 2010–11	100% 100%100%	94% 90%	66%	98% 99%	84% 86%	48% 42%	93% 94%	67% 66%	28% 239	
Number of Tested Students:	89 84	84 76	59 36	810 854	698 742	394 361				

Results by	2011-12	School Y	ear		2010–11 School Year					
-	Total	Percenta	age scoring	at level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Group	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4		
All Students	89	100%	94%	66%	84	100%	90%	43%		
Female	47	100%	96%	57%	49	100%	88%	35%		
Male	42	100%	93%	76%	35	100%	94%	54%		
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	_	_	_		
Black or African American					1	-	-	-		
Hispanic or Latino	2	-		–	3	-	-	-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4			-	1	-				
White	83	100%	94%	64%	76	100%	91%	42%		
Multiracial					2	-				
Small Group Totals	6	100%	100%	100%	8	100%	88%	50%		
General-Education Students	84	100%	96%	69%	70	100%	100%	51%		
Students with Disabilities	5	100%	60%	20%	14	100%	43%	0%		
English Proficient	89	100%	94%	66%	83	-	-	-		
Limited English Proficient					1		-			
Economically Disadvantaged	2	-	-	_	8	100%	75%	63%		
Not Disadvantaged	87	-			76	100%	92%	41%		
Migrant										
Not Migrant	89	100%	94%	66%	84	100%	90%	43%		

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2011-12 data only. Ranges for 2010-11 data are available in the 2010-11 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2011-12	School Ye	ar		2010–11 School Year					
Assessments	Total	Number	scoring at le	vel(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):				
	Tested	2–4	3–4 4		Tested	2–4	3–4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				0					

New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)

	All Students					Genera	al-Educatio	Students with Disabilities							
	Total Tested	Percent of students scoring in each performance level:				TotalPercent of students scoringTestedin each performance level:						Percent of students scoring in each performance level:			
		Begin.	Interm.	Adv.	Prof.		Begin.	Interm.	Adv.	Prof.		Begin.	Interm.	Adv.	Prof.
2011-12	2	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	_	1	-	-	-	-
2010-11	0					0					0				
2009-10	1	_	-	_	_	1	-	_	_	_	0				
2011-12	2	-	-	-	-	1	-	_	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
2010-11	0					0					0				
2009-10	1	_	-	_	-	1	_	_	_	_	0				
2011-12	3	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	0				
2010-11	3	-	-	—	-	3	-	-	—	-	0				
2009-10	4	_	_	_	_	3	_	_	_	_	1	_	_	_	-
2011-12	3	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	0				
2010-11	3	-	-	_	_	3	-	-	_	_	0				
2009-10	4	_	-	-	-	3	-	_	_	_	1	-	-	-	-
2011-12	0					0					0				
2010-11	1	-	-	_	_	1	-	-	_	_	0				
2009-10	0					0					0				
2011-12	0					0					0				
2010-11	1	-	-	—	-	1	-	-	—	-	0				
2009-10	0					0					0				
2011-12	0					0					0				
2010-11	0					0					0				
2009-10	0					0					0				
2011-12	0					0					0				
2010-11	0					0					0				
2009–10	0					0					0				
2011-12	0					0					0				
2010-11	0					0					0				
2009-10	0					0					0				
2011-12	0					0					0				
2010-11	0					0					0				
2009-10	0					0					0				
	2010-11 2009-10 2011-12 2010-11 2009-10 2011-12 2010-11 2009-10 2011-12 2010-11 2009-10 2011-12 2010-11 2009-10 2011-12 2010-11 2009-10 2011-12 2010-11 2009-10 2011-12 2010-11 2009-10 2011-12	Total Tested 2011-12 2 2010-11 0 2009-10 1 2011-12 2 2010-11 0 2009-10 1 2011-12 3 2010-11 3 2010-11 3 2010-11 3 2010-11 3 2010-11 3 2010-11 3 2010-11 3 2010-11 1 2009-10 0 2010-11 1 2009-10 0 2010-11 0 2010-11 0 2010-11 0 2010-11 0 2010-11 0 2010-11 0 2010-11 0 2010-11 0 2010-11 0 2010-11 0 2010-11 0 2010-11 0 2010-11 0 2010-11	Total Tested Percent of in each percent of in eac	Total rested Percent of student in each performant in each performant	Total reach percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total reach performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: 2011-12 2 - - 2010-11 0 - - 2010-12 2 - - - 2010-13 0 - - - 2010-14 0 - - - 2010-15 2 - - - 2010-11 0 - - - 2011-12 3 - - - 2010-11 3 - - - 2011-12 3 - - - 2010-11 3 - - - 2010-11 1 - - - 2010-11 0 - - - 2010-11 0 - - - 2010-11 0 - - - 2010-11	Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: 2011-12	Total Percent of students scoring TestedTotal TestedTotal TestedPercent of students scoring in each performance levelTotal Tested2011-12212010-11002010-11002010-11002010-11002010-11002010-11302010-11302010-11302010-11302010-11302010-11102010-11102010-11002010-11002010-11002010-11002010-11002010-11002010-11002010-11002010-11002010-11002010-110 <tr <td=""><t< td=""><td>Total Percent of students scoring neach performance within Total Percent of neach performance within 2011-12 2 - - - 0 1 - 2010-11 0 - - - 0 1 - 2010-11 0 - - - 0 1 - 2010-11 0 - - - 0 1 - 2010-11 0 - - - 0 1 - 2010-11 0 - - - 0 1 - 2010-11 0 - - - 0 1 - 2010-11 3 - - - 0 3 - 2010-11 3 - - - 0 3 - 2010-11 3 - - - 0 3 - 2010-11 1 - -<</td><td>Total Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Percent of students in each performance 2011-12 2 - - - 1 - <td< td=""><td>Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: 2011-12 2 - - - 1 - - 2010-11 0 - - 00 - - - 2010-11 0 - - - 11 - - - 2010-11 0 - - - 00 - - - 2010-11 0 - - - 11 - - - 2010-11 0 - - - 13 - - - 2010-11 3 - - - - 33 - - - 2010-11 3 - - - - 33 - - - 2010-11 3 - - - - 00 - - - 2011-12</td></td<></td></t<><td>Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: 2011-12 2 - - - 10 -</td><td>Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Tested Total meach performance level: Total Tested 2011-12 2 - - - 1 - - 1 1 2010-11 0 - - - 1 - - 1 0 2010-11 0 - - - 1 - - 0 0 2010-11 0 - - - 0 1 - - 0 0 2010-11 3 - - - 13 - - 0 0 2010-11 3 - - - 0 3 - - 0 0 2010-11 3 - - - 0 0 0 0 0 2010-11 0 -<</td><td>Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total in each performance level: Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Tested Percent of in each performance level: 2011-12 2 - - - 0 1 - - 0 1 2010-11 0 - - - 11 - - 0 1 - 2010-11 3 - - - 13 - - 0 1 - 0 1 - 0 1 - 0 1 - 0 1 - 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 1 1 0 1 1 1 1 1<td>Total rester Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total neach performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total rester Percent of students rester Percent of students 2011-12 2 - - - 1 - - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - -</td><td>Total nearb erformance level: Total nearb erformance level: Precent of students scoring in each performance level: Tested Precent of students scoring in each performance level: 2011-12 2 - - - 1 - - - 1 - - - 1 - - - 1 - - 1 - - - 1 - - 1 - - 1 - - 1 - - 1 - - 1 - - 1 - - 1 - - 1 0 - - 1 - - 0 0 - - 1 - - 0 0 - - 0 0 - - 0 0 - - 0 0 - 0 0 - - 0 0 - 0 0 - 0 0 - 0 0</td></td></tr>	Total Percent of students scoring neach performance within Total Percent of neach performance within 2011-12 2 - - - 0 1 - 2010-11 0 - - - 0 1 - 2010-11 0 - - - 0 1 - 2010-11 0 - - - 0 1 - 2010-11 0 - - - 0 1 - 2010-11 0 - - - 0 1 - 2010-11 0 - - - 0 1 - 2010-11 3 - - - 0 3 - 2010-11 3 - - - 0 3 - 2010-11 3 - - - 0 3 - 2010-11 1 - -<	Total Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Percent of students in each performance 2011-12 2 - - - 1 - <td< td=""><td>Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: 2011-12 2 - - - 1 - - 2010-11 0 - - 00 - - - 2010-11 0 - - - 11 - - - 2010-11 0 - - - 00 - - - 2010-11 0 - - - 11 - - - 2010-11 0 - - - 13 - - - 2010-11 3 - - - - 33 - - - 2010-11 3 - - - - 33 - - - 2010-11 3 - - - - 00 - - - 2011-12</td></td<>	Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: 2011-12 2 - - - 1 - - 2010-11 0 - - 00 - - - 2010-11 0 - - - 11 - - - 2010-11 0 - - - 00 - - - 2010-11 0 - - - 11 - - - 2010-11 0 - - - 13 - - - 2010-11 3 - - - - 33 - - - 2010-11 3 - - - - 33 - - - 2010-11 3 - - - - 00 - - - 2011-12	Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: 2011-12 2 - - - 10 -	Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Tested Total meach performance level: Total Tested 2011-12 2 - - - 1 - - 1 1 2010-11 0 - - - 1 - - 1 0 2010-11 0 - - - 1 - - 0 0 2010-11 0 - - - 0 1 - - 0 0 2010-11 3 - - - 13 - - 0 0 2010-11 3 - - - 0 3 - - 0 0 2010-11 3 - - - 0 0 0 0 0 2010-11 0 -<	Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total in each performance level: Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Tested Percent of in each performance level: 2011-12 2 - - - 0 1 - - 0 1 2010-11 0 - - - 11 - - 0 1 - 2010-11 3 - - - 13 - - 0 1 - 0 1 - 0 1 - 0 1 - 0 1 - 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 1 1 0 1 1 1 1 1 <td>Total rester Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total neach performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total rester Percent of students rester Percent of students 2011-12 2 - - - 1 - - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - -</td> <td>Total nearb erformance level: Total nearb erformance level: Precent of students scoring in each performance level: Tested Precent of students scoring in each performance level: 2011-12 2 - - - 1 - - - 1 - - - 1 - - - 1 - - 1 - - - 1 - - 1 - - 1 - - 1 - - 1 - - 1 - - 1 - - 1 - - 1 0 - - 1 - - 0 0 - - 1 - - 0 0 - - 0 0 - - 0 0 - - 0 0 - 0 0 - - 0 0 - 0 0 - 0 0 - 0 0</td>	Total rester Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total neach performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total rester Percent of students rester Percent of students 2011-12 2 - - - 1 - - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - -	Total nearb erformance level: Total nearb erformance level: Precent of students scoring in each performance level: Tested Precent of students scoring in each performance level: 2011-12 2 - - - 1 - - - 1 - - - 1 - - - 1 - - 1 - - - 1 - - 1 - - 1 - - 1 - - 1 - - 1 - - 1 - - 1 - - 1 0 - - 1 - - 0 0 - - 1 - - 0 0 - - 0 0 - - 0 0 - - 0 0 - 0 0 - - 0 0 - 0 0 - 0 0 - 0 0
Total Percent of students scoring neach performance within Total Percent of neach performance within 2011-12 2 - - - 0 1 - 2010-11 0 - - - 0 1 - 2010-11 0 - - - 0 1 - 2010-11 0 - - - 0 1 - 2010-11 0 - - - 0 1 - 2010-11 0 - - - 0 1 - 2010-11 0 - - - 0 1 - 2010-11 3 - - - 0 3 - 2010-11 3 - - - 0 3 - 2010-11 3 - - - 0 3 - 2010-11 1 - -<	Total Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Percent of students in each performance 2011-12 2 - - - 1 - <td< td=""><td>Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: 2011-12 2 - - - 1 - - 2010-11 0 - - 00 - - - 2010-11 0 - - - 11 - - - 2010-11 0 - - - 00 - - - 2010-11 0 - - - 11 - - - 2010-11 0 - - - 13 - - - 2010-11 3 - - - - 33 - - - 2010-11 3 - - - - 33 - - - 2010-11 3 - - - - 00 - - - 2011-12</td></td<>	Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: 2011-12 2 - - - 1 - - 2010-11 0 - - 00 - - - 2010-11 0 - - - 11 - - - 2010-11 0 - - - 00 - - - 2010-11 0 - - - 11 - - - 2010-11 0 - - - 13 - - - 2010-11 3 - - - - 33 - - - 2010-11 3 - - - - 33 - - - 2010-11 3 - - - - 00 - - - 2011-12	Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: 2011-12 2 - - - 10 -	Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Tested Total meach performance level: Total Tested 2011-12 2 - - - 1 - - 1 1 2010-11 0 - - - 1 - - 1 0 2010-11 0 - - - 1 - - 0 0 2010-11 0 - - - 0 1 - - 0 0 2010-11 3 - - - 13 - - 0 0 2010-11 3 - - - 0 3 - - 0 0 2010-11 3 - - - 0 0 0 0 0 2010-11 0 -<	Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total in each performance level: Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Tested Percent of in each performance level: 2011-12 2 - - - 0 1 - - 0 1 2010-11 0 - - - 11 - - 0 1 - 2010-11 3 - - - 13 - - 0 1 - 0 1 - 0 1 - 0 1 - 0 1 - 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 1 1 0 1 1 1 1 1 <td>Total rester Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total neach performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total rester Percent of students rester Percent of students 2011-12 2 - - - 1 - - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - -</td> <td>Total nearb erformance level: Total nearb erformance level: Precent of students scoring in each performance level: Tested Precent of students scoring in each performance level: 2011-12 2 - - - 1 - - - 1 - - - 1 - - - 1 - - 1 - - - 1 - - 1 - - 1 - - 1 - - 1 - - 1 - - 1 - - 1 - - 1 0 - - 1 - - 0 0 - - 1 - - 0 0 - - 0 0 - - 0 0 - - 0 0 - 0 0 - - 0 0 - 0 0 - 0 0 - 0 0</td>	Total rester Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total neach performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total rester Percent of students rester Percent of students 2011-12 2 - - - 1 - - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - - 0 - -	Total nearb erformance level: Total nearb erformance level: Precent of students scoring in each performance level: Tested Precent of students scoring in each performance level: 2011-12 2 - - - 1 - - - 1 - - - 1 - - - 1 - - 1 - - - 1 - - 1 - - 1 - - 1 - - 1 - - 1 - - 1 - - 1 - - 1 0 - - 1 - - 0 0 - - 1 - - 0 0 - - 0 0 - - 0 0 - - 0 0 - 0 0 - - 0 0 - 0 0 - 0 0 - 0 0								

NOTE

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.