

The New York State Report Card 2011–12 School JOHN F KENNEDY MAGNET SCHOOL School ID 66-19-04-03-0003 District PORT CHESTER-RYE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT Principal LOUIS CUGLIETTO Telephone (914) 934-7990 Grades K-5

The New York State Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents' effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on school/district enrollment and staff, student performance, and other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school's or district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic supports.

This report includes:

1 Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's or district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, attendance and suspensions, and staff.

2 Student Performance

This section shows student performance on standardized assessments at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels.

3 Student Outcomes

This section shows outcomes for graduates and noncompleters, including postgraduation plans of completers.

For more information: Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: dataquest@mail.nysed.gov 1 **Profile**

School JOHN F KENNEDY MAGNET SCHOOL School ID 66-19-04-03-0003

Enrollment

	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12
Pre-K	0	0	
Kindergarten	142	152	153
Grade 1	144	143	155
Grade 2	134	142	137
Grade 3	97	137	135
Grade 4	91	97	141
Grade 5	112	100	94
Grade 6	0	0	0
Ungraded Elementary	5	0	0
Grade 7	0	0	0
Grade 8	0	0	0
Grade 9	0	0	0
Grade 10	0	0	0
Grade 11	0	0	0
Grade 12	0	0	0
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K–12	725	771	815

Average Class Size

	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12
Common Branch	24	24	24
Grade 8			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			
Grade 10			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			

District PORT CHESTER-RYE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a school's and district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a school's or district's enrollment. The state public enrollment includes public school districts, charter schools, and NYSED-operated programs. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts. Kindergarten and Pre-K counts include halfand full-day students.

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. Common Branch refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

1 Profile

School JOHN F KENNEDY MAGNET SCHOOL School ID 66-19-04-03-0003

Demographic Factors

	2009-10		2010-11		2011-12	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	455	63%	465	60%	482	59%
Reduced Price Lunch	108	15%	117	15%	151	19%
Limited English Proficient	397	55%	439	57%	435	53%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black or African American	69	10%	56	7%	59	7%
Hispanic or Latino	621	86%	681	88%	695	85%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	6	1%	2	0%	10	1%
White	23	3%	24	3%	50	6%
Multiracial	6	1%	8	1%	1	0%

Attendance and Suspensions

	200	8-09	200	9-10	201	0-11
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		95%		93%		94%
Student Suspensions	13	2%	15	2%	8	1%

District PORT CHESTER-RYE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and *Reduced-Price Lunch* percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school's (or district's) total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A school's (or district's) actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the school (or district's schools) was open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day the school (or schools) was open during the school year. The state's Annual Attendance Rate is a weighted average of all districtlevel attendance rates.

Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including inschool suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

1 Profile

School JOHN F KENNEDY MAGNET SCHOOL School ID 66-19-04-03-0003

Teacher Qualifications

	2009–10	2010-11	2011-12
Total Number of Teachers	47	50	52
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	2%	0%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	2%	2%	0%
Percent with Fewer than Three Years of Experience	13%	12%	10%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	43%	50%	58%
Total Number of Core Classes	46	44	47
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers in This School*	4%	2%	0%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers in This District**	0%	1%	0%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers in High-Poverty Schools Statewide	6%	5%	4%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers in Low-Poverty Schools Statewide	1%	0%	1%
Total Number of Classes	65	63	68
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	3%	2%	0%

*Not available at the district or statewide level.

**Not available for charter schools or at the statewide level.

Teacher Turnover Rate

	2008–09	2009–10	2010-11
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	0%	0%	9%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	5%	0%	10%

Staff Counts

	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12
Total Other Professional Staff	4	4	3
Total Paraprofessionals*	N/A	N/A	N/A
Assistant Principals	1	1	1
Principals	1	1	1

*Not available at the school level.

District PORT CHESTER-RYE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* for public schools is the percent doing so on more than an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K–6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area or otherwise in accordance with state standards, and show subject matter competency.

In public schools, a teacher who taught one class outside of the certification area(s) is counted as *Highly Qualified* provided that 1) the teacher had been determined by the school or district through the HOUSSE process or other state-accepted methods to have demonstrated acceptable subject knowledge and teaching skills and 2) the class in question was not the sole assignment reported. Credit for incidental teaching does not extend beyond a single assignment. Independent of *Highly Qualified Teacher* status, any assignment for which a teacher did not hold a valid certificate still registers as teaching out of certification.

In charter schools, a teacher is counted as *Highly Qualified* if the teacher has at least a Bachelor's degree, is certified to teach, and shows subject matter competency. Enabling legislation considers charter school teachers to be certified if they hold any valid teaching certificate. Enabling legislation also permits up to 30 percent (with a maximum of five) of charter school teachers to be without certification and to be considered *Highly Qualified* if they meet all remaining criteria.

High-poverty and *low-poverty* schools are those schools in the upper and lower quartiles, respectively, for percentage of students eligible for a free or reduced-price lunch.

Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to nonteaching duties.

2 Student Performance

School JOHN F KENNEDY MAGNET SCHOOL School ID 66-19-04-03-0003

District PORT CHESTER-RYE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

This section contains annual assessment data for students at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels as well as the performance of secondary-level cohorts on standardized achievement assessments.

New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) Assessments

The New York State Testing Program assessments are administered in English language arts (ELA) and mathematics in grades 3 through 8. The Performance Level Descriptors for these assessments are provided below:

English Language Arts

Level 1: Below Standard

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level.

Level 2: Meets Basic Standard

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level. **Level 3: Meets Proficiency Standard**

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level. **Level 4: Exceeds Proficiency Standard**

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level.

Mathematics

Level 1: Below Standard

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

Level 2: Meets Basic Standard

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

Level 3: Meets Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

Level 4: Exceeds Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA)

The New York State Alternate Assessments are administered in English language arts (ELA) and mathematics to ungraded students with severe cognitive disabilities whose ages are equivalent to graded students in grades 3 through 8 and secondary level. They are administered in science to students with disabilities age equivalent to graded students in grades 4, 8, and secondary level. And they are administered in social studies at the secondary level only.

New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT)

The New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests are administered in grades K through 12 to limited English proficient students.

Secondary-Level Cohorts

A secondary-level cohort consists of all students who first entered grade 9 anywhere or, in the case of ungraded students with disabilities, reached their seventeenth birthday in a particular year. The 2008 cohort consists of all students who first entered grade 9 anywhere or, in the case of ungraded students with disabilities, reached their seventeenth birthday between July 1, 2008 and June 30, 2009. The 2007 cohort consists of all students who first entered grade 9 anywhere or, in the case of ungraded students of all students who first entered grade 9 anywhere or, in the case of ungraded students with disabilities, reached their seventeenth birthday between July 1, 2008 and June 30, 2009. The 2007 cohort consists of all students who first entered grade 9 anywhere or, in the case of ungraded students with disabilities, reached their seventeenth birthday between July 1, 2007 and June 30, 2008. For more detailed information on cohort definitions, see *Secondary-Level Cohort Definitions* at http://www.pl2.nysed.gov/irs/sirs/.

District PORT CHESTER-RYE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

	This Sc	hool		School	District		NY Stat	e Public		
	Percenta	age scoring at	level(s):	Percenta	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2012 Mean Score: 669 2011 Mean Score: 665	*Range: 644-78	0 663-780	694-780							
2011-12 2010-11	100% 95% 95%	6 70% 61%	<mark>5%</mark> 1%	86% 95%	57% 619	6 <u>%</u> 3%	86% 87%	56% 56%	7% 5%	
Number of Tested Students:	125 127	92 82	62	300 344	197 223	22 12				

Results by	2011-12	School Ye	ear	2010–11 School Year				
-	Total	Percenta	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4
All Students	131	95%	70%	5%	134	95%	61%	1%
Female	66	97%	74%	6%	69	93%	55%	0%
Male	65	94%	66%	3%	65	97%	68%	3%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	14	-	-	–	11	100%	64%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	113	96%	71%	4%	116	94%	60%	2%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander					3	-	-	-
White	4	-	-	–	4	-	–	-
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	18	94%	67%	6%	7	100%	71%	0%
General-Education Students	124	96%	72%	5%	121	96%	67%	2%
Students with Disabilities	7	86%	43%	0%	13	85%	8%	0%
English Proficient	72	97%	81%	7%	64	100%	77%	3%
imited English Proficient	59	93%	58%	2%	70	90%	47%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	103	94%	67%	5%	113	94%	60%	2%
Not Disadvantaged	28	100%	82%	4%	21	100%	67%	0%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	131	95%	70%	5%	134	95%	61%	1%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2011-12 data only. Ranges for 2010-11 data are available in the 2010-11 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2011-12	School Ye	ear		2010-11	2010–11 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number	scoring at le	evel(s):	
	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	4	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Total				Total				
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 3	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	4	N/A	N/A	N/A	

⁺ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. July 31, 2013

District PORT CHESTER-RYE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

	This Sch	ool		School	District		NY Stat	e Public	
	Percentage	e scoring at l	evel(s):	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2012 Mean Score: 693 2011 Mean Score: 692	*Range: 662-770	684-770	707-770						
 2011-12 2010-11 	98% 99%	80% 72%	10% 17%	91% 95%	58% 65%	9% 12%	91% 91%	61% 60%	13% 13%
Number of Tested Students:	131 136	107 100	13 23	321 351	205 242	31 46			

Results by	2011-12	School Y	ear		2010–11 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percent	age scoring	at level(s):	Total	Percenta	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	
All Students	133	98%	80%	10%	138	99%	72%	17%	
Female	67	100%	84%	7%	70	99%	61%	13%	
Male	66	97%	77%	12%	68	99%	84%	21%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	14	100%	71%	0%	11	91%	82%	9%	
Hispanic or Latino	114	98%	81%	11%	118	99%	70%	16%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander		••••••			3	-	-		
White	5	100%	100%	0%	6	-	-	-	
Multiracial		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••							
Small Group Totals					9	100%	89%	33%	
General-Education Students	126	99%	81%	10%	125	100%	74%	18%	
Students with Disabilities	7	86%	71%	0%	13	85%	62%	0%	
English Proficient	73	100%	89%	10%	64	98%	84%	28%	
imited English Proficient	60	97%	70%	10%	74	99%	62%	7%	
Economically Disadvantaged	104	98%	80%	12%	117	98%	69%	15%	
Not Disadvantaged	29	100%	83%	3%	21	100%	90%	29%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	133	98%	80%	10%	138	99%	72%	17%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2011-12 data only. Ranges for 2010-11 data are available in the 2010-11 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2011-12	School Ye	ar		2010–11 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				0				

District PORT CHESTER-RYE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

	This Sch	ool		School	District		NY Stat	e Public		
	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4 4		
2012 Mean Score: 679 2011 Mean Score: 686	*Range: 637-775	671-775	722-775							
 2011-12 2010-11 	100% 96% 100%	70%	<u>3%</u> 2%	96% 93%	65% _{57%}	4% 1%	91% 92%	59% 57% <u>5%</u> 2%		
Number of Tested Students:	132 97	97 81	4 2	355 301	239 183	13 4				

Results by	2011-12	School Y	ear		2010–11 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	
All Students	138	96%	70%	3%	97	100%	84%	2%	
Female	68	96%	66%	6%	49	100%	92%	0%	
Male	70	96%	74%	0%	48	100%	75%	4%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	12	92%	42%	0%	4	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino	117	96%	73%	3%	81	100%	85%	2%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	-	-	-	5	-	-	-	
White	6	-	-	–	7	100%	100%	0%	
Multiracial			•••••						
Small Group Totals	9	100%	78%	0%	9	100%	56%	0%	
General-Education Students	125	98%	76%	3%	83	100%	88%	2%	
Students with Disabilities	13	69%	15%	0%	14	100%	57%	0%	
English Proficient	81	96%	79%	4%	59	100%	86%	3%	
Limited English Proficient	57	95%	58%	2%	38	100%	79%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	108	94%	67%	3%	81	100%	85%	2%	
Not Disadvantaged	30	100%	83%	3%	16	100%	75%	0%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	138	96%	70%	3%	97	100%	84%	2%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2011-12 data only. Ranges for 2010-11 data are available in the 2010-11 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2011-12	School Ye	ear		2010–11 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	3	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Total				Total				
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 4	3	N/A	N/A	N/A	3	N/A	N/A	N/A	

t These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. July 31, 2013

District PORT CHESTER-RYE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Results in Grade 4 Mathematics

	This Sch	ool		School	District		NY Stat	te Public		
	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2012 Mean Score: 708 2011 Mean Score: 701	*Range: 636-800	676-800	707-800							
2011-12 2010-11	100% 99% 100%	92% 90%	54%	98% 95%	79% 64%	38%	95% 94%	69% 67%	30% 279	
Number of Tested Students:	139 101	129 91	76 37	366 312	293 211	142 66				

Results by	2011-12	School Y	ear		2010-11	2010–11 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percenta	age scoring	at level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Group	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4		
All Students	140	99%	92%	54%	101	100%	90%	37%		
Female	69	100%	90%	45%	52	100%	87%	40%		
Male	71	99%	94%	63%	49	100%	94%	33%		
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	12	100%	83%	33%	4	-	-	–		
Hispanic or Latino	119	99%	92%	55%	83	100%	89%	34%		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	-	-	–	5	-	-	-		
White	6	-	-	–	9	100%	100%	33%		
Multiracial										
Small Group Totals	9	100%	100%	78%	9	100%	89%	67%		
General-Education Students	127	100%	93%	57%	87	100%	92%	38%		
Students with Disabilities	13	92%	85%	31%	14	100%	79%	29%		
English Proficient	81	100%	94%	56%	60	100%	95%	45%		
imited English Proficient	59	98%	90%	53%	41	100%	83%	24%		
Economically Disadvantaged	109	99%	94%	51%	83	100%	89%	36%		
Not Disadvantaged	31	100%	87%	65%	18	100%	94%	39%		
Migrant										
Not Migrant	140	99%	92%	54%	101	100%	90%	37%		

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2011-12 data only. Ranges for 2010-11 data are available in the 2010-11 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2011-12	School Ye	ar		2010–11 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0				

District PORT CHESTER-RYE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Results in Grade 4 Science

	This Sc	This School Percentage scoring at level(s):			District		NY State Public		
	Percenta				Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):	
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2012 Mean Score: 87 2011 Mean Score: 89	Range: 45–100	65-100	85-100						
2011-12 2010-11	100% 100%100	% 98% 100%	74% 78%	99% 999	[%] 96% 949	66% 59%	97% 98%	89% 88%	57% 52
Number of Tested Students:	138 101	135 101	102 79	366 324	4 354 307	243 192			

Results by	2011-12	School Y	ear		2010-11	2010–11 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percent	age scoring	at level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Group	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4		
All Students	138	100%	98%	74%	101	100%	100%	78 %		
Female	70	100%	96%	69%	52	100%	100%	77%		
Male	68	100%	100%	79%	49	100%	100%	80%		
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	10	100%	100%	70%	4	-	-	–		
Hispanic or Latino	119	100%	97%	73%	83	100%	100%	78%		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	-	-	-	5	-	-	-		
White	6	-	-	—	9	100%	100%	78%		
Multiracial										
Small Group Totals	9	100%	100%	89%	9	100%	100%	78%		
General-Education Students	125	100%	99%	78%	87	100%	100%	83%		
Students with Disabilities	13	100%	85%	38%	14	100%	100%	50%		
English Proficient	79	100%	99%	85%	59	100%	100%	88%		
Limited English Proficient	59	100%	97%	59%	42	100%	100%	64%		
Economically Disadvantaged	108	100%	97%	69%	83	100%	100%	78%		
Not Disadvantaged	30	100%	100%	93%	18	100%	100%	78%		
Migrant										
Not Migrant	138	100%	98%	74%	101	100%	100%	78%		

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2011-12	School Ye	ar		2010–11 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0				

District PORT CHESTER-RYE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

		This Sch	ool		School	District		NY Stat	e Public	
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentag	ge scoring a	t level(s):	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2012 Mean Score: 667 2011 Mean Score: 667	*Range	:648-795	668-795	700-795						
	100%	95% 93%			91% 93%			89% 89%		
2011-12 2010-11			52% 55%	2% 1%		58% 61%	2% 3%		58% 54%	5% 4%
Number of Tested Students:		87 89	48 53	2 1	287 294	184 192	7 8			

Results by	2011-12	School Y	ear		2010–11 School Year				
-	Total	Percenta	age scoring a	at level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	
All Students	92	95%	52%	2%	96	93%	55%	1%	
Female	48	98%	52%	2%	48	96%	54%	2%	
Male	44	91%	52%	2%	48	90%	56%	0%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	4	-	-	–	9	89%	67%	0%	
Hispanic or Latino	78	95%	54%	3%	81	93%	52%	1%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	-	-	–	1	-	-	-	
White	7	86%	43%	0%	4	-	-	-	
Multiracial		••••••		•••••	1	-	-	-	
Small Group Totals	7	100%	43%	0%	6	100%	83%	0%	
General-Education Students	81	95%	54%	2%	81	94%	60%	1%	
Students with Disabilities	11	91%	36%	0%	15	87%	27%	0%	
English Proficient	55	98%	71%	4%	64	94%	64%	2%	
imited English Proficient	37	89%	24%	0%	32	91%	38%	0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	67	96%	49%	3%	71	92%	55%	1%	
Not Disadvantaged	25	92%	60%	0%	25	96%	56%	0%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	92	95%	52%	2%	96	93%	55%	1%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2011-12 data only. Ranges for 2010-11 data are available in the 2010-11 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2011-12	School Ye	ear	-	2010–11 School Year					
Assessments	Total	Number	scoring at I	evel(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):				
	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				0					
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	3	N/A	N/A	N/A	4	N/A	N/A	N/A		
	Total				Total					
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 5	3	N/A	N/A	N/A	4	N/A	N/A	N/A		

⁺ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. July 31, 2013

District PORT CHESTER-RYE UNION FREE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

	This Sc	hool		School	District		NY State Public				
	Percenta	ge scoring at	level(s):	Percentag	ge scoring a	t level(s):	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2012 Mean Score: 693 2011 Mean Score: 690	*Range: 640-78	0 676-780	707-780								
2011–12 2010–11	100% 98% 1009	6 75% 77%	35%	94% 98%	74% 75%	27% 20%	93% 94%	67% 66%	28% ₂₃₉		
Number of Tested Students:	93 99	71 76	33 17	298 315	234 242	86 66					

Results by	2011-12	School Y	ear		2010–11 School Year					
Student Group	Total	Percent	age scoring	at level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Group	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4 77%	4		
All Students	95	98%	75%	35%	99	100%		17%		
Female	48	98%	75%	40%	50	100%	70%	4%		
Male	47	98%	74%	30%	49	100%	84%	31%		
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	4	-	-	–	9	100%	89%	0%		
Hispanic or Latino	81	98%	74%	36%	83	100%	75%	16%		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	-	-	-	1	-	-	-		
White	7	100%	57%	0%	5	-	-	-		
Multiracial		•••••••			1	-	-	-		
Small Group Totals	7	100%	100%	57%	7	100%	86%	57%		
General-Education Students	84	98%	76%	36%	85	100%	79%	20%		
Students with Disabilities	11	100%	64%	27%	14	100%	64%	0%		
English Proficient	55	100%	87%	45%	64	100%	75%	20%		
imited English Proficient	40	95%	58%	20%	35	100%	80%	11%		
Economically Disadvantaged	67	99%	78%	37%	73	100%	78%	15%		
Not Disadvantaged	28	96%	68%	29%	26	100%	73%	23%		
Migrant										
Not Migrant	95	98%	75%	35%	99	100%	77%	17%		

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2011-12 data only. Ranges for 2010-11 data are available in the 2010-11 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2011-12	School Ye	ar		2010–11 School Year					
Assessments	Total	Number	scoring at le	vel(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):				
	Tested	2–4	3–4 4		Tested	2–4	3–4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				0					

New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)

	All Students					Genera	I-Educati	Students with Disabilities							
	Total Tested	Percent of students scoring in each performance level:			Total Tested						TotalPercent of students scoringTestedin each performance level:				
		Begin.	Interm.	Adv.	Prof.		Begin.	Interm.	Adv.	Prof.		Begin.	Interm.	Adv.	Prof.
2011-12	205	3%	26%	44%	26%	200	4%	25%	45%	27%	5	0%	80%	20%	0%
2010-11	207	5%	25%	45%	25%	202	5%	25%	45%	25%	5	0%	40%	60%	0%
2009-10	199	3%	22%	50%	25%	188	2%	21%	50%	27%	11	18%	36%	45%	0%
2011-12	205	35%	31%	19%	16%	200	34%	32%	19%	16%	5	80%	20%	0%	0%
2010-11	207	34%	27%	19%	20%	202	34%	27%	19%	20%	5	40%	40%	20%	0%
2009-10	199	31%	34%	12%	24%	188	30%	35%	11%	24%	11	36%	27%	27%	9%
2011-12	189	0%	3%	40%	57%	175	0%	3%	39%	58%	14	0%	7%	57%	36%
2010-11	198	2%	5%	39%	54%	177	2%	5%	37%	56%	21	0%	5%	57%	38%
2009-10	170	2%	4%	38%	56%	146	2%	5%	33%	60%	24	0%	0%	71%	29%
2011-12	189	3%	22%	51%	23%	175	2%	21%	52%	25%	14	21%	36%	43%	0%
2010-11	198	4%	28%	51%	17%	177	4%	26%	53%	18%	21	5%	48%	33%	14%
2009-10	170	6%	24%	49%	22%	146	4%	23%	48%	25%	24	17%	25%	54%	4%
2011-12	40	8%	10%	40%	43%	33	9%	12%	39%	39%	7	0%	0%	43%	57%
2010-11	36	3%	6%	50%	42%	27	4%	7%	37%	52%	9	0%	0%	89%	11%
2009-10	31	0%	6%	71%	23%	28	-	_	_	_	3	-	_	_	_
2011-12	39	10%	8%	23%	59%	33	9%	9%	21%	61%	6	17%	0%	33%	50%
2010-11	36	8%	19%	39%	33%	27	7%	11%	48%	33%	9	11%	44%	11%	33%
2009-10	31	0%	6%	65%	29%	28	-	_	_	_	3	-	_	_	-
2011-12	0					0					0				
2010-11	0					0					0				
2009-10	0					0					0				
2011-12	0					0					0				
2010-11	0					0					0				
2009-10	0					0					0				
2011-12	0					0					0				
2010-11	0					0					0				
2009-10	0					0					0				
2011-12	0					0					0				
2010-11	0					0					0				
2009–10	0					0					0				
	2010-11 2009-10 2011-12 2010-11 2009-10 2011-12 2010-11 2009-10 2011-12 2010-11 2009-10 2011-12 2010-11 2009-10 2011-12 2010-11 2009-10 2011-12 2010-11 2009-10 2011-12 2010-11 2009-10 2011-12 2010-11	Total Tested 2011-12 205 2010-11 207 2009-10 199 2011-12 205 2010-11 207 2009-10 199 2011-12 189 2010-11 189 2010-11 189 2010-11 189 2010-11 189 2010-11 189 2010-11 189 2010-11 198 2010-11 36 2010-11 36 2010-11 36 2010-11 36 2010-11 36 2010-11 0 2010-11 0 2010-11 0 2010-11 0 2010-11 0 2010-11 0 2010-11 0 2010-11 0 2010-11 0 2010-11 0 2010-11 0 2010-11 0 2	Total TestedPercent in each in each 	Total TotalPercent studer in each performant in each	Total Percent of students scoring Tested neach performative level 2011-12 205 3% 26% 44% 2010-11 207 5% 25% 45% 2009-10 199 3% 22% 50% 2011-12 205 35% 31% 19% 2011-12 205 35% 31% 19% 2010-11 207 34% 27% 19% 2010-11 205 35% 31% 19% 2010-11 198 2% 5% 39% 2009-10 170 2% 4% 38% 2010-11 198 2% 51% 39% 2010-11 198 4% 28% 51% 2010-11 198 3% 6% 50% 2010-11 36 3% 10% 40% 2010-11 36 3% 10% 50% 2010-11 36 8% 19%	Total TestedPercent students scoring in each performative2011-122053%26%44%26%2010-112075%25%45%25%2009-101993%22%50%25%2010-1120535%31%19%20%2010-1120734%27%19%20%2010-1120734%27%19%20%2010-1119931%34%12%24%2011-121890%3%40%56%2010-111982%51%17%20%2010-111984%28%51%17%2010-111984%28%51%17%2010-11363%6%50%42%2010-11363%6%50%42%2010-11363%6%50%42%2010-11363%6%50%42%2010-11368%19%33%33%2010-110	Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Tested Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Tested 2011-12 205 3% 26% 44% 26% 200 2010-11 207 5% 25% 45% 202 200 2009-10 199 3% 22% 50% 25% 488 2011-12 205 35% 31% 19% 16% 200 2010-11 207 34% 27% 19% 20% 212 2009-10 199 31% 34% 12% 24% 188 2011-12 189 0% 3% 40% 51% 177 2009-10 170 2% 4% 38% 56% 146 2011-12 198 3% 24% 51% 177 177 2009-10 170 6% 24% 49% 22% 146 2010-11 3%	Total TestedPercent of students storing in each performance level:Total in each performance level:Percent of in each performance level:2011-1220053%26%44%26%200044%2010-112075%25%45%25%1882%2010-112073%22%50%25%1882%2010-1120734%27%19%20%20234%2010-1120734%27%19%20%20234%2010-1120734%27%19%20%20%34%2009-1019931%34%12%24%18830%2010-111982%5%39%54%1772%2010-111983%22%51%17%1774%2010-111983%24%49%22%1464%2010-111984%28%51%17%1774%2010-11363%6%50%42%28-2010-11363%6%50%42%282010-11363%19%33%9%23%2010-11368%19%33%50%339%-2010-11366%50%29%282010-110	Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total in each performance level: Total in each performance level: Tested Tested Secoring in each performance level: Tested Tested Secoring in each performance level: Col1-12 205 3% Z6% Z00 4% Z6% Z00 4% Z01 Z05 35% Z1% Z2% <	Percent of students scoring n each performance level: Total rested Percent of students scoring n each performance level: 2011-12 205 3% 26% 44% 26% 200 4% 25% 45% 2010-11 207 5% 25% 45% 202 5% 25% 45% 2009-10 199 3% 22% 50% 25% 188 2% 19% 2010-11 207 34% 27% 19% 200 34% 32% 19% 2010-11 207 34% 27% 19% 200 202 34% 27% 19% 2010-11 198 0% 34% 12% 24% 188 30% 35% 11% 2011-12 189 0% 3% 56% 146 2% 5% 3% 2011-12 189 3% 26% 51% 17% 2% 2% 3% 2011-12 188 3% <td>Total Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Percent of students scoring in each performance level: 2011-12 205 3% 26% 44% 26% 200 4% 25% 45% 27% 2010-11 207 5% 25% 45% 25% 202 5% 25% 45% 25% 2009-10 199 3% 22% 50% 25% 188 2% 19% 16% 2010-11 207 34% 12% 14% 188 30% 56% 168 30% 56% 200 34% 29% 56% 36% 56% 166 20% 35% 56% 36% 56% 36% 36% 56% 36% 56% 36% 56% 36% 56% 20% 36% 56% 36% 56% 26% 25% 25% 25% 25%</td> <td>Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total field Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total field Total in each performance level: Total field Total field Total field Total field Total field Total field Total field Total field 2011-12 205 3% 26% 44% 26% 200 4% 25% 45% 55 2000-10 199 3% 26% 50% 25% 188 20% 21% 50% 55 2010-11 207 34% 27% 19% 20% 202 34% 27% 11 2011-12 189 34% 12% 24% 188 30% 35% 11% 14% 2010-11 198 2% 5% 39% 54% 177 2% 5% 37% 16% 14 2010-11 188 4% 28% 51% 17% 2% 2% 2% 14 2010-11 <td< td=""><td>Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total in each performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total in each performance level: Percent in each performance level: Percent in each performance level: Total in each performance level: Percent in each performance level: Total in each performance level: Percent in each performance level: 2011-12 205 3% 2% 4% 200 200 34% 27% 10% 20% 2011-12 205 3% 1% 1% 1% 200 34% 2% 1% 2% 1% 1% 3% 2010-11 208 3% 1% 1% 1% 1% 2% 3% 5% 114 0% 2010-11 1% 3% 2% 1% 1% 1% 2% 1% 2%<!--</td--><td>Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total neach performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total neach performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total neach performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total neach performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total neach performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total neach performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total neach performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total method performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total method performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total method performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total method performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total method performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total method performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: 2011-12 205 35% 31% 40% 57% 25% 45% 25% 41% 21% 40%</td><td>Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total in each performance level: 2010-11 205 310 310 310</td></td></td<></td>	Total Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total Percent of students scoring in each performance level: 2011-12 205 3% 26% 44% 26% 200 4% 25% 45% 27% 2010-11 207 5% 25% 45% 25% 202 5% 25% 45% 25% 2009-10 199 3% 22% 50% 25% 188 2% 19% 16% 2010-11 207 34% 12% 14% 188 30% 56% 168 30% 56% 200 34% 29% 56% 36% 56% 166 20% 35% 56% 36% 56% 36% 36% 56% 36% 56% 36% 56% 36% 56% 20% 36% 56% 36% 56% 26% 25% 25% 25% 25%	Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total field Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total field Total in each performance level: Total field Total field Total field Total field Total field Total field Total field Total field 2011-12 205 3% 26% 44% 26% 200 4% 25% 45% 55 2000-10 199 3% 26% 50% 25% 188 20% 21% 50% 55 2010-11 207 34% 27% 19% 20% 202 34% 27% 11 2011-12 189 34% 12% 24% 188 30% 35% 11% 14% 2010-11 198 2% 5% 39% 54% 177 2% 5% 37% 16% 14 2010-11 188 4% 28% 51% 17% 2% 2% 2% 14 2010-11 <td< td=""><td>Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total in each performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total in each performance level: Percent in each performance level: Percent in each performance level: Total in each performance level: Percent in each performance level: Total in each performance level: Percent in each performance level: 2011-12 205 3% 2% 4% 200 200 34% 27% 10% 20% 2011-12 205 3% 1% 1% 1% 200 34% 2% 1% 2% 1% 1% 3% 2010-11 208 3% 1% 1% 1% 1% 2% 3% 5% 114 0% 2010-11 1% 3% 2% 1% 1% 1% 2% 1% 2%<!--</td--><td>Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total neach performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total neach performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total neach performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total neach performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total neach performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total neach performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total neach performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total method performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total method performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total method performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total method performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total method performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total method performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: 2011-12 205 35% 31% 40% 57% 25% 45% 25% 41% 21% 40%</td><td>Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total in each performance level: 2010-11 205 310 310 310</td></td></td<>	Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total in each performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total in each performance level: Percent in each performance level: Percent in each performance level: Total in each performance level: Percent in each performance level: Total in each performance level: Percent in each performance level: 2011-12 205 3% 2% 4% 200 200 34% 27% 10% 20% 2011-12 205 3% 1% 1% 1% 200 34% 2% 1% 2% 1% 1% 3% 2010-11 208 3% 1% 1% 1% 1% 2% 3% 5% 114 0% 2010-11 1% 3% 2% 1% 1% 1% 2% 1% 2% </td <td>Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total neach performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total neach performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total neach performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total neach performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total neach performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total neach performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total neach performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total method performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total method performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total method performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total method performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total method performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total method performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: 2011-12 205 35% 31% 40% 57% 25% 45% 25% 41% 21% 40%</td> <td>Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total in each performance level: 2010-11 205 310 310 310</td>	Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total neach performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total neach performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total neach performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total neach performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total neach performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total neach performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total neach performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total method performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total method performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total method performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total method performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total method performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total method performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Percent of students scoring in each performance level: 2011-12 205 35% 31% 40% 57% 25% 45% 25% 41% 21% 40%	Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total in each performance level: 2010-11 205 310 310 310

NOTE

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.