

The New York State Report Card 2011–12 School LINCOLN TITUS ELEMENTARY SCHOOL School ID 66-24-01-06-0004 District LAKELAND CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT Principal ELIZABETH MCGOWAN Telephone (914) 528-2519 Grades K-5, UE

The New York State Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents' effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on school/district enrollment and staff, student performance, and other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school's or district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic supports.

This report includes:

1 Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's or district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, attendance and suspensions, and staff.

2 Student Performance

This section shows student performance on standardized assessments at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels.

3 Student Outcomes

This section shows outcomes for graduates and noncompleters, including postgraduation plans of completers.

For more information: Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: dataquest@mail.nysed.gov 1 **Profile**

School LINCOLN TITUS ELEMENTARY SCHOOL School ID 66-24-01-06-0004

Enrollment

	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12
Pre-K	0	0	
Kindergarten	86	69	83
Grade 1	91	83	71
Grade 2	70	87	83
Grade 3	79	73	92
Grade 4	73	77	72
Grade 5	67	79	78
Grade 6	0	0	0
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	2
Grade 7	0	0	0
Grade 8	0	0	0
Grade 9	0	0	0
Grade 10	0	0	0
Grade 11	0	0	0
Grade 12	0	0	0
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K–12	466	468	481

Average Class Size

	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12
Common Branch	21	21	22
Grade 8			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			
Grade 10			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			

District LAKELAND CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a school's and district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a school's or district's enrollment. The state public enrollment includes public school districts, charter schools, and NYSED-operated programs. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts. Kindergarten and Pre-K counts include halfand full-day students.

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. Common Branch refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

1 Profile

School LINCOLN TITUS ELEMENTARY SCHOOL School ID 66-24-01-06-0004

Demographic Factors

	200	9–10	2010-11		2011-12	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	32	7%	30	6%	47	10%
Reduced Price Lunch	13	3%	16	3%	18	4%
Limited English Proficient	0	0%	1	0%	0	0%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black or African American	30	6%	31	7%	41	9%
Hispanic or Latino	40	9%	45	10%	68	14%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	25	5%	28	6%	27	6%
White	356	76%	350	75%	333	69%
Multiracial	15	3%	14	3%	12	2%

Attendance and Suspensions

	2008	8-09	200	9-10	201	0-11
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		95%		97%		98%
Student Suspensions	5	1%	6	1%	2	0%

District LAKELAND CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and *Reduced-Price Lunch* percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school's (or district's) total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A school's (or district's) actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the school (or district's schools) was open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day the school (or schools) was open during the school year. The state's Annual Attendance Rate is a weighted average of all districtlevel attendance rates.

Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including inschool suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

1 Profile

School LINCOLN TITUS ELEMENTARY SCHOOL School ID 66-24-01-06-0004

Teacher Qualifications

	2009–10	2010-11	2011-12
Total Number of Teachers	37	35	35
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	0%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	0%	0%	0%
Percent with Fewer than Three Years of Experience	3%	3%	0%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	32%	40%	49%
Total Number of Core Classes	48	43	39
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers in This School*	0%	0%	0%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers in This District**	0%	0%	0%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers in High-Poverty Schools Statewide	6%	5%	4%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers in Low-Poverty Schools Statewide	1%	0%	1%
Total Number of Classes	68	64	65
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	3%	0%	0%

*Not available at the district or statewide level.

**Not available for charter schools or at the statewide level.

Teacher Turnover Rate

	2008–09	2009–10	2010-11
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	0%	0%	0%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	19%	14%	6%

Staff Counts

	2009–10	2010-11	2011-12
Total Other Professional Staff	2	3	2
Total Paraprofessionals*	N/A	N/A	N/A
Assistant Principals	0	0	0
Principals	1	1	1

*Not available at the school level.

District LAKELAND CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* for public schools is the percent doing so on more than an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K–6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area or otherwise in accordance with state standards, and show subject matter competency.

In public schools, a teacher who taught one class outside of the certification area(s) is counted as *Highly Qualified* provided that 1) the teacher had been determined by the school or district through the HOUSSE process or other state-accepted methods to have demonstrated acceptable subject knowledge and teaching skills and 2) the class in question was not the sole assignment reported. Credit for incidental teaching does not extend beyond a single assignment. Independent of *Highly Qualified Teacher* status, any assignment for which a teacher did not hold a valid certificate still registers as teaching out of certification.

In charter schools, a teacher is counted as *Highly Qualified* if the teacher has at least a Bachelor's degree, is certified to teach, and shows subject matter competency. Enabling legislation considers charter school teachers to be certified if they hold any valid teaching certificate. Enabling legislation also permits up to 30 percent (with a maximum of five) of charter school teachers to be without certification and to be considered *Highly Qualified* if they meet all remaining criteria.

High-poverty and *low-poverty* schools are those schools in the upper and lower quartiles, respectively, for percentage of students eligible for a free or reduced-price lunch.

Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to nonteaching duties.

2 Student Performance

School LINCOLN TITUS ELEMENTARY SCHOOL School ID 66-24-01-06-0004

This section contains annual assessment data for students at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels as well as the performance of secondary-level cohorts on standardized achievement assessments.

New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) Assessments

The New York State Testing Program assessments are administered in English language arts (ELA) and mathematics in grades 3 through 8. The Performance Level Descriptors for these assessments are provided below:

English Language Arts

Level 1: Below Standard

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level.

Level 2: Meets Basic Standard

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level. **Level 3: Meets Proficiency Standard**

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level. **Level 4: Exceeds Proficiency Standard**

Level 4: Exceeds Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level.

Mathematics

Level 1: Below Standard

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

Level 2: Meets Basic Standard

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

Level 3: Meets Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

Level 4: Exceeds Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA)

The New York State Alternate Assessments are administered in English language arts (ELA) and mathematics to ungraded students with severe cognitive disabilities whose ages are equivalent to graded students in grades 3 through 8 and secondary level. They are administered in science to students with disabilities age equivalent to graded students in grades 4, 8, and secondary level. And they are administered in social studies at the secondary level only.

New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT)

The New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests are administered in grades K through 12 to limited English proficient students.

Secondary-Level Cohorts

A secondary-level cohort consists of all students who first entered grade 9 anywhere or, in the case of ungraded students with disabilities, reached their seventeenth birthday in a particular year. The 2008 cohort consists of all students who first entered grade 9 anywhere or, in the case of ungraded students with disabilities, reached their seventeenth birthday between July 1, 2008 and June 30, 2009. The 2007 cohort consists of all students who first entered grade 9 anywhere or, in the case of ungraded students of all students who first entered grade 9 anywhere or, in the case of ungraded students with disabilities, reached their seventeenth birthday between July 1, 2008 and June 30, 2009. The 2007 cohort consists of all students who first entered grade 9 anywhere or, in the case of ungraded students with disabilities, reached their seventeenth birthday between July 1, 2007 and June 30, 2008. For more detailed information on cohort definitions, see *Secondary-Level Cohort Definitions* at http://www.pl2.nysed.gov/irs/sirs/.

District LAKELAND CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

	This Sch	ool		School	District		NY Stat	e Public		
	Percentag	e scoring at l	evel(s):	Percenta	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2012 Mean Score: 679 2011 Mean Score: 669	*Range: 644-780	663-780	694-780							
 2011-12 2010-11 	100% 99% 92%	78%	^{19%} 14%	96% 939	81%	14% 8%	86% 87%	56% 56%	7% 5%	
Number of Tested Students:	92 66	78 56	18 10	438 376	366 274	64 32				

Results by	2011-12	School Y	ear		2010–11 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4
All Students	93	99%	84%	19 %	72	92%	78%	14%
Female	43	100%	88%	23%	36	97%	89%	17%
Male	50	98%	80%	16%	36	86%	67%	11%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	7	100%	86%	14%	10	-	–	-
Hispanic or Latino	12	100%	83%	17%	11	100%	82%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	5	-	-	–	4	-	-	
White	67	100%	84%	19%	47	87%	74%	17%
Multiracial	2	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	7	86%	86%	29%	14	100%	86%	14%
General-Education Students	75	100%	88%	24%	60	100%	90%	17%
Students with Disabilities	18	94%	67%	0%	12	50%	17%	0%
English Proficient	93	99%	84%	19%	72	92%	78%	14%
imited English Proficient		••••••	•••••					
Economically Disadvantaged	10	90%	70%	0%	8	100%	88%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	83	100%	86%	22%	64	91%	77%	16%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	93	99%	84%	19%	72	92%	78%	14%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2011-12 data only. Ranges for 2010-11 data are available in the 2010-11 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2011-12	School Ye	ear		2010–11 School Year			
Assessments	Total	tal Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

t These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. July 31, 2013

District LAKELAND CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

	This Sc	:hool		School	District		NY Stat	e Public		
	Percenta	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4 4		
2012 Mean Score: 702 2011 Mean Score: 695	*Range:662-77	0 684–770	707-770							
2011-12 2010-11	99% 939	[%] 87% 79%	32% 29%	97% 93%	81% 71%	27%	91% 91%	61% 60%		
Number of Tested Students:	92 67	81 57	30 21	440 380	368 291	122 71				

Results by	2011-12	School Y	ear		2010–11 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percenta	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4
All Students	93	99%	87%	32%	72	93%	79 %	29 %
Female	43	100%	88%	49%	36	97%	92%	36%
Male	50	98%	86%	18%	36	89%	67%	22%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	7	100%	86%	29%	10	-	-	–
Hispanic or Latino	12	100%	92%	25%	11	100%	82%	9%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	5	-	-	–	4	-	-	-
White	67	100%	87%	33%	47	89%	72%	34%
Multiracial	2	-	-	_				
Small Group Totals	7	86%	86%	43%	14	100%	100%	29%
General-Education Students	75	100%	92%	40%	60	100%	90%	35%
Students with Disabilities	18	94%	67%	0%	12	58%	25%	0%
English Proficient	93	99%	87%	32%	72	93%	79%	29%
_imited English Proficient		••••••	•••••					
Economically Disadvantaged	10	90%	70%	20%	8	100%	63%	13%
Not Disadvantaged	83	100%	89%	34%	64	92%	81%	31%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	93	99%	87%	32%	72	93%	79%	29%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2011-12 data only. Ranges for 2010-11 data are available in the 2010-11 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2011-12	School Ye	ar		2010–11 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	1	-	_	-	0				

Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

		This School Percentage scoring at level(s):			School	District		NY State Public			
					Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2012 Mean Score: 690 2011 Mean Score: 681	*Range	2:637-775	671-775	722-775							
2011–12 2010–11	100%	97% 96%	85%	17% 6%	95% 95%	70% 66%	<u>5%</u> 3%	91% 92%	59% 57%	<u>5%</u> 2%	
Number of Tested Students:		64 74	56 55	11 5	384 426	284 298	22 12				

Results by	2011-12	School Y	ear		2010–11 School Year				
-	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	
All Students	66	97%	85%	17%	77	96%	71%	6%	
Female	35	100%	94%	20%	37	100%	76%	8%	
Male	31	94%	74%	13%	40	93%	68%	5%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	11	100%	82%	18%	8	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino	10	100%	100%	10%	2	-	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	-	-	-	10	100%	40%	10%	
White	39	95%	82%	18%	55	95%	71%	5%	
Multiracial	2	-	-		2	-	-		
Small Group Totals	6	100%	83%	17%	12	100%	100%	8%	
General-Education Students	57	100%	88%	19%	65	100%	83%	8%	
Students with Disabilities	9	78%	67%	0%	12	75%	8%	0%	
English Proficient	66	97%	85%	17%	77	96%	71%	6%	
_imited English Proficient		••••••••	•••••	••••••			•••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	9	100%	78%	0%	6	100%	67%	0%	
Not Disadvantaged	57	96%	86%	19%	71	96%	72%	7%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	66	97%	85%	17%	77	96%	71%	6%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2011-12 data only. Ranges for 2010-11 data are available in the 2010-11 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2011-12	School Ye	ar		2010–11 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Total				Total				
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

† These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. July 31, 2013

District LAKELAND CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Results in Grade 4 Mathematics

	This S	chool		School	District		NY Stat	e Public		
	Percent	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2012 Mean Score: 695 2011 Mean Score: 692	*Range: 636-80	0 676-800	707-800							
 2011-12 2010-11 	100% 98% 96	% 77% 82%	30% 27%	97% 96%	78% 75%	29% 30%	95% 94%	69% 67%	30% 27%	
Number of Tested Students:	65 74	51 63	20 21	391 433	314 339	118 134				

Results by	2011-12	School Y	ear		2010–11 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	
All Students	66	98%	77%	30%	77	96%	82%	27%	
Female	35	100%	80%	37%	37	97%	81%	27%	
Male	31	97%	74%	23%	40	95%	83%	28%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	11	100%	82%	18%	8	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino	10	100%	50%	20%	2	-	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	-	-	–	10	100%	80%	20%	
White	39	100%	82%	31%	55	95%	78%	29%	
Multiracial	2	-		-	2	-	-	-	
Small Group Totals	6	83%	83%	67%	12	100%	100%	25%	
General-Education Students	57	98%	79%	33%	65	100%	94%	31%	
Students with Disabilities	9	100%	67%	11%	12	75%	17%	8%	
English Proficient	66	98%	77%	30%	77	96%	82%	27%	
imited English Proficient		••••••	•••••				•••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	9	100%	56%	11%	6	100%	83%	33%	
Not Disadvantaged	57	98%	81%	33%	71	96%	82%	27%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	66	98%	77%	30%	77	96%	82%	27%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2011-12 data only. Ranges for 2010-11 data are available in the 2010-11 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2011-12	School Ye	ar		2010–11 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	0				

District LAKELAND CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Results in Grade 4 Science

	Thi	This School Percentage scoring at level(s):			School	District		NY Sta	NY State Public		
	Perc				Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
	2-4		3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2012 Mean Score: 87 2011 Mean Score: 86	Range: 45–	100	65-100	85-100							
2011–12 2010–11	100% 100%	699%		65% 71%	100% 99%	96% 96%	67% 68%	97% 98%	89% 88%	57% 52	
Number of Tested Students:	65	76	63 74	42 55	405 431	390 417	274 297				

Results by	2011-12	School Y	ear		2010–11 School Year				
-	Total	Percenta	age scoring	at level(s):	Total	Percenta	ige scoring	at level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	
All Students	65	100%	97%	65%	77	99%	96%	71%	
Female	35	100%	97%	63%	37	100%	100%	73%	
Male	30	100%	97%	67%	40	98%	93%	70%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	10	100%	90%	60%	8	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino	10	100%	100%	50%	2	-	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	-	-	–	10	100%	100%	50%	
White	39	100%	100%	69%	55	98%	95%	73%	
Multiracial	2	-	-	_	2	-	-	-	
Small Group Totals	6	100%	83%	67%	12	100%	100%	83%	
General-Education Students	56	100%	96%	66%	65	100%	98%	75%	
Students with Disabilities	9	100%	100%	56%	12	92%	83%	50%	
English Proficient	65	100%	97%	65%	77	99%	96%	71%	
Limited English Proficient							•••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	9	100%	89%	11%	6	100%	100%	67%	
Not Disadvantaged	56	100%	98%	73%	71	99%	96%	72%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	65	100%	97%	65%	77	99%	96%	71%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2011-12	School Ye	ar		2010–11 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number	scoring at le	vel(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	0				

District LAKELAND CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

	This Sch	ool		School	District		NY State Public			
	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2012 Mean Score: 684 2011 Mean Score: 673	*Range:648-795	668-795	700-795							
 2011–12 2010–11 	100% 100% 88%	88%	21% 9%	96% 94%	64%	9% 7%	89% 89%	58% 54%	5% 4%	
Number of Tested Students:	78 69	69 48	16 7	431 431	342 295	42 31				

Results by	2011-12	2 School Y	ear		2010–11 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	
All Students	78	100%	88%	21%	78	88%	62%	9%	
Female	39	100%	92%	28%	36	92%	69%	14%	
Male	39	100%	85%	13%	42	86%	55%	5%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	9	100%	100%	11%	3	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino	4	-	-	-	12	100%	58%	8%	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	10	100%	90%	20%	2	-	-		
White	52	100%	87%	19%	59	86%	63%	8%	
Multiracial	3	-	-		2	-	-		
Small Group Totals	7	100%	86%	43%	7	86%	57%	14%	
General-Education Students	67	100%	97%	24%	56	100%	77%	13%	
Students with Disabilities	11	100%	36%	0%	22	59%	23%	0%	
English Proficient	78	100%	88%	21%	78	88%	62%	9%	
Limited English Proficient		••••					••••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	5	100%	80%	0%	13	85%	38%	0%	
Not Disadvantaged	73	100%	89%	22%	65	89%	66%	11%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	78	100%	88%	21%	78	88%	62%	9%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2011-12 data only. Ranges for 2010-11 data are available in the 2010-11 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2011-12	School Ye	ear		2010–11 School Year					
Assessments	Total	Number	scoring at I	evel(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):				
	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	0					
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A		
	Total				Total					
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A		

⁺ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. July 31, 2013

District LAKELAND CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

	This Sch	ool		School	District		NY Stat	e Public	
	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Percentag	ge scoring a	: level(s):	Percenta	ge scoring at	level(s):
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2012 Mean Score: 713 2011 Mean Score: 691	*Range:640-780	676-780	707-780						
2011–12 2010–11	100% 99% 96%	92%	62%	96% 97%	81% 74%	41%	93% 94%	67% 66%	28% 239
Number of Tested Students:	77 75	72 56	48 21	432 448	365 340	182 123			

Results by	2011-12	School Y	ear		2010-11	2010–11 School Year					
Student Group	Total	Percent	age scoring	at level(s):	Total	Percenta	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Group	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4			
All Students	78	99%	92%	62%	78	96%	72%	27%			
Female	39	97%	87%	59%	36	100%	72%	25%			
Male	39	100%	97%	64%	42	93%	71%	29%			
American Indian or Alaska Native											
Black or African American	9	100%	100%	67%	3	–	-	-			
Hispanic or Latino	4	-	-	–	12	100%	58%	25%			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	10	100%	80%	50%	2	-	-	-			
White	52	98%	94%	65%	59	95%	75%	25%			
Multiracial	3	-	-	_	2	-	-	-			
Small Group Totals	7	100%	86%	43%	7	100%	71%	43%			
General-Education Students	67	100%	100%	70%	56	100%	86%	38%			
Students with Disabilities	11	91%	45%	9%	22	86%	36%	0%			
English Proficient	78	99%	92%	62%	78	96%	72%	27%			
Limited English Proficient		••••••					••••••				
Economically Disadvantaged	5	100%	80%	40%	13	100%	54%	23%			
Not Disadvantaged	73	99%	93%	63%	65	95%	75%	28%			
Migrant											
Not Migrant	78	99%	92%	62%	78	96%	72%	27%			

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2011-12 data only. Ranges for 2010-11 data are available in the 2010-11 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other Assessments	2011-12	School Ye	ar		2010–11 School Year					
	Total	Number	scoring at le	vel(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):				
	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	0					

District LAKELAND CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

	This School			Schoo	l District		NY St	ate Public	
	Percent	tage scoring	at level(s):	Percent	tage scoring	at level(s):	Percen	tage scoring	at level(s):
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
*Ran	ge:								
1009	%								
2011-12									
2010-11									
Number of Tested Students:	L						L		

Results by	2011-12	School Ye	ar	2010–11 School Year						
-	Total	Percenta	ge scoring a	t level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Group	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4		
All Students										
Female Male										
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American										
Hispanic or Latino										
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						••••••				
White										
Multiracial						••••••		•••••		
Small Group Totals										
General-Education Students Students with Disabilities										
English Proficient										
Limited English Proficient		••••				••••••		•••••		
Economically Disadvantaged										
Not Disadvantaged										
Migrant										
Not Migrant										

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2011-12 data only. Ranges for 2010-11 data are available in the 2010-11 Accountability and Overview Reports. 2011-12 School Year 2010-11 School Year Other Number scoring at level(s): Number scoring at level(s): Total Total Assessments Tested Tested 2-4 3-4 4 2 - 43-4 4 New York State Alternate Assessment 0 2 (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent New York State English as a Second Language 0 N/A 0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)[†]: Grade 6 Total Total Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on 0 0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A the ELA NYSTP: Grade 6

⁺ These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. July 31, 2013

District LAKELAND CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

	This School Percentage scoring at level(s):			Schoo	l District		NY St	ate Public	
				Percent	age scoring	at level(s):	Percen	tage scoring	at level(s):
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
*Rang	je:								
100%	6								
2011-12									
2010-11									
Number of Tested Students:	L			L			L		

Results by	2011-12	School Ye	ar	2010–11 School Year					
•	Total	Percenta	ge scoring a	t level(s):	Total		ge scoring a	t level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	Tested	2–4	3–4	4	
All Students									
Female Male									
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American									
Hispanic or Latino								•••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						••••••		•••••	
White								••••••	
Multiracial									
Small Group Totals									
General-Education Students Students with Disabilities									
English Proficient									
Limited English Proficient	••••••	••••	•••••			••••••		•••••	
Economically Disadvantaged									
Not Disadvantaged		•••••							
Migrant									
Not Migrant									

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

* These ranges are for 2011–12 data only. Ranges for Other		110-11 data are available in the 2010-11 Accountability and Overview Reports. 2011-12 School Year 2010-11 School Year										
Assessments	Total Tested	Number 2–4	scoring at le 3–4	evel(s): 4	Total Tested	Number 2–4	Number scoring at level(s):					
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				2	-	-	-				

New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)

	All Stu	lents				Genera	al-Educatio	n Student	s	Students with Disabilities					
	Total Tested			-		Total Tested			-		Total Tested				-
		Begin.	Interm.	Adv.	Prof.		Begin.	Interm.	Adv.	Prof.		Begin.	Interm.	Adv.	Prof.
2011-12	0					0					0				
2010-11	0					0					0				
2009-10	0					0					0				
2011-12	0					0					0				
2010-11	0					0					0				
2009-10	0					0					0				
2011-12	1	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	0				
2010-11	0					0					0				
2009-10	0					0					0				
2011-12	1	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	0				
2010-11	0					0					0				
2009-10	0					0					0				
2011-12	0					0					0				
2010-11	0					0					0				
2009-10	0					0					0				
2011-12	0					0					0				
2010-11	0					0					0				
2009-10	0					0					0				
2011-12	0					0					0				
2010-11	0					0					0				
2009-10	0					0					0				
2011-12	0					0					0				
2010-11	0					0					0				
2009-10	0					0					0				
2011-12	0					0					0				
2010-11	0					0					0				
2009-10	0					0					0				
2011-12	0					0					0				
2010-11	0					0					0				
2009-10	0					0					0				
	2010-11 2009-10 2011-12 2010-11 2009-10 2011-12 2010-11 2009-10 2011-12 2010-11 2009-10 2011-12 2010-11 2009-10 2011-12 2010-11 2009-10 2011-12 2010-11 2009-10 2011-12 2010-11 2009-10 2011-12	Total Tested 2011-12 0 2010-11	Tested in each per Tested in each per 2011-12 0 2009-10 0 2011-12 0 2010-11 0 2010-11 0 2010-11 0 2010-11 0 2009-10 0 2010-11 0 2010-11 0 2010-11 0 2010-11 0 2010-11 0 2010-11 0 2010-11 0 2010-11 0 2010-11 0 2010-11 0 2010-11 0 2010-11 0 2010-11 0 2010-11 0 2010-11 0 2010-11 0 2009-10 0 2010-11 0 2010-11 0 2010-11 0 2010-11 0 2009-10 0	Total TestedPercent of student in each performant2011-1202010-1102010-1202010-1102010-1102010-1102010-1102010-1102010-1102010-1102010-1102011-121-2010-1102010-11 </td <td>Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total neach performance level: Second in each performance level: 2011-12 O Second in each performance level: 2010-11 O Image: second in each performance level: 2010-11 O Image: second in each performance level: 2011-12 O Image: second in each performance level: 2010-11 O Image: second in each performance level: Image: second in each performance level: 2010-11 O Image: second in each performance level: Image: second in each performance level: 2010-11 O Image: second in each performance level: Image: second in each performance level: Image: second in each performance level: 2011-12 O Image: second in each performance level: Image: second in each performance level: Image: second in each performance level: 2010-11 O Image: second in each performance level: Image: second in each performance level: Image: second in each performance level: 2010-11 O Image: second in each performance level: Image: second in each performance level: Image: second in each performance level: 2</br></br></td> <td>Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Image: Second Secon</td> <td>Total Percent of suctorescuences Total Percent of suctorescuences Total Percent of suctorescuences Image: Percent of suctorescuences Image: Percent of suctorescuences Image: Percent of suctorescuences 2011-12 Image: Percent of suctorescuences Image: Percent of suctorescuences Image: Percent of suctorescuences 2010-11 Image: Percent of suctorescuences Image: Percent of suctorescuences Image: Percent of suctorescuences 2010-11 Image: Percent of suctorescuences Image: Percent of suctorescuences Image: Percent of suctorescuences 2010-11 Image: Percent of suctorescuences Image: Percent of suctorescuences Image: Percent of suctorescuences 2010-11 Image: Percent of suctorescuences Image: Percent of suctorescuences Image: Percent of suctorescuences 2010-11 Image: Percent of suctorescuences Image: Percent of suctorescuences Image: Percent of suctorescuences 2010-11 Image: Percent of suctorescuences Image: Percent of suctorescuences Image: Percent of suctorescuences 2010-11 Image: Percent of suctorescuences Image: Percent of suctorescuences Image: Percent of suctorescuences 2010-11 Image: Percent of suctorescuences Image: Percent of suctorescuences Image: Percent of suctorescuences</td> <td>Total Percent of successions Total Percent of successions Image: Second Se</td> <td>Teal Percent of students scoring in each pertormance level. Tested Percent of students scoring in each pertormance level. Tested Percent of students scoring in each pertormance level. 2011-12 0 is an each pertormance level. 00 is an each pertormance level. 2011-12 0 Is an each pertormance level. 00 Is an each pertormance level. 2011-12 0 Is an each pertormance level. 00 Is an each pertormance level. 00 2011-12 0 Is an each pertormance level. 00 Is an each pertormance level. 00 2011-12 0 Is an each pertormance level. 00 Is an each pertormance level. 00 2011-12 0 Is an each pertormance level. 0 Is an each pertormance level. 0 2011-12 0 Is an each pertormance level. 0 Is an each pertormance level. 0 2011-12 0 Is an each pertormance level. 0 Is an each pertormance level. 0 Is an each pertormance level. 2011-12 0 Is an each pertormance level. Is an each pertormance level. 0 Is an each pertormance level. Is a</td> <td>Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: 2011-12 0 </td> <td>Total near performance level: Testel Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total near performance level: Testel Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Solid near performance level: Solid near performance level: Solid near performance level: Solid near performance level: Solid near performance level: Solid near performance level: Solid near performance level: Solid near performance level: Solid near performance level: Solid near performance level: Solid near performance level: Solid near performance level: Solid near performance level: Solid near performance level: Solid near performance level: Solid near performance level: Solid near performance level: Solid near performance level: Solid near performance level: Solid near performance level: Solid near performance level: Solid near performance level: Solid near performance level: Solid near performance level: Solid near performance level: Solid near performance level: Solid near performance level: Solid near performance level: Solid near performance level: Solid near performance level: Solid near performance level: Solid near performance level: Solid near performance level:</td> <td>Total Present of students scoring in each performance level Total neach performance level Present of students scoring in each performance level Total neach performance level 2011-12 0 $1 + 2 + 2 + 2 + 2 + 2 + 2 + 2 + 2 + 2 +$</td> <td>India Percent of students scoring Total Percent of score sc</td> <td>Tesh Percent of subserve surverse Tesh Tesh</td> <td>Tesh Percent of students sectors Tesh Percent of students sectors Tesh Percent of students sectors 100 100</td>	Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total neach performance level: Second in each performance level: 2011-12 O Second 	Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Image: Second Secon	Total Percent of suctorescuences Total Percent of suctorescuences Total Percent of suctorescuences Image: Percent of suctorescuences Image: Percent of suctorescuences Image: Percent of suctorescuences 2011-12 Image: Percent of suctorescuences Image: Percent of suctorescuences Image: Percent of suctorescuences 2010-11 Image: Percent of suctorescuences Image: Percent of suctorescuences Image: Percent of suctorescuences 2010-11 Image: Percent of suctorescuences Image: Percent of suctorescuences Image: Percent of suctorescuences 2010-11 Image: Percent of suctorescuences Image: Percent of suctorescuences Image: Percent of suctorescuences 2010-11 Image: Percent of suctorescuences Image: Percent of suctorescuences Image: Percent of suctorescuences 2010-11 Image: Percent of suctorescuences Image: Percent of suctorescuences Image: Percent of suctorescuences 2010-11 Image: Percent of suctorescuences Image: Percent of suctorescuences Image: Percent of suctorescuences 2010-11 Image: Percent of suctorescuences Image: Percent of suctorescuences Image: Percent of suctorescuences 2010-11 Image: Percent of suctorescuences Image: Percent of suctorescuences Image: Percent of suctorescuences	Total Percent of successions Total Percent of successions Image: Second Se	Teal Percent of students scoring in each pertormance level. Tested Percent of students scoring in each pertormance level. Tested Percent of students scoring in each pertormance level. 2011-12 0 is an each pertormance level. 00 is an each pertormance level. 2011-12 0 Is an each pertormance level. 00 Is an each pertormance level. 2011-12 0 Is an each pertormance level. 00 Is an each pertormance level. 00 2011-12 0 Is an each pertormance level. 00 Is an each pertormance level. 00 2011-12 0 Is an each pertormance level. 00 Is an each pertormance level. 00 2011-12 0 Is an each pertormance level. 0 Is an each pertormance level. 0 2011-12 0 Is an each pertormance level. 0 Is an each pertormance level. 0 2011-12 0 Is an each pertormance level. 0 Is an each pertormance level. 0 Is an each pertormance level. 2011-12 0 Is an each pertormance level. Is an each pertormance level. 0 Is an each pertormance level. Is a	Total Tested Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total resch Percent of students scoring in each performance level: 2011-12 0	Total near performance level: Testel Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Total near performance level: Testel Percent of students scoring in each performance level: Solid near performance level: Solid near performance level: Solid near performance level: Solid near performance level: Solid near performance level: Solid near performance level: Solid near performance level: Solid near performance level: Solid near performance level: Solid near performance level: Solid near performance level: Solid near performance level: Solid near performance level: Solid near performance level: Solid near performance level: Solid near performance level: Solid near performance level: Solid near performance level: Solid near performance level: Solid near performance level: Solid near performance level: Solid near performance level: Solid near performance level: Solid near performance level: Solid near performance level: Solid near performance level: Solid near performance level: Solid near performance level: Solid near performance level: Solid near performance level: Solid near performance level: Solid near performance level: Solid near performance level:	Total Present of students scoring in each performance level Total neach performance level Present of students scoring in each performance level Total neach performance level 2011-12 0 $1 + 2 + 2 + 2 + 2 + 2 + 2 + 2 + 2 + 2 + $	India Percent of students scoring Total Percent of score sc	Tesh Percent of subserve surverse Tesh Tesh	Tesh Percent of students sectors Tesh Percent of students sectors Tesh Percent of students sectors 100

NOTE

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.