



- Enrollment & Classification Rate
- Indicator 1: Graduation Rate
- Indicator 2: Drop-Out Rate
- Indicator 3: State Assessments
- Indicator 4A: Suspension Rate
- Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy by Race/Ethnicity in Suspension Rate
- Indicator 5: School-Age Least Restrictive Environment (LRE)
- Indicator 6: Preschool Least Restrictive Environment (LRE)
- Indicator 7: Preschool Outcomes
- Indicator 8: Parental Involvement
- Indicator 9: Disproportionality - Identification for Special Education
- Indicator 10A: Disproportionality in Specific Disability Categories
- Indicator 10B: Disproportionality in Special Education Placements
- Indicator 11: Timely Evaluations (Child Find)
- Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition
- Indicator 13: Secondary Transition
- Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

## Lowville Academy & Central School District 2016-17

### Enrollment And Classification Rate



District Enrollment: **1,451**

#### SCHOOL-AGE STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES AND CLASSIFICATION RATE


171

11.8%

#### PRESCHOOL STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES

26

## Indicator 1: Graduation Rate of Students with Disabilities



\* Districts are only reported as not meeting this state target when there are at least 30 students with disabilities.

2012 TOTAL COHORT - AUGUST 2016

### Students with Disabilities and Graduation rate

13


76.9%

State target and does district meet target

55.57% or higher

Yes, met state target

## Indicator 2: Drop-Out Rate of Students with Disabilities



2012 TOTAL COHORT 4 YEARS LATER - AUGUST 2016

### Students with Disabilities and Drop-out Rate

13

0%

State target and does district meet target

14% or lower

Yes, met state target

## Indicator 3: State Assessments

### Participation in and Performance on State Assessments

GRADE 3-8 ELA

#### Students with disabilities and participation rate

72

96%

State target and does district meet target

95%

Yes, met state target

GRADE 3-8 MATH

#### Students with disabilities and participation rate

70

94%

State target and does district meet target

95%

No, did not meet state target

HIGH SCHOOL ELA

Students with disabilities and participation rate

Less Than 40\*

-

State target and does district meet target

95%

-

HIGH SCHOOL MATH

Students with disabilities and participation rate

Less Than 40\*

-

State target and does district meet target

95%

-

Performance on State Assessments

GRADE 3-8 ELA

Percent at or above level 3

69

13%

State target and does district meet target

20%

No, did not meet state target

GRADE 3-8 MATH

Percent at or above level 3

66

16.7%

State target and does district meet target

19%

No, did not meet state target

HIGH SCHOOL ELA

Percent at or above level 3

-

-

State target and does district meet target

63.5%

-

Percent at or above level 3

-

-

State target and does district meet target

65%


-

Participation in and performance on rate is suppressed if less than 40 students with disabilities are reported as enrolled for participation rate.

### Indicator 4A: Suspension Rate

Suspension Rate of Students with Disabilities after 4 and 5 Years

Lowville Academy & Central School District



Students with Disabilities 186

SUSPENSION RATE 2016-17

Students with Disabilities Suspended Out-of-School for More than 10 Days and %

0

0%

State target and does district meet target

2.7% or lower

Yes, met state target

### Indicator 4B: Significant Discrepancy by Race/Ethnicity in Suspension Rate

SUSPENSION RATE 2016-17

Did the school district have significant discrepancy?

NO

State target for 2016-17


State target and does district meet target

No school districts will have disproportionality that is the result of inappropriate policies, practices and procedures.

Yes, met state target

### Indicator 5: School-age Least Restrictive Environment (LRE)

Lowville Academy & Central School District % of Students with Disabilities (Ages 6-21) in Least Restrictive Environment



State target and does district meet target


Greater than or equal to 59%  
Yes, met state target

State target and does district meet target

No State Target  
Not Applicable


State target and does district meet target

Less than or equal to 20%  
Yes, met state target



State target and does district meet target

Less than or equal to 5.6%  
Yes, met state target



State target and does district meet target

No State Target  
Not Applicable

## Indicator 6: Preschool Least Restrictive Environment (LRE)

Total Students with Disabilities: 47

**STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES WITH MEASUREMENT A**

25.5%

State target and does district meet target

45.0% or higher  
No, did not meet state target

**STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES WITH MEASUREMENT B**

4.3%

State target and does district meet target

20.0% or lower  
Yes, met state target

## Indicator 7: Preschool Outcomes

This district is not required to report for Indicator 7 for 2016-17 please see [http://www.p12.nysed.gov/sedcar/data.htm#subschedule](http://www.p12.nysed.gov/sedcar/data.htm#subschedul) for the school year in which this school district will report data for this indicator.

## Indicator 8: Parental Involvement

This district is not required to report for indicator 8 for 2016-17 please see [http://www.p12.nysed.gov/sedcar/data.htm#subschedule](http://www.p12.nysed.gov/sedcar/data.htm#subschedul) for the school year in which this school district will report data for this indicator.

## Indicator 9: Disproportionality - Identification for Special Education

### DISPROPORTIONATE REPRESENTATION IN SPECIAL EDUCATION?

Yes

State target and does district meet target

No school districts will have disproportionality that is the result of inappropriate policies, practices and procedures.

No, did not meet state target

## Indicator 10A: Disproportionality in Specific Disability Categories

### DISPROPORTIONATE REPRESENTATION IN SPECIFIC DISABILITY CATEGORIES?

No

State target and does district meet target

No school districts will have disproportionality that is the result of inappropriate policies, practices and procedures.

Yes, met state target

## Indicator 10B: Disproportionality in Special Education Placements

### DISPROPORTIONATE REPRESENTATION IN PARTICULAR SETTINGS?

No

State target and does district meet target

No school districts will have disproportionality that is the result of inappropriate policies, practices and procedures.

Yes, met state target

## Indicator 11: Timely Evaluations (Child Find)

NUMBER OF PRESCHOOL STUDENTS FOR WHOM PARENTAL CONSENT TO EVALUATE WAS RECEIVED (JULY 1, 2016 TO JUNE 30, 2017)

41

NUMBER OF PRESCHOOL STUDENTS WHOSE EVALUATIONS WERE COMPLETED WITHIN THE STATE ESTABLISHED TIMELINE

39

NUMBER OF PRESCHOOL STUDENTS WHOSE EVALUATIONS WERE NOT COMPLETED WITHIN STATE ESTABLISHED TIME LINES, BUT FOR REASONS THAT ARE CONSIDERED TO BE IN COMPLIANCE WITH STATE REQUIREMENTS

2

COMPLIANCE RATE - [LINE 2 DIVIDED BY (LINE 1 MINUS LINE 3)\*100]

100%

State target and does district meet target

100%

Yes, met state target

NUMBER OF SCHOOL-AGE STUDENTS FOR WHOM PARENTAL CONSENT TO EVALUATE WAS RECEIVED (JULY 1, 2016 TO JUNE 30, 2017)

13

NUMBER OF SCHOOL-AGE STUDENTS WHOSE EVALUATIONS WERE COMPLETED WITHIN THE STATE ESTABLISHED TIMELINE

13

NUMBER OF SCHOOL-AGE STUDENTS WHOSE EVALUATIONS WERE NOT COMPLETED WITHIN STATE ESTABLISHED TIME LINES, BUT FOR REASONS THAT ARE CONSIDERED TO BE IN COMPLIANCE WITH STATE REQUIREMENTS

0

COMPLIANCE RATE - [LINE 2 DIVIDED BY (LINE 1 MINUS LINE 3)\*100]

100%

State target and does district meet target

100%

Yes, met state target

NUMBER OF COMBINED STUDENTS FOR WHOM PARENTAL CONSENT TO EVALUATE WAS RECEIVED (JULY 1, 2016 TO JUNE 30, 2017)

54

NUMBER OF COMBINED STUDENTS WHOSE EVALUATIONS WERE COMPLETED WITHIN THE STATE ESTABLISHED TIMELINE

52

NUMBER OF COMBINED STUDENTS WHOSE EVALUATIONS WERE NOT COMPLETED WITHIN STATE ESTABLISHED TIME LINES, BUT FOR REASONS THAT ARE CONSIDERED TO BE IN COMPLIANCE WITH STATE REQUIREMENTS

2

COMPLIANCE RATE - [LINE 2 DIVIDED BY (LINE 1 MINUS LINE 3)\*100]

100%

State target and does district meet target

100%

Yes, met state target

## Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Percent of children with IEPs who transitioned from early intervention services (Part C) to preschool special education services (Part B) and received their preschool special education services by their third birthdays consistent with State law.

This district is not required to report for Indicator 12 for 2016-17 please see <http://www.p12.nysed.gov/sedcar/data.htm#subschedule> for the school year in which this school district will report data for this indicator.

## Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

This district is not required to report for Indicator 13 for 2016-17 please see <http://www.p12.nysed.gov/sedcar/data.htm#subschedule> for the school year in which this school district will report data for this indicator.

## Indicator 14: Post-School Outcomes

This district is not required to report for Indicator 14 for 2016-17 please see <http://www.p12.nysed.gov/sedcar/data.htm#subschedule> for the school year in which this school district will report data for this indicator.